## Ronald Reagan Presidential Library Digital Library Collections

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual collections.

Collection: DeGraffenreid, Kenneth E.: Files

Folder Title: World Peace Council

04/01/1982-08/15/1982

**Box:** RAC Box 29

To see more digitized collections visit: <a href="https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digital-library">https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digital-library</a>

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library inventories visit: <a href="https://reaganlibrary.gov/document-collection">https://reaganlibrary.gov/document-collection</a>

Contact a reference archivist at: reagan.library@nara.gov

Citation Guidelines: <a href="https://reaganlibrary.gov/citing">https://reaganlibrary.gov/citing</a>

National Archives Catalogue: <a href="https://catalog.archives.gov/">https://catalog.archives.gov/</a>

## WITHDRAWAL SHEET

### Ronald Reagan Library

Collection Name DEGRAFFENREID, KENNETH: FILES

Withdrawer

SJW

9/2/2016

File Folder

WORLD PEACE COUNCIL 4/1/82-8/15/82

**FOIA** 

F02-0083/01

**Box Number** 

29

PRADOS

1(1)

\_\_\_\_\_

**Document Description** 

No of Doc Date Restrictions

Pages

179963

ID

**FOLDER** 

**Doc Type** 

MEMOS, CABLES, NOTES

23 5/18/1982 B1

#### The above documents were not referred for declassification review at time of processing

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

- B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA] B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

## WITHDRAWAL SHEET

### Ronald Reagan Library

Collection Name Withdrawer

DEGRAFFENREID, KENNETH: FILES SJW 9/2/2016

File Folder FOIA

WORLD PEACE COUNCIL 4/1/82-8/15/82 F02-0083/01

**PRADOS** 

Box Number

29

IDDocument TypeNo of Doc Date Restric-<br/>pagesRestric-<br/>tions

179963 FOLDER 23 5/18/1982 B1

MEMOS, CABLES, NOTES

## The above documents were not referred for declassification review at time of processing Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]
- C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

6408 24058- dj. ---

## Foreign Affairs Note



United States Department of State Washington, D.C.

## WORLD PEACE COUNCIL: INSTRUMENT April 1982 OF SOVIET FOREIGN POLICY

The World Peace Council (WPC) was founded in 1949 as the World Committee of Partisans for Peace and first adopted its present title in 1950. It was based in Paris until 1951 when it was expelled for what the French Government termed "fifth column activities." It moved to Prague and then in 1954 to Vienna, where it remained until banned in 1957 by the Austrian Interior Minister for "activities directed against the Austrian state." However, it continued to operate in Vienna under the legal cover of the newly established International Institute for Peace until its move to its present location in Helsinki in 1968. The International Institute for Peace subsequently emerged as a separate Soviet front with strong links to the WPC.

In recent years, the WPC has expanded its activities while trying to broaden its appeal and sound less like a cold war propaganda It has sought support in vehicle. the Third World by posing as an independent body identifying with such causes as opposition to U.S. "aggression" in Vietnam, anticolonialism, and assistance to "liberation movements." In NATO countries, it has exploited fears of nuclear war by stimulating and/or sponsoring antinuclear rallies and advocating Soviet-supported disarmament policies.

The WPC and similar fronts periodically have faced internal problems because their Soviet affilia-

tion cannot always be reconciled with the desired image of independence and nonalignment. In 1949, following the expulsion of Yugoslavia from the Cominform, the WPC expelled its Yugoslav representatives. Similarly, the Sino-Soviet dispute led to the WPC's ostracism of China, which later became a major critic of all Soviet fronts. Nikita Khrushchev's revelations of Stalinist excesses at the 20th Soviet Communist Party Congress in February 1956 and the suppression of the Hungarian uprising by Soviet troops the following November cost the fronts considerable popular support. After the Sovietled invasion of Czechoslovakia in August 1968, Moscow, in order to restore discipline, replaced nearly all major Communist-front officials. Although opposition to Soviet control occasionally occurs within the WPC, the leaders are usually able to confine dissent to private meetings of commissions or subcommissions. Dissenting views seldom find their way into the large-scale WPC-sponsored public gatherings. When, for example, Soviet human rights activist Andre Sakharov sent a message in 1976 to a WPC-sponsored forum on disarmament in York, Great Britain, it was not read to delegates as Sakharov had requested. At meetings in 1977, non-Communist participants embarrassed WPC leaders by asking questions about human rights violations in the U.S.S.R., but none of this found its way into the official reports. More recently,

the December 1979 Soviet invasion of Afghanistan apparently once again generated dissension within the WPC. Two months elapsed before the WPC issued a statement endorsing the Afghan invasion.

#### MEMBERSHIP AND ORGANIZATION

The WPC has attracted the support of some prestigious non-Communist figures--literary, humanitarian, scientific, religious, and others--who are motivated by a genuine concern for peace and not dissuaded by the preponderance of Soviet and pro-Soviet personnel in key WPC leadership and decision-making positions. Total membership information has never been made public. Most of the members, moreover, do not belong to the WPC itself, but to affiliates at the national level. The WPC claims that 135 national "peace committees"--e.g., the U.S. Peace Committee, the Soviet Peace Committee, the Syrian Peace Committee--make up its network of local chapters.

Historically, it has been the function of the fronts to mobilize those elements of society not normally reached by local, Moscowlinked Communist parties -- for example, sympathizers not wishing to commit themselves entirely to party discipline and those interested only in particular issues or moved by certain emotional appeals. Lenin saw the potential of international mass organizations as a means to marshal public support for party directives. In the 1930s during the "popular front" period, Willi Munzenberg, a veteran Communist organizer working for the Comintern, spoke cynically of international front organizations as "innocents' clubs."

The WPC is organized into four principal bodies.

-- The Council, which meets every 3 years, is the organization's highest authority comprising representatives of cooperating international organizations and national peace committees.

-- The Presidential Committee, elected by the Council, is nominally responsible for running the

WPC between Council sessions. The Presidential Committee has 26 vice presidents (of which 11 are known to be members of pro-Soviet Communist parties) and 146 members. It holds regular annual and occasional emergency meetings. WPC President Romesh Chandra, a member of the Politburo of India's Moscow-line Communist party, chairs the Committee.

-- A Bureau of the Presidential Committee, consisting of the WPC president, vice presidents, and representatives of selected national peace committees, implements decisions and plans future activities and "programs of action." It meets three to four times a year.

-- The Secretariat, a full-time executive staff appointed by the Presidential Committee, is responsible for proposing new activities and for implementing Council, Presidential Committee, and Bureau decisions.

#### **FUNDING**

The WPC claims to be funded by contributions from national peace committees, donations to its World Peace Fund, and special collections. The evidence, however, strongly suggests that the bulk of its expenses are met by the Soviet Union. In addition, East Germany, Czechoslovakia, Bulgaria, Hungary, Poland, and Cuba provide material and financial support to the WPC, usually in the form of airline service and hotel expenses.

According to the Soviet English-language weekly Moscow News (No. 19,

In a letter published in the New Statesman (October 17, 1980), a correspondent identified as Ruth Tosek, a "former senior interpreter for several of the Moscow-controlled organizations," stated that "all funds of these organizations, in local and in hard currency, are provided above all by the Soviet Union, but also by other East European satellite countries on the basis of set contribution rates, paid by the governments of these countries through various channels."

1981), the Soviet Peace Fund helps to finance "some" of the WPC's "large public initiatives." 2 Writing in 20th Century and Peace (April 1980), Soviet Peace Fund Chairman Boris Polevoi asserted that his clients include "the leaders of the international democratic organizations working for peace: The Fund regularly gives them assistance in organizing their undertakings." Polevoi also revealed that the Fund works closely with the Soviet Peace Committee, which aims "to render financial aid to the organizations, movements and personalities fighting for stronger peace, national independence and freedom." Referring to the October 1973 World Congress of Peace Forces in Moscow, an event organized jointly by the WPC and the Soviet Peace Committee, the November 1973 issue of the WPC's Peace Courier reported that "Soviet public organizations. . . covered all the delegates' maintenance expenses in Moscow. Soviet citizens donated to the Soviet Peace Fund -which covered the delegates' maintenance expenses -- about \$200,000. Moscow's Patriarchate also donated 3 million rubles."

At a February 9-19, 1981, session of the U.N. Committee of Non-Governmental Organizations, the WPC was forced to withdraw its application for upgrading its consultative status with the U.N. Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC) in the face of adverse criticism from ECOSOC members. WPC President Chandra

said in response to questioning that the WPC's accounts were not independently audited but rather were reviewed by the WPC itself. In addition, he reported that the financial statements submitted to ECOSOC represented only a fraction of his organization's actual income and expenditure. Chandra made these statements while avoiding committee questions regarding the source of WPC financial contributions. According to the ECOSOC Report (March 16, 1981), ECOSOC members concluded that the WPC "had received large-scale financial support from government sources, and had gone to great lengths to conceal that fact from the committee."

#### EXTENT OF SOVIET CONTROL

In June 1981, at a Kremlin ceremony, Soviet President Leonid Brezhnev presented Chandra, WPC president since 1977, with the Order of Lenin. Citing Chandra's service to the "ideals of peace, his selflessness in the bitter struggle against the forces of militarism and aggression," Brezhnev expressed gratification that the Soviet "peace program" for the 1980s met with "full understanding" from such an "authoritative movement as the movement of peace champions" (20th Certury and Peace, August 1981). This act symbolizes the importance the Soviets attach to the WPC: The Order of Lenin is one of the most prestigious Soviet awards and is presented personally by Brezhnev only on rare occasions.

Control is exercised over the WPC by the Communist Party of the Soviet Union's (CPSU) Central Committee through its International Department (ID), which is headed by Central Committee Secretary and Politburo candidate member Boris Ponomarev. The ID maintains a special branch, known as the International Social Organizations Sector, which is responsible exclusively for front organizations. The special branch falls under the general responsibility of Vitaliy Shaposhnikov, a deputy ID chief who is at the same time a member of the WPC's

The Soviet Peace Fund is a nationwide organization with the representatives scattered throughout most of the U.S.S.R.'s cities, towns, and villages. A common method by which money is collected for the Soviet Peace Fund is for individual factories, plants, and collective farms to hold a 1-day "work shift for peace." According to the Soviet publication 20th Century and Peace (December 1981), the Krasnoyarsk Peace Committee received million rubles in donations for the Soviet Peace Fund in 1981.

Presidential Committee. Georgiy Zhukov, also a member of the WPC's Presidential Committee, is a candidate member of the CPSU Central Committee, a member of the U.S.S.R. Parliamentary Group, a deputy-chairman of the U.S.S.R.-U.S.A. Society, and the chairman of the Soviet Peace Committee -- the U.S.S.R's national affiliate of the WPC. Through such direct lines to key WPC officials, the CPSU can often control decisions on WPC projects and activities as well as the content of statements, communiques, and resolutions stemming from WPC events.

Since its original "Stockholm Appeal" for "banning the bomb" in 1950, the WPC has consistently advanced Soviet positions on controversial international issues.

For example, in conjunction with other front organizations, it established the "Stockholm Conference on Vietnam," active from 1967-68 until the withdrawal of U.S. troops from Indochina in 1973. It supported the "International Commission of Inquiry into U.S. War Crimes in Vietnam," created in 1970 as a subsidiary of the Stockholm Conference. Throughout the Vietnam war, the WPC sent many "peace" delegations to North Vietnam and regularly issued statements supporting Soviet policy on the war. More recently, in March 1979, the WPC staged an "International Conference on Vietnam" to condemn the Chinese, and in May 1980 it organized a "special conference" in Hanoi to mark the 90th anniversary of the birth of Ho Chi Minh.

The anti-"neutron bomb" campaign initiated by the Soviets in mid-1977 provided the WPC and affiliated fronts with an opportunity to revive ban-the-bomb agitation and to claim that the United States was pursuing military policies which disregarded the interests of its European allies. The WPC proclaimed August 6-13, 1977, a Week of Action against the bomb and organized and helped orchestrate several peace and anti-bomb demonstrations in Europe, Africa, Latin America, and

the Near East. President Carter's decision to postpone development of the neutron warhead was then touted as a victory for world "peace forces."

The December 1979 NATO decision to modernize its intermediate-range nuclear forces (INF) in response to the deployment of Soviet SS-20 missiles targeted on Europe now serves the WPC as the focus of a vigorously conducted campaign for "peace, disarmament and detente" designed to influence European public opinion against NATO's plans. At the same time, the WPC avoids criticizing or even discussing Soviet and Warsaw Pact military deployments. Instead, during the past year, it has promoted Brezhnev's proposals for negotiations, organized "peace" rallies, and issued formal condemnations of NATO defense policies.

Some 200 representatives of 85 organizations from 30 European countries, the United States, and Canada, as well as from 13 international organizations, attended a WPCorganized "International Conference Against the Arms Race" in Stockholm, June 6-8, 1981. Discussions focused on the modernization of medium-range U.S. missiles in Europe, the "neutron bomb," and the consequences of nuclear war; Soviet SS-20 missiles were not mentioned. The conferees proposed sending a delegation to the United States to "report the mood of European public opinion" regarding U.S. nuclear policies; a conference communique also condemned NATO's INF plans.

WPC's Chandra and representatives of a number of other Soviet-controlled international fronts staged a September 12-13, 1981, "International Organizations Meeting" in Prague on "ways of averting nuclear war."

Some 60 participants, representing peace committees from 21
European countries, attended an October 2-4, 1981, "European Peace
Committees Meeting" in Kosice,
Czechoslovakia. Held under the
slogan "For a Europe of Peace and
Without Nuclear Arms," the meeting
was organized by a WPC affiliate,
the Czechoslovak Peace Committee, as
a continuation of the June 1981
Stockholm Conference on Disarmament

and Military Detente in Europe.

Chandra told a press conference in New Delhi on November 30, 1981, that the WPC was seeking a "winter offensive" in support of the "mass movement for disarmament" in Europe.

The current priority of Soviet diplomacy is to prevent INF modernization in Western Europe, and the WPC is expected to concentrate its efforts on this issue. Nevertheless, in line with its past record, the

WPC will seek to operate however Soviet foreign policy interests dictate. As Romesh Chandra put it when speaking in Moscow in 1975: "The Soviet Union invariably supports the peace movement. The World Peace Council in its turn positively reacts to all Soviet initiatives in international affairs."

<sup>3</sup> New Times, Moscow, July 1975.

## **Foreign Affairs Note**



United States Department of State Washington, D.C.

# WORLD PEACE COUNCIL: INSTRUMENT April 1982 OF SOVIET FOREIGN POLICY

The World Peace Council (WPC) was founded in 1949 as the World Committee of Partisans for Peace and first adopted its present title in It was based in Paris until 1951 when it was expelled for what the French Government termed "fifth column activities." It moved to Prague and then in 1954 to Vienna, where it remained until banned in 1957 by the Austrian Interior Minister for "activities directed against the Austrian state." ever, it continued to operate in Vienna under the legal cover of the newly established International Institute for Peace until its move to its present location in Helsinki in 1968. The International Institute for Peace subsequently emerged as a separate Soviet front with strong links to the WPC.

In recent years, the WPC has expanded its activities while trying to broaden its appeal and sound less like a cold war propaganda It has sought support in vehicle. the Third World by posing as an independent body identifying with such causes as opposition to U.S. "aggression" in Vietnam, anticolonialism, and assistance to "liberation movements." In NATO countries, it has exploited fears of nuclear war by stimulating and/or sponsoring antinuclear rallies and advocating Soviet-supported disarmament policies.

The WPC and similar fronts periodically have faced internal problems because their Soviet affilia-

tion cannot always be reconciled with the desired image of independence and nonalignment. In 1949, following the expulsion of Yugoslavia from the Cominform, the WPC expelled its Yugoslav representatives. Similarly, the Sino-Soviet dispute led to the WPC's ostracism of China, which later became a major critic of all Soviet fronts. Nikita Khrushchev's revelations of Stalinist excesses at the 20th Soviet Communist Party Congress in February 1956 and the suppression of the Hungarian uprising by Soviet troops the following November cost the fronts considerable popular support. After the Sovietled invasion of Czechoslovakia in August 1968, Moscow, in order to restore discipline, replaced nearly all major Communist-front officials. Although opposition to Soviet control occasionally occurs within the WPC, the leaders are usually able to confine dissent to private meetings of commissions or subcommissions. Dissenting views seldom find their way into the large-scale WPC-sponsored public gatherings. When, for example, Soviet human rights activist Andre Sakharov sent a message in 1976 to a WPC-sponsored forum on disarmament in York, Great Britain, it was not read to delegates as Sakharov had requested. At meetings in 1977, non-Communist participants embarrassed WPC leaders by asking questions about human rights violations in the U.S.S.R., but none of this found its way into the official reports. More recently,

the December 1979 Soviet invasion of Afghanistan apparently once again generated dissension within the WPC. Two months elapsed before the WPC issued a statement endorsing the Afghan invasion.

#### MEMBERSHIP AND ORGANIZATION

The WPC has attracted the support of some prestigious non-Communist figures--literary, humanitarian, scientific, religious, and others--who are motivated by a genuine concern for peace and not dissuaded by the preponderance of Soviet and pro-Soviet personnel in key WPC leadership and decision-making positions. Total membership information has never been made public. Most of the members, moreover, do not belong to the WPC itself, but to affiliates at the national level. The WPC claims that 135 national "peace committees" -- e.g., the U.S. Peace Committee, the Soviet Peace Committee, the Syrian Peace Committee--make up its network of local chapters.

Historically, it has been the function of the fronts to mobilize those elements of society not normally reached by local, Moscowlinked Communist parties -- for example, sympathizers not wishing to commit themselves entirely to party discipline and those interested only in particular issues or moved by certain emotional appeals. Lenin saw the potential of international mass organizations as a means to marshal public support for party directives. In the 1930s during the "popular front" period, Willi Munzenberg, a veteran Communist organizer working for the Comintern, spoke cynically of international front organizations as "innocents' clubs."

The WPC is organized into four principal bodies.

-- The Council, which meets every 3 years, is the organization's highest authority comprising representatives of cooperating international organizations and national peace committees.

-- The Presidential Committee, elected by the Council, is nomi-nally responsible for running the

WPC between Council sessions. The Presidential Committee has 26 vice presidents (of which 11 are known to be members of pro-Soviet Communist parties) and 146 members. It holds regular annual and occasional emergency meetings. WPC President Romesh Chandra, a member of the Politburo of India's Moscow-line Communist party, chairs the Committee.

-- A Bureau of the Presidential Committee, consisting of the WPC president, vice presidents, and representatives of selected national peace committees, implements decisions and plans future activities and "programs of action." It meets three to four times a year.

-- The Secretariat, a full-time executive staff appointed by the Presidential Committee, is responsible for proposing new activities and for implementing Council, Presidential Committee, and Bureau decisions.

#### **FUNDING**

The WPC claims to be funded by contributions from national peace committees, donations to its World Peace Fund, and special collections. The evidence, however, strongly suggests that the bulk of its expenses are met by the Soviet Union. In addition, East Germany, Czechoslovakia, Bulgaria, Hungary, Poland, and Cuba provide material and financial support to the WPC, usually in the form of airline service and hotel expenses.

According to the Soviet English-language weekly Moscow News (No. 19,

In a letter published in the New Statesman (October 17, 1980), a correspondent identified as Ruth Tosek, a "former senior interpreter for several of the Moscow-controlled organizations," stated that "all funds of these organizations, in local and in hard currency, are provided above all by the Soviet Union, but also by other East European satellite countries on the basis of set contribution rates, paid by the governments of these countries through various channels."

1981), the Soviet Peace Fund helps to finance "some" of the WPC's "large public initiatives." 2 Writing in 20th Century and Peace (April 1980), Soviet Peace Fund Chairman Boris Polevoi asserted that his clients include "the leaders of the international democratic organizations working for peace: The Fund regularly gives them assistance in organizing their undertakings." Polevoi also revealed that the Fund works closely with the Soviet Peace Committee, which aims "to render financial aid to the organizations, movements and personalities fighting for stronger peace, national independence and freedom." Referring to the October 1973 World Congress of Peace Forces in Moscow, an event organized jointly by the WPC and the Soviet Peace Committee, the November 1973 issue of the WPC's Peace Courier reported that "Soviet public organizations. . . covered all the delegates' maintenance expenses in Moscow. Soviet citizens donated to the Soviet Peace Fund -which covered the delegates' maintenance expenses -- about \$200,000. Moscow's Patriarchate also donated 3 million rubles."

At a February 9-19, 1981, session of the U.N. Committee of Non-Governmental Organizations, the WPC was forced to withdraw its application for upgrading its consultative status with the U.N. Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC) in the face of adverse criticism from ECOSOC members. WPC President Chandra

said in response to questioning that the WPC's accounts were not independently audited but rather were reviewed by the WPC itself. In addition, he reported that the financial statements submitted to ECOSOC represented only a fraction of his organization's actual income and expenditure. Chandra made these statements while avoiding committee questions regarding the source of WPC financial contributions. cording to the ECOSOC Report (March 16, 1981), ECOSOC members concluded that the WPC "had received large-scale financial support from government sources, and had gone to great lengths to conceal that fact from the committee."

#### EXTENT OF SOVIET CONTROL

In June 1981, at a Kremlin ceremony, Soviet President Leonid Brezhnev presented Chandra, WPC president since 1977, with the Order of Lenin. Citing Chandra's service to the "ideals of peace, his selflessness in the bitter struggle against the forces of militarism and aggression," Brezhnev expressed gratification that the Soviet "peace program" for the 1980s met with "full understanding" from such an "authoritative movement as the movement of peace champions" (20th Century and Peace, August This act symbolizes the im-1981). portance the Soviets attach to the WPC: The Order of Lenin is one of the most prestigious Soviet awards and is presented personally by Brezhnev only on rare occasions.

Control is exercised over the WPC by the Communist Party of the Soviet Union's (CPSU) Central Committee through its International Department (ID), which is headed by Central Committee Secretary and Politburo candidate member Boris Ponomarev. The ID maintains a special branch, known as the International Social Organizations Sector, which is responsible exclusively for front organizations. The special branch falls under the general responsibility of Vitaliy Shaposhnikov, a deputy ID chief who is at the same time a member of the WPC's

The Soviet Peace Fund is a nationwide organization with the representatives scattered throughout most of the U.S.S.R.'s cities, towns, and villages. A common method by which money is collected for the Soviet Peace Fund is for individual factories, plants, and collective farms to hold a 1-day "work shift for peace." According to the Soviet publication 20th Century and Peace (December 1981), the Krasnoyarsk Peace Committee received 2 million rubles in donations for the Soviet Peace Fund in 1981.

Presidential Committee. Georgiy Zhukov, also a member of the WPC's Presidential Committee, is a candidate member of the CPSU Central Committee, a member of the U.S.S.R. Parliamentary Group, a deputy-chairman of the U.S.S.R.-U.S.A. Society, and the chairman of the Soviet Peace Committee -- the U.S.S.R's national affiliate of the WPC. Through such direct lines to key WPC officials, the CPSU can often control decisions on WPC projects and activities as well as the content of statements, communiques, and resolutions stemming from WPC events.

Since its original "Stockholm Appeal" for "banning the bomb" in 1950, the WPC has consistently advanced Soviet positions on controversial international issues.

For example, in conjunction with other front organizations, it established the "Stockholm Conference on Vietnam," active from 1967-68 until the withdrawal of U.S. troops from Indochina in 1973. It supported the "International Commission of Inquiry into U.S. War Crimes in Vietnam," created in 1970 as a subsidiary of the Stockholm Conference. Throughout the Vietnam war, the WPC sent many "peace" delegations to North Vietnam and regularly issued statements supporting Soviet policy on the war. More recently, in March 1979, the WPC staged an "International Conference on Vietnam" to condemn the Chinese, and in May 1980 it organized a "special conference" in Hanoi to mark the 90th anniversary of the birth of Ho Chi Minh.

The anti-"neutron bomb" campaign initiated by the Soviets in mid-1977 provided the WPC and affiliated fronts with an opportunity to revive ban-the-bomb agitation and to claim that the United States was pursuing military policies which disregarded the interests of its European allies. The WPC proclaimed August 6-13, 1977, a Week of Action against the bomb and organized and helped orchestrate several peace and anti-bomb demonstrations in Europe, Africa, Latin America, and

the Near East. President Carter's decision to postpone development of the neutron warhead was then touted as a victory for world "peace forces."

The December 1979 NATO decision to modernize its intermediate-range nuclear forces (INF) in response to the deployment of Soviet SS-20 missiles targeted on Europe now serves the WPC as the focus of a vigorously conducted campaign for "peace, disarmament and detente" designed to influence European public opinion against NATO's plans. At the same time, the WPC avoids criticizing or even discussing Soviet and Warsaw Pact military deployments. Instead, during the past year, it has promoted Brezhnev's proposals for negotiations, organized "peace" rallies, and issued formal condemnations of NATO defense policies.

Some 200 representatives of 85 organizations from 30 European countries, the United States, and Canada, as well as from 13 international organizations, attended a WPCorganized "International Conference Against the Arms Race" in Stockholm, June 6-8, 1981. Discussions focused on the modernization of medium-range U.S. missiles in Europe, the "neutron bomb," and the consequences of nuclear war; Soviet SS-20 missiles were not mentioned. The conferees proposed sending a delegation to the United States to "report the mood of European public opinion" regarding U.S. nuclear policies; a conference communique also condemned NATO's INF

WPC's Chandra and representatives of a number of other Soviet-controlled international fronts staged a September 12-13, 1981, "International Organizations Meeting" in Prague on "ways of averting nuclear war."

Some 60 participants, representing peace committees from 21 European countries, attended an October 2-4, 1981, "European Peace Committees Meeting" in Kosice, Czechoslovakia. Held under the slogan "For a Europe of Peace and Without Nuclear Arms," the meeting was organized by a WPC affiliate, the Czechoslovak Peace Committee, as a continuation of the June 1981 Stockholm Conference on Disarmament

and Military Detente in Europe.

Chandra told a press conference in New Delhi on November 30, 1981, that the WPC was seeking a "winter offensive" in support of the "mass movement for disarmament" in Europe.

The current priority of Soviet diplomacy is to prevent INF modernization in Western Europe, and the WPC is expected to concentrate its efforts on this issue. Nevertheless, in line with its past record, the

WPC will seek to operate however Soviet foreign policy interests dictate. As Romesh Chandra put it when speaking in Moscow in 1975: "The Soviet Union invariably supports the peace movement. The World Peace Council in its turn positively reacts to all Soviet initiatives in international affairs." 3

<sup>3</sup> New Times, Moscow, July 1975.

Theken

CA PRESS GUIDANCE (if asked only)

June 2, 1982

#### VISA REFUSALS OF JAPANESE APPLICANTS TO SSOD

- Q. Have the 300 Japanese applicants been denied visas to attend the UN Special Session on Disarmament because they are advocates against the use of nuclear weapons as reported by the New York Times?
  - A. No. Hundreds of visas have been granted to Japanese applicants who are members of antinuclear organizations. Most of the 348 Japanese applicants who have been denied have been members of Gensuikyo, an organization closely affiliated with the World Peace Council. The World Peace Council is an organization with strong affiliations with the Communist Party of the Soviet Union. We are denying visas in those cases as required under section 212(a)(28) of the Immigration and Nationality Act which prohibits the issuance of a visa to anyone who is a member of or affiliated with a proscribed organization. Both the World Peace Council and Gensuikyo are proscribed organizations.

As required by the McGovern amendment, the cases are now

being referred to the Attorney General, who will consider on a case-by-case basis whether specific grounds of ineligibility will be waived and entry visas issued for members of proscribed organizations. So the process is not yet complete. The denials are not directed specifically toward Japan, but based on longstanding provisions of the Immigration and Nationality Act. In addition, there have been administrative problems with processing so many applications in such a relatively short period of time.

- Q. What is the basis for making the World Peace Council a proscribed organization?
  - A. The World Peace Council has long standing direct political and financial affiliation with the Communist Party of the Soviet Union, which forms the basis for determining the Council's status as a proscribed organization as defined under section 212 (a) (28) of the Immigration and Nationality Act. The World Peace Council's activities, policy line and financing suggest that it is an instrument of Soviet policy. U. S. immigration law recognizes the existence of such groups and directs the executive branch to restrict their entry into the U. S. A more detailed account of the Council's activities and affiliation with the Soviet Union is

outlined in an April 1982 Department of State publication entitled "World Peace Council: Instrument of Soviet Foreign Policy".

- Q. How does the Department determine when an organization is proscribed?
  - A. Section 212 (a) (28) of the Immigration and Nationality
    Act defines organizations in which membership in or
    affiliation with constitutes grounds for ineligibility.
    They include both Communist Parties and other
    organizations affiliated with Communist Parties. If a
    question arises about an individual organization's
    possible Communist affiliation, it has to be be resolved
    on the basis of the best evidence we can acquire.
- Q. Does the Department have a list of such organizations?
  - As individual organizations are determined to be Communist or affiliated, this information is furnished to consular officers in order to avoid having to go through the fact-finding process repetitively. It is important to note, however, membership in an organization which is Communist-affiliated makes an alien ineligible to receive

a visa, even if we become aware of the Communist affiliation for the first time in considering the visa application.

- Q. Can those persons denied visas appeal the decision?
  - A. If applicants believe that circumstances have changed or they have new information, they can request that the decision be reconsidered.
- Q. When were the applications submitted to our posts in Japan and when were they denied?
  - A. I understand the applications were only received in the Department about ten days ago and as processing has gone forward denials have resulted in the course of the past week.
- Q. Were not some of these people admitted to the last Special Session four years ago?
  - A. Perhaps. In any event it is necessary to go through the procedure I have described in reviewing the present applications.
- Q. Does that mean they will be denied this time?
  - A. As I indicated the Attorney General makes his decision on a case-by case basis.

Drafted:CA/PA:JWebb:CA/REFritts 5/26/82 x26326 Doc. ID# 0716A

#### Clearances:

CA - REFritts

CA/VO - EKreuser

CA/VO - DMorris (substance)

CA/VO - CScully (substance)

EA/J - MVaeth

INR - WYoung (substance)

IO - FProvyen (substance)

P - DLowenfeld

L/CA - HCollums

HA - EAbrams (Collows)

INFO - ALL GEOGRAPHIC PAOS

H - CThibodeau/JMontgomery

INS - VJervis

# SECRET

#### NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

July 13, 1982

TO:

RICHARD PIPES

CAREY LORD

RICHARD LEVINE

FROM:

KEN deGRAFFENREID

FYI.

Attachment

UNCLASSIFIED
WITH SECRET ATTACHMENT

چين عاقباته

SECRET