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TO: ROBERT MCFARLANE (Coordinate with M. McManus & W. Henkel)
FROM: FREDERICK J. RYAN, JR.
SUBJ: APPROVED PRESIDENTIAL ACTIVITY

MEETING: with Summit Sherpa Team

DATE: January 30, 1984
TIME: 11:00 am
DURATION: 30 minutes
LOCATION: Cabinet Room

REMARKS REQUIRED: Talking points to be covered in briefing paper

MEDIA COVERAGE: Coordinate with Press Office

FIRST LADY PARTICIPATION: No

NOTE: PROJECT OFFICER, SEE ATTACHED CHECKLIST

cc: R. Darman J. Rosebush R. Kimmitt
    R. Deprospero R. Scouten
    B. Elliott B. Shaddix
    D. Fischer W. Sittman
    C. Fuller L. Speakes
    W. Henkel WHCA Audio/Visual
    E. Hickey WHCA Operations
    G. Hodges A. Wroblewski
    C. McCain Nell Yates
    B. Oglesby
TO:  FREDERICK J. RYAN, JR., DIRECTOR, PRESIDENTIAL APPOINTMENTS AND SCHEDULING

FROM:  ROBERT M. KIMMITT

REQUEST:  Meeting with the President's Sherpa team for the preparation of policy aspects of the London Economic Summit.

PURPOSE:  To review with the President the status of preparations for the London Economic Summit prior to a mid-February meeting of summit country Sherpa teams.

BACKGROUND:  The 1984 Economic Summit will be held in London, June 7-9. Substantive preparations for this meeting of Heads of State are well underway. U.S. strategy and tactics for this year's summit build on the foundations of the medium-term strategy for managing international trade and financial problems outlined at Williamsburg last May.

PREVIOUS PARTICIPATION:  The President has met with his Sherpa teams in preparation for the economic summits held in each of the past 3 years.

DATE AND TIME:  February 1 or 2, 1983  DURATION:  30 minutes

LOCATION:  Cabinet Room

PARTICIPANTS:  List attached

OUTLINE OF EVENT:  Fifteen-minute briefing for the President on the status of preparations for the London Economic Summit, followed by a 15-minute discussion of the problems, strategy and tactics of the next steps in the preparatory process.

REMARKS REQUIRED:  None. (A briefing paper will be provided in advance of the meeting.)

MEDIA COVERAGE:  Photo opportunity

RECOMMENDED BY:  NSC

OPPOSED BY:  None

PROJECT OFFICER:  Douglas W. McMinn
LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

George Bush, Vice President
George P. Shultz, Secretary of State
Donald T. Regan, Secretary of the Treasury
Edwin Meese, Counsellor to the President
William Brock, United States Trade Representative
James A. Baker, Chief of Staff and Assistant to the President
Michael K. Deaver, Deputy Chief of Staff and Assistant to the President
Robert C. McFarlane, Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs
Richard G. Darman, Assistant to the President and Deputy to the Chief of Staff
Michael A. McManus, Assistant to the President and Deputy to the Deputy Chief of Staff
Allen Wallis, Under Secretary of State for Economic Affairs
Beryl Sprinkel, Under Secretary of Treasury for Monetary Affairs
Robert Morris, Deputy to the Under Secretary of State for Economic Affairs
Douglas W. McMinn, Staff Member, National Security Council
THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON
January 5, 1984

SCHEDULE PROPOSAL

TO: FREDERICK J. RYAN, JR., DIRECTOR
PRESIDENTIAL APPOINTMENTS AND SCHEDULING

FROM: ROBERT M. KIMMITT

REQUEST: Meeting with the President's Sherpa team for the preparation of policy aspects of the London Economic Summit.

PURPOSE: To review with the President the status of preparations for the London Economic Summit prior to a mid-February meeting of summit country Sherpa teams.

BACKGROUND: The 1984 Economic Summit will be held in London, June 7-9. Substantive preparations for this meeting of Heads of State are well underway. U.S. strategy and tactics for this year's summit build on the foundations of the medium-term strategy for managing international trade and financial problems outlined at Williamsburg last May.

PREVIOUS PARTICIPATION: The President has met with his Sherpa teams in preparation for the economic summits held in each of the past 3 years.

DATE AND TIME: February 1 or 2, 1983 DURATION: 30 minutes

LOCATION: Cabinet Room

PARTICIPANTS: List attached

OUTLINE OF EVENT: Fifteen-minute briefing for the President on the status of preparations for the London Economic Summit, followed by a 15-minute discussion of the problems, strategy and tactics of the next steps in the preparatory process.

REMARKS REQUIRED: None. (A briefing paper will be provided in advance of the meeting.)

MEDIA COVERAGE: Photo opportunity

RECOMMENDED BY: NSC

OPPOSED BY: None

PROJECT OFFICER: Douglas W. McMinn

NSC 84000176
LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

George Bush, Vice President
George P. Shultz, Secretary of State
Donald T. Regan, Secretary of the Treasury
Edwin Meese, Counsellor to the President
William Brock, United States Trade Representative
James A. Baker, Chief of Staff and Assistant to the President
Michael K. Deaver, Deputy Chief of Staff and Assistant to the President
Robert C. McFarlane, Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs
Richard G. Darman, Assistant to the President and Deputy to the Chief of Staff
Michael A. McManus, Assistant to the President and Deputy to the Deputy Chief of Staff
Allen Wallis, Under Secretary of State for Economic Affairs
Beryl Sprinkel, Under Secretary of Treasury for Monetary Affairs
Robert Morris, Deputy to the Under Secretary of State for Economic Affairs
Douglas W. McMinn, Staff Member, National Security Council
January 4, 1984

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR ROBERT M. KIMMITT

FROM: DOUGLAS W. McMINN

SUBJECT: Request for a Meeting with the President to Discuss Preparations for the London Economic Summit

Preparations for the London Economic Summit (June 7-9, 1984) have reached the stage where we believe a meeting with the President is warranted. The President's Personal Representative for summit preparations, Allen Wallis, along with Beryl Sprinkel and myself, will meet with other country Sherpa teams in mid-February to begin to arrive at appropriate policy issues for our Heads of State to discuss this June. Before this meeting of Sherpas takes place, it is important to brief the President on the status of current summit preparations, and to receive guidance and approval from him on the next steps in the preparatory process.

We would envision a 30-minute meeting with the President. The dates of February 1 or 2 appear to be best suited to his busy schedule. Appropriate briefing materials would be provided.

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the scheduling request to Fred Ryan at Tab I.

Approve _____ Disapprove _____

Attachments

TAB I Schedule Proposal
TAB A List of participants

cc: Peter Sommer
    Don Fortier
    Roger Robinson
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Has Seen</th>
<th>Disposition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bill Martin</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>WFM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob Kimmitt</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Poindexter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilma Hall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bud McFarlane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob Kimmitt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSC Secretariat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Situation Room</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McMinn</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[1 = \text{Information} \quad A = \text{Action} \quad R = \text{Retain} \quad D = \text{Dispatch} \quad N = \text{No further Action}\]

cc: VP Meese Baker Deaver Other

COMMENTS

Doug: What about Schultz, Reagan, McMinn, VP, Baker, Meese? Shouldn't we go to Cabinet Room? You could drop JMP.
TO: KIMMITT
FROM: McMinn

KEYWORDS: GREAT BRITAIN ECONOMICS WALLIS, A SPRINKEL, B

SUBJECT: REQUEST MTG W/ PRES TO DISCUSS PREPARATIONS FOR LONDON ECONOMIC SUMMIT

ACTION: FOR SIGNATURE DUE: 05 JAN 84 STATUS X FILES
FOR ACTION FOR CONCURRENCE FOR INFO
KIMMITT

COMMENTS

REF# LOG NSCIFID (VL)

ACTION OFFICER (S) ASSIGNED ACTION REQUIRED DUE COPIES TO

McMinn C 115 For redo per Kimmitt McM

DISPATCH ed by BTM 1/5 W/ATTCH FILE WH (CM)
MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF EUROPEAN TRANSPORTATION CONCERNS

I wanted to give you a brief summary of the issues raised during my trip to London and Oslo last week. I met with my counterparts from the European Council of Ministers of Transport as well as with Prime Minister Thatcher. In general, the European Community was interested in our experience with deregulation. While in London, I also discussed proposed revisions to the international conventions on oil pollution liability and compensation. Finally, you should be aware that the British were very concerned about the Department of Justice investigation of the Laker Airways situation.

Laker Airways

My counterpart in London, Nicholas Ridley, indicated that the United States' antitrust investigation of the Laker bankruptcy posed "serious political problems." He asserted that potential large private damage claims in the Laker case could threaten the planned sale to the private sector of state-owned British Airways. He referred to the situation as "a nonsense" for its potential political and economic damage and expressed confidence that Prime Minister Thatcher would raise this when you meet next week.

Deregulation

While there was concern raised by many of the European countries that the United States is trying to "export" deregulation, there is also tremendous interest in our experience with deregulation across all modes of transportation, particularly in aviation. Last September, the European Council of Ministers of Transport affirmed deregulation as a "general goal" and we are beginning to see limited experiments with deregulation both on a country-by-country basis and on an intra-Europe basis.

I was very proud to have the opportunity to relate your commitment to deregulation as well as to share this Administration's experience with free competition. On airline deregulation, I pointed out that the verdict is just what was expected--more competition, better efficiency and a greater variety of service. Travelers enjoy a greater choice and a wider range of fares. Savings to the traveling public are placed at $10 billion since 1978 and more than 100 new airlines have gone into business. The industry now expects 1984 to be one of its most profitable years with some analysts predicting a profit as high as $1 billion for the industry.
In other modes of transportation, we are seeing the same results with deregulation. In both rail and trucking deregulation we have encouraged greater price competition while retaining sufficient control to guard against unfair competition.

**Oil Pollution Liability and Compensation**

On May 1, the Administration announced support for a national and international regime covering oil spill accidents, especially to assure adequate compensation for clean-up operations. While in London, I met with Secretary General Srivastava of the International Maritime Organization to convey to him personally the seriousness of the U.S. Government's interest in becoming a party to the international conventions if the levels of shipowner liability and compensation were substantially raised. I was pleased that agreement to this effect was achieved by week's end. The U.S. Delegation to the conference thus signed the revisions, paving the way for eventual submission of the oil spill liability conventions to the Senate and ratification by the U.S. Since the United States had not supported earlier conventions, this new development was regarded as significant by many of the European countries.

Elizabeth Hanford Dole
### National Security Council
The White House

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sequence To</th>
<th>Has Seen</th>
<th>Disposition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dep. Exec. Sec'y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob Kimmitt</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Poindexter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Shull</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilma Hall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bud McFarlane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob Kimmitt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSC Secretariat</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Situation Room</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**I = Information   A = Action   R = Retain   D = Dispatch   N = No further Action**

**cc:** VP, Meese, Baker, Deaver, Other

**COMMENTS**

**Staff ASAP**

**McNamara Action**

**Sommer Comment**
TO: PRESIDENT
FROM: DOLE, E
FULLER, C

SUBJECT: ECONOMIC SUMMIT BRIEFING PAPER / SUMMARY OF EUROPEAN TRANSPORTATION CONCERNS

ACTION: MEMO KIMMITT TO FULLER
DUE: 31 MAY 84
STATUS: S
FILES: WH

FOR ACTION:
MCMINN

FOR CONCURRENCE:
SOMMER

FOR INFO:
RENTSCHLER

COMMENTS:

REF#: 186862CA
LOG: 8403400
NSCIFID: (HW)
CABINET AFFAIRS STAFFING MEMORANDUM

Date: May 31, 1984 Number: 186862CA Due By: __ __ __ __
Subject: Economic Summit Briefing Paper from DOT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ALL CABINET MEMBERS</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>FYI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vice President</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasury</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defense</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorney General</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interior</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commerce</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHS</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUD</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counselor</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMB</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIA</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USTR</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSA</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPM</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBA</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>FYI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CEA</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEQ</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSTP</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baker</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deaver</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darman (For WH Staffing)</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jenkins</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McFarlane (ORIGINAL)</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Svahn</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCCT/Gunn</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCEA/Porter</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCFA/</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCHR/Simmons</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCLP/Uhlmann</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMA/Bledsoe</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCNRE/</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

REMARKS:
For use in Presidential briefings as appropriate.
Memo for the President from Sec Dole, May 30 attached

RETURN TO: □ Craig L. Fuller
Assistant to the President for Cabinet Affairs
456-2823

□ Katherine Anderson
□ Don Clarey
□ Tom Gibson
□ Larry Herbolsheimer
Associate Director
Office of Cabinet Affairs
456-2800
MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF EUROPEAN TRANSPORTATION CONCERNS

I wanted to give you a brief summary of the issues raised during my trip to London and Oslo last week. I met with my counterparts from the European Council of Ministers of Transport as well as with Prime Minister Thatcher. In general, the European Community was interested in our experience with deregulation. While in London, I also discussed proposed revisions to the international conventions on oil pollution liability and compensation. Finally, you should be aware that the British were very concerned about the Department of Justice investigation of the Laker Airways situation.

Laker Airways

My counterpart in London, Nicholas Ridley, indicated that the United States' antitrust investigation of the Laker bankruptcy posed "serious political problems." He asserted that potential large private damage claims in the Laker case could threaten the planned sale to the private sector of state-owned British Airways. He referred to the situation as "a nonsense" for its potential political and economic damage and expressed confidence that Prime Minister Thatcher would raise this when you meet next week.

Deregulation

While there was concern raised by many of the European countries that the United States is trying to "export" deregulation, there is also tremendous interest in our experience with deregulation across all modes of transportation, particularly in aviation. Last September, the European Council of Ministers of Transport affirmed deregulation as a "general goal" and we are beginning to see limited experiments with deregulation both on a country-by-country basis and on an intra-Europe basis.

I was very proud to have the opportunity to relate your commitment to deregulation as well as to share this Administration's experience with free competition. On airline deregulation, I pointed out that the verdict is just what was expected--more competition, better efficiency and a greater variety of service. Travelers enjoy a greater choice and a wider range of fares. Savings to the traveling public are placed at $10 billion since 1978 and more than 100 new airlines have gone into business. The industry now expects 1984 to be one of its most profitable years with some analysts predicting a profit as high as $1 billion for the industry.
In other modes of transportation, we are seeing the same results with deregulation. In both rail and trucking deregulation we have encouraged greater price competition while retaining sufficient control to guard against unfair competition.

**Oil Pollution Liability and Compensation**

On May 1, the Administration announced support for a national and international regime covering oil spill accidents, especially to assure adequate compensation for clean-up operations. While in London, I met with Secretary General Srivastava of the International Maritime Organization to convey to him personally the seriousness of the U.S. Government's interest in becoming a party to the international conventions if the levels of shipowner liability and compensation were substantially raised. I was pleased that agreement to this effect was achieved by week's end. The U. S. Delegation to the conference thus signed the revisions, paving the way for eventual submission of the oil spill liability conventions to the Senate and ratification by the U. S. Since the United States had not supported earlier conventions, this new development was regarded as significant by many of the European countries.

Elizabeth Hanford Dole
MEMORANDUM TO MICHAEL K. DEAVER
ROBERT C. McFARLANE

FROM: EDWIN MEESE III

Pursuant to our discussion this morning it would be appreciated if you would add Jack Svahn to the list of people attending all future meetings on the Economic Summit.

Thank you.
Staffing --
Advance copy for RCM and Bob Kimmitt, please.

Thanks,
Wilma

National Security Council
The White House
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cc: VP Meese Baker Deaver Other ________________________

Comments

Many up with latest memo come to Bud.
TO: Bob Kimmitt
FROM: Michael K. Deaver
Assistant to the President
Deputy Chief of Staff

Information

Bill Martin
Bob Kimmitt
John Poindexter
Wilma Hall
Bud McFarlane
Bob Kimmitt
NSC Secretariat
Situation Room
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Package #
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Bill Martin 2 I
Bob Kimmitt 1 K
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cc: VP Meese Baker Deaver Other

COMMENTS Should be seen by: __________________________ (Date/Time)

Staff to McKinnon
Robinson for info.
TO: MCFARLANE
FROM: MEES, E

RECEIVED 31 JAN 84 15
DOCDATE 30 JAN 84

SUBJECT: JACK SAVHN RE LONDON ECONOMIC SUMMIT MTGS

ACTION: FOR RECORD PURPOSES
DUE: 
STATUS C FILES WH

FOR ACTION
FOR CONCURRENCE
FOR INFO
MARTIN
COBB
KIMMITT
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COMMENTS
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W/ATTCH FILE
January 23, 1984

Allen Wallis has sent a briefing memorandum to you and Mike Deaver (Tab A), which is to serve as background for the January 30 meeting with the President. We have scheduled this meeting with the President to report on the current status of preparations for this year's Economic Summit. We will be seeking the President's advice and guidance on certain of the key elements of the current U.S. Summit strategy. In advance of the meeting on the 30th, Mike Deaver has also called for a preparatory session with the Sherpa team (Thursday, January 26 at 1:00 p.m.).

Allen's memo to you (drafted jointly by State and NSC) summarizes:

1. The overall U.S. Summit strategy;
2. The status of policy preparations; and
3. The key elements (trade and finance) of our Summit strategy.

The focus of the Wallis memo is the trade component of the London Summit. At last year's Summit at Williamsburg, Heads of State committed themselves to halt protectionism, to achieve further trade liberalization, and to consult about new multilateral trade negotiations. Follow-up to these commitments forms the core of our strategy for the London Summit.

Our London strategy envisions endorsement of the call for a new global round of trade-liberalizing negotiations. The Wallis memo emphasizes that there are both international and domestic difficulties associated with this approach.
Internationally, some of our allies are:

- Skeptical about our ability to hold off pressures for protectionism, as the U.S. election year unfolds.
- Concerned that the United States will not be able "to deliver" new trade-liberalizing measures because election-year legislation will be required.
- Would like to use U.S. protectionist actions as an excuse for avoiding any additional trade liberalization on their part, and as a way to postpone calling for a new round of trade negotiations.

Domestically, our trade strategy invites potential criticism from the President's opponents. Trade liberalization is never an easy task in the United States because it means some reduction in the levels of existing protection against imports. In an election year, this might translate into a portrayal of the President as a leader who is "giving away" America's strength. We reject this view completely.

We have drafted a memo from you to Mike Deaver at Tab I, which transmits the Wallis briefing paper for his review.

**RECOMMENDATION**

That you sign the memo to Michael Deaver at Tab I.

Approve _________  Disapprove _________

Attachment

TAB I  Memo to Deaver
TAB A  Briefing memo from Under Secretary Wallis

cc:  Don Fortier
     Gaston Sigur
     Peter Sommer
     Roger Robinson
MEMORANDUM FOR MICHAEL K. DEAVER

FROM: ROBERT C. McFARLANE

SUBJECT: Presidential Meeting on Preparations for the London Economic Summit, January 30, 1984, 11:00 a.m.

Allen Wallis has sent a briefing memorandum to us (Tab A), which is to serve as background for the January 30 meeting with the President. This meeting has been scheduled with the President to report on the current status of preparations for this year's Economic Summit. We are preparing an agenda and Presidential briefing paper for the meeting on January 30. Both will be sent to you for review and concurrence.

Allen's memo to us (drafted jointly by State and NSC) summarizes:

- The overall U.S. Summit strategy;
- The status of policy preparations; and
- The key elements (trade and finance) of our Summit strategy.

The focus of the Wallis memo is the trade component of the London Summit. At last year's Summit at Williamsburg, Heads of State committed themselves to halt protectionism, to achieve further trade liberalization, and to consult about new multilateral trade negotiations. Follow-up to these commitments forms the core of our strategy for the London Summit.

Our London strategy envisions endorsement of the call for a new global round of trade-liberalizing negotiations. The Wallis memo emphasizes that there are both international and domestic difficulties associated with this approach. However, it argues forcefully that having rebuilt America's economic and military leadership and strength, the President can now take the lead in opening and strengthening the international trading and financial system.

Attachment
TAB A  Briefing memorandum from Under Secretary Wallis
MEMORANDUM FOR MR. MICHAEL K. DEAVER
AND MR. ROBERT C. McFARLANE
THE WHITE HOUSE

SUBJECT: Preparatory Meeting for the London Economic Summit
January 30, 1984, 11:00 a.m.

We have requested this meeting to report to the President
on the current status of preparations for this year's Economic
Summit (June 7-9). We will also be seeking his advice and
guidance on certain of the key elements of the US summit
strategy.

I propose the following as background for the meeting.

Summary of US Summit Strategy

The US strategy for London is based upon reaching two
over-arching goals:

1. Reinforcing the President's role as a leader who has
brought the United States through a serious recession to a
strong economic recovery and an accelerating world recovery.

   -- Emphasizing that over the course of the last three
   summits the President has laid out a coherent strategy for
   sustainable, non-inflationary growth and prosperity that
   is bringing the Free World out of recession, into recovery
   and greater security.

2. Building on recovery, to revitalize the international
trade and financial system on the basis of the Williamsburg
agreements, translating certain of these agreements into
concrete policy commitments by the time we meet in London next
June.

   -- Seeking, on the basis of America's renewed
   strength and vigor, to advance the President's proposals
   for consolidating and advancing international prosperity
   and security in the years ahead.
In more specific terms, US objectives for London are as follows:

- Summit endorsement of a new round of multilateral trade negotiations.
- Agreement on the need to continue and strengthen closer coordination among trade and financial policies.
- Note the progress in achieving non-inflationary convergence of economic performance and the role played by the enhanced consultative process agreed at Williamsburg.
- Confirm the consensus on East-West economic relations.
- Review the successful implementation of the international debt strategy.

**Status of Policy Preparations**

The Summit Personal Representatives have met once as a group (November 5-6 of last year). I have also met separately twice with the British Chairman, Sir Robert Armstrong. Armstrong has set three summit preparatory meetings before the Summit itself (February 17-19, April 6-8, and mid-May). To date, the British are patterning substantive preparations after, and as a follow-up to, Williamsburg. They are:

- Seeking an agenda very similar to what was agreed for Williamsburg.
- Preparing a concepts paper to be discussed at the sherpa meeting in February which will suggest topics on which Summit discussion should focus.
- Following the "thematic paper" approach used successfully for Williamsburg.

**Key Elements of US Summit Strategy**

**Trade**

At Williamsburg, Heads of State committed themselves to halt protectionism, to achieve further trade liberalization, and to consult about new trade negotiations. Follow-up to these commitments forms the core of our strategy for the London Summit.
Our strategy envisions endorsement of the call for a new global round of trade-liberalizing negotiations that will help the Summit countries:

- Contain protectionism;
- Liberalize trade, especially for our exports of services, high technology products and agriculture;
- Reinforce the future basis for sustained growth without inflation; and
- Facilitate the resolution of the balance of payments problems of developing countries through expanded access for their exports to our markets in return for reduction in barriers in their heavily-protected markets.

Trade Ministers from the United States (Bill Brock), Canada, Japan and the EC have met several times since last June to discuss implementation of the Williamsburg commitments on trade. These meetings, known as quadrilaterals, have made some progress in finding areas where each of our countries could take concrete, liberalizing steps as follow-up to Williamsburg (e.g., acceleration of agreed tariff cuts, elimination of tariffs on imports from the least developed countries, etc.). Within the quadrilateral framework, there has been support from both the Canadians and Japanese for a new multilateral trade round.

However, some of the participants in the quadrilateral sessions, as well as in the Summit preparations, are skeptical about our ability to hold off pressures for protectionism, as the US election year unfolds. They point to protectionist decisions made by the United States since June of last year concerning textiles, autos and specialty steel, and argue that this year will be even worse. These reluctant allies are even more concerned that we will not be able "to deliver" the concrete new trade-liberalizing measures discussed in the quadrilaterals because these measures will require legislation in an election-year Congress. Some Europeans, France and the EC Commission in particular, would like to be in a position to use US protectionist actions as an excuse for avoiding any additional trade liberalization on their part, and as a means to postpone calling for a new round of trade negotiations which they oppose at this time.
On the US domestic side, our trade strategy for London invites potential criticism. Trade liberalization is never an easy task in the United States because it means that some of our industries will lose some portion of their existing levels of protection. In an election year, this might well translate into a portrayal of the President by some as a leader who is giving away America's strength. We reject this view completely.

The last three summits have dramatized the President's leadership in rebuilding America's economic and military leadership and strength. Having laid a firm domestic foundation, the President can now take the lead in opening and strengthening the international trading and financial system to provide the opportunities during his second term which a reinvigorated America can exploit. This internationalist and statesmanlike approach contrasts sharply with the overt and petty protectionist trade policies of the President's opponents.

At the London Summit, the President can build on recovery and confirm the intention of the Summit countries to begin a new round of multilateral trade negotiations in 1985. Securing agreement from some reluctant Europeans will not be easy. Our chances will improve if we can refrain from taking major restrictive trade actions over the coming months and if we are committed to pressing for some (relatively modest) trade-liberalizing legislation in the Congress this year.

Economic/Monetary

The foremost concern of the other Summit participants will remain their own prospects for sustained recovery and, for most of the Europeans, rising unemployment. In addition, France and Italy need to make greater progress on bringing down inflation and improving their balance of payments positions. Most (including Thatcher) seem genuinely convinced that high US interest rates and a strong ("overvalued") dollar (which they attribute, erroneously, to the prospect of persistent large US budget deficits) threaten their growth and risk rekindling inflation. Mitterrand will use these elements to justify continued austerity policies for which, he will claim, the US is largely responsible. To varying degrees, all other Summit participants are likely to focus attention and discussion on the need to reduce our budget deficits to bring interest rates down, reduce exchange rate volatility and restore more stable conditions in the international financial system.
Only France may press for more progress on reform of the international monetary system. However, in the interest of Summit "comity", it is likely that the British and Germans will look for ways to accommodate Mitterrand's need to show that his initiative of last year remains alive. Don Regan and Beryl Sprinkel have been working with their counterparts from the Group of 10 (G-10) industrial countries to identify and study potential ways to improve the functioning of the international monetary system. Their approach has been to focus on practical ways in which national policies can be implemented and markets permitted to function more efficiently. We can avoid excessive attention to this by continuing to participate actively in on-going G-10 discussions but assuring that progress remains at a responsible, deliberate pace. Finally aid, especially through multilateral institutions, will get heavy stress from France and most of the others (partly to mask their reluctance to do much on trade). We will be alert to opportunities to deflect this pressure and advance our trade objective as we move through the preparatory process.

Allen Wallis
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MEMORANDUM FOR THE SECRETARY OF STATE
THE SECRETARY OF THE TREASURY
THE UNITED STATES TRADE REPRESENTATIVE
THE SENIOR WHITE HOUSE GROUP FOR THE
LONDON ECONOMIC SUMMIT PREPARATIONS

SUBJECT: Summit White House Group Meeting with the
President to Discuss London Economic Summit
Preparations--January 30, 1984, at 11:00 a.m.,
in the Cabinet Room

The Summit White House Group, established by NSDD-118, will
meet with the President on Monday, January 30, 1984, at 11:00
a.m., in the Cabinet Room, to review the preparations for the
London Economic Summit. The agenda and background paper for
the meeting are attached.

Robert C. McFarlane
Co-Chairman, Summit
White House Group

Michael K. Deaver
Co-Chairman, Summit
White House Group

Attachment
Tab 1  Agenda
Tab 2  Wallis memo to McFarlane/Deaver

cc:  The Vice President
    Edwin Meese
    James Baker
    Richard Darman
    Craig Fuller
    Michael McManus
    Allen Wallis
    Beryl Sprinkel
    Doug McMinn
    Robert Morris
SUMMIT WHITE HOUSE GROUP MEETING WITH THE PRESENT

January 30, 1984

AGENDA

1. Summary of U.S. Summit Strategy

   Under Secretary Wallis

2. Status of Summit Policy Preparations (including February 17-19 international Sherpa team meeting)

   Under Secretary Wallis

3. Discussion of the Key Elements of the U.S. Summit Strategy

   A. Trade

   Ambassador Brock

   B. Monetary

   Under Secretary Sprinkel
MEMORANDUM FOR MR. MICHAEL K. DEAVER
AND MR. ROBERT C. McFARLANE
THE WHITE HOUSE

SUBJECT: Preparatory Meeting for the London Economic Summit
January 30, 1984, 11:00 a.m.

We have requested this meeting to report to the President on the current status of preparations for this year's Economic Summit (June 7-9). We will also be seeking his advice and guidance on certain of the key elements of the US summit strategy.

I propose the following as background for the meeting.

Summary of US Summit Strategy

The US strategy for London is based upon reaching two over-arching goals:

1. Reinforcing the President's role as a leader who has brought the United States through a serious recession to a strong economic recovery and an accelerating world recovery.

   - Emphasizing that over the course of the last three summits the President has laid out a coherent strategy for sustainable, non-inflationary growth and prosperity that is bringing the Free World out of recession, into recovery and greater security.

2. Building on recovery, to revitalize the international trade and financial system on the basis of the Williamsburg agreements, translating certain of these agreements into concrete policy commitments by the time we meet in London next June.

   - Seeking, on the basis of America's renewed strength and vigor, to advance the President's proposals for consolidating and advancing international prosperity and security in the years ahead.
In more specific terms, US objectives for London are as follows:

- Summit endorsement of a new round of multilateral trade negotiations.
- Agreement on the need to continue and strengthen closer coordination among trade and financial policies.
- Note the progress in achieving non-inflationary convergence of economic performance and the role played by the enhanced consultative process agreed at Williamsburg.
- Confirm the consensus on East-West economic relations.
- Review the successful implementation of the international debt strategy.

Status of Policy Preparations

The Summit Personal Representatives have met once as a group (November 5-6 of last year). I have also met separately twice with the British Chairman, Sir Robert Armstrong. Armstrong has set three summit preparatory meetings before the Summit itself (February 17-19, April 6-8, and mid-May). To date, the British are patterning substantive preparations after, and as a follow-up to, Williamsburg. They are:

- Seeking an agenda very similar to what was agreed for Williamsburg.
- Preparing a concepts paper to be discussed at the sherpa meeting in February which will suggest topics on which Summit discussion should focus.
- Following the "thematic paper" approach used successfully for Williamsburg.

Key Elements of US Summit Strategy

Trade

At Williamsburg, Heads of State committed themselves to halt protectionism, to achieve further trade liberalization, and to consult about new trade negotiations. Follow-up to these commitments forms the core of our strategy for the London Summit.
Our strategy envisions endorsement of the call for a new global round of trade-liberalizing negotiations that will help the Summit countries:

- Contain protectionism;
- Liberalize trade, especially for our exports of services, high technology products and agriculture;
- Reinforce the future basis for sustained growth without inflation; and
- Facilitate the resolution of the balance of payments problems of developing countries through expanded access for their exports to our markets in return for reduction in barriers in their heavily-protected markets.

Trade Ministers from the United States (Bill Brock), Canada, Japan and the EC have met several times since last June to discuss implementation of the Williamsburg commitments on trade. These meetings, known as quadrilaterals, have made some progress in finding areas where each of our countries could take concrete, liberalizing steps as follow-up to Williamsburg (e.g., acceleration of agreed tariff cuts, elimination of tariffs on imports from the least developed countries, etc.). Within the quadrilateral framework, there has been support from both the Canadians and Japanese for a new multilateral trade round.

However, some of the participants in the quadrilateral sessions, as well as in the Summit preparations, are skeptical about our ability to hold off pressures for protectionism, as the US election year unfolds. They point to protectionist decisions made by the United States since June of last year concerning textiles, autos and specialty steel, and argue that this year will be even worse. These reluctant allies are even more concerned that we will not be able "to deliver" the concrete new trade-liberalizing measures discussed in the quadrilaterals because these measures will require legislation in an election-year Congress. Some Europeans, France and the EC Commission in particular, would like to be in a position to use US protectionist actions as an excuse for avoiding any additional trade liberalization on their part, and as a means to postpone calling for a new round of trade negotiations which they oppose at this time.
On the US domestic side, our trade strategy for London invites potential criticism. Trade liberalization is never an easy task in the United States because it means that some of our industries will lose some portion of their existing levels of protection. In an election year, this might well translate into a portrayal of the President by some as a leader who is giving away America's strength. We reject this view completely.

The last three summits have dramatized the President's leadership in rebuilding America's economic and military leadership and strength. Having laid a firm domestic foundation, the President can now take the lead in opening and strengthening the international trading and financial system to provide the opportunities during his second term which a reinvigorated America can exploit. This internationalist and statesmanlike approach contrasts sharply with the overt and petty protectionist trade policies of the President's opponents.

At the London Summit, the President can build on recovery and confirm the intention of the Summit countries to begin a new round of multilateral trade negotiations in 1985. Securing agreement from some reluctant Europeans will not be easy. Our chances will improve if we can refrain from taking major restrictive trade actions over the coming months and if we are committed to pressing for some (relatively modest) trade-liberalizing legislation in the Congress this year.

Economic/Monetary

The foremost concern of the other Summit participants will remain their own prospects for sustained recovery and, for most of the Europeans, rising unemployment. In addition, France and Italy need to make greater progress on bringing down inflation and improving their balance of payments positions. Most (including Thatcher) seem genuinely convinced that high US interest rates and a strong ("overvalued") dollar (which they attribute, erroneously, to the prospect of persistent large US budget deficits) threaten their growth and risk rekindling inflation. Mitterrand will use these elements to justify continued austerity policies for which, he will claim, the US is largely responsible. To varying degrees, all other Summit participants are likely to focus attention and discussion on the need to reduce our budget deficits to bring interest rates down, reduce exchange rate volatility and restore more stable conditions in the international financial system.
Only France may press for more progress on reform of the international monetary system. However, in the interest of Summit "comity", it is likely that the British and Germans will look for ways to accommodate Mitterrand's need to show that his initiative of last year remains alive. Don Regan and Beryl Sprinkel have been working with their counterparts from the Group of 10 (G-10) industrial countries to identify and study potential ways to improve the functioning of the international monetary system. Their approach has been to focus on practical ways in which national policies can be implemented and markets permitted to function more efficiently. We can avoid excessive attention to this by continuing to participate actively in on-going G-10 discussions but assuring that progress remains at a responsible, deliberate pace. Finally aid, especially through multilateral institutions, will get heavy stress from France and most of the others (partly to mask their reluctance to do much on trade). We will be alert to opportunities to deflect this pressure and advance our trade objective as we move through the preparatory process.

Allen Wallis
MEMORANDUM FOR RICHARD DARMAN

FROM: M. B. OGLESBY, JR.

SUBJECT: The attached

Joseph M. McDade (R-PA) has asked that his concerns be brought to the President's attention while at the London Summit. I would appreciate it if you would show the attached copy to him at the earliest possible time.

Thank you for your assistance.
THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON
June 6, 1984

PLEASE DACOM FOR

RICHARD G. DARMAN
via Sara Emery

FROM: Jan McMinn
June 6, 1984

The President
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. President:

The people of my district -- and I believe they reflect the feeling of our entire nation -- are tired of seeing the United States cast in the role of being the policeman of the world.

In the Persian Gulf we are, once again, in the forefront of a situation affecting the entire free world and I have to ask: Where are our allies?

For example, Mr. President, I want to be able to tell my constituents what Japan is doing when the Japanese get roughly 59 percent of their oil from the Persian Gulf; I want to be able to tell my constituents what the French are doing (besides selling Exocet Missiles to Iraq) when France gets roughly 35 percent of its oil from the Persian Gulf; I want to be able to tell my constituents what Italy is doing when the Italians get roughly 47 percent of their oil from the Persian Gulf.

Mr. President, the United States gets 3 percent of its oil from the Persian Gulf and virtually every expert agrees we can substitute for that oil. Yet, we have had ships stationed in the Gulf area for over a year.

I urge you to use the economic summit to forge a new "Free World Alliance" of nations to take all necessary actions to secure stability in the Persian Gulf. We should not go it alone any longer, however noble our purpose.

Sincerely,

Joseph M. McDade
Member of Congress
MEMORANDUM
NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
December 23, 1983

ACTION
MEMORANDUM FOR ROBERT C. McFARLANE

FROM: DOUGLAS W. McMinn

SUBJECT: Proposed Meeting with Mike Deaver and Sherpa Team

Preparations for the London Economic Summit, both domestic and international, have reached the stage where we believe a meeting with you and Mike Deaver is needed. The meeting would be designed to:

- Brief you and Mike on where preparations currently stand;
- Sensitize you to problems we are experiencing and will experience on the road to London; and
- Receive your guidance and approval on next steps in the preparatory process.

Because we will have an international meeting on the trade aspects of the Summit on January 12-13, we would like to meet with you and Mike sometime during the first week of January. We believe the timing is very important since this January 12-13 meeting will address key Summit issues.

Recommended participants for the Deaver-McFarlane meeting would be: Allen Wallis, Beryl Sprinkel, Bill Brock, Mike McManus, and Doug McMinn.

RECOMMENDATION

That you agree to meet with the Sherpa team, plus Brock and McManus, during the first week of January.

Approve ☒ Disapprove ☐

NSC #8309296
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