Ronald Reagan Presidential Library Digital Library Collections

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual collections.

Collection: Fontaine, Roger: Files

Folder: [FALKLANDS, MAY 1982-

SEPTEMBER 1982]

Box: Box 8

To see more digitized collections visit: https://www.reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digitized-textual-material

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library inventories visit: https://www.reaganlibrary.gov/archives/white-house-inventories

Contact a reference archivist at: reagan.library@nara.gov

Citation Guidelines: https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/research-support/citation-guide

National Archives Catalogue: https://catalog.archives.gov/

WITHDRAWAL SHEET

Ronald Reagan Library

Collection Name Fontaine, Roger: Files

Withdrawer

SRN

1/23/2012

File Folder

[FALKLANDS, MAY 1982-SEPTEMBER 1982]

FOIA

F01-027/4

Box Number

O'DONNELL

				3	
ID	Doc Type	Document Description	No of Pages	Doc Date	Restrictions
128096	МЕМО	JOHN POINDEXTER TO ROGER FONTAINE RE: SECRETARY HAIG'S EVENING REPORT, JUNE 24	1	6/28/1982	B1

The above documents were not referred for declassification review at time of processing

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]

B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]

B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]

B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]

B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA] B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]

B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]

B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

THE WHITE HOUSE WASHINGTON

May 24, 1982

Dear Bob:

Let me first thank you for your note with attachments on the Falkland question. As you know, the overriding interest of the United States is restoring peace in the South Atlantic. Everything we do will be consistent with that objective.

Your friend's comments are of interest, and I have shared them with my staff.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,

William P. Clark

Mr. Robert Keith Gray Chairman Gray and Company The Power House Washington, D. C. 20007

MI

MEMORANDUM

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

ACTION

May 17, 1982

SIGNED

MEMORANDUM FOR WILLIAM P. CLARK

FROM:

ROGER W. FONTAINE

SUBJECT:

Letter from Bob Gray on the

Falklands: Your Proposed Reply

Bob Gray has transmitted a proposal from Ricardo Zinn, an Argentine banker, who is a client of Gray and Company (Tab II). The proposal outlines the need for the U.S. not to give unlimited support to the British, if we are to preserve the inter-American system.

At Tab I is a brief letter from you to Bob Gray thanking him for his and his client's advice.

RECOMMENDATION:

That you sign the letter to Bob Gray at Tab I.

APPROVE	V	DISAPPROVE	

Jim Rentschler concurs.

Attachments

Tab I - Letter to Bob Gray
Tab II - Letter from Bob Gray

GRAY AND COMPANY

ROBERT KEITH GRAY

22 MAY 14 25: 00

May 13, 1982

The Honorable William Clark National Security Advisor The White House

Dear Judge:

The president of one of Argentina's largest banking systems is a personal friend who has sent me the attachments. In a nutshell these comments make particular sense to me and may be helpful to you:

This is the vital weekend of the Falkland Crisis. Odds are by Monday we will have either settlement or escalated past the point of solution.

In order to minimize opportunities for escallation the United States should declare its support of Great Britain is limited and does not include escallation.

To make such declaration will discourage escallation.

Making such declaration before the issue is resolved will give the strongest possible benefit to the long process ahead of rebuilding the inter-American system.

I know your platter overflows with problems and suggestions. I add to the latter only in hope it will be helpful.

Cordially,

WHY THE U.S. SHOULD DECLARE ITS SUPPORT OF GREAT BRITAIN IS NOT UNLIMITED

While not questioning Great Britain's right to defend its interests and the United States' decision to uphold its commitments to a steadfast and longtime ally, we do not deem it to be in the best interest of either country for the United States to condone an unlimited use of force in this conflict. The current U.N. negotiations give us some reason to hope peace is in sight. It is important both parties feel that the only way to achieve lasting peace is through these negotiations, that nothing is to be gained by military action. To the extent possible, the U.S. should be seen to be in favor of a negotiated settlement and not as an antagonizing force. Such a position, we strongly feel, would assist in achieving peace; it would also greatly help the United States' post-crisis position in Latin America.

A prompt and peaceful military disengagement is of the highest priority; so too is the projection of a U.S. posture which will enable it quickly to alleviate damage already done to the Inter-American system.

The United States should immediately make clear, in both private and public forums, through spokesmen at the highest level that it opposes any further escalation of the military conflict, either tactically or geographically.

page 2

its support of Great Britain would not include backing for the extension of conflict to the Argentine mainland, nor the employment of nuclear weapons. The extent of Argentine and Latin American preoccupation over these prospects should not be underestimated.

Moreover, by officially underscoring its support for a negotiated settlement at this moment of rumored deadlines, and by making plain the fact that U.S. support of Great Britain is not unconditional, the United States will be perceived as having been a force for conciliation at this decisive moment.

The United States has worked steadily and consistently during the past 50 years to build up a political and economic alliance with the countries of the Western Hemisphere. With most of the same countries, it has also established a military alliance. The ensemble of these relations established at those various levels through different treaties is known as "the Inter-American System."

But, above all, the Inter-American System is a Western

Hemisphere consensus to which the United States as its keystone

member has provided direction and orientation during the past

decades.

The United States has saved no effort and sacrifice to build up said consensus. It has provided economic and military aid to Western Hemisphere nations, and has waived its rights over areas under American flag in the continent (Panama Canal) to protect and strengthen the system; it has maintained an organizational aparatus to keep a permanent level of dialogue among nations (OAS) and to keep open channels of economic multilateral cooperation (IDB). Under the light of history and past experiences, it seems in the national interest not to break the system built over a half

century. The very ingenuity and uniqueness of this system is that nations with different cultural and political backgrounds have acknowledged a common interest and international purpose with the United States.

Whatever the reasons and the purposes of the U.S. stance over the present British-Argentine dispute, it is true that the Inter-American consensus (i.e., the very core of the system) has been seriously damaged by the United States siding with the United Kingdom while almost all Latin countries have backed Argentina.

Paradoxically, the very creator of the system has provoked its destruction. U.S. actions demonstrate that its interests in the global scenario are no longer parallel to the Latin American members of the system on this issue which is so vital to them.

Positive and immediate U.S. action is required to restore equilibrium. The long-term interests of the United States in the Western Hemisphere cannot afford the collapse of the system.

Positive action calls, on one hand, for a public and a diplomatic stance directed toward extricating the U.S. from its unqualified support of British interests in the South Atlantic, and on the

other, for an urgent and clear sign of renewed commitment to the Inter-American system. This action is needed if the U.S. is to stop any further deterioration of its ties with Latin America.

WITHDRAWAL SHEET

Ronald Reagan Library

Collection Name

Withdrawer

Fontaine, Roger: Files

SRN 1/23/2012

File Folder

FOIA

[FALKLANDS, MAY 1982-SEPTEMBER 1982]

F01-027/4

O'DONNELL

Box Number

3

ID	Document Type
	Document Description

No of Doc Date Restricpages

tions

128096 MEMO

6/28/1982 B1

JOHN POINDEXTER TO ROGER FONTAINE RE: SECRETARY HAIG'S EVENING REPORT, JUNE 24

The above documents were not referred for declassification review at time of processing Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]
- C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.