

Ronald Reagan Presidential Library
Digital Library Collections

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual collections.

Collection: Raymond, Walter: Files
Folder Title: DOD (Public Diplomacy/Funding)
(01/01/1985-06/30/1985)
Box: RAC Box 4

To see more digitized collections visit:

<https://www.reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digitized-textual-material>

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library inventories visit:

<https://www.reaganlibrary.gov/archives/white-house-inventories>

Contact a reference archivist at: **reagan.library@nara.gov**

Citation Guidelines: <https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/research-support/citation-guide>

National Archives Catalogue: <https://catalog.archives.gov/>

WITHDRAWAL SHEET

Ronald Reagan Library

Collection Name RAYMOND, WALTER: FILES

Withdrawer

CAS 7/16/2012

File Folder DOD (PUBLIC DIPLOMACY FUNDING) (01/01/1985-06/30/1985)

FOIA

M11-443

Box Number 4

HAENNI

25

ID	Doc Type	Document Description	No of Pages	Doc Date	Restrictions
139844	MEMO	GEN JACK MERRITT TO DEPUTY UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR POLICY RE AFRICA (INCLUDES ATTACHMENTS A - D) <i>R 5/20/2019 M443/1</i>	8	2/25/1985	B1
139854	CABLE	OSLO 01582 <i>R 1/3/2018 M443/1</i>	1	3/21/1985	B1
139857	CABLE	ANKARA 03218 <i>R 1/3/2018 M443/1</i>	1	4/3/1985	B1
139858	CABLE	ANKARA 03218 <i>R 1/3/2018 M443/1</i>	1	4/3/1985	B1
139859	MEMO	RAYMOND WARREN TO UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR POLICY RE POLICY SUPPORT PROGRAMS - ACTIVITY UPDATE	5	ND	B1
139861	MEMO	ROBERT MCFARLANE TO SEC SHULTZ ET AL RE PUBLIC DIPLOMACY ON CENTRAL AMERICA	1	6/7/1985	B1
139862	CABLE	OSLO 03038 <i>R 1/3/2018 M443/1</i>	1	5/31/1985	B1

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]

B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]

B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]

B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]

B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]

B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]

B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]

B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PENDING

N



FORSVARETS HØGSKOLEFORENING/STUDIEUTVALGET

Alumni Association of the Norwegian National Defence College/Study Committee

0015 Oslo Mil/Akershus, Oslo 1

11th February 1985

Dear Mr. Raymond.

The Study Committee of the Alumni Association of the Norwegian National Defence College, and the Boston Foreign Affairs Group, will arrange a conference in Norway on 25th - 27th April 1985. The theme of the conference will be "Spotlight on the Soviet Union", with lectures from nationals of NATO-connected and neutral countries of Europe, as well as from U.S. nationals.

A preliminary programme for the conference is enclosed.

We would appreciate your participation in the conference and in the discussions which will take place. During the conference you will be the guest of the sponsoring organizations, and your travel expenses will be refunded.

The conference will take place in a hotel/conference center less than one hour's drive from Oslo, and we expect you to arrive some time on April 24th. Collective departure by bus from Oslo will be at 1700 hrs. To be able to take care of our guests, we would like to know at which time you estimate to arrive in Oslo. Further administrative information will be sent to you later with the final programme.

We would appreciate having your answer to this invitation before March 5th.

Yours sincerely,

O.G. Lunde

h

DRAFT PROGRAMME FOR CONFERENCE

APRIL 25 - 27 1985

"SPOTLIGHT ON THE SOVIET UNION"

April 25th: The theme for the day:

"THE SOVIET STATE AND ITS POWER PROJECTION"

1000 hrs: Introduction by Professor O.G. LUNDE,
Chairman of the Study Committee of the
Alumni Association of the Norwegian National
Defence College.

Lecture: "THE SOVIET GLOBAL STRATEGY", presented by
Professor HERBERT J. ELLISON, the Kennan
Institute for Advanced Russian Studies,
Wilson Center, Washington D.C., U.S.

Lecture: "THE WEAKNESSES AND STRENGTH OF THE SOVIET
STATE", presented by Professor ALAIN BESANCON,
The Sorbonne University, France.

-: Lunch :-

Lecture: "SOVIET USE OF MILITARY POWER", presented by
Dr. HANNES ADONEIT, Stiftung Wissenschaft
und Politik, Ebenhausen, Isarthal, W.Germany.

Lecture: "THE SOVIET MILITARY ESTABLISHMENT", presented
by Mr. CHRIS DONNELLY, the Soviet Studies
Research Center, the Royal Military Academy,
Sandhurst, U.K.

April 26th: Introduction to Soviet Lexicon by Mr. PHILLIP A. PETERSON, Office of the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Policy, U.S. Department of Defense.

Lecture: "SOVIET FRONT OFFENSIVE OPERATIONS" presented by Lt.Col. JOHN G. HINES, Office of the Secretary of Defense, U.S. Department of Defense.

Two discussants (Norwegian Officers, to be named later.)

-: Lunch :-

Lecture: "THE ROLE OF DEFENSE IN NORWEGIAN DOMESTIC POLICY", presented by Professor HENRY VALEN, Norwegian Institute for Social Sciences.

Discussants: Ms. GRETHE VÆRNØ (Norway)
Mr. JOHN WOOD (U.K.)
Mr. RICHARD BISSELL (U.S.)

April 27th:

Lecture: "THE ROLE OF NEUTRALS IN SOVIET STRATEGY", presented by Mr. HARALD HAMRIN, Correspondent for "Dagens Nyheter", Stockholm, Sweden.

Lecture: "FINNISH SECURITY POLICY", presented by Dr. PAULI JÄRVENPÄÄ, Finnish Ministry of Defense, Helsinki, Finland.

Discussants: Mr. KENNETH MEYERS (U.S.)
Mr. ARNE BRUNDTLAND (Norway)
Dr. JOHN YURECHKO (U.S.)

-: Lunch :-

~~SECRET~~



THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301

DJSM 348-85
25 February 1985

THE JOINT STAFF

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DEPUTY UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR POLICY

Subject: Countering Soviet Disinformation on the African Famine (U)

1. (S) The geostrategic significance of the African continent, addressed at Attachment A, cannot be overlooked today by national policy makers or military planners. In marked contrast to the current level of effort by the US and the free world nations in the African famine relief effort, Soviet food and agricultural assistance in Africa has been minimal. As addressed in Attachment B, Moscow's response to the famine has been to insist that food problems in Africa are the result of Western colonial and neocolonial exploitation for which the USSR bears no responsibility.

2. (S) The challenge to the US will be to act now and adopt a long-term strategy for the African continent. A nationally-directed, strategic overt peacetime PSYOP/international information program designed to consistently and systematically project the truth concerning the free world famine relief effort, orchestrated for both African and world audiences, would serve as a low-risk, high-gain, and cost-effective means of countering worldwide Soviet disinformation and propaganda. Precedence and national policy for conduct of such an effort are contained in the documents addressed in Attachment C. Such a program, emphasizing the shoulder-to-shoulder efforts of the free-world community to relieve the African misery would have the following objectives:

- Accentuate the benevolence and generosity of the people of the free world nations participating in the famine relief effort.
- Focus the attention of both the non-aligned African states and the world community on the long-term disadvantages of adopting Soviet Socialist agricultural policies. (A recommended approach would be to carefully orchestrate such an effort through a third, non-aligned party).
- Effect a gradual change in popular attitudes toward US presence in Africa by increasing the visibility of US commercial and military aircraft involved in humanitarian relief efforts. (This would be a unique opportunity to increase acceptance for base access rights "from the bottom up" rather than trying to buy them through regimes in power, against the popular will).

CLASSIFIED BY DIRECTOR, JOINT STAFF
DECLASSIFY ON OADR

~~SECRET~~

DECLASSIFIED

NLRRM443 #139844
BY lw NARA DATE 5/20/19

I-85/21969

~~SECRET~~

- Allow the US to adopt an active policy stance vis-a-vis deliberate actions by the Soviets and their proxies to destabilize the region, thereby reducing the risk of communist-sponsored insurgencies in non-communist African states.
- Initiate a US "~~information counter-offensive~~" in the information war on the African continent with both the immediate and long-term objectives of continued exposure of Soviet disinformation and propaganda to the world community.
- Ensure long-term Western access to strategic fuel and non-fuel minerals in Africa by establishing long-lasting bonds of friendship and trust between the African peoples and those of the free world.
- Promote, as an ancillary benefit, increased US public awareness of Africa's growing importance to US long-term national security.

3. (S) In light of the strategic importance of Africa, the Soviet threat in that region to US long-term national security and the national policy guidance addressed in Attachment C, recommend that an interagency working group/subcommittee for Africa be established under the Special Planning Group (SPG) Coordinating Committee. This group would specifically be charged with the task of formulating a strategic international information and overt peacetime PSYOP program with respect to Africa for the immediate purpose of countering Soviet disinformation on the famine relief effort and for the long-term goal of achieving US national security objectives. It would be charged with the development of an international information campaign and attendant actions that could be initiated immediately, with clear, non-controversial, relatively unsophisticated short-term objectives. Once begun, this effort could later be expanded into a more sophisticated and stabilized program to meet long-term objectives. Further details concerning this proposed committee are found at Attachment D.

4. (S) It must be stressed that this effort would be conducted on a non-interference and non-manipulative basis and would in no way be allowed to alter or impact upon the current and projected international famine relief effort or the philanthropic intent of the endeavor. Such a program would be a positive and timely step in helping to counter the geographic expansion of Soviet control and military presence throughout the world and particularly in Africa where such presence currently threatens the long-term geostrategic position of the United States.

Attachments
a/s


JACK N. MERRITT
Lieutenant General, USA
Director, Joint Staff

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

ATTACHMENT A

STRATEGIC IMPORTANCE OF AFRICA

1. (U) The strategic position of Africa is extremely important to the United States in that the Southern and Eastern areas of the continent are situated squarely against key global shipping lanes and trade routes, especially with respect to the movement of Middle Eastern oil from the Persian Gulf to Europe and the United States. This major geostrategic significance of the region to the US and the West has led Washington and Moscow to compete for basing access and transit rights in the drought-ridden east African littoral states as a means of extending communications and military power in the Indian Ocean. All of the Western industrialized nations have become dependent to varying degrees, on African oil and non-fuel minerals. Even more important than oil for the United States is long-term Western access to the region's enormously rich mineral deposits. Africa is a major source of crucial strategic non-fuel minerals such as platinum, chromium, cobalt, bauxite and manganese, many of which are used in the production of high-grade steel in the US automotive, aerospace, and defense industries. European and Japanese dependence on African non-fuel minerals considerably exceeds that of the United States. (As background, the US Bureau of Mines defines 27 minerals as "critical." The US must rely on imports for 75 percent or more of its supply of 8 of the 27. Sub-Saharan Africa supplies over 50 percent of US consumption of four of the eight which are: chromite, cobalt, manganese, and the platinum group minerals.)

2. (S) Soviet activities with regard to African strategic minerals are focused upon increasing the control of the countries which supply strategic raw materials over those raw materials. Although it is difficult to prove (or disapprove) deliberate Soviet resource denial strategies, and their claim that it is unrealistic to form a "mineral cartel", they do appear to seriously believe that leftist governments could make these scarce resources much more expensive for the free world.

CLASSIFIED BY DIRECTOR, JOINT STAFF
DECLASSIFY ON OADR

~~SECRET~~

Attachment A

~~SECRET~~

ATTACHMENT B

SOVIET ACTIVITIES IN AFRICA

1. (S) As a matter of record, the Soviet Union has provided minimal food assistance to the 14 million people of the 26 drought-stricken African nations to include their client state of Ethiopia. The Soviets have not released any figures on the amount of famine relief aid to Ethiopia. Total aid figures are closely held primarily because it appears that such aid is minimal. In their continuing disinformation and propaganda campaign the Soviets have insisted that the African famine is a direct result of colonial exploitation and is a Western responsibility. We should expect the Soviets to pick up on a disinformation theme already being voiced by such leaders as President Nyerere of Tanzania, who cite high interest rates and IMF-imposed austerity measures as proof that Western imperialists still "bleed" Africa's wealth. Lacking the hard cash themselves to meet Africa's needs for investment capital to rekindle growth, the Soviets are almost certain to exploit this theme. There is no better counter to this attack than publicity of the hundreds of millions of dollars of outright gifts - public and private - to respond to the famine. If the famine continues to threaten the stability of their client regimes, current assessments indicate that Moscow will most likely continue to respond with military and security assistance rather than foodstuffs in their relentless attempt to gain power and hegemony in the region. Arms sales to Africa have been a market historically dominated by the Soviet Union which currently ranks as Africa's leading arms supplier. The net effect of this is to have a destabilizing effect on the region as a whole as chances of armed conflict between neighbors is greatly increased. Soviet agricultural policies imported to the African continent have lowered living standards, contributed to declining agricultural output and exacerbated the problems brought about by the drought, as witnessed in their client state of Ethiopia. In Mozambique, President Machel himself has criticized his own party for promoting large and inefficient Soviet-style farms at the cost of small-scale, private agriculture.

2. (S) Largely a food importer, Moscow has refused to provide any significant sums of money to buy grain in support of the famine relief while the free world nations have poured hundreds of millions of dollars into Africa. By way of comparison, the US presently contributes about half of the food input to the relief effort, and we are projected to commit over

CLASSIFIED BY DIRECTOR, JOINT STAFF
DECLASSIFY ON OADR

~~SECRET~~

Attachment B

~~SECRET~~

a billion dollars in FY85 which will provide over 1.5 million tons of emergency food. The Soviet effort to supply arms and agricultural policies instead of badly needed food are but two themes that could be exploited in a carefully orchestrated counter-disinformation program.

3. (S) The Soviet use of proxy and client states in Africa to further their efforts to achieve global communism, will most likely remain an important element of their modus operandi for the immediate future. Soviet "tools" in Africa will consist of considerable amounts of military aid and technical support, extremely limited economic aid, support to insurgencies (wars of national liberation) and terrorist movements, and a carefully orchestrated propaganda and disinformation program. Their approach will largely be indirect and best described as "guns, presence and propaganda".

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

ATTACHMENT C

PRECEDENCE AND NATIONAL POLICY

1. (S) As background, National Security Decision Directive 77 (NSDD-77), "Management of Public Diplomacy Relative to National Security", 14 Jan 1983, established the Public Diplomacy structure and provided the national policy guidance for strengthening the organization, planning and coordination of Public Diplomacy, which is comprised of those actions of the US Government designed to generate support for our national security objectives. NSDD-77 established the Special Planning Group (SPG) under the National Security Council (NSC), which is chaired by the Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs. Membership consists of SECDEF, SECSTATE, Director, USIA, the Administrator of the Agency for International Development (AID) and the Assistant to the President for Communications. The SPG provides the overall planning, direction, coordination and monitoring of implementation of Public Diplomacy activities. Under NSDD-77, four interagency standing committees were established which report regularly to the SPG which, in turn, provides overall guidance in order for them to carry out their Public Diplomacy responsibilities. The four standing committees are: the International Information Committee, the International Broadcasting Committee, the International Political Committee, and the Public Affairs Committee. Each committee is authorized to establish, as appropriate, working groups or ad hoc task forces to deal with specific issues or programs.

2. (S) NSDD-130, "US International Information Policy", 6 Mar 84, recognized international information as an integral and vital element of US national security policy and strategy. The fundamental purpose of US international information programs is to affect foreign audiences in ways favorable to US national interests. As a component of Public Diplomacy, international information programs are key strategic instruments for shaping global fundamental political and ideological trends on a long-term basis and ultimately affecting the behavior of governments toward the US. Additionally, NSDD-130 directed that DOD, with appropriate interagency coordination and in accordance with national law and policy, participate in overt psychological operations in peacetime. The SPG established under NSDD-77 was charged with taking the lead in developing coordinated interagency plans, including the utilization of DOD capabilities for international information activities in support of national security objectives.

3. (S) DOD Directive S-3321.1, "Overt Psychological Operations Conducted by the Military Services in Peacetime and in Contingencies Short of Declared War", 26 July 84, subsequently recognized psychological operations as an effective and essential instrument of national policy,

CLASSIFIED BY: DIRECTOR, JOINT STAFF
DECLASSIFY ON: OADR

~~SECRET~~

Attachment C

~~SECRET~~

emphasized the need to counter hostile disinformation and propaganda programs and directed, inter alia, that the JCS incorporate psychological operations into military planning for peacetime operations and develop operational concepts and plans related thereto.

4. (S) SECDEF Memorandum for the Chairman SPG, Public Diplomacy, "Psychological Operations", 20 July 84, recommended expansion of the Public Diplomacy structure under NSDD-77 to include the creation of a fifth standing committee, the National Psychological Operations Committee, subordinate to the SPG, to fulfill the stated requirement for a national level PSYOP framework and thereby focus attention on PSYOP as a national and not solely a military instrument that is complementary to other components of Public Diplomacy. This memorandum provided the initial impetus for the DOD Psychological Operations Master Plan, now in its final draft stages, which is charged with providing recommendations for the phased, fundamental improvement of our military capability to employ PSYOP in peace, crisis and war. Recommendations contained therein clearly reemphasize the need as stated in the previously mentioned documents for a national level mechanism to provide direction and control of strategic psychological operations as an element in the larger international information effort conducted in support of national security objectives.

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

ATTACHMENT D

AFRICA INTERAGENCY WORKING GROUP/SUBCOMMITTEE

1. (S) As an interim solution or until the existing recommendation to form a national PSYOP Committee is acted upon, the Africa subcommittee could submit strategic international information/PSYOP plans through the SPG Coordinating Committee to the International Information Committee and coordinate with other interagency standing committees as appropriate. The International Information Committee, as the designated agency in NSDD-77 for planning, coordinating and implementing international information activities, would be tasked with staff cognizance and oversight of the Africa subcommittee, and responsible for coordinating and monitoring implementation of recommended international information/PSYOP strategies.

2. (S) Members of the Africa subcommittee should be selected on an interagency basis and include representatives from the DOD, OJCS, DOS, AID, USIA, DIA, CIA and NSC with recommended chairmanship by USIA. The Africa subcommittee would be charged with the development of a program to counter Soviet disinformation concerning that region to include objectives, themes, resource requirements, concept of operations, requisite intelligence support and coordination measures necessary prior to and during implementation of the program.

CLASSIFIED BY DIRECTOR, JOINT STAFF
DECLASSIFY ON OADR

~~SECRET~~

Attachment D

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
Department of State

INCOMING
TELEGRAM

PAGE 01 OSLO 01582 00 OF 02 220651Z
ACTION EUR-00

4079 EUR4770

OSLO 01582 00 OF 02 220651Z

4079 EUR4770

ACTION OFFICE PMS-02

INFO -PMP-01 EUR-05 PMA-01 PMD-01 NO-01 NE-02 PA-01 PH-01
PP-01 FILE-01 /017 A2

INFO LOG-00 COPY-01 ADS-00 INR-10 SS-00 CIAE-00 H-01
WMO-01 IO-16 NSAE-00 L-03 TRSE-00 PH-10 PA-01
ACDA-12 USIE-00 SP-02 PRS-01 SPD-02 /060 W

-----367241 220651Z /16/21

R 211029Z MAR 85
FM AMEMBASSY OSLO
TO SECDEF WASHDC
SECSTATE WASHDC 2037
INFO USMISSION USNATO

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~ OSLO 01582

SECDEF FOR SDIO

E.O. 12356: DECL: 3/20/2005

TAGS: PARM, NO

SUBJ: NORWEGIAN VISIT AND STUDY CONCERNING SDI

1. C-ENTIRE TEXT

2. SUMMARY AND ACTION REQUESTED: PRIME MINISTER WILLOCH HAS REQUESTED A GON STUDY ASSESSING THE SDI PROGRAM AS PART OF HIS EFFORT TO MANAGE POLITICAL PRESSURES IN NORWAY OPPOSED TO SDI RESEARCH. THE STUDY OFFERS AN IMPORTANT OPPORTUNITY TO INFLUENCE NORWEGIAN VIEWS. EMBASSY REQUESTS ASSISTANCE FOR VISIT BY GON STUDY TEAM AS SET FORTH PARA 8 BELOW. END SUMMARY AND ACTION REQUESTED.

3. NILS MORTEN UDGAARD, STATE SECRETARY IN THE PM'S OFFICE, TOLD DCM MARCH 19 THAT PRIME MINISTER WILLOCH HAD REQUESTED THAT THE NORWEGIAN DEFENSE RESEARCH INSTITUTE (NDRE) PREPARE A THOROUGH TECHNICAL REPORT ON THE SDI PROGRAM. UDGAARD SAID THAT IT WAS IMPORTANT THAT THE GON BE ON SOLID GROUND IN DEFENDING ITS SUPPORT FOR SDI RESEARCH. IF THE GON IS TO SUSTAIN SUPPORT FOR ITS POSITION WITHIN ITS OWN COALITION AND PUBLICLY, IT COULD NOT BE SEEN AS DOING SO BLINDLY. RATHER IT HAD TO BE SEEN AS STUDYING THE ISSUE, SEEKING INFORMATION AND REACHING AN INDEPENDENT NATIONAL CONCLUSION. THE REPORT WAS THUS PART OF THE GON'S EFFORTS TO MANAGE THE ISSUE POLITICALLY AND TO KEEP SDI RESEARCH FROM BECOMING AN ISSUE IN THE NORWEGIAN ELECTIONS.

4. UDGAARD SAID THAT NDRE DIRECTOR, ERIK KLIPPENBERG, HAD BEEN ASKED TO LEAD THE TECHNICAL STUDY AND INSTRUCTED TO VISIT THE U.S. IN PREPARING IT. UDGAARD RECOGNIZED THE DIFFICULTY OF ARRANGING A U.S. VISIT BUT ASKED THAT THE USG ASSIST BY ARRANGING A VISIT WHICH WOULD PROVIDE A SOUND BASIS FOR THE GON REPORT.

5. KLIPPENBERG SUBSEQUENTLY CONTACTED EMBASSY. HE SAID THAT HIS STUDY WOULD FOCUS ON THE TECHNICAL AND SCIENTIFIC ASPECTS OF THE SDI PROGRAM, DESCRIBING THE VARIOUS TECHNOLOGIES BEING RESEARCHED, THE POSSIBILITIES THEY REPRESENT, THE PROBLEMS CONFRONTING THE RESEARCH EFFORT AND THE PROSPECTS FOR OVERCOMING THEM. IT WOULD ALSO LAY OUT THE PACE AND STAGES THROUGH WHICH SDI RESEARCH WAS EXPECTED TO PROGRESS AND EXAMINE THE POSSIBILITIES AND MODALITIES FOR NORWEGIAN CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE RESEARCH EFFORT, AS RECENTLY FORESEEN BY THE PRESIDENT. KLIPPENBERG SAID HIS TEAM WOULD BE PREPARING AN INITIAL DRAFT OF THE REPORT IN APRIL BASED UPON INFORMATION AVAILABLE IN NORWAY AND THAT HE WOULD HOPE

TO VISIT THE U.S. IN MID MAY BEFORE PREPARING THE REPORT IN FINAL BY EARLY JUNE. KLIPPENBERG SAID THAT HE ENVISAGED THE REPORT AS A CLASSIFIED INTERNAL GON STUDY, BUT THAT HE EXPECTED THAT AN UNCLASSIFIED VERSION OF THE FINAL REPORT WOULD PROBABLY BE PREPARED FOR BROADER AUDIENCES.

6. AS FOR THE TRIP TO THE STATES, KLIPPENBERG SAID THAT HE AND TWO OF HIS COLLEAGUES HOPED IT WOULD BE POSSIBLE TO ARRANGE A ONE WEEK VISIT, PREFERABLY THE WEEK OF MAY 13-OR ALTERNATIVELY THE WEEK OF MAY 20. HE ASKED THAT THE USG PROPOSE A SCHEDULE OF BRIEFINGS AND DISCUSSIONS WHICH WOULD PROVIDE HIS GROUP WITH A THOROUGH UNDERSTANDING OF THE SDI RESEARCH EFFORT. HE UNDERSTOOD THAT THE TYPE OF INFORMATION THEY SOUGHT WAS LARGELY AVAILABLE AT THE SDI OFFICE (SDIO) IN WASHINGTON, BUT THAT HIS GROUP WAS PREPARED TO VISIT RESEARCH INSTITUTES IF SDIO SO ADVISED. AS TO SPECIFIC APPOINTMENT REQUESTS, KLIPPENBERG SAID THAT HE HAD BEEN ADVISED THAT THE SDIO'S CHIEF SCIENTIST DR. JONAS, WOULD BE A PRINCIPAL CONTACT FOR SUCH A STUDY, BUT THAT HE WISHED TO ELICIT THE USG'S SUGGESTIONS. KLIPPENBERG SAID THAT HE AND HIS COLLEAGUES WOULD ALL HAVE TOP SECRET CLEARANCES AND HE ASKED THAT THE BRIEFINGS AND DISCUSSIONS BE CONDUCTED AT THAT CLASSIFICATION.

7. COMMENT: THIS STUDY PROVIDES US AN IMPORTANT OPPORTUNITY TO INFLUENCE GON AND NORWEGIAN VIEWS ON SDI. AS STUDY IS AN INITIATIVE OF WILLOCH'S IT IS IMPORTANT THAT WE DO WHAT WE CAN TO ASSIST. KLIPPENBERG IS EXTREMELY WELL QUALIFIED FOR THIS JOB. HE HAS EXCELLENT WORKING RELATIONS WITH MANY ELEMENTS IN DOD.

8. EMBASSY WOULD APPRECIATE:

- A) CONFIRMATION THAT USG CAN ASSIST WITH ARRANGEMENTS
- AND AN INDICATION OF WHETHER A VISIT IS POSSIBLE
- THE WEEK OF MAY 13 OR ALTERNATIVELY THE WEEK OF MAY 20.
- B) ANY SUGGESTIONS SDIO MAY HAVE CONCERNING A PROGRAM
- AND SPECIFICALLY THE ADVISABILITY OF VISITS TO RESEARCH CENTERS OUTSIDE WASHINGTON WHICH MIGHT AFFECT THE TIME REQUIRED FOR THE VISIT, AND
- C) COMMENTS ON THE CLASSIFICATION LEVEL FOR THE BRIEFINGS AND ANY SPECIFIC CLEARANCES THE EMBASSY NEEDS TO CONFIRM IN ADVANCE.

STUART

DECLASSIFIED

NLRR M443/1 #139854

BY fw NARA DATE 11/3/18

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~



FORSVARETS HØGSKOLEFORENING/STUDIEUTVALGET

Alumni Association of the Norwegian National Defence College/Study Committee

0015 Oslo Mil/Akershus, Oslo 1

Oslo, 22.03.85

Dear Mr. Raymond,

We look forward to seeing you at our conference "Spotlight on the Soviet Union" in Norway 25th-27th April.

We expect you to arrive in Oslo some time on the 24th April, probably by air to Oslo Airport Fornebu. You are advised to choose a flight arriving at the airport no later than 1500 hrs. From the airport there is a special SAS bus service running every 20 minutes to the Scandinavia Hotel in Oslo, or you may take a taxi.

If you call at the reception desk in the hotel, there will be members of the Alumni Association of the National Defence College present to take care of you from there. Two hotel rooms will be available for freshening up after the journey, and for depositing luggage during the day. If you arrive early, and should wish to get settled at the conference center as soon as possible, we have two limousines on call to take you up there. Otherwise there is a special bus transport leaving the Scandinavia Hotel at 1700 hrs, arriving at Sundvolden Hotel and Conference Center at about 1815 hrs.

If your arrival in Oslo for some reason should be delayed, and you cannot make the collective bus transport from the Scandinavia Hotel at 1700 hrs, you are advised to take a taxi from the airport directly to the Sundvolden Hotel, a trip of about 45 minutes. (The telephone number to this hotel is 067 - 39140).

Enclosed you will find an advanced copy of the final programme, which is now being printed, a Memorandum from the Boston Foreign Affairs Group on the Expense Reimbursement, and a form for stating your expenses.

Again, we wish you welcome to the conference and to Norway.

Yours sincerely,

Ivar Frøystad
Brigadier/Conference Secretary

PROGRAMME

APRIL 25. THE THEME FOR THE DAY:

THE SOVIET STATE AND ITS POWER PROJECTION.

1000 hrs: INTRODUCTION, by Professor O.G. LUNDE, Chairman of the Study Committee of the Norwegian National Defence College.

THE SOVIET GLOBAL STRATEGY, by Professor HERBERT J. ELLISON, The Kennan Institute for Advanced Russian Studies, Wilson Center, Washington D.C.

THE WEAKNESS AND STRENGTH OF THE SOVIET STATE, by Professor ALAIN BESANÇON, Sorbonne University, France.

1200-1330

- LUNCH -

THE MILITARY INSTRUMENT IN SOVIET FOREIGN POLICY, by Dr. HANNES ADOMEIT, Stiftung Wissenschaft und Politik, Ebenhausen, Isarthal, W.Germany.

THE SOVIET MILITARY ESTABLISHMENT, by Mr. CHRIS DONNELLY, the Soviet Study Research Centre, Royal Military Academy, Sandhurst.

APRIL 26.

0900 hrs: Introduction to Soviet Lexicon by Mr. PHILLIP A. PETERSEN, Office of the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Policy, U.S. Department of Defense.

SOVIET FRONT OFFENSIVE OPERATIONS, by Lt.Col. JOHN G. HINES, Office of the Secretary of Defense, U.S. Department of Defense.

Discussants: Lt.Gen. A.Granviken(Airforce)
H.Q.Defence Command,Oslo.
Maj.Gen. G.Helseth(Army)
AFNORTH.

- LUNCH -

THE ROLE OF DEFENCE IN NORWEGIAN DOMESTIC POLICY, by Professor HENRY VALEN, Norwegian Institute for Social Sciences.

Discussants: Ms. Grethe Varnø,
Member of Norwegian
Parliament.
Mr. John Wood,
Oxford Analytica.
Mr. Richard Bissell,
The Washington Quarterly.

APRIL 27.

0900 hrs: THE ROLE OF NEUTRALS IN SOVIET STRATEGY,
by Mr. HARALD HAMRIN, Correspondent for
"Dagens Nyheter", Stockholm, Sweden.

FINNISH SECURITY POLICY, by Dr.
PAULI JÄRVENPÄÄ, Finnish Ministry of
Defence, Helsinki, Finland.

Discussants: Mr. Kenneth Meyers,
Committee on Foreign relations,
United States Senate.

Mr. Arne Brundtland,
Norwegian Institute for
International Affairs.

Dr. John Yurechko,
Defense Intelligence Agency,
Washington, D.C.

- LUNCH -

**** PLEASE ATTACH ORIGINAL PASSANGER RECEIPT OF AIRLINE TICKET TO THIS FORM ****

THE BOSTON FOREIGN AFFAIRS GROUP

EXPENSE REPORT

NAME (PLEASE PRINT) _____

COMPANY (PLEASE PRINT) _____

EXPENDITURES

DATE:							
ITEMS	SUNDAY	MONDAY	TUESDAY	WEDNESDAY	THURSDAY	FRIDAY	SATURDAY
ROOM *							
BREAKFAST							
LUNCH							
DINNER							
PARKING							
AIR TRAVEL							
AUTO HIRE							
TOLLS							
TAXIS							
MISCELLANEOUS							
TOTAL:							

TOTAL: _____

BE SURE TO INCLUDE ALL RECEIPTS

* DOES NOT INCLUDE TELEPHONE CALLS.

** MUST HAVE RECEIPT FOR ALL EXPENSES OF \$25.00 OR MORE.

SIGNATURE

THE BOSTON FOREIGN AFFAIRS GROUP

111 SOUTH BEDFORD STREET
SUITE 101

BURLINGTON, MA 01803

TELEPHONE (617) 273-1964

M E M O R A N D U M

TO: Conference Participants of the Closed Session

FROM: Dr. Harold Rosenbaum
The Boston Foreign Affairs Group

SUBJ: Expense Reimbursement

DATE: 19 February 1985

Attached is an expense report form to be used in recording expenses, paid for by you, during your travel to Norway to attend our joint conference on "Soviet State and Its Power Projection."

It is important that you attach all expense receipts to this form. Please be advised that reimbursement does not apply to the following items:

- telephone calls
- alcoholic beverages
- any expense of \$25.00 or more not accompanied by a receipt.

Please submit form to:

Dr. Harold Rosenbaum,
The Boston Foreign Affairs Group,
111 South Bedford Street,
Suite 101,
Burlington, MA 01803,
U.S.A.

If you have any questions, I will be glad to answer them for you at the conference.

Thank you for your cooperation.



Dr. Harold Rosenbaum

19
129857

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
7-
NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
SECRETARIAT

PAGE 01 ANKARA 3218 DTG: 031454Z APR 85 PSN: 007365
EOB285 AN000138 TOR: 093/1456Z CSN: HCE451

DISTRIBUTION: STEJ-01 KRAM-01 MALY-01 SOMM-01 LINH-01 MAT-01
WOOD-01 COBB-01 /000 A2

WHTS ASSIGNED DISTRIBUTION:
SIT:
EOB:

APRIL 25-27, TASAN WISHES TO SEND HIS ASSISTANT (AND NEPHEW) CEMAL TASAN. THE YOUNGER TASAN, AN ENGINEER, IS WRITING A BOOK ON NUCLEAR WARFARE. AS HE WILL BE CHARGED WITH HANDLING THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASPECTS OF THE CONFERENCE IN TURKEY, A CHANCE TO OBSERVE THE APRIL OSLO CONFERENCE WOULD BE MOST USEFUL. SINCE THE CONFERENCE IN TURKEY WILL BE UNOFFICIAL, TASAN REPORTS, TGS DOES NOT BELIEVE IT SHOULD BE REPRESENTED IN OSLO. TASAN SUGGESTS INSTEAD THAT WE APPROACH THE MFA (WHERE WE HAVE ALREADY MADE OVER-TURES) ABOUT THEIR POSSIBLE PARTICIPATION. DOUG ARCHARD WILL REPRESENT THE EMBASSY.

OP IMMED
UTS8161
DE RUEHAK #3218 #931455
O #31454Z APR 85
FM AMEMBASSY ANKARA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 3313

INFO SECSTATE WASHDC 3314
AMEMBASSY OSLO #962

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~ ANKARA #3218

SECDEF FOR DUSD (P) (S) PETERSEN

E.O. 12356: DECL: OADR
TAGS: PREL, NATO, TU, NO
SUBJECT: DOD-FUNDED CONFERENCES ON SOVIET STRATEGY:
NORWAY AND TURKEY

REFS: (A) SECDEF MSG 122143Z OCT '84
(B) ARCHARD/PETERSEN TELCONS

1. (C) ENTIRE TEXT.

2. TURKISH AUTHORITIES HAVE APPROVED THE IDEA OF A NATO-RELATED CONFERENCE TO BE HELD IN TURKEY NEXT FALL, ACCORDING TO SEYFI TASAN, HEAD OF THE TURKISH FOREIGN POLICY INSTITUTE. TASAN TOLD EMBASSY POL/MIL FIRST SECRETARY DOUG ARCHARD APRIL 2 THAT THE TURKISH GENERAL STAFF HAD OFFICIALLY ASKED HIM TO ORGANIZE THE CONFERENCE. TASAN SAID TGS WOULD SOON BE CONVEYING THE SAME MESSAGE THROUGH JUSMMAT.

3. TASAN'S CONCEPT IS A NON-OFFICIAL CONFERENCE ON THE THEME - SECURITY IN THE EASTERN MEDITERRANEAN: THE NATO PERSPECTIVE. THE THREE-DAY CONFERENCE WOULD INCLUDE A FULL DAY DEDICATED TO THE ISSUE OF SOVIET MILITARY STRATEGY AGAINST NATO. ABOUT FIFTY PARTICIPANTS WOULD BE INVITED, ABOUT HALF TURKS, THE OTHERS FROM ABROAD. TASAN PLANS TO INVITE A FEW U.S. CONGRESSMEN TO PARTICIPATE AS WELL. HIS PREFERRED DATES ARE NOVEMBER 12-14 AS HE AND OTHER RELEVANT TURKS HAVE FULL SCHEDULES PRIOR TO THEM.

4. THE FOCUS OF THE OVERALL CONFERENCE AS TASAN PROPOSES IT MAY BE SOMEWHAT DIFFERENT FROM OTHERS IN THE SERIES. WE DOUBT THERE IS MUCH FLEXIBILITY IN HIS POSITION, THOUGH AS IT PROBABLY WAS ARRIVED AT WITH GUIDANCE FROM TGS AND MFA. HENCE, WE BELIEVE THAT HIS APPROACH MAY BE THE BEST WAY TO ACHIEVE THE DESIRED END.

5. REGARDING ANKARA OBSERVERS AT THE OSLO CONFERENCE

6. COMMENT: INCLUSION OF THE CONGRESSMEN, IF THEY CAN ATTEND, STRIKES US AS WELCOME FOR A NUMBER OF REASONS; INTER ALIA, THIS ACCORDS CLEARLY WITH THE GOT'S POLICY OF FINDING WAYS TO ATTRACT HILL ATTENTION TOWARD TURKISH AFFAIRS.

7. FOR DOD: WOULD APPRECIATE ANY COMMENTS WHICH YOU HAVE ON THE ABOVE. WE WILL NEED FISCAL DATA IN ORDER TO ARRANGE ARCHARD'S TRAVEL TO OSLO, ALONG WITH DETAILS OF HOW CONTRACTOR WILL ARRANGE FOR TRAVEL OF CEMAL TASAN AND, POSSIBLY, ANOTHER TURKISH REPRESENTATIVE. TRINKA
BT

DECLASSIFIED

NLRR M443(1) #139857
BY RW NARA DATE 1/3/88

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

139 052 AD
DOD Conference.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
SECRETARIAT

PAGE 01 ANKARA 3218 DTG: 031454Z APR 85 PSN: 008898
EOB941 AN008584 TOR: 094/0713Z CSN: HCE714

DISTRIBUTION: STEI-01 KRAM-01 MALY-01 SOMM-01 LINH-01 MAT-01
WOOD-01 COBB-01 /008 A2

WHTS ASSIGNED DISTRIBUTION:
SIT:
EOB:

OP IMMED
STU0778
DE RUEHAK #3218 0931455
O 031454Z APR 85
FM AMEMBASSY ANKARA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 3313

INFO SECDEF WASHDC
AMEMBASSY OSLO 0962

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~ ANKARA 03218

CORRECTED COPY (ADDING SECDEF AS ADDEE)

SECDEF FOR DUSD (P) (S) PETERSEN

E.O. 12356: DECL: OADR
TAGS: PREL, NATO, TU, NO
SUBJECT: DOD-FUNDED CONFERENCES ON SOVIET STRATEGY:
NORWAY AND TURKEY

REFS: (A) SECDEF MSG 122143Z OCT '84
(B) ARCHARD/PETERSEN TELCONS

1. (C) ENTIRE TEXT.

2. TURKISH AUTHORITIES HAVE APPROVED THE IDEA OF A NATO-RELATED CONFERENCE TO BE HELD IN TURKEY NEXT FALL, ACCORDING TO SEYFI TASAN, HEAD OF THE TURKISH FOREIGN POLICY INSTITUTE. TASAN TOLD EMBASSY POL/MIL FIRST SECRETARY DOUG ARCHARD APRIL 2 THAT THE TURKISH GENERAL STAFF HAD OFFICIALLY ASKED HIM TO ORGANIZE THE CONFERENCE. TASAN SAID TGS WOULD SOON BE CONVEYING THE SAME MESSAGE THROUGH JUSMIA.

3. TASAN'S CONCEPT IS A NON-OFFICIAL CONFERENCE ON THE THEME - SECURITY IN THE EASTERN MEDITERRANEAN: THE NATO PERSPECTIVE. THE THREE-DAY CONFERENCE WOULD INCLUDE A FULL DAY DEDICATED TO THE ISSUE OF SOVIET MILITARY STRATEGY AGAINST NATO. ABOUT FIFTY PARTICIPANTS WOULD BE INVITED, ABOUT HALF TURKS, THE OTHERS FROM ABROAD. TASAN PLANS TO INVITE A FEW U.S. CONGRESSMEN TO PARTICIPATE AS WELL. HIS PREFERRED DATES ARE NOVEMBER 12-14 AS HE AND OTHER RELEVANT TURKS HAVE FULL SCHEDULES PRIOR TO THEN.

4. THE FOCUS OF THE OVERALL CONFERENCE AS TASAN PROPOSES IT MAY BE SOMEWHAT DIFFERENT FROM OTHERS IN THE SERIES. WE DOUBT THERE IS MUCH FLEXIBILITY IN HIS POSITION, THOUGH AS IT PROBABLY WAS ARRIVED AT WITH GUIDANCE FROM TGS AND MFA. HENCE, WE BELIEVE THAT HIS APPROACH MAY BE THE BEST WAY TO ACHIEVE THE DESIRED END.

5. REGARDING ANKARA OBSERVERS AT THE OSLO CONFERENCE APRIL 25-27, TASAN WISHES TO SEND HIS ASSISTANT (AND NEPHEW) CEMAL TASAN. THE YOUNGER TASAN, AN ENGINEER, IS WRITING A BOOK ON NUCLEAR WARFARE. AS HE WILL BE CHARGED WITH HANDLING THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASPECTS OF THE CONFERENCE IN TURKEY, A CHANCE TO OBSERVE THE APRIL OSLO CONFERENCE WOULD BE MOST USEFUL. SINCE THE CONFERENCE IN TURKEY WILL BE UNOFFICIAL, TASAN REPORTS, TGS DOES NOT BELIEVE IT SHOULD BE REPRESENTED IN OSLO. TASAN SUGGESTS INSTEAD THAT WE APPROACH THE MFA (WHERE WE HAVE ALREADY MADE OVER-TURES) ABOUT THEIR POSSIBLE PARTICIPATION. DOUG ARCHARD WILL REPRESENT THE EMBASSY.

6. COMMENT: INCLUSION OF THE CONGRESSMEN, IF THEY CAN ATTEND, STRIKES US AS WELCOME FOR A NUMBER OF REASONS; INTER ALIA, THIS ACCORDS CLEARLY WITH THE GOT'S POLICY OF FINDING WAYS TO ATTRACT HILL ATTENTION TOWARD TURKISH AFFAIRS.

7. FOR DOD: WOULD APPRECIATE ANY COMMENTS WHICH YOU HAVE ON THE ABOVE. WE WILL NEED FISCAL DATA IN ORDER TO ARRANGE ARCHARD'S TRAVEL TO OSLO, ALONG WITH DETAILS OF HOW CONTRACTOR WILL ARRANGE FOR TRAVEL OF CEMAL TASAN AND, POSSIBLY, ANOTHER TURKISH REPRESENTATIVE. TRINKA
BT

DECLASSIFIED

NLRRM4431/#139858

BY

NARA DATE 1/3/18

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

WITHDRAWAL SHEET

Ronald Reagan Library

Collection Name

RAYMOND, WALTER: FILES

Withdrawer

CAS 7/16/2012

File Folder

DOD (PUBLIC DIPLOMACY FUNDING) (01/01/1985-06/30/1985)

FOIA

M11-443
HAENNI

Box Number

4

25

<i>ID</i>	<i>Document Type</i> <i>Document Description</i>	<i>No of</i> <i>pages</i>	<i>Doc Date</i>	<i>Restric-</i> <i>tions</i>
-----------	---	------------------------------	-----------------	---------------------------------

139859 MEMO

5

ND

B1

RAYMOND WARREN TO UNDER SECRETARY OF
DEFENSE FOR POLICY RE POLICY SUPPORT
PROGRAMS - ACTIVITY UPDATE

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]

B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]

B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]

B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]

B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]

B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]

B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]

B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

22



POLICY

OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301

29 NOV 1984

MEMORANDUM FOR UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

THROUGH: Deputy Under Secretary of Defense

SUBJECT: Policy Support Programs: Present and Future

It has been approximately eighteen months since OSD began its public diplomacy efforts. The purpose of this memorandum is to summarize the present activities of this office, to provide a preliminary assessment of its past public diplomacy role, and to outline our plans for the coming year. Present activities fall under five broad categories:

- Research conferences
- Research without conferences
- Publications
- Audiovisual projects
- Interagency coordination

The primary focus of this office has been on staging a series of conferences on defense-related subjects. Thirteen conferences have been held so far this year in nine different locales -- Tokyo (3), London (2), Paris, Bonn, Rome, Copenhagen, San Jose, Santo Domingo, Monterey, and Urbana-Champaign. These conferences have generally focused on Soviet strategy and on enhancing deterrence. The themes of the two conferences held in the United States were: "Soviet/Cuban Strategy in the Caribbean after Grenada", and the "Indian/Pakistan Relationship and its Impact on U.S. Defense Policies in South Asia."

The "research without conferences" category has consisted of two efforts: one by Oxford Analytica Ltd to research how best to conduct a conference program in Europe, and the other a small contract awarded to Admiral Vasey's Pacific Forum to survey the Pacific Basin for the same goal. Both efforts are being used extensively to structure DoD Public Diplomacy programs.

The third major area of activity involves publications. We have prepared, published, distributed, and financed the following publications:

- Grenada Documents: An Overview and Selection. 10,000 copies were printed at the cost of \$42,485. The overview was prepared by Michael Ledeen and Herbert Romerstein and the book contains copies of 113 documents captured at the time of the Grenada operation.
- News Briefing on Intelligence Information and External Support of the Guerrillas in El Salvador. 10,000 copies were printed

at the cost of \$17,000. This publication was based on a news briefing given by Thomas R. Pickering, U.S. Ambassador to El Salvador, and General Paul F. Gorman, Commander-in-Chief, U.S. Southern Command.

- Background Paper: Nicaragua's Military Build-up and Support for Central American Subversion. 16,000 copies were printed at a cost of \$18,000. This publication documented Nicaragua's military build-up and its support for insurgency in Central America.
- Grenada: October 25 to November 2, 1983. Approximately 5,000 copies were printed in coordination with DIA and ASD/PA. This publication was produced in connection with the display of captured armaments and ammunition at Andrews Air Force Base in November 1983.

In three of these four publications, DoD teamed up with the Department of State to sponsor and produce them. USIA translated the Background Paper on Nicaragua and the Gorman/Pickering News Briefing into Spanish and is distributing them overseas. In addition to these four documents, a fifth publication entitled Soviet Power Projection in Central America and the Caribbean is almost ready for publication. Through these publications we have developed an interagency mechanism that can be used as needed to produce and distribute future publications in support of U.S. defense policy.

Audiovisual support has been found to be essential for a successful program in Latin America. There, TV stations are anxious to play videotapes of our conferences and the U.S. embassies have found the idea attractive. In addition to the videotaping of our conferences, we are preparing a 20-minute film entitled "El Salvador: A Nation Under Siege." This effort is in support of General Gorman's need for an orientation film to be shown to newly arrived military personnel, visiting CODELS, and other appropriate groups. Unfortunately, we have been unsuccessful in getting these efforts completed on a timely basis. We are working closely with Defense Audiovisual Agency to forecast future needs so that funding and dedicated support for our audiovisual efforts will be readily available.

The final aspect of our work deals with interagency planning and coordination of the administration's public diplomacy program. A number of interagency mechanisms have been set up for this purpose. Those that meet most often are: the Executive Committee, the International Broadcasting Committee, the Interagency Working Group on Public Diplomacy for Latin America and the Caribbean, and the Soviet Political Action Group. Through these mechanisms, a more coherent, effective approach to major public diplomacy problems is being developed and implemented. The latest example was the public diplomacy action plan carried out in connection with the first anniversary of the Grenada Operation.

The following is a summary of our accomplishments:

- We have demonstrated to the Washington bureaucracy and to our embassies abroad that DoD can stage a conference in almost any part of the world without adverse political fallout. We have demonstrated to our embassies that we can help them achieve their local goals.

- NA
- We have strengthened DoD's ties with defense specialists in academia, think tanks, and the media through our serious, responsible, and scholarly treatment of defense issues.
 - We have deepened the public debate on Soviet strategy by declassifying important intelligence items.
 - We have contributed to the strengthening of a consensus on the Soviet threat, what to do about it, and especially the need to enhance the credibility of our deterrence at the conventional level.

To enhance the impact of our conference efforts, we need to follow up with foreign contacts in a variety of ways to promote successful networking. This is where the pay-off lies for public diplomacy but it requires effort and manpower. We feel this to be an important portion of our program, but we are not doing a good enough job at it. We cannot contract this function outside of the Pentagon -- foreigners want to talk to OSD people.

Our conference efforts would have had greater resonance if full USIA support had been available from the beginning. The conference in Santo Domingo was our best example of how good support can benefit our program. USIA has now committed itself to providing this support and has named Ivan Klecka as our point of contact. We have a similar arrangement at State with Gil Robinson, but find our best results with State come from personally visiting the various embassies where we need local support.

Our biggest headache has been in inadequate office administration. We need an administrative assistant and two clerk typists to deal with our workload.

Attached at Tab A are the CY 84 and CY 85 programs already funded. Tab B contains the proposed studies with and without conferences for FY 85 funding. Request your comments on the proposed conferences at Tab B. This memo has been coordinated with ISA and ISP (Tab C). The conferences planned for Algeria, Morocco, and Tunisia are subject to the outcome of an NSDD currently being prepared on this area.

Raymond A. Warren
Director
Policy Support Programs

Attachments:
a/s

Approved _____

Disapproved _____

Comments _____

18

B

42

c

WITHDRAWAL SHEET

Ronald Reagan Library

Collection Name

RAYMOND, WALTER: FILES

Withdrawer

CAS 7/16/2012

File Folder

DOD (PUBLIC DIPLOMACY FUNDING) (01/01/1985-06/30/1985)

FOIA

M11-443

HAENNI

Box Number

4

25

<i>ID</i>	<i>Document Type</i>	<i>No of</i>	<i>Doc Date</i>	<i>Restric-</i>
	<i>Document Description</i>	<i>pages</i>		<i>tions</i>

139861 MEMO

1 6/7/1985 B1

ROBERT MCFARLANE TO SEC SHULTZ ET AL RE
PUBLIC DIPLOMACY ON CENTRAL AMERICA

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]

B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]

B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]

B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]

B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]

B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]

B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]

B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

United States
Information
Service

Embassy of the United States of America

2215 - Embassy of the United States of America

USIA

April 16, 1985

Professor Jaime Suchlicki
Director, Institute of Interamerican
Studies
Graduate School of International Studies
University of Miami
Coral Gables, FL 33124


Dear Jaime:

Enclosed are all of the press clippings for the seminar and the bill for transportation per instructions. I hope that you, and all concerned, were pleased with the seminar. I thought that it went off without a hitch and was most successful. The media coverage certainly was excellent and gave us access to an audience of several million beyond the parameters of the conference itself. Maybe that's the most important target after all.

Hope to see you at the Miami conference.

Best regards.

Sincerely,



Marilyn McAfee
Counselor of Embassy
for Public Affairs

Enclosures

34

D

Douglas Eden BA MSc(Econ)

MAY 22 1985

20. Shepherds Hill,
Highgate,
London N6 5AH.
01-340 1802

16th May 1985

Mr Harold Rosenbaum
President
The Boston Foreign Affairs Group
111 South Bedford Street
Suite 101
Burlington, MA 01803
USA

Dear Hal,

I gave some of the papers from the Oslo Conference to David Owen, Leader of the Social Democratic Party. I thought you might be interested to see the reception thusfar and find the enclosed letter useful (though I know you will treat it with discretion). Phil might also be pleased to know he is being read favourably by at least one senior British politician.

SDDD, by the way, is Social Democrats for Defence and Disarmament, of which I am Vice-Chairman. David is the main speaker at our conference meeting this Saturday and will be making a pretty tough contribution. I shall be on the platform and Bill Rodgers will be in the Chair.

Regards to all,

Best wishes,

Douglas.

enc.

14

THE RT HON DR DAVID OWEN MP



HOUSE OF COMMONS
LONDON SW1A 0AA

13 May 1985

Douglas Eden Esq
20 Shepherds Hill
Highgate
London N6 5AH

Dear Douglas

Many thanks for your letter and the helpful information. I do not read this sort of stuff enough I fear. I have read Petersen's paper and will try and read the rest.

See you at the SDDD meeting.

Yours sincerely

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'D Owen', with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

David Owen

151

E

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
JCS MESSAGE CENTER

ROUTINE
R 311407Z MAY 85
FM AMEMBASSY OSLO
TO SECDEF WASHDC
INFO SECSTATE WASHDC 2618

ZYUW RUDKSNQ3038 1511519

USMISSION USMATO 4982

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~ OSLO 03038

E.O. 12356: DECL: MAY 30, 1995
TAGS: PREL, MARR, NO
SUBJECT: DOD CONFERENCE IN NORWAY

1. (U) EMBASSY WISHES TO COMMEND THE DOD-SUPPORTED STUDY CONFERENCE CONCERNING THE SOVIET STRATEGY AGAINST NATO HELD OUTSIDE OSLO DURING MAY 25-27.

2. (U) THE CONFERENCE, ENTITLED "SPOTLIGHT ON THE SOVIET UNION," WAS CO-SPONSORED BY THE BOSTON FOREIGN AFFAIRS GROUP AND THE NORWEGIAN DEFENSE COLLEGE ALUMNI ASSOCIATION (NDCAA). IT WAS DIVIDED INTO TWO PORTIONS: THE FIRST, PUBLICLY OPEN, PORTION OF THE CONFERENCE FEATURED FOUR SEPARATE PAPERS (BY AMERICAN, BRITISH, FRENCH, AND GERMAN CONTRIBUTORS) ON VARIOUS ASPECTS OF SOVIET POLICY PRESENTED TO A GROUP OF APPROXIMATELY 150 PARTICIPANTS. THE SECOND, RESTRICTED, PORTION INVOLVED THE PRESENTATION OF RESEARCH PAPERS TO AND AN EXCHANGE OF VIEWS BETWEEN SIXTY SELECTED PARTICIPANTS ON VARIOUS SUBJECTS RELATED TO SOVIET POLICY IN THE NORDIC AREA.

3. (U) THIS CONFERENCE PROVED EXTREMELY USEFUL FROM A VARIETY OF PERSPECTIVES: BOTH PORTIONS OF THE CONFERENCE OCCASIONED THOUGHTFUL AND STIMULATING EXCHANGES ON SOVIET POLICY. THE CONFERENCE INDIRECTLY GENERATED MATERIALS WHICH WILL CONTRIBUTE TO MORE REALISTIC PUBLIC AWARENESS OF SOVIET STRATEGY AGAINST NATO. THE ORGANIZATION OF THE CONFERENCE CREATED USEFUL INSTITUTIONAL CONTACTS WHICH ARE WORTH PURSUING FURTHER.

4. (C) THE INCLUSION OF PARTICIPANTS FROM OTHER EUROPEAN NATO COUNTRIES (IN THE OPEN PORTION OF THE CONFERENCE) ADDED A HELPFUL EUROPEAN PERSPECTIVE TO THE DISCUSSION. THE DECISION TO ARRANGE PAPERS BY A SWEDEN AND A FINN IN THE RESTRICTED PORTION ENSURED THAT AN IMPORTANT ASPECT OF SOVIET POLICY IN THE NORDIC AREA (I.E., THE ROLE OF NEUTRALS) WAS ADDRESSED. BY BRINGING IN OTHER EUROPEANS AND NORDICS, THE CONFERENCE WAS PLACED IN A BROADER ALLIANCE AND EUROPEAN CONTEXT WHICH NORWEGIANS WERE COMFORTABLE WITH AND WHICH AVOIDED THE PRESENTATIONAL PITFALL OF PLACING "NORWAY BETWEEN THE SUPER POWERS."

5. (C) THE CONFERENCE'S SEARCH FOR REALISTIC ASSESSMENTS OF THE SOVIET UNION HAS HAD A CERTAIN IMPACT ON WIDER NORWEGIAN AUDIENCES. THE PUBLICLY OPEN SESSION PRODUCED SEVERAL FACTUAL REPORTS ON THE CONFERENCE IN NEWSPAPERS FROM ACROSS THE NORWEGIAN POLITICAL SPECTRUM. SEVERAL OF THE PARTICIPANTS SUBSEQUENTLY WROTE ARTICLES ON ONE OR ANOTHER ISSUE DISCUSSED AT THE CONFERENCE. THERE HAS ALSO BEEN A SERIES OF MEDIA ARTICLES ON THE RELATIVE LACK OF SERIOUS SOVIET STUDIES IN NORWAY AND THE NEED TO DEVELOP UNIVERSITY SOVIET STUDY PROGRAMS THAT COVER CONTEMPORARY POLICY ISSUES AND NOT JUST SLAVIC CULTURAL. FINALLY, THE NORWEGIAN CO-HOSTS PLAN TO PUBLISH THE CONFERENCE PAPERS IN NORWAY AS QUICKLY AS IS POSSIBLE. THIS PUBLIC EXPOSURE CONTRIBUTES TO THE GENERAL US INTEREST IN ENCOURAGING REALISTIC NORWEGIAN ATTITUDES TOWARD THE SOVIET UNION.

6. (C) THE ORGANIZERS OF THE CONFERENCE IN DOD AND

ACTION USDP(11)
INFO CMB QC(1) SECDEF:(1) SECDEF(9) ASD:PA&E(1)
USDP:EUR(6) USDP:DSAA(4) NMIC(1) DIO(1) DB-3B(2)
DIA(1)

(M)

THE BOSTON CONSULTING GROUP, PARTICULARLY PHIL PETERSON AND HAL ROSENBAUM, DESERVE GREAT CREDIT FOR THE THOUGHTFUL, SENSITIVE AND EFFICIENT FASHION IN WHICH THEY HANDLED THE PREPARATIONS AND CONDUCTED THE CONFERENCE. THEY ARRANGED THE CONFERENCE IN A WAY THAT SERVED THE US EFFECTIVELY, YET ACCOMMODATED THE NORWEGIAN CO-HOSTS' INTERESTS AND CONCERNS. THE NORWEGIAN CO-HOSTS HAVE GONE OUT OF THEIR WAY TO LAUD THEIR CONTRIBUTIONS.

7. (U) THE ORGANIZATIONAL CONTACTS (AT THE DOD-MOD LEVEL AND BETWEEN THE TWO CO-HOSTS) WHICH WERE ESTABLISHED IN ARRANGING THE CONFERENCE SHOULD BE CONTINUED. MOD WAS SUFFICIENTLY IMPRESSED BY SEVERAL OF THE DOD PARTICIPANTS THAT THEY ARE IN THE PROCESS OF PROPOSING FOLLOW-ON DISCUSSIONS LATER THIS YEAR ON TOPICS DISCUSSED AT THE CONFERENCE. THE COOPERATION WE HAVE ESTABLISHED WITH THE NDCAA IS AN ASSET FOR THE FUTURE.

8. (C) THE ENCOURAGEMENT OF REALISTIC ATTITUDES TOWARD THE SOVIET UNION IS A CONTINUING CHALLENGE. THIS CONFERENCE WAS A SUCCESS. THE EMBASSY WOULD RECOMMEND ARRANGING ANOTHER SIMILAR CONFERENCE IN TWO TO THREE YEARS' TIME. STUART BT

DECLASSIFIED

NLRR M443/1 #139862

BY PW NARA DATE 11/3/88

MCN=85151/04521

TOR=85151/1600Z

TAD=85151/1639Z

CDSN=MAK411

PAGE 1 OF 1
311407Z MAY 85

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

CONFIDENTIAL

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
JCS MESSAGE CENTER

PRIORITY
P 172144Z APR 85
FM AMEMBASSY CARACAS
TO USIA WASHDC PRIORITY 5594
SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 6055

ZYUW RUEHCVA3687 1072144

AUDIENCE ESTIMATED AT SEVERAL MILLION, TO CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS.

CONFIDENTIAL CARACAS 03687

USIA FOR AR

SECDEF FOR OSD/DUSD(P)

SECSTATE FOR ARA/AND

E.O. 12356: DECL: OADR
SUBJECT: STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS FOR REGIONAL SECURITY
- CONFERENCE, CARACAS, APRIL 11-12, 1985

5. IN OUR VIEW, THE SEMINAR WAS VERY SUCCESSFUL, AS MUCH, AND IN THIS LARGE, BUSY COUNTRY EVEN MORE SO, FROM THE MEDIA REPORTS AND COVERAGE AS FROM THE EVENT ITSELF. IAEDEN WAS THE PERFECT CO-SPONSOR AND, IN THE CASE OF VENEZUELA WAS AN ABSOLUTELY ESSENTIAL ELEMENT IN THE SUCCESS OF THE CONFERENCE. IAEDEN, AND THE PRESTIGE OF VENEZUELA'S MILITARY WHICH IT REPRESENTS, GAVE THE CONFERENCE A LEGITIMACY AND A HIGH LEVEL DRAW WHICH NO OTHER INSTITUTION COULD HAVE MADE POSSIBLE TO SUCH AN UNDERTAKING. THE IAEDEN CO-SPONSORS WERE DELIGHTED WITH THE CONFERENCE AND WITH THE INSTITUTIONAL PRESTIGE WHICH SO MANY DISTINGUISHED PARTICIPANTS PROVIDED FOR THEM. MCAFFEE BT

1. SUMMARY: THE CARACAS APRIL 11-12 DOD CONFERENCE ON STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS FOR REGIONAL SECURITY CO-SPONSORED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI AND THE VENEZUELAN NATIONAL WAR COLLEGE DREW GOOD ATTENDANCE AND MAJOR MEDIA ATTENTION FOR ITS HIGH-LEVEL PARTICIPANTS. ALL CONCERNED CONSIDERED IT VERY SUCCESSFUL. END SUMMARY.

2. THE CARACAS DOD CONFERENCE ON "STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS FOR REGIONAL SECURITY" CO-SPONSORED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI AND THE VENEZUELAN NATIONAL WAR COLLEGE (IAEDEN) WAS HELD APRIL 11 AND 12. THE CONFERENCE BROUGHT TOGETHER A STAR-STUDDED CAST OF POLITICAL AND ACADEMIC LUMINARIES INCLUDING ARTURO CRUZ, GONZALO FACIO, ERNESTO RIVAS-GALLONT, GENERAL ALVARO VALENCIA TOVAR, DR. ARISTIDES CALVANI, LUIS AGUILAR, TONY MAINGOT, AND JUAN DEL AGUILA. CONFERENCE ATTENDANCE FILLED THE HALL AT IAEDEN, RUNNING 80-100 PERSONS PER SESSION, INTEREST WAS HIGH, AND PARTICIPANTS RANGED FROM THE MILITARY (THE LARGEST SINGLE ELEMENT) TO ACADEMICS TO THE MEDIA TO THIRD COUNTRY EMBASSY REPRESENTATIVES (THE PRC HAD TWO PRESENT) TO POLITICAL AND PRIVATE SECTOR FIGURES. THOSE IN ATTENDANCE HAD HIGH PRAISE FOR THE QUALITY OF THE PARTICIPANTS AND THEIR PRESENTATIONS.

3. LIAISON FOR THE CONFERENCE WAS ABLY PROVIDED BY THE DAO OFFICE WHICH EFFECTED THE INTERFACE WITH IAEDEN, THE VENEZUELAN CO-SPONSOR. LISTS OF POTENTIAL INVITEES TO THE CONFERENCE WERE PROVIDED BY USIS; ATTENDANCE INDICATED THAT THE USIS LIST HAD CLEARLY BEEN USED. THE DAO ALSO HOSTED A DINNER IN HONOR OF THE CONFERENCE PARTICIPANTS WHICH DREW A DISTINGUISHED GATHERING OF INFLUENTIAL VENEZUELEANS FROM THE MILITARY, THE MEDIA, GOVERNMENT AND POLITICS, THEREBY PROVIDING A MORE INFORMAL OPPORTUNITY FOR DISCUSSIONS OF REGIONAL AFFAIRS AND OPPORTUNITIES TO PLAN FURTHER COLLABORATION BETWEEN KEY VENEZUELEANS AND SEMINAR PARTICIPANTS.

4. USIS CARACAS ASSISTED THE CONFERENCE WITH MEDIA COVERAGE, WHICH WAS EXTENSIVE AND VERY POSITIVE. ARRANGEMENTS WERE MADE FOR TV INTERVIEWS OF FOUR OF THE PARTICIPANTS: NESTOR SANCHEZ, GONZALO FACIO, GENERAL VALENCIA, AND CONFERENCE ORGANIZER DR. JAIME SUCHLICKI (ARTURO CRUZ WAS NOT USED SINCE HE HAD RECENTLY BEEN IN CARACAS AND HAD APPEARED ON TELEVISION). THE TEXTS OF GENERAL VALENCIA TOVAR'S AND GONZALO FACIO'S PAPERS WERE GIVEN AS EXCLUSIVES TO TWO LEADING DAILIES, EL UNIVERSAL AND EL DIARIO DE CARACAS, RESPECTIVELY. ADDITIONAL ARTICLES ON THE SEMINAR APPEARED BOTH BEFORE, DURING AND AFTER THE SEMINAR. TELEVISION COVERED THE OPENING DINNER AS WELL AS SELECTED ELEMENTS OF THE CONFERENCE. MEDIA COVERAGE THEREBY GAVE STILL ANOTHER DIMENSION, AND AN

ACTION USDP(11) (M)
INFO CMB QC(1) USDEL IADB(1) JSOA(1) PMD-1(1) SECDEF:(1)
SECDEF(9) ASD:PA(1) USDP:ISA(1) USDP:IA(3)
USDP:DSAA(4) NMIC(1) DIO(1) DB-3C(2) DIA(1) DT-5(1)
+USCINCSO QUARRY HEIGHTS PW
+SAFE

Dep. of State Guidelines, July 21, 1987
BY CN NARA, DATE 7/16/12

MCN=85107/06420

TOR=85107/2148Z

TAD=85107/2151Z

CDSN=MAK588

PAGE 1 OF 1
172144Z APR 85

CONFIDENTIAL

* CONFIDENTIAL *

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
JCS MESSAGE CENTER

ROUTINE
Z 191649Z APR 85
FM AMEMBASSY LONDON
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 0414
INFO SECDEF WASHDC

ZYUW RUEHLDA8877 1091649

C O N F I D E N T I A L LONDON 08877

E.O. 12356: DFCL: OADR
TAGS: UK, UPRC
SUBJECT: DOD CONFERENCE WITH U.K. RESERVE OFFICERS

REFS: A1 STATE 167359, 120129Z JUN 84

1. CONFIDENTIAL - ENTIRE TEXT.

2. A DOD-SUPPORTED CONFERENCE, COSPONSORED BY THE U.K. RESERVE OFFICERS ASSOCIATION AND THE BRITISH ATLANTIC COMMITTEE WAS HELD ON 17 APRIL AT KINGS COLLEGE, LONDON. THE CONFERENCE WAS ATTENDED BY ABOUT 75 PARTICIPANTS, MOST OF WHOM WERE SENIOR RETIRED BRITISH OFFICERS IN INFLUENTIAL POSITIONS THROUGHOUT THE U.K.

3. PAPERS ON SOVIET MILITARY STRATEGY AND DOCTRINE WERE PRESENTED BY MR. CHRIS DONNELLY FROM SANDHURST AND MR. NUTRA TRULOCK OF SAI, DENVER. BOTH WERE WELL RECEIVED AND FOLLOWED BY AN ACTIVE QUESTION AND ANSWER PERIOD. MANY PARTICIPANTS EXPRESSED APPRECIATION FOR THE NEW INFORMATION PRESENTED AND NOTED THAT IT WOULD BE HELPFUL IN RESPONDING TO QUESTIONS FROM THE PUBLIC.

4. WHILE THE CONFERENCE WAS "PREACHING TO THE CHOIR," WE BELIEVE IT WAS USEFUL IN REINFORCING AN AWARENESS OF THE SOVIET MILITARY THREAT AND PROVIDING INFORMATION FOR THE PARTICIPANTS TO USE IN PUBLIC PRESENTATIONS. PRICE RT

ACTION

(U,6,7,8)

INFO CMB UC(*) SECDEF:(*) SECDEF(*) USDP(**)
ASD:MIL(*) USDP:EUR(*) USDP:DSAA(*) NMIC(*) DIO(*)
DIA(*)
+SAFE

TOTAL COPIES REQUIRED 0

MCN=85109/05820 TOR=85109/16517 TAD=85121/13542 CDSN=DIA285

***** PAGE 01 OF 01
* CONFIDENTIAL * 191649Z APR 85

DECLASSIFIED
Dept. of State Guidelines, July 21, 1997
BY CN NARA, DATE 7/16/12

22

F

The Royal Norwegian Ministry of Defence

State Secretary

Honourable Fred C Ikle
Under Secretary of Defense, Policy
The Pentagon
Washington D.C. 20301 **Dr. Ikle has seen**

Oslo, 24 May 1985


Dear colleague,

I would like to draw your attention to an event that took place in Norway about a month ago, a conference entitled "Spotlight on the Soviet Union" that focused on Soviet strategy. It was a joint American-Norwegian venture as part of a project instigated by your Policy Support Programs staff.

People in our Defence Establishment and others involved found the conference highly interesting and useful, mainly due to the contributions from DOD people, particularly Dr Phillip A Petersen and ltcol John G Hines.

We would certainly welcome cooperative projects of this kind also in the future.

Your sincerely,


Oddmund H Hammerstad



MAY 28 1985

Oslo, May 20, 1985.

Dear Harold,

Enclosed a corrected version of my manuscript. Sorry about the "dirty" copy - I have no secretary around who types English!

We are pushing forwards with our report - eagerly expecting the papers from you in June. We have been discussing a bit back and forth what kind of report to make - and particularly whether for your purpose - you would prefer us to include all the topics including the "opinion" part which thematically may fall somewhat outside of the main stream.

It was a "great conference" for us here,



and we all enjoyed very much
meeting with you and all our guests
from abroad.

Hopefully it was also useful for
our common cause.

Sincerely yours

Gertler Váner

Address:

Royal Norwegian Embassy

Strandvägen 113

Stockholm, Sweden.

25

G

ARTICLES

- 1) John G. Hines and Phillip A. Petersen, "Strategies of Soviet Warfare," The Wall Street Journal, January 7, 1983, p. 16. Reprinted in Current News, Main Edition, Department of Defense, January 7, 1983.
- 2) John G. Hines and Phillip A. Petersen, "The Warsaw Pact Strategic Offensive--the OMG in Context," International Defense Review, Volume 16, No. 10, 1983. Reprinted in Supplemental Clips, Department of Defense, December 9, 1983.
- 3) John G. Hines and Phillip A. Petersen, "Military Power in Soviet Strategy Against NATO," RUSI Journal, Volume 128, No. 4, December 1983. Reprinted in Current News, Main Edition, Department of Defense, January 17, 1984. Also appears in Strategique, No. 22, 1984.
- 4) John G. Hines and Phillip A. Petersen, "The Conventional Offensive in Soviet Theater Strategy," ORBIS, Fall 1983. Reprinted in Current News, Special Edition, Department of Defense, April 12, 1984.
- 5) John G. Hines and Phillip A. Petersen, "The Soviet Conventional Offensive in Europe," Military Review, April 1984. Also appears in Strategique, No. 23, 1984.
- 6) John R. Clark and Phillip A. Petersen, "Soviet Air and Antiair Operations," Air University Review, March-April 1985.
- 7) James A. Tegnolia, "Emerging Technology for Conventional Deterrence," International Defense Review, Volume 18, No. 5, 1985.
- 8) Nestor D. Sanchez, "Protecting U.S. Security Interests in the Area is enhanced by our Support for Democracies in the Hemisphere," Defense 85, June 1985.
- 9) John G. Hines, Phillip A. Petersen, and Notra Trulock III, "Changes in Weapons and Warfare - the Evolution of Soviet Thinking," ROA National Security Report, Volume 3, No. 3, June 1985.