Ronald Reagan Presidential Library Digital Library Collections

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual collections.

Collection: President, Office of the: Presidential

Briefing Papers: Records

Folder Title: 05/16/1984 (Case File 292156)

Box: 44

To see more digitized collections visit: https://www.reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digitized-textual-material

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library Inventories, visit: https://www.reaganlibrary.gov/archives/white-house-inventories

Contact a reference archivist at: reagan.library@nara.gov

Citation Guidelines: https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/research-support/citation-guide

National Archives Catalogue: https://catalog.archives.gov/

Last Updated: 12/17/2024

14

T X-MEDIA

Page 191 10# 292 156

OFFICE OF RECORDS MANAGEMENT WORKSHEET

400	od	to.
- 77	N	PC.
	9	₽.œ

H-INTERNAL					
Name of Document: BRIEFING PAPERS FOR PRESIDENT'S SCHEDULED APPOINTMENTS FOR 1) Subject: Meetings to discuss Marrowles amendmen Cuthorization Bill with	of t	AYUGE Bennett the Dy Followth	P P P		ject Codes: 0 0 7 - 0 1 0 0 4 -
A) STEWART MCKINNEY ON B) IMATTHEW RINALDO, FRA ROBERT MICH	NKI		So T		
2) Briefing for journalists in "Older american" is publications	spe	cializing			
3) Moeting with the Cimerica Federation.	n Ri	lail			
ROUTE TO:		ACTION		DISPO	SITION
Office/Agency (Staff Name)	Action Code	ion Tracking Date Type		Code	Completion Date YY/MM/DD
RMHENL	RSZ			С	

Referral Note:

THE SCHEDULE OF PRESIDENT RONALD REAGAN



Wednesday, May 16, 1984

一种大学中央中央		
9:00 am (30 min)	Staff Time (Baker/Meese/Deaver)	Oval Office
9:30 am (15 min)	National Security Briefing (McFarlane)	Oval Office
9:45 am (15 min)	Senior Staff Time	Oval office
10:00 am (30 min)	Personal Staff Time	Oval Office
10:30 am (10 min)	Personnel Time (Herrington)	Oval Office
10:45 am (60 min)	Congressional Meeting (Oglesby) (TAB A)	Cabinet Room
11:45 am (10 min)	Drop by Briefing for Senior Citizens' Press (McManus) (TAB B) (remarks di	Indian Treaty Room stributed previously)
12:00 m (60 min)	Lunch	Oval Office
1:00 pm (15 min)	Meeting with Senator John Warner (Oglesby) (available later)	Oval Office
1:15 pm (15 min)	Drop by Briefing for American Retail Federation (Fuller/Henkel) (TAB C) (remarks di	East Room stributed previously)
1:30 pm (30 min)	Meeting with Secretary Shultz	Oval Office/ Residence
2:00 pm	Personal Staff Time Remainder of the Afternoon	Residence
7:30 pm	The President and Mrs. Reagan depart for Drop by at White House News Photographers Association Annual Dinner at the Sheraton Washington	South Lawn
	(Henkel/Speakes) (TAB D) (remarks di	stributed previously)
8:15 pm	Arrive back at White House	South Lawn

UNP 05/15/84 4:00 pm

1984 MAY 16 PM 2: 15 THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

May 16, 1984

MEMORANDUM FOR RICHARD DARMAN

FROM:

W. DENNIS THO

The following Members of the House of Representatives met with the President in the Oval Office today at the times indicated below:

Congressman Stewart McKinney (10:45 a.m.)
Congressman Frank Horton (11:00 a.m.)
Congressman Matthew Rinaldo (11:00 a.m.)
Congressman Elliot Levitas (11:15 a.m.)
Congressman Roy Rowland (11:15 a.m.)
Congressman Robert Michel (10:45 a.m. - 11:15 a.m.)

WASHINGTON

MEETING WITH CONGRESSMAN STEWART MCKINNEY (R-CONNECTICUT) AND CONGRESSMAN BOB MICHEL (R-ILLINOIS)

Date: Wednesday, May 16, 1984

Location: The Oval Office

Time: 10:45 a.m. (10 minutes)
From: M.B. Oglesby, Jr.

I. PURPOSE

To solicit Congressman McKinney's opposition to the Bennett-Mavroules amendment to the Defense Authorization bill. The amendment would prohibit production of the Peacekeeper missile in FY '85 and prevent deployment of missiles already authorized for FY '84.

II. BACKGROUND

The Fiscal Year 1985 Defense Authorization bill will be considered by the House the week of May 14, 1984. In its present form, the bill authorizes thirty Peacekeeper missiles - down ten from the Administration request. anticipated that an amendment will be offered by Congressmen Charles Bennett (D-Florida) and Nick Mavroules (D-Massachusetts) which will delete MX production funding for FY 1985 and prevent the deployment of the Peacekeeper missiles which were already authorized for FY 1984. A bipartisan compromise amendment will be offered by Les Aspin (D-Wisconsin) in order to preempt the Bennett-Mavroules effort. While we have not endorsed the Aspin approach and its content is not fully developed, it most certainly would be less damaging than Bennett-Mavroules. It is anticipated that these votes on the MX missile program could occur as soon as Wednesday, May 16.

Stewart "Stew" McKinney is a seven-term Republican from Connecticut's Fourth District (southwest corner). He is Ranking Republican on the House District of Columbia Committee, and a member of the House Banking Committee. He did not support the MX Peacekeeper missile on key House votes last year, and is presently reported to be leaning against our position.

Congressman Bob Michel is a 14-term Republican from Illinois's 18th District (Peoria), and is the House Republican Leader. He supports the Peacekeeper missile program.

The opposition of Congressman McKinney to the Bennett-Mavroules amendment is important to securing continued funding for the MX Peacekeeper missile program.

III. PARTICIPANTS

The President

Congressional:

Congressman Stewart McKinney (R-Connecticut)
Congressman Robert Michel (R-Illinois)

White House Staff:

James Baker III Bud McFarlane M.B. Oglesby, Jr.

IV. PRESS PLAN

White House photographer only.

V. SEQUENCE OF EVENTS

No set agenda.

Attachment: Talking Points

TALKING POINTS

- -- Once again this week we face a tough issue on funding for the Peacekeeper missile program.
- -- I remain convinced that deployment of the Peacekeeper, as recommended by the Bipartisan Commission on Strategic Forces, is essential to our ability to assure a strong deterrent posture and to achieve ambitious arms control agreements that promote stability.
- -- Our arms control goal continues to be the conclusion of agreements that will enhance security and stability by reducing overall strategic force levels while permitting modernization of forces necessary for a credible deterrent.
- -- We are having difficulty with our arms control efforts

 because the Soviets are playing a waiting game. They walked

 out of the INF talks and failed to set a resumption date for

 the START talks.
- -- Halting production and deployment of the missile now, as the Bennett-Mavroules amendment would do, would only reward the Soviets for leaving START and INF. It would also undermine a key element in our strategic modernization program.

- -- The Soviets did not reciprocate during the 1970s when we showed unilateral restraint and we should not expect them to do so now, particularly if doubts are raised about U.S. resolve.
- -- In short, the Bennett-Mavroules amendment would be a step backwards in our efforts both to assure a strong deterrent posture and to achieve arms control agreements that promote stability.
- -- As you know, this is one of the few legislative issues this year which is critically important to me personally. Can I count on your help?

WASHINGTON

MEETING WITH CONGRESSMAN MATTHEW RINALDO (R-NEW JERSEY), CONGRESSMAN FRANK HORTON (R-NEW YORK) AND CONGRESSMAN ROBERT MICHEL (R-ILLINOIS)

Date: Wednesday, May 16, 1984

Location: The Oval Office

Time: 11:05 a.m. (15 minutes)

From: M.B. Oglesby, Jr.

I. PURPOSE

To solicit Congressman Rinaldo's, and Congressman Horton's opposition to the Bennett-Mavroules amendment to the Defense Authorization bill. The amendment would prohibit production of the Peacekeeper missile in FY '85 and prevent deployment of missiles already authorized for FY '84.

II. BACKGROUND

The Fiscal Year 1985 Defense Authorization bill will be considered by the House the week of May 14, 1984. In its present form, the bill authorizes thirty Peacekeeper missiles - down ten from the Administration request. anticipated that an amendment will be offered by Congressmen Charles Bennett (D-Florida) and Nick Mavroules (D-Massachusetts) which will delete MX production funding for FY 1985 and prevent the deployment of the Peacekeeper missiles which were already authorized for FY 1984. A bipartisan compromise amendment will be offered by Les Aspin (D-Wisconsin) in order to preempt the Bennett-Mavroules effort. While we have not endorsed the Aspin approach and its content is not fully developed, it most certainly would be less damaging than Bennett-Mavroules. It is anticipated that these votes on the MX missile program could occur as soon as Wednesday, May 16.

Congressman Matt Rinaldo is a 6-term Republican from New Jersey's Seventh District (central state). He is a member of the House Energy and Commerce Committee and is Ranking Republican on the Select Committee on Aging. He supported the Peacekeeper missile on key House votes last year, but is presently reported to be undecided, leaning against our position.

Congressman Frank Horton is an 11-term Republican from New Yorks' 29th District (Rochester). He is Ranking Republican on the House Government Operations Committee. He supported the Peacekeeper missile on key House votes last year, but is presently reported to be undecided.

Congressman Bob Michel is a 14-term Republican from Illinois' 18th District (Peoria) and is the House Republican Leader. He supports the Peacekeeper missile program.

Congressmen Rinaldo and Horton's opposition to the Bennett-Mavroules amendment is important to securing continued funding for the Peacekeeper missile program.

III. PARTICIPANTS

The President

Congressional:

Congressman Matt Rinaldo (R-New Jersey)
Congressman Frank Horton (R-New York)
Congressman Bob Michel (R-Illinois)

White House Staff:

James Baker III Bud McFarlane M.B. Oglesby, Jr.

IV. PRESS PLAN

White House photographer only.

V. SEQUENCE OF EVENTS

No set agenda.

Attachment: Talking Points

TALKING POINTS

- -- Once again this week we face a tough issue on funding for the Peacekeeper missile program.
- recommended by the Bipartisan Commission on Strategic
 Forces, is essential to our ability to assure a strong
 deterrent posture and to achieve ambitious arms control
 agreements that promote stability.
- -- Our arms control goal continues to be the conclusion of agreements that will enhance security and stability by reducing overall strategic force levels while permitting modernization of forces necessary for a credible deterrent.
- -- We are having difficulty with our arms control efforts because the Soviets are playing a waiting game. They walked out of the INF talks and failed to set a resumption date for the START talks.
- -- Halting production and deployment of the missile now, as the Bennett-Mavroules amendment would do, would only reward the Soviets for leaving START and INF. It would also undermine a key element in our strategic modernization program.

- The Soviets did not reciprocate during the 1970s when we showed unilateral restraint and we should not expect them to do so now, particularly if doubts are raised about U.S. resolve.
- -- In short, the Bennett-Mavroules amendment would be a step backwards in our efforts both to assure a strong deterrent posture and to achieve arms control agreements that promote stability.
- -- As President, I must be able to continue these efforts on behalf of the nation. Can I count on your help in defeating the Bennett-Mavroules amendment?

WASHINGTON

MEETING WITH CONGRESSMAN ROY ROWLAND (D-GEORGIA) AND CONGRESSMAN ELLIOTT LEVITAS (D-GEORGIA)

Date: Wednesday, May 16, 1984

Location: The Oval Office

Time: 11:15 a.m. (15 minutes)
From: M.B. Oglesby, Jr.

I. PURPOSE

To solicit Congressmen Rowland's and Levitas' opposition to the Bennett-Mavroules amendment to the Defense Authorization bill. The amendment would prohibit production of the Peacekeeper missile in FY '85 and prevent deployment of missiles already authorized for FY '84.

II. BACKGROUND

The Fiscal Year 1985 Defense Authorization bill will be considered by the House the week of May 14, 1984. In its present form, the bill authorizes thirty Peacekeeper missiles - down ten from the Administration request. It is anticipated that an amendment will be offered by Congressmen Charles Bennett (D-Florida) and Nick Mavroules (D-Massachusetts) which will delete MX production funding for FY 1985 and prevent the deployment of the Peacekeeper missiles which were already authorized for FY 1984. A bipartisan compromise amendment will be offered by Les Aspin (D-Wisconsin) in order to preempt the Bennett-Mavroules effort. While we have not endorsed the Aspin approach (15 missiles and a six-month delay), it most certainly would be less damaging than Bennett-Mavroules. It is anticipated that these votes on the MX missile program could occur as soon as Wednesday, May 16.

Congressman Roy Rowland is a freshman Democrat from Georgia's 8th District (central part of the state). He is a member of the House Veterans' Affairs Committee and the Public Works and Transportation Committee. He supported the MX on key House votes last year, but is presently reported to be undecided, leaning yes.

Congressman Elliott Levitas is a fifth-term Democrat from Georgia's fourth district (north Atlanta). He is a Member of the House Government Operations Committee and the House Public Works and Transportation Committee. He supported the Peacekeeper Missile on key House votes last year, but is presently reported to be undecided. You should be aware that Congressman Levitas has been helpful on the anti-terrorism initiative.

Congressmen Rowland and Levitas were invited to attend a meeting scheduled with you on May 15th, but phoned to regret their inability to attend due to floor debate and impending vote on the Equal Access bill. They requested another opportunity to meet with you to discuss the MX-Peacekeeper missile program.

III. PARTICIPANTS

The President

Congressional:

Congressman Roy Rowland (D-Georgia)
Congressman Elliott Levitas (D-Georgia)

White House Staff:

James Baker III Bud McFarlane M.B. Oglesby, Jr.

IV. PRESS PLAN

White House photographer only.

V. SEQUENCE OF EVENTS

No set agenda.

Attachment: Talking Points

TALKING POINTS

- -- Once again this week we face a tough issue on funding for the Peacekeeper missile program.
- as recommended by the Bipartisan Commission on
 Strategic Forces, is essential to our ability to assure
 a strong deterrent posture and to achieve ambitious
 arms control agreements that promote stability.
- -- Our arms control goal continues to be the conclusion of agreements that will enhance security and stability by reducing overall strategic force levels while permitting modernization of forces necessary for a credible deterrent.
- -- We are having difficulty with our arms control efforts because the Soviets are playing a waiting game. They walked out of the INF talks and failed to set a resumption date for the START talks.

- -- Halting production and deployment of the missile now, as the Bennett-Mavroules amendment would do, would only reward the Soviets for leaving START and INF. It would also undermine a key element in our strategic modernization program.
- -- The Soviets did not reciprocate during the 1970s when we showed unilateral restraint and we should not expect them to do so now, particularly if doubts are raised about U.S. resolve.
- -- In short, the Bennett-Mavroules amendment would be a step backwards in our efforts both to assure a strong deterrent posture and to achieve arms control agreements that promote stability.
- -- As you know, this is one of the few legislative issues this year which is critically important to me personally. Can I count on your help?

WASHINGTON

May 15, 1984

BRIEFING FOR JOURNALISTS SPECIALIZING IN "OLDER AMERICANS"

DATE: May 16, 1984

LOCATION: Indian Treaty Room

TIME: 11:45 a.m.

FROM: Michael A. McManus, Jr. 7000

I. PURPOSE

To greet editors after they have been briefed by Carolyne Davis on Medicare, Lois Herrington on victims of crime, Carol Crawford, of the Federal Trade Commission, on recent regulatory developments in the funeral industry and credit lines and Jack Svahn on Social Security.

II. BACKGROUND

These editors have been invited to the White House specifically for this event, to highlight "Older Americans" month. They include journalists of publications targeting senior citizens as well as a few beat reporters from publications in areas with a large senior citizen population, such as Florida, California, Arizona and other parts of the Sunbelt. We anticipate that the recent attention to Social Security will be an issue.

III. PREVIOUS PARTICIPATION

None.

IV. PARTICIPANTS

The President
Journalists (list attached)
Carolyne Davis
Lois Herrington
Jack Svahn
Carol Crawford
Merrie Spaeth

V. PRESS PLAN

White House Photographer (Audience is press, including electronic representatives with cameras)

VI. SEQUENCE OF EVENTS

Attachment: Talking Points

List of Participants

Elliot Carlson Washington Bureau Chief Modern Maturity Magazine

Brad Zweck Reporter Older American Reports

Arlene Craig Washington Representative National Pacific-Asian Resource Center on Aging

Barbara Dreyfuss Editor Washington Health Costs Letter

Lori Schor Associate Editor Health Care Publications

Sally Webb Office Manager The Senior Voice Newspaper

Edward McVeigh Writer Summit Publishing

Barbara Allen Correspondent Health Policy Week

Sally Small Assistant Managing Editor American Association of Homes for the Aging

Deborah Cloud Managing Editor American Association of Homes for the Aging

Mary Youry Director, Editorial Dept. National Council on Aging

Kathleen O'Donoghue Health Programs Analyst American Health Care Association

Pamela Fessler Reporter Congressional Quarterly Clay Mickle
Assoc. Dir.of Communications
American Hospital Association

Kathy Coleman Dir. of Special Projects National Caucus&Center on Black Aged

Nancy Aldrich Editor Aging Services News

Denise Brown Science & Medical Reporter Medill News Service

Karen Gilgoff Information Officer National Council of Senior Citizens

Cynthia Wallace Washington Editor Modern Health Care Magazine

Sherry Arnstein V.P. for Gov't. Relations National Health Council

Rae Hamilton Senior Editor N.A.S.W. News

Stuart Ginsberg Dentist American Dental Association

Alan Thompson Reporter Scripps-Howard News SErvice

Ruth Fort Administrator Pension Rights Center

Susan Parker Staff Correspondent Home Health Line

Charles Dooley

A.A.R.P.

Linda Harootan Policy/Public Info. Officer The Gerontological Society of America

Joann Smith
Representative Ed. Brd.
American Family Center for Family Studies

Jerome Nordquist Public Affairs Specialist Department of Health and Human Services

Mary Gibson Associate Editor Ageing International

William Robinson Washington Bureau Chief Drug Topics Magazine

Michael Woods Science Editor Toledo Blade-Washington Bureau

Irwin Speizer
Reporter
Ft. Lauderdale News and Sun Sentinal

Granville Carleton Photographer Boston Herald

Barbara Carleton Columnist Boston Herald

Rebecca Eckstein Director of Nat'l. Affairs National Association of Mature Persons

Stephanie Tames Editor McGraw Hill Washington Health Letters

Don Gibbons reporter Medical World News

Burt Schorr Reporter Wall Street Journal Barbara Brilliant Host/Producer Prime Time WBZ-TV Boston

Lisa Kreiger

American Medical News

Mary McNamara Managing Editor Caring Magazine, National Assoc. for Home Care

James Brown Director, Public Affairs Social Security Administration

James Davis Publicity Representative National Gray Panthers

Irving King
Photographer
Editorial Dept., National Council on Aging

Marilu Halamandaris Photographer Caring Magazine

Thomas Hasler Reporter Baltimore Evening Sun



WASHINGTON

May 15, 1984

MEETING WITH THE AMERICAN RETAIL FEDERATION

DATE:

May 16 1984

LOCATION:

East Room

TIME:

1:15 P.M. (15 Minutes)

FROM: Craig L. Fuller

I. **PURPOSE**

To speak to members of the American Retail Federation.

II. BACKGROUND

The American Retail Federation consists of over thirty national and fifty state associations. Approximately 1.5 million retail business entities make up the membership of the federation.

1983 and 1984 have been excellent years for the American retail industry. Retail sales during 1983 reached an all-time high of \$1.2 trillion. 1984 has shown itself to hold great promise as retail sales increased 14.5 percent from \$254 billion in the first quarter of 1983 to \$291 billion during the first quarter of 1894.

The retail industry is one of the most intensely competitive industries in the nation. Also, as the most entrepreneurial industry in the nation, the philosophy of American retailers, in general, closely parallels that of this Administration.

As a very fragmented industry, the largest retailer in America, Sears and Roebuck, accounts for no more than 5 percent of the sales in the markets in which it competes. Necessarily, this level of fragmentation fosters intense competition among retailers which, in turn, drives down prices to the lowest sustainable levels. During the period of 1979-80, when inflation approximated 14%, the price increases of the retail industry averaged only 6 percent.

Although the federation does not support the Administration's recent decision on textile imports, it generally supports the direction and intent of it's free trade policies.

The American Retail Federation has also actively supported the Administration's economic recovery program. The liberalized depreciation rules under the Accelerated Cost Recovery System have been extremely helpful to American retailers. It has helped them to update an expand stores and to expand product lines nationwide. The federation has continually supported the Administration's Bankruptcy Reform Legislation which proposes to strengthen bankruptcy laws and to expedite the adjudication of bankruptcy cases.

III. PARTICIPANTS

150 members of the American Retail Federation.

IV. PRESS PLAN

White House Press

V. SEQUENCE OF EVENTS

- Prior to your arrival there will be a NSC briefing on your trip to China.
- You will proceed to the podium, make brief remarks and depart.
- Ed Meese will then make brief remarks; a reception will follow.