

Ronald Reagan Presidential Library Digital Library Collections

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual collections.

Collection: Presidential Handwriting File:
Presidential Telephone Calls

Folder Title: Folder 148
(05/10/1986-05/18/1986)

Box: 8

To see more digitized collections visit:

<https://www.reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digitized-textual-material>

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library inventories visit:

<https://www.reaganlibrary.gov/archives/white-house-inventories>

Contact a reference archivist at: **reagan.library@nara.gov**

Citation Guidelines: <https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/research-support/citation-guide>

National Archives Catalogue: <https://catalog.archives.gov/>

WITHDRAWAL SHEET

Ronald Reagan Library

Collection: PRESIDENTIAL HANDWRITING FILE:
 Presidential Telephone Calls
File Folder: Folder 148 (05/10/86 - 05/18/86)

Archivist: lov
Date: June 9, 1999

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
1. memo (390160 PR007-02)	re recommended telephone call to Queen Elizabeth, <i>1p R, 6/28/00 NLF98-015 #18</i>	5/16/86	P1/F1

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P-1 National security classified information [(a)(1) of the PRA].
- P-2 Relating to appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA].
- P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA].
- P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA].
- P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA].
- P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA].
- C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- F-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA].
- F-2 Release could disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA].
- F-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA].
- F-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA].
- F-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA].
- F-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA].
- F-8 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(8) of the FOIA].
- F-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA].

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

39013555
PR 007-02

DATE: 5/14/86

NOTE FOR: WILL BALL

The President has

seen ☐
acted upon ☐
commented upon ☒

the attached; and it is forwarded to you for your:

information ☐
action ☒

David L. Chew
Staff Secretary
(x-2702)

cc: The Vice President
Donald T. Regan
Original to Files

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

RECOMMENDED TELEPHONE CALL

TO: Senator William Armstrong (R-Colorado)

DATE: Wednesday, May 14, 1986

RECOMMENDED BY: William L. Ball, III *WLB*

PURPOSE: To discuss with Senator William Armstrong the upcoming Saudi arms sale.

BACKGROUND: Senator Bill Armstrong voted for the Cranston resolution. Prior to the vote, Armstrong told us he was "negotiable" and wanted to know the status of the Saudi AWACS delivery. After the vote, in a conversation with Secretary Weinberger, Armstrong said "he didn't know this was that important" and he would give it his full attention. Armstrong was invited to your meeting yesterday with several Republican senators on this issue, but did not attend.

TOPICS FOR DISCUSSION: See Attached

DATE OF SUBMISSION: May 13, 1986

ACTION:

*He intends to study this very hard
I'm a little optimistic on this one. I told him
to call if he had any questions so make
sure I get any call from him.*

ATTACHMENT

TALKING POINTS

- Bill, I'm sorry you were unable to come down here yesterday to discuss the Saudi arms sale.
- I'm calling today because this issue is very important to me, and I wanted a chance to discuss it with you personally.
- I know Cap Weinberger has spoken with you and he tells me you are taking a hard look at this.
- Bill, this sale is very much in our own interest, and in the interest of developing a lasting settlement to the Arab-Israeli dispute. We're not just doing this as a favor to the Saudis.
- Even though we may differ on how best to achieve our policies in the Middle East, the Saudis have been very supportive.
- In fact, they were the ones who stopped Qaddafi's attempt to hold an Arab summit to condemn our strikes on Tripoli.
- There is also the question of our reliability as a security partner among other moderate nations in the Middle East, and this could curtail our access in the region.
- Bill, I hope that a Republican Senate will not override my veto. I need your help.

End
case
File

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

39013655
P12007-02

DATE: 5/14/86

NOTE FOR: WILL BALL

The President has

seen ☐

acted upon ☐

commented upon ☒

the attached; and it is forwarded to you for your:

information ☐

action ☒

David L. Chew
Staff Secretary
(x-2702)

cc: The Vice President
Donald T. Regan
Original to Files

The President has seen 5/14

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

RECOMMENDED TELEPHONE CALL

TO: Senator James Exon (D-Nebraska)

DATE: Wednesday, May 14, 1986

RECOMMENDED BY: William L. Ball, III *WB*

PURPOSE: To discuss with Senator James Exon the upcoming Saudi arms sale.

BACKGROUND: Senator Jim Exon (D-Nebraska) voted for the Cranston resolution. Exon's colleague from Nebraska, Ed Zorinsky, was one of two Democrats who voted against Cranston. Exon told us that he was "leaning no" before the vote. In a conversation with Admiral Crowe after the vote, Exon said he thinks the Saudis do not give us enough support, but he generally doesn't like to oppose the President on foreign policy matters. Admiral Crowe is not optimistic about Exon switching his position, but suggests that a personal call from you might work.

TOPICS FOR DISCUSSION: See Attached

DATE OF SUBMISSION: May 13, 1986

ACTION: Another one who'll look at it again
& give it real thought

ATTACHMENT

TALKING POINTS

- Jim, I'm calling with regard to the Saudi arms sale.
- I know you supported the Cranston resolution, but I wanted a chance to discuss this with you personally.
- I am not proposing this sale simply as a favor to the Saudis. This is very much in our own interest, and in the interest of achieving our goal of a lasting settlement to the Arab-Israel dispute.
- To accomplish these goals we must have support from within the moderate Arab community, and the Saudis have long been supportive of our policies, even when we may differ on specific ways to achieve them.
- The Saudis do not give financial support to Qaddafi, and have ensured that no economic or political sanctions were imposed against the United States. In fact, it was the Saudis who thwarted Qaddafi's efforts to hold an Arab summit to condemn our strikes on Tripoli.

- If we fail to help the Saudis with legitimate security requirements, it will undermine the credibility of all our security commitments in the region and could greatly curtail our access in the region.

- Jim, I know you have given this matter careful thought. But I hope you will review this situation once more, and that you could vote to sustain a veto of this resolution.

End
Case
File

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

RECORDED
1039 MAY 14 11 11 AM '86

May 14, 1986

RECOMMENDED PHONE CALL

TO: Kay Orr, Nebraska GOP nominee for Governor.

DATE: May 14, 1986

RECOMMENDED BY: Mitchell E. Daniels, Jr. *mdw*

PURPOSE: To congratulate Kay Orr on her Tuesday primary win and give her a boost for the general election.

BACKGROUND: Nebraska State Treasurer Kay Orr won yesterday's Republican gubernatorial primary in Nebraska, receiving 39% of the vote against a strong field including 1984 Senate nominee Nancy Hoch and former GOP State Chairman Kermit Brashear.

Orr will face former Democratic Lincoln Mayor Helen Boosalis in the first gubernatorial contest between two women ever.

Orr was a Reagan delegate to the 1976, 1980 and 1984 conventions, and served as co-chairman of the Platform Committee in 1984.

Interviewed on CBS-TV this morning, Orr proclaimed that the Reagan Administration had sent a record amount of dollars to Nebraska, but that more economic development work must be done by the state.

TOPICS OF DISCUSSION:

1. Congratulate on her win against an impressive field. Express confidence that the Republican Party will rally around her for the general election.
2. Wish her luck in the November general election.

3. The nation will be closely watching this first gubernatorial contest between two women.
4. Thank her for her past support.
5. Note that her record as a State Treasurer is proof that she will make a great governor.

DATE OF SUBMISSION: May 14, 1986

ACTION

Call made

End
case
File

39615255

PIC 007-02

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

RECEIVED

MAY 15 1986

RECOMMENDED TELEPHONE CALL

TO: Senator Russell Long (D-Louisiana)

DATE: Friday, May 16, 1986

RECOMMENDED BY: William L. Ball III *WB*

PURPOSE: To seek Senator Long's support for sustaining your veto of the Cranston resolution disapproving the Saudi arms sale.

BACKGROUND: Senator Russell Long voted for the Cranston resolution. He has generally been supportive of your foreign policy efforts, and supported the Saudi AWACS sale in 1981. Long comes from a major oil-producing state, and is feeling some heat because the current oil glut is depressing our domestic energy industry. Long is known for "keeping his own counsel" before a major vote. In a recent conversation with Secretary Shultz, Long sounded doubtful but did not give a firm indication of his position.

On May 8, you called Long to commend him for his work on the tax bill. Long is the Ranking Democrat on the Senate Finance Committee. Long was unable to meet with you yesterday because he was speaking to the Democrat Caucus on the tax bill.

TOPICS FOR DISCUSSION: See Attached

DATE OF SUBMISSION: May 15, 1986

ACTION: *Mr. Ball*

TALKING POINTS

- Russell, I'm sorry we couldn't get together yesterday. I know you are busy getting ready for Senate debate on the tax bill, and as you know, I'm promoting expeditious approval of that bill.
- Russell, this matter of the Saudi arms sale concerns me very much.
- You know, we aren't proposing this simply as a favor to the Saudis. Saudi Arabia has been playing a very important role in furthering our goals in the Middle East.
- I know they have to do some things behind the scenes, and they have to protect their own interests among the Arab community.
- If we ever hope to achieve our goal of a lasting settlement to the Arab-Israel dispute, we must have some support from within the moderate Arab community.
- Furthermore, the weapons we propose to sell are not new to the Saudi arsenal, and I would add that the Saudis have a perfect security record in terms of complying with our restrictions on these weapons.

- Russell, I am also concerned about our credibility as a reliable security partner. The Libyans are already saying we turned our backs on the Saudis after the Saudis "licked our boots".
- We must maintain our access to this critical region of the world, and we must also be a dependable security partner to our friends.
- I know you have concerns about this sale, and I hope we can respond to your questions.
- I hope the Senate will not override my veto and I need your help.

End
Case
File

— 59014255
172667-02

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

DATE: 5/19/86

NOTE FOR: WILL BALL

The President has

seen ☐

acted upon ☐

commented upon ☒

the attached; and it is forwarded to you for your:

information ☐

action ☒

David L. Chew
Staff Secretary
(x-2702)

cc: The Vice President
Donald T. Regan
Original to Files

The President has seen 5/19

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

RECEIVED
MAY 15 1986

RECOMMENDED TELEPHONE CALL

TO: Senator Dave Boren (D-Oklahoma)

DATE: Wednesday, May 16, 1986

RECOMMENDED BY: William L. Ball, III *WLB*

PURPOSE: To discuss with Senator Dave Boren the upcoming Saudi arms sale.

BACKGROUND: Senator Dave Boren (D-Oklahoma) voted for the Cranston resolution. Boren says this issue is a great "political problem." It is even more of a problem for his Republican colleague from Oklahoma, Don Nickles, who also voted for Cranston. If Boren refuses to change, we have no chance with Nickles either. Boren represents a big oil-producing state which has been hard hit by the current oil glut.

In a conversation with John Poindexter, Boren said he has an "open mind" with respect to the veto.

TOPICS FOR DISCUSSION: See Attached

DATE OF SUBMISSION: May 15, 1986

ACTION: Wants to find ways to try to
long to & Nickles get uncommitted here for
repatriating arms, from Jewish leaders - then etc.
He promised them at the time he wouldn't do it
again. He is all for us talking to Jewish leaders.

Attachment

TALKING POINTS

- Dave, I am very concerned about this Saudi arms sale issue.
- I know you have spoken with John Poindexter about this, and I just want to reiterate in the strongest way I can, the importance of this sale.
- I know you understand the importance of our maintaining access in this vital region, and you know that we must have reliable partners among the moderate Arab states.
- For over forty years, the United States and Saudi Arabia have been close partners in strategic military cooperation and this relationship has served both our interests.
- I am concerned that the more radical members of the Arab community are already responding to the potential defeat of this sale. The Libyans are claiming that we've turned our backs on Saudi Arabia after the Saudis "licked our boots".
- Dave, I know you have reservations about this sale, and I'll be glad to discuss them with you. But I hope you will be able to support my veto of the Cranston resolution.

End
Case
File

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

DATE: 5/19/86

39015355

PR007-02

NOTE FOR: WILL BALL

The President has

seen

☐

acted upon

☐

commented upon

☒

the attached; and it is forwarded to you for your:

information

☐

action

☒

David L. Chew
Staff Secretary
(x-2702)

cc: The Vice President
Donald T. Regan
Original to Files

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

RECOMMENDED TELEPHONE CALL

TO: Senator Frank Murkowski (R-Alaska)

DATE: Friday, May 16, 1986

RECOMMENDED BY: William L. Ball III *WB*

PURPOSE: To seek Senator Murkowski's support for sustaining your veto of the Cranston resolution disapproving the Saudi arms sale.

BACKGROUND: Senator Frank Murkowski, a member of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, co-sponsored Cranston's resolution and voted for it, both in committee and on the floor. Murkowski spoke with Mike Armacost at State just before the floor vote and indicated that he could not be with us "at least on the first vote." Murkowski voted for the sale of AWACS to Saudi Arabia in 1981, and is normally a supporter of the President's policies. With respect to the veto vote, Murkowski is very reluctant to change, but said to let him know our final vote count.

TOPICS FOR DISCUSSION: See Attached

DATE OF SUBMISSION: May 15, 1986

ACTION: *His problem is that he gave his word to his constituents there in Alaska. If he could find an out he would. He wants to get a report on my meeting (Mon.) with the Finnish leaders. He'll be back in Wash. Mon. night.*

TALKING POINTS

- Frank, this matter of the Saudi arms sale concerns me very much. I know you have been deeply involved in this issue as a member of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee.
- You know, we aren't proposing this simply as a favor to the Saudis. Saudi Arabia has been playing a very important role in furthering our goals in the Middle East.
- I know they have to do some things behind the scenes, and they have to protect their own interests among the Arab community.
- If we ever hope to achieve our goal of a lasting settlement to the Arab-Israel dispute, we must have some support from within the moderate Arab community.
- Furthermore, the weapons we propose to sell are not new to the Saudi arsenal, and I would add that the Saudis have a perfect security record in terms of complying with our restrictions on these weapons.

- Frank, I am also concerned about our credibility as a reliable security partner. The Libyans are already saying we turned our backs on the Saudis after the Saudis "licked our boots".
- We must maintain our access to this critical region of the world, and we must also be a dependable security partner to our friends.
- I know you have concerns about this sale, and I hope we can respond to your questions.
- I hope the Senate will not override my veto and I need your help.

End
Case
File

39016055

PK00702

May 86

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

1986 MAY 16 PM 3 21

May 16, 1986

RECOMMENDED TELEPHONE CALL

TO: Queen Elizabeth

DATE: Thursday, May 22, between 6:00 p.m. and 8:00 p.m., or Friday, May 23 between 9:00 a.m. and 9:45 a.m.RECOMMENDED BY: John M. Poindexter *JP*

BACKGROUND: Queen Elizabeth will be on a private visit to the U.S. between May 22 and 27. This is strictly a "horse visit." She will be inspecting her racehorses and visiting friends in the Lexington, Kentucky area. The British have suggested that a call to the Queen, as you did during her 1984 visit, would be greatly appreciated.

TOPICS OF
DISCUSSION:

1. Welcome the Queen to the United States. Note pleasure to have her visit this country.
2. Inquire how her racehorse enterprise is doing (we understand a number of the Queen's horses have foaled).
3. Reiterate congratulations to the Queen on the engagement of Prince Andrew to Sarah Ferguson.
4. Thank the Queen for Britain's support for our recent air operation against Libya. Extend appreciation for her country's strong stand in the fight against terrorism.

cc: Vice President

Call made

DECLASSIFIED / Released
NLS 198-015 #18
BY *dlb* NARA, DATE *6/29/00*

End
case
File