

Ronald Reagan Presidential Library

Digital Library Collections

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual collections.

Collection: Executive Secretariat, NSC: Meeting Files
Folder Title: NSC 00086 08/12/1983
[Intermediate Range Nuclear Forces (INF)] (2 of 2)

To see more digitized collections visit:

<https://www.reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digitized-textual-material>

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library inventories visit:

<https://www.reaganlibrary.gov/archives/white-house-inventories>

Contact a reference archivist at: **reagan.library@nara.gov**

Citation Guidelines: <https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/research-support/citation-guide>

National Archives Catalogue: <https://catalog.archives.gov/>

WITHDRAWAL SHEET

Ronald Reagan Library

Collection Name EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT, NSC: MEETING FILE

Withdrawer

CAS 12/22/2004

File Folder NSC 00086 12 AUGUST 1983 [INTERMEDIATE RANGE
NUCLEAR FORCES] (2/2)

FOIA

2000-002

Box Number 21285 Box 5

SKINNER

26

ID	Doc Type	Document Description	No of Pages	Doc Date	Restrictions
1333	MEMO	SVEN KRAEMER TO WILLIAM CLARK RE NSC MEETING ON INF <i>R 2/22/2008 F00-002</i>	2	8/11/1983	B1
1334	TALKING POINTS	FOR JUDGE CLARK <i>R 6/6/2006</i>	7	ND	B1
1335	DISTRIBUTION RECORD	RE NSC PAPERS <i>PAR 6/6/2006 PAR UPHELD 9/13/2011 M301/1</i>	1	8/10/1983	B3
1336	MEMO	KRAEMER TO ROBERT KIMMITT RE NSC MEETING <i>R 2/22/2008 F00-002</i>	1	8/10/1983	B1
2600	LIST	OF ATTENDEES <i>R 6/25/2008 NLRRF00-002</i>	1	8/12/1983	B1 B3

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

~~SECRET~~

~~SENSITIVE~~
SYSTEM II
90976 Follow-On

MEMORANDUM

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

~~SECRET~~

ACTION

August 11, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR WILLIAM P. CLARK

FROM: SVEN KRAEMER *SK*

SUBJECT: NSC Meeting on INF -- August 12, 1983, 10:30 A.M.

Attached for your transmittal to the President is a memorandum for his use in preparing for the NSC meeting on INF issues scheduled for Friday, August 12, 1983, at 10:30 a.m.

The memorandum describes the context of the meeting and the options to be considered there and forwards the following items for the President's review:

Tab A: Proposed Agenda. (May be altered by discussions and recommendations of the Senior Arms Control Policy Group at their meeting with you on Thursday, August 11, at 4:00 p.m.)

Tab B: Your Proposed Talking Points.

Tab ³C: Executive Summary of Discussion Paper (prepared for NSC meeting by Senior Arms Control Policy Group).

Tab ⁴D: Table of Options (from Discussion Paper).

Tab ^DE: Discussion Paper.

While preparation of the proposed memo to the President at Tab I involved no major pitfalls, I have been less sure about the sequence and allocation of time proposed in the Agenda and Talking Points, both of which would directly benefit from the discussion scheduled at today's 4:00 p.m. meeting of the Senior Arms Control Policy Group, which will also include Paul Nitze, and for which I have forwarded a separate memo.

It may well be that as a result of today's discussion, we will need to reconsider and revise some of the precise sequence and time allocations proposed. This is one reason I earlier recommended (to Bob Kimmitt) that we not distribute an agenda to agencies today along with the Discussion Paper. However, if the proposed Agenda holds up after today's Policy Group meeting, I would recommend that it be distributed at the outset of Friday's NSC meeting.

~~SECRET~~

Declassify on: OADR

~~SECRET~~

~~SENSITIVE~~

not forwarded to President

DECLASSIFIED

NLRB 700-002 * 1333
BY 105
NADA 2/22/08

~~SECRET~~

SENSITIVE

~~SECRET~~

2

FYI, I have earlier indicated to Jon Howe that you would probably be asking Secretary Shultz to lead off the NSC discussion with a brief review of the INF situation in Europe. (Rick Burt had asked us to put him on the agenda, but we and Jon Howe believe that to be inappropriate, as Secretary Shultz should be able to handle this as he wants.)

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the memorandum to the President at Tab I transmitting the attachments for his use in preparing for the August 12 NSC meeting on INF issues.

Approve _____

Disapprove _____

Attachments

Tab I Memo to the President
 A Proposed Agenda
 ~~B Judge Clark's Talking Points~~
 ~~CB~~ Executive Summary of Discussion Paper
 ~~PC~~ Table of Options
 ~~PD~~ Discussion Paper
Tab II Judge Clark's Talking Points
Tab III Clearance Roster (List of Attendees)

cc: Ron Lehman (travelling)
 Bob Linhard (travelling)

11-11-11

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

SENSITIVE

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

SENSITIVE

TALKING POINTS FOR JUDGE CLARK

NSC Meeting on INF

August 12, 1983 -- 10:30 A.M.

I. INTRODUCTORY REMARKS (5 minutes)

- Mr. President, today we will be reviewing our strategy for Intermediate-Range Nuclear Forces (INF) for the remainder of 1983. We have one more negotiating round before initial deployments of the Pershing II and the Ground-Launched Cruise Missile (GLCM) are in place in December. The negotiations resume on September 6. How we manage the negotiations will be crucial to our success in sustaining Allied and public support for the remainder of 1983 and in the years ahead.
- Our meeting today will be principally a discussion meeting. We will not be making final decisions today. But we will be seeking to establish the path we will be setting for the months ahead.
- Our discussion today will be based on the paper prepared by our new Senior Arms Control Policy Group. We have benefited from that Group's earlier discussion, including one yesterday with (Ambassador) Paul Nitze, and we appreciate Admiral Howe's fine work in coordinating this paper for us. Because the

~~SECRET~~

Declassify on: OADR

DECLASSIFIED

NLS FOUO-002#1334

BY LOJ, NARA, DATE 6/6/06

~~SECRET~~

SENSITIVE

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

SENSITIVE

2

issues involved are unusually sensitive from a NATO Alliance point of view, we have sought to keep the paper on a very close-hold basis.

-- Mr. President, the specific questions before us today (which are examined in the discussion paper) are these:

1. Should we change our negotiating approach for the fall round?
2. If so, which of the available substantive elements (such as aircraft, regional limits, and other steps) should we choose?
3. If we decide on one or more moves, how should they be introduced into the negotiations -- as a comprehensive package or as a series of individual steps?
4. What should be the timing of such a move or moves?
5. What quids should we seek from the Soviets?

-- As we address these questions and consider our options, we will need to take a number of factors into account: (1) U.S. goals and criteria; (2) the concerns of our Allies; (3) likely Soviet moves and their impact, especially on the FRG; and (4) key events outside the negotiations, which represent either potential opportunities to be exploited or dangers to be neutralized. (Annex I of paper has partial listing of such events.)

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

SENSITIVE

- As the discussion paper makes clear, all in the Senior Policy Group agreed that we will not wish to alter our basic criteria for agreement. They are good, and they have held up well. In particular, the Pershing II remains a fundamental element of our position, and we should not compensate the Soviets for UK and French strategic systems.
- We could, however, consider two major substantive changes to our negotiating position: the possible inclusion of INF aircraft, and regional missile limitations within a global missile ceiling.

II. SCHULTZ/NITZE COMMENTS ON CONTEXT (10-15 minutes)

- Before we consider the nature and possible timing of such changes in detail, I would like to ask George (Shultz) and Paul (Nitze) to lead off our discussion.

A. The Situation in Europe

- George, would give us a summary of the current situation in Europe as you see it with regard to the INF negotiations and deployments.

[George Shultz comments -- 3-5 minutes]

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

4

B. The State of the Negotiations

- Paul (Nitze), could you summarize the current state-of-play in the negotiations as you see it.

[Paul Nitze comments -- 3-5 minutes]

- Thank you, Paul. These have been helpful introductory remarks in setting the context for our considerations today.

III. THE BASIC OPTIONS (40 minutes)

- I propose that we now turn to the major options we need to consider for our future approach to the negotiations. These options involve issues of possible substantive changes in our position on aircraft, on global limits, and on other elements. Such substantive changes could be combined in several illustrative packages as indicated in the discussion paper.
- But as that paper points out, the options before us also involve complex diplomatic issues of tactics and timing, and these are likely to prove as important, or even more important, than the substantive issues themselves.
- In order to make maximum use of today's discussion period, I propose that we briefly summarize some of the substantive

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

SENSITIVE

options available (all of which will require more specific analysis if chosen), but that we focus the bulk of our time today on the larger issues of diplomatic strategy, tactics, and timing. In any case, it will be these larger issues that will help us frame the substantive decisions we may wish to make.

A. The Substantive Options (15-20 minutes)

- I would like Ron Lehman of the NSC Staff to review the substantive options for us as outlined in the Summary of the discussion paper at pages 1 and 2.

[Ron Lehman highlights outline of aircraft, global/regional limitations, and "other elements" options as outlined in Summary -- 3-5 minutes.]

- As I said earlier, I don't believe this is the time to address in detail the merits of these individual substantive options. We will be discussing several of these individual elements when we consider the diplomatic options and illustrative packages to which our discussion will turn next. But are there some general observations about these that any of you would like to offer? Cap (Weinberger), perhaps you'd like to start out. George (Shultz)? Ken (Adelman)?

[Brief discussion by principals of illustrative substantive options -- 10-15 minutes.]

- This discussion suggests that we may be reaching a consensus on the merits of several of these Options. Options ___ and ___ appear to have substantive support and ___ have little support.
- Our staff will now seek to crystallize the implications of this discussion in terms of a recommendation and a decision. And we will no doubt want to have the Senior Arms Control Policy Group and/or the INF IG do some further work on the specific options.

B. The Diplomatic Options (20 minutes)

- Let us turn now to the diplomatic options. In terms of negotiating tactics and public handling of the negotiations, the discussion paper identifies a number of issues and gives us a very useful Table of Options with various illustrative packages. Perhaps we can keep that Table in front of us.
- The Table suggests as options: (1) that we essentially maintain our current negotiating position; or (2) that we table a comprehensive new proposal with an illustrative

package involving regional limits and aircraft limits as indicated; or (3) that we undertake a step-by-step presentation of major elements, either as a single package in early September, or in two phases, one in early September and one in late October.

- Can we have your views about these options, which of these approaches and illustrative you favor and about the timing involved. George (Shultz), will you begin? Paul (Nitze)? Cap (Weinberger)? Ken (Adelman)? Others?

[Discussion by principals -- 15 minutes]

- We appear to have a general consensus around Option __, with some opposition to Option __ (if applies). Do we have a consensus about the elements of the specific package.

IV. WRAP UP (5 minutes)

- We have made good progress today in clarifying the issues and in setting the path for the next round.

[You might at this point call on Ron Lehman to summarize/clarify the discussion.]

- Our staff will prepare a recommendation/decision package framed in terms of today's discussion.



NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL DISTRIBUTION RECORD

Log Number Sys II 90976

Date Aug 10, 1983

Subject: NSC Papers

CLASSIFICATION: ☐ TOP SECRET ☒ SECRET ☐ CONFIDENTIAL ☐ UNCLASSIFIED

INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

_____ Judge Clark	_____ Mr. Fontaine	_____ Mr. Lord	_____ Mr. Posa	_____ Mr. Tambs
_____ Mr. McFarlane	_____ Mr. Fortier	_____ Mr. Manfredi	_____ Mr. Raymond	_____ Mr. Teicher
_____ Adm. Poindexter	_____ Mr. Guhin	_____ Mr. Martin	_____ Mr. Reed	_____ Mr. Tyson
_____ Sit. Room	_____ Mr. Helm	_____ Mr. McGaffigan	_____ Ms. Reger	_____ Mr. Weiss
_____ Mr. Bailey	_____ Mr. Kemp	_____ Mr. McMinn	_____ Mr. Robinson	_____ Mr. Wettering
_____ Cmdr. Blair	_____ Mr. Kimmitt	_____ Mr. Morris	_____ Col. Russell	_____ Col. Wheeler
_____ Mr. Boverie	_____ Mr. Kraemer	_____ Ltc. Myer	_____ Col. Rye	_____ NSC Secretariat
_____ Ltc. Childress	_____ Mr. Laux	_____ Mr. Nau	_____ Mr. Sapia-Bosch	_____ NSC MSG Center
_____ Mr. De Graffenreid	_____ Mr. Levine	_____ Mr. North	_____ Mr. Sigur	_____ Admin. Office
_____ Ms. Dobriansky	_____ Col. Lilac	_____ Mr. Pipes	_____ Capt. Sims	
_____ Cmdr. Dur	_____ Ltc. Linhard	_____ Mr. Pollock	_____ Mr. Sommer	

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION:

	# CYS	Date	Time	Received/Signed For By:
THE VICE PRESIDENT	1	8/9/83	1429	<i>John H. Owens</i>
THE SECRETARY OF STATE Exec sec/Room 7241	1	8/9/83	220	<i>Jackson</i>
THE SECRETARY OF THE TREASURY Main Bldg/Room 3422	1			
THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE The Pentagon	1	10 Aug 83	1612	<i>Arthur L. Rindlemore</i>
DIRECTOR, ACDA Room 5933/Dept. of State	1	8/10/83	3:30	<i>Walter Wiggins</i>
CHAIRMAN US START DELEGATION C/o ACDA, 5933 State	1	8/10/83	1550	[REDACTED]
DIRECTOR, CIA Langley, Va/or Pickup	1	10 Aug 83	1612	<i>Arthur L. Rindlemore</i>
CHAIRMAN, JCS The Pentagon	1			
THE SECRETARY OF COMMERCE 14th & Const. Ave. NW, Room 5851	1	8/10/83	3:30	<i>Martha M. Blau</i>
DIRECTOR, OMB Room 252 OEOB	1			
THE SECRETARY OF ENERGY GA257, Forrestal Bldg	1			
THE SECRETARY OF TRANSPORTATION 400 7th Street S.W.	1	8/10/83	420	<i>Jackson</i>
U.S. REPRESENTATIVE TO UNITED NATIONS Room 6333, State Dept.	1			
THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 10th/Const. NW, Room 5119	1			
DIRECTOR, OSTP Room 360, OEOB	1			
DIRECTOR, USIA 1750 Penna. NW	1			
THE SECRETARY OF INTERIOR 18th & E. Street NW	1			
THE SECRETARY OF AGRICULTURE Independence & 14th SW	1			
UNITED STATES TRADE REPRESENTATIVE Room 209 Winder Bldg 17 & F St NW	1			
THE DIRECTOR, FEMA 500 C Street	1			
DIRECTOR, JOINT PROGRAM OFFICE (JPO) 1300 Wilson Blvd. #1051, Arlington, VA	1			
MANAGER, NATL COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM (NCS), 8th & SO Courthouse Rd, Arlington, VA	1			

DECLASSIFIED IN PART

NLS FDO 700-202#1335

By *LJI* NARA, Date *6/6/06*

FOIA(b) (3)

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL DISTRIBUTION RECORD

UNCLASSIFIED UPON REMOVAL
OF CLASSIFIED ENCLOSURE(S)

Log Number Sys IT 90976

Date Aug 10, 1983

Subject: NSC Papers

CIS 1/18/05

CLASSIFICATION: ☐ TOP SECRET ☒ SECRET ☐ CONFIDENTIAL ☐ UNCLASSIFIED

INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

_____ Judge Clark	_____ Mr. Fortaine	_____ Mr. Lord	_____ Mr. Posa	_____ Mr. Tambs
_____ Mr. McFarlane	_____ Mr. Fortier	_____ Mr. Manfredi	_____ Mr. Raymond	_____ Mr. Teicher
_____ Adm. Poindexter	_____ Mr. Guhin	_____ Mr. Martin	_____ Mr. Reed	_____ Mr. Tyson
_____ Sit. Room	_____ Mr. Helm	_____ Mr. McGaffigan	_____ Ms. Reger	_____ Mr. Weiss
_____ Mr. Bailey	_____ Mr. Kemp	_____ Mr. McMinn	_____ Mr. Robinson	_____ Mr. Wettering
_____ Cmdr. Blair	_____ Mr. Kimmitt	_____ Mr. Morris	_____ Col. Russell	_____ Col. Wheeler
_____ Mr. Boverie	_____ Mr. Kraemer	_____ Ltc. Myer	_____ Col. Rye	_____ NSC Secretariat
_____ Ltc. Childress	_____ Mr. Laux	_____ Mr. Nau	_____ Mr. Sapia-Bosch	_____ NSC MSG Center
_____ Mr. De Graffenreid	_____ Mr. Levine	_____ Mr. North	_____ Mr. Sigur	_____ Admin. Office
_____ Ms. Dobriansky	_____ Col. Lilac	_____ Mr. Pipes	_____ Capt. Sims	
_____ Cmdr. Dur	_____ Ltc. Linhard	_____ Mr. Pollock	_____ Mr. Sommer	

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION:

	# CYS	Date	Time	Received/Signed For By:
THE VICE PRESIDENT	<i>Cy 1</i> 1			
THE SECRETARY OF STATE Exec sec/Room 7241	<i>Cy 2</i> 1			
THE SECRETARY OF THE TREASURY Main Bldg/Room 3422				
THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE The Pentagon	<i>Cy 3</i> 1			
DIRECTOR, ACDA Room 5933/Dept. of State	<i>Cy 4</i> 1			
CHAIRMAN US START DELEGATION C/o ACDA, 5933 State				
DIRECTOR, CIA Langley, Va/or Pickup	<i>Cy 5</i> 1			
CHAIRMAN, JCS The Pentagon	<i>Cy 6</i> 1			
THE SECRETARY OF COMMERCE 14th & Const. Ave. NW, Room 5851				
DIRECTOR, OMB Room 252 OEOB	<i>Cy 7</i> 1			
THE SECRETARY OF ENERGY GA257, Forrestal Bldg				
THE SECRETARY OF TRANSPORTATION 400 7th Street S.W.				
U.S. REPRESENTATIVE TO UNITED NATIONS Room 6333, State Dept.	<i>Cy 8</i> 1			
THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 10th/Const. NW, Room 5119				
DIRECTOR, OSTP Room 360, OEOB				
DIRECTOR, USIA 1750 Penna. NW				
THE SECRETARY OF INTERIOR 18th & E. Street NW				
THE SECRETARY OF AGRICULTURE Independence & 14th SW				
UNITED STATES TRADE REPRESENTATIVE Room 209 Winder Bldg 17 & F St NW				
THE DIRECTOR, FEMA 500 C Street.				
DIRECTOR, JOINT PROGRAM OFFICE (JPO) 1300 Wilson Blvd. #1051, Arlington, VA				
MANAGER, NATL COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM (NCS), 8th & SO Courthouse Rd, Arlington, VA				

*Cy 10 - Kraemer
Cy 11 - Linhard
Cy 12 - Kimmitt*

DATE, TIME & SIGN THIS RECEIPT & RETURN TO: BT MERCHANT, SITUATION ROOM, WHITE HOUSE

~~SECRET~~

No. 90976

COPY 13

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL INFORMATION

Notice

The attached document contains classified National Security Council Information. It is to be read and discussed only by persons authorized by law.

Your signature acknowledges you are such a person and you promise you will show or discuss information contained in the document only with persons who are authorized by law to have access to this document.

Persons handling this document acknowledge he or she knows and understands the security law relating thereto and will cooperate fully with any lawful investigation by the United States Government into any unauthorized disclosure of classified information contained herein.

Access List

DATE	NAME	DATE	NAME
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____

~~SECRET~~

CAS 1/18/05
UNCLASSIFIED UPON REMOVAL
OF CLASSIFIED ENCLOSURE(S)

~~SECRET~~

SYSTEM II
90976

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

~~SECRET~~

August 10, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR:

Mr. Donald P. Gregg
Assistant to the Vice President for
National Security Affairs

Mr. Charles Hill
Executive Secretary
Department of State

Lieutenant Colonel W. Richard Higgins
Assistant for Interagency Matters
Office of the Secretary of Defense

Dr. Alton Keel
Associate Director for National Security
and International Affairs
Office of Management and Budget

Mr. Thomas B. Cormack
Executive Secretary
Central Intelligence Agency

Ms. Jacqueline Tillman
Executive Assistant to the
United States
Representative to the United
Nations

Brig Gen George A. Joulwan
Executive Assistant to the
Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff
The Pentagon

Mr. William B. Staples
Executive Secretary
Arms Control and Disarmament
Agency

Ambassador Paul Nitze
Head of the United States
Delegation to the Intermediate
Range Nuclear Force Negotiations

SUBJECT: NSC Meeting on INF -- Friday, August 12, 1983, 10:30-11:30 A.M. (C)

The attached paper on INF policy issues has been prepared for discussion at the National Security Council meeting to be held in the Cabinet Room on Friday, August 12, 1983, at 10:30 a.m. Because of special sensitivities involved, the paper is for attendees only, and further dissemination must be cleared by my office. Attendance at the meeting is limited to principal plus one, and names must be forwarded to Carol Cleveland (395-3044) by noon, Thursday, August 11. (S)

Robert M. Kimmitt
Robert M. Kimmitt
Executive Secretary

Attachment

INF Discussion Paper

~~SECRET~~
Declassify on: OADR

~~SECRET~~

DECLASSIFIED
White House Guidelines, August 28, 1997
By as NARA, Date 1/14/05

**National Security Council
The White House**

RECEIVED

gm

System # II
Package# 90976

83 AUG 10 11:49

	SEQUENCE TO	HAS SEEN	DISPOSITION
Executive Secretary	<u>1</u>	<u>mm</u>	
John Poindexter			
Bud McFarlane			
Jacque Hill			
Judge Clark			
John Poindexter			
Executive Secretary			
NSC Secretariat	<u>2</u>		<u>D</u>
Situation Room			

I-Information A-Action R-Retain D-Dispatch N-No further Action

DISTRIBUTION

cc: VP Meese Baker Deaver Other _____

COMMENTS

Should be seen by: _____
(Date/Time)

~~SECRET~~

SYSTEM II
90976

MEMORANDUM

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

~~SECRET~~

SENSITIVE

ACTION

August 10, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR ROBERT M. KIMMITT

FROM: SVEN KRAEMER *SK*

SUBJECT: NSC Meeting on INF -- Friday, August 12, 1983

Attached for your signature is a memorandum to agencies forwarding the "eyes only" discussion paper on INF policy issues prepared for use at the National Security Council meeting on Friday, August 12, 1983, at 10:30 a.m.

As you know, this paper was prepared on a very close-hold basis by the Senior Arms Control Policy Group, rather than by the INF IG. Because of Alliance sensitivities, any leak would be very serious. Per our earlier discussion, a few words have been added limiting access to the paper.

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the memorandum at Tab A forwarding the discussion paper for the August 12 NSC meeting on INF issues.

Approve *mm* Disapprove _____

Attachment

Tab A INF Discussion Paper, with Attachment

cc: Ron Lehman (traveling)
Bob Linhard (traveling)

DECLASSIFIED / RELEASED

NLS F00-002#1336

BY *hdt*, NARA, DATE 2/22/08

~~SECRET~~

Declassify on: OADR

SENSITIVE

~~SECRET~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL MEETING:

DATE:

8/12/83
10:32-11:32 a.m.
Cabinet Room

SUBJECT:

INF

PARTICIPANTS:

The President
The Vice President
Mr. Donald Gregg

State:

Secretary George P. Shultz
Dep Sec Kenneth W. Dam
Admiral Jonathan Howe
(Director, Bureau of Politico-
Military Affairs)
Mr. Richard Burt (Assistant
Secretary for European
Affairs)

Defense:

Secretary Caspar W. Weinberger
Dr. Fred C. Ikle (Under
Secretary for Policy)

OMB:

Mr. Joseph Wright (Deputy
Director)

CIA:

Mr. William J. Casey
Mr. Douglas George (Director,
Arms Control Intelligence Stf)

USUN:

Amb Jeane J. Kirkpatrick

ACDA:

Mr. Kenneth Adelman
Mr. Michael C. Guhin (Counselor)

JCS:

General John W. Vessey, Jr.
Admiral Arthur S. Moreau

White House:

Mr. Edwin Meese, III
Mr. James A. Baker, III
Mr. Michael K. Deaver
Judge William P. Clark

NSC:

Mr. Robert M. Kimmitt
Mr. Ronald E. Lehman
Mr. Sven Kraemer
Col Robert Linhard

DECLASSIFIED

NLRR F00-002 #2600

BY CU NARADATE 6/25/08

~~SECRET/SENSITIVE~~

SYSTEM II

90987

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

CHRON FILE

August 11, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR JUDGE CLARK

FROM:

Sven Kraemer *SK*

SUBJECT:

NSC Meeting on INF
-- August 12 - 10:30 am *CK*

In view of the discussion at today's Senior Arms Control Policy Group meeting, we have revised the proposed agenda at Roman Numeral III to structure the discussion in terms of the Discussion Paper's "Basic Questions" and "Table of Options". To facilitate discussion at the NSC meeting we recommend distributing the revised Agenda, the Basic Questions and the Table of Options -- with the latter two items drawn directly from the Discussion Paper.

In terms of your Talking Points, these would remain the same through the Shultz and Nitze presentations on page 4, at which point you would ask each principal in turn to discuss the Basic Questions and Table of Options. We anticipate some consensus shaping up around Option #3, illustrative approach #2. A wrap-up on consensus should be sought near end of meeting. Then Policy Group should be asked to work with NSC staff to prepare further/refined recommendations for highest level review.

Concurrence: *Ron* Ron Lehman *We are ready*

to meet with you in the morning, if you wish.
cc Lin Hand

DECLASSIFIED

White House Guidelines, August 29, 1997

By *CAS* NARA, Date *1/16/05*

National Security Council
The White House

776

II

System #

Package#

90987

83 AUG 11 P 7: 36

SEQUENCE TO

HAS SEEN

DISPOSITION

Executive Secretary

1

mm

John Poindexter

2

Bud McFarlane

Jacque Hill

13

Judge Clark

4

John Poindexter

Executive Secretary

NSC Secretariat

Situation Room

I-Information

A-Action

R-Retain

D-Dispatch

N-No further Action

DISTRIBUTION

cc: VP

Meese

Baker

Deaver

Other

COMMENTS

Should be seen by:

(Date/Time)

The issue is whether these items should be in the red folders for tomorrow's NSC.