Ronald Reagan Presidential Library Digital Library Collections

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual collections.

Collection: Reagan, Ronald: Gubernatorial Papers, 1966-74: Press Unit Folder Title: California State Office of Economic Opportunity – Evaluation Report 03/26/1971 (6 of 7) Box: P27

To see more digitized collections visit: <u>https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digital-library</u>

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library inventories visit: <u>https://reaganlibrary.gov/document-collection</u>

Contact a reference archivist at: reagan.library@nara.gov

Citation Guidelines: <u>https://reaganlibrary.gov/citing</u>

National Archives Catalogue: https://catalog.archives.gov/

SECTION

Q-VIII

THE OEO REGIONAL OFFICE AND THE SEOO

с. С		THE OEO REGIONAL OFFICE AND THE SEOO	RATING
QUES. #	REF.		. MILLING
#6.	7501-1 7f. 6f.	Has the Regional Office jointly worked out a written agreement with the SEOO dividing responsibilities as staff capability and interest dictate to assure maximum of coordination and a minimum of overlapping of activities and functions carried out by their respective field representatives?	yes no don't know
#7.	750 1-1 7g. 4b.	Has the Regional Office invited with adequate advance notice the SEOO to all "pre-reviews" held with other OEO grantees in the state?	yes no don't know
	W.P III-A	LIST the pre-reviews during the past 6 months, with dates when notices were mailed.	
		DESCRIBE cases when the SEOO has been par- ticularly helpful to the Regional Office Field Representative.	•
#8.	7501-1 7h. 6d.	 Has the Regional Office ensured that a copy of all applications and requests for OEO funds as well as requests for reprogramming, submitted to the Regional Office for approval is sent by the applicant simultaneously to the SEOO for information and comment, with written notice upon the receipt of all applications and with written comment by the SEOO on funding request, which receives serious consideration and response by the Regional Office prior to funding? LIST grant applications with written SEOO comments (favorable and unfavorable) during the past six months which particularly re- 	yes no don't know
		ceived serious consideration. DESCRIBE the significant cases in relation	
		to efforts to reach agreement.	

SECTION

Q-VIII

RATING

yes no don't know

REF. QUES. #

7501-1 **#9**. 4c. 6e.

7i.

Has the Regional Office invited the SEOO to participate in all OEO staff evaluation teams which review the overall effectiveness of a grantee's program; has shared with the SEOO, the findings and recommendations of such teams evaluations immediately upon completion of the written report; has jointly worked out a followup procedure and plan to ensure implementation of OEO's recommendations?

LIST for evaluations conducted during the past six months:

- (1) when invitation was sent to SEOO
- (2) when findings and recommendations were sent to SEOO.
- (3) when follow-up procedures and plans were worked out.

DESCRIBE significant successes or failures in joint participation.

#10.

7501-1

7501-1

7k.

7j.

Has the Regional Office worked through -- or in consultation with -- the SEOO in any of its dealings with other state agencies, unless it has arranged for a by-pass agreement with the SEOO for specific types of contacts elsewhere in the state government?

yes no don't know

#11.

Have the Regional Director and the SEOO Directors jointly planned and participated in regular meetings -- to be held at least quarterly -- to discuss mutual problems, exchange information and explore new and innovative ways to increase their effectiveness in working together?

yes no don't know

SECTION

Q-IX

~~			longern earse armites are interested.
QUES. #	REF.	HEADQUARTERS/OEO AND THE SEOO	RATING
#1.	7501-1 8c.	Has the Office of Operations fostered an ex- change of information and program experience among all the SEOOs and the Regional Offices? LIST occasions during the past six months when this was done.	yes no don't know
#2.	7501 -1 8c.	Has the Office of Operations sponsored and jointly planned with the SEOOs and the Regional Offices an annual national conference for all SEOO Directors?	yes no don't know
#3.	7501-1 8e.	Has OEO Headquarters consulted with the SEOO to ascertain national and inter-regional training and technical assistance needs of the SEOOs and to assist them in meeting such needs by the use of OEO personnel or through contractors? LIST occasions when such consultation took place during the past year. Identify assistance given and OEO staff or con- tractors involved.	yes no don't know
#4.	750 1-1 8h.	How well has the Office of Operations assisted the SEOO in its dealings with the Headquarters offices or other federal agencies?	good poor don't know
#5.	7501-1 8i. 6d.	Has each OEO Headquarters funding office insured the providing to the SEOO of one copy of each official application (or an "information packet" summarizing the application), submitted to that office for approval and insured that written comment by the SEOO received serious con- sideration by OEO Headquarters prior to funding? LIST when during the past six months such applications were submitted to the SEOO and the number of days before the grant date and when written comments were sent to OEO.	yes no don't know
		성수는 것은 것은 것을 알려야 한다. 김 가지는 것은 것은 것을 수 있는 것을 하는 것을 수 있다. 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 수 있다. 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 수 있다. 이렇게 하는 것을 하는 것을 수 있다. 이렇게 하는 것을 하는 것을 수 있다. 이렇게 하는 것을 수 있다. 이 하는 것을 수 있다. 이렇게 아니	

-29-

				新
\sim			Q-X .	- North
			I	
UES. #	REF.	SEOO ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT	, RATING	Carl Strategy and Strategy
#1.	750 1-1 1-	A. ORGANIZATION	÷	ALL ALL DIGUTE ALL DIGUTE
		Is the SEOO located at a high level in the state government structure, readily accessible to the Governor?	yes no don't know	APARCULAR WAR IN PARTY IN AND
		DESCRIBE the levels of supervision and con- trol exist between the SECO and the Gov- ernor.	-	AND ALL AND THE YEAR NAME AND A VALUE OF THE PARTY
#2.		Does the organizational location of the SEOO compare favorably with other similar state agencies?	yes no don'た know	CALIFORNIA CONTRACTOR AND
٦.		Are certain SEOO functions assigned to other - state offices, e.g. financial accounting, pro- gram operations?	yes no don't know	A STURED SUCCESSION STORE STORE
		LIST delegated functions.		なためたからいたいないないないである
#4.	CAP 81 I-A W.P. I-C/D	How well is the SEOO organized to effectively utilize staff and financial resources?	good poor don't know	A THE ARE DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTION O
#5.	W.P. I-D	How well does the internal organization of the SEOO match its priority functions of:	good poor don't know	CONTRACTOR SPACE CONTRACTOR
		LIST:		C STORE
		1. Advisor to Governor	GPD	Superior Production
		2. Resource Mobilization	GPD	Participation of the
		3. Coordination and Planning	G P D	COLORISTIC STREET, COLORIST
\		4. Advocacy for the Poor	GPD	South and the second state

Qt

-30--

SECTION

			SECTION ; Q-X
		SEOO ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT	
Ques. #	REF.		RATING
#5.	W.P. I-D	5. Technical Assistance	GPD
	(cont'd)	6. Grant Review/Monitoring/Evaluation	GPD
		7. Management	GPD
		8. Other	G P D
		DESCRIBE the proportion of SEOO resources allocated to each major task or function.	
#6.	B. STAFFING		
		perform job functions contained in the descriptions.	yes no don't know
		any departures of job function from approved priptions.	
#7.	Are staff per	sonnel qualified for jobs?	yes no don't know
	LIST perso	mnel job title and qualifications.	
#8.	Has the SEOO	employed poor persons and minority persons?	yes no don't know
		persons according to poverty or minority unds and give dates of employment and job as.	
#9.		prepared and implemented an affirmative action dance with CAP Form 11?	yes no don't know
#10.	C. <u>STAFF SU</u>	PERVISION AND TRAINING	
		: aff person receive clear instructions and on his responsibilities and place within the ram?	yes no don't know

-31-

			SECTION F
			Q-x
		SEOO ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT	
QUES. ∦	REF.		RATING
#11.		Is each person evaluated with performance indicators explained and monitored?	yes no don't know
		DESCRIBE how often	
#12.	W.P. II-D	Is there pre-service training?	yes no don't know
		LIST training events during past 6 months.	
#13 .	W.P. II-D	Is there in-service training?	yes no don't know
		LIST training events during past 6 months.	
, ' ` .		D. PROGRAM PLANNING AND GRANT APPLICATION PROCESS	
		Is the SEOO CAP 81 Planning Process followed?	yes no don't know
#15.		Are goals and priorities quantified?	yes no don't know
#16.		Are goals and priorities realistic?	yes nò don't know
#17 .	7501-1 9f.	Is the SEOO draft work program checkpointed with all CAAs in the state for comment and such signed checkpoint forms (76) included as part of the annual SEOO refunding request?	yes no don't know
*****	7501-1 9a.	Does the SECO use the forms and follow the application procedures for SECOs outlined in OEO Notice 6710-2 of February 26, 1969?	yes no don't know

-32-

		SEOO ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT	SECTION Q-X
QUES.#	REF.		RATING
∦19.	7501-1 9c.	Are the SEOO Plans and Priorities submitted to OEO no later than 90 days before Program Year End?	yes no don't know
#20.	7501 -1 9a.	Are SEOO Refunding Requests submitted 60 days before Program Year End?	yes no don't know
#21 .	7501-1 9d.	Does the SEOO include as part of its annual refunding request a progress or self-evaluation report on its activities for the previous year, giving a candid assessment of its successes and failures in meeting its Work Program?	yes no don't know
		E. PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	
#22.	W.P. II-C	Is there a career development program?	yes no don't know
#23 .	<u>,</u>	Are job classification procedures adequate?	yes no don't know
		F. <u>REPORTS</u>	
#24 .	7501-1	Are CAP 15s on time?	yes no don't know
#25.		Are field trip reports adequate, reviewed?	yes no don't know
		G. FILES	
#26.		Are files in such shape that documents requested can be readily found?	yes no don't know

-33-

4

SEOO WORK PROGRAM

Q

SECTION Q-XI

ase appropriate the second strate of the second second second second second second second second second second

		California	DAMTNO
ues. #	REF.	(Points Not Covered in Earlier Sections)	RATING
#1.	CAP 81 IIB	Has the SEOO reached the goal "To develop demonstration projects in the use of volunteer services, excess property, and community college resources, in programs of technical aid to Indians, disadvantaged youth, and Head Start day-care projects; and in other specialities as indicated"?	yes no don't know
		LIST the demonstration projects and pro- grams of technical aid which have been developed during the past year.	
#2.	CAP 81 II-C	Has the SEOO reached the three-year goal "to ac- celerate the spin-off of effective anti-poverty programs to established social agencies"?	yes no don't know
		LIST the accomplishments reached to date this past year.	
		DESCRIBE significant successes toward this goal.	
#3.	CAP 81 II-C	Has the SEOO reached toward the three-year goal "to new public and private efforts for the prevention of poverty"?	yes no don't know
		LIST the accomplishments reached to date this past year.	
		DESCRIBE significant successes toward this goal.	
#4.	CAP 7-e IV-g.	Has the SECO served "as a central personnel "clearinghouse" for the CAAs, upon request"?	yes no don't know
	W.P. IV-G	LIST requests made and results this past year.	

			SECTION
			Q-XI
QUES. #	REF.	SEOO WORK PROGRAM	RATING
້ງດີ	111/1 •		
#5.	CAP 81 II-B W.P.	Has the SEOO provided "review of and assistance to grantees in greater depth by an increased and better trained analyst staff "?	yes no don't know
	I⊣B		
#6.	CAP 81 II-B ' W.P. I-B	Has the SEOO provided "sufficient intensity and continuity of state-CAA relationships to resolve as many areas as possible of mutual concern about programs prior to the refunding review stage."?	yes no don't know
#7 .	W.P. IVA	Has the SEOO provided "higher quality multi- specialty technical assistance to CAAs" in this program year through the "addition of four management Specialists, eight Field Analysts, and three Special Programs Coordinators"?	yes no don't know
#8 .	W-P. IC/D	Has the SEOO outstationed analysts in one of three (San Francisco, Los Angeles, and Sacramento) SEOO Administrative regions?	yes no don't know

ź

SEOO ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT

Attachments

	REF.
	PAGE
ORGANIZATION CHART	. 13
CPA ASSIGNMENTS	. 16
FORMAT for MONTHLY NARRATIVES	. 16
CAA COMPLIANCE CHECKLIST	. 16
LEGAL SERVICES EVALUATION dtd 2/4/71	. 16
INFORMATION PACKAGE REVIEW	. 16

i



CPA ASSIGNMENTS

Northern California (6)

Clark	Sonoma Napa Oakland Lake Mendocino
Charlton	Monterey San Benito San Francisco Santa Clara San Mateo
McGrath	Placer El Dorado Lassen-Modoc-Plumas-Tehama Sierra Butte Shasta
Gurule	Santa Cruz Kern Kings Fresno Merced Tulare San Luis Coispo Madera
Goff	Marin Contra Costa Alameda Santa Clara San Joaquin Stanislaus
Hawkins	Sacramento Yolo Solano Berkeley
Southern Californ	i <u>a</u> (5)
Archuletta	EYOA Quechan Indian Tribe Single Purpose Grants
Patangan	Santa Bombomo

Petersen

Santa Barbara EYOA Ventura Casa Loma College

Southern California (Continued)

Brown

EYOA Compton-Willowbrook San Diego San Bernardino Housing Opportunities Center

Kludjian

Thies

EYOA VCIU Imperial Orange

Orange Riverside Rio Hondo Pasadena

REPORTING FORMAT FOR MONTHLY NARRATIVES

Instructions: One of these forms should be completed for each CAA or Delegate Agency visited and all turned in at end of each month. <u>KEEP IT BRIEF!</u>

1. Name of staff	member	
2. CAA visited		
- Duration of v	sit	

3. Type of service rendered (i.e., evaluation, technical assistance, special projects, etc.)

ACTIVITIES

4. Strong points

5. Week points

6. Observations (How do you rate CAA in accomplishing its goals?)

생활 것은 가지 않는 것은 것은 물건이 가지 못했다. 것은 것 같이 많은 것

1

	rpose : O Worksheet for CPA & TA to make this mon Materiae for Charle to make mouthly Opus REPORTING FORMAT FOR MONTHLY NARRATIVES	
	REFORTING FURIAL FUR HOWINDI NARBALLYES	
T	nstructions: One of these forms should be completed for	1. 1.
e	ach CAA or Delegate Agency visited and all turned in at	
e	nd of each month. <u>KEEP IT BRIEF !!</u>	
	. Name of staff member	
2	. CAA visited	
	Duration of visit (DATE):	
3	• Type of service rendered (i.e., evaluation, technical assistance, special projects, etc.)	
A	CTIVITIES	
4	. Strong points	
5	. Week points	
6	• Observations (How do you rate CAA in accomplishing its goals?)	
	그는 것 같아요. 그는 것 같아요. 이렇게 하는 것 같아요. 이렇게 가지 않는 것 것 것 것 같아요. 이렇게 가지 않는 것 같아요. 가지 않는 것 같아요. 것 같아요. 이렇게 가지 않는 것 같아요. 이렇게 하는 것 같아요. 이렇게 아요. 이들 것 같아요. 이 이 이 이 아요. 이 이 이 아요. 이 이 이 아요. 이 이 이 아요. 이 이 이 이 아요. 이 이 아요. 이 이 이 아요. 이 이 이 아요. 이 이 아요. 이 이 이 이 아요. 이 이 이 이 이 이 아요. 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이	

CAA COMPLIANCE CHECKLIST

FISCAL REQUIREMENTS

DESCRIPTION

CHECK

SOURC	. <u>Б</u>	
stree sterest stort hope	-	
3120	203	
 243a,	LUA	

£.1

SOA Statement of responsibility of accounting system for grantee and delegate agency (ies)

Annual independent audit completed or scheduled in last 12 months

MP Memo 60, Par. 3 Respond to audit within 60 days

DEO Inst. 6807-1 Administrative costs 15% less than total cost

DEO Inst. 6910-1 Travel Policies in accordance with Standardized Government Travel Regulations

DEO Inst. 6910, Par. 4 & 5 Out of community travel approved

CAP Grantee Financial Policy & Procedures Guide, Vol. V, Chap. 1

ź

Submitted inventory of property on hand and list of what will be required for program. All inventories must be current

Regional approval of all purchases over \$500.00

All purchases within budget

Contract files documented to show purchases authorized, less than GSA price, bids made competitively or by rotation and received by someone other than paying official.

All program expenditures within budget

Supervisors' approval of Time and Attendance reports showing hours worked and all leave

CAP Memo 44-a

All CAP 15's (Grantee Monthly Financial Report) current and mailed

90 days after end of program year, final CAP 15 and CAP 28 to OEO

BOARD COMPOSITION AND COMMITTEES

CAP Memo 81		DESCRIPTION	CHEC
Part C Par.	1.	Membership 51 or less	
Part C Par.	3	At least 1/3 representatives of the poor	
Part C Par.	2	No more than 1/3 public officials	
Part C Par.	3c	All representatives of the poor democratically elected	
Part D Par.	2	All board members (other than public officials) served less than 3 consecutive years and less than 6 years total	
Part D Par.	1	Each member representing geographical area reside in that area	
Part C Par.	5	Rules of operation include petition procedure for membership of new groups	
Part D Par.	5	Committees reflect composition of board	
Prt D Par.	3	Quorum requirement for meetings of the board and executive committee at least 50% of total membership	
Part C Par.	1	Membership of board divisible by 3	
u 1		Membership of committees divisible by 3	

CIVIL RIGHTS

SOUPCE DESCRIPTION CHECK Inst. 6710-1 Written policy of nondiscrimination in 1 Conditions, employment on grounds of race, creed, 7; CAP Memo 23-A, color, national origin, sex and age t C Par. 1 Policy communicated to all applicants, employees, supervisors and minority groups n Policies and procedures stating affirmative action to be taken to insure no discrimination in recruitment, employment and all other personnel actions 0 Inst. 6710-1 CAP Form 11 on file in region n'l Conditions, r. 6; OEO Notice CAA and delegate agencies installed affirmative 04-2; Requirement action plan r Affirmative Civil ghts, Action Program Outreach program designed to reach all tle 45, Chap. X ethnic groups 11 All ethnic groups included in policy making bodies, participation in planning and setting priorities \$1 Location of facilities so it is accessible by all ethnic groups n Staff members who can communicate with all ethnic groups by way of language and culture 11 Program participants are reasonable representation of all ethnic groups tŧ Periodic self-evaluation of affirmative action plan

Written procedure for informing all persons or any class of individuals of their rights to file a complaint with the regional office if they believe themselves subjected to discrimination.

PERSONNEL POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

1

- 중국 플레이크 사람은 것을 모양 것이라고 있는다. 저는 생	수가 많다. 그 가장 것 가슴을 가지는 것이다. 이번 도망에 도입하는 것, 그 것 가지 않는 것, 가장에 가장 가지 않는 것, 가슴을 많다. 한 것 같아요. 동물을 가 많다. 흔들어	이 사람이 물건이 안 같이 많이
DURCE Emo 23-A D Par. 1	DESCRIPTION Rules governing vacations, sick leave, periodic increases and other conditions	<u>CHECK</u>
n.	Rules for promotion, separation, resolution of grievances and regulation of employee conduct	×
n	Description of benefit plans with details on employer and employee contributions	
•	Salary and salary ranges for each position and class of positions	
P Memo 23-A rt A	Formal education not required for positions if candidate has ability to perform duties except where limited by state law	
rt B Par. l	No wages or salaries less than federal minimum wage laws	
rt B Par. 2	Regional approval to hire for positions over \$5,000 which is an increment of 20% over previous salary. Previous salary must be documented	
urt B Par. 3	Employees over \$7,000/year receive no overtime	-
art B Par. 4	Reimbursement for interview expenses limited to director or deputy director	
urt B Par. 5	OEO approval prior to paying moving expenses	
CO Inst. 6909-1	Agency free from nepotism	
CO Inst. 6909-4	Prohibition against acceptance of gifts and gratuities	
AP Memo 23-B Art B	Submission of list of employees earning over \$10,000 as of June 30	
ort D Par. 3	Records of all personnel actions including hiring, discharge, promotion, discipline, time and attendance, and previous salary	
nrt E	Biographical information on Director, Deputy Director, Fiscal and Personnel Officers	
:0 ≖nst. 6903-1	OEO approval for salaries over \$15,000	
Inst. 6907-1	Statement prohibiting political activity	
0 Inst. 6907-3	Statement prohibiting participation in direct action in violation of law	
AP Memo 23B, Part A	Wage comparability	

CHECK

DESCRIPTION How "bench mark" positions are identified

2E

i

Explanation on procedures used to obtain data to establish "bench mark" positions and how other jobs related to these

Copies of certification or other back-up information

DELEGATE AGENCY CONTRACTS

SOURCE P Guide, Vol. 1

ŝ

=

11

DESCRIPTION

All delegate agency contracts signed and on file

Contracts include minimum requirements of appendix F, OEO Instruction 6710-1

Procedures for monitoring delegate agency contracts

Established procedures for funding delegate agencies

CHECK

RESIDENT PARTICIPATION

DESCRIPTION

CHECK

SOURCE 0 Inst. 6005-1 r l b (3)

Adequate provision for CAA to involvement of the poor through advisory committees and target area councils

- - Progress report on implementation of OEO Instruction 6005-1 submitted with CAP 81 by each delegate agency and CAA

ar 2 a (2)

ź

11 2 a (1)

Progress report include section prepared by representatives of the poor

PUBLIC MEETINGS

CHECK

ule m

<u>BOURCE</u> 20 Inst. 7042-1 1r 1	<u>DESCRIPTION</u> Public board meetings at least once every 10 weeks or in response to written request
ar 2	All meetings held at time and in place convenient to all
ar 2	Public notice of each meeting, giving time, place and agenda distributed at least 10 days in advance
ar 3	Minutes kept of each meeting

5

PUBLIC ACCESS TO INFORMATION

<u>JRCE</u> t. 7041-1

1

DESCRIPTION Books and records available to public in accordance with OEO Instruction 7041-1

CHECK

NEWS LETTERS AND HOUSE ORGANS

CAP Memo 77

ź

DESCRIPTION

Grant funds may not be used for publishing newspapers for general distribution in the community

Newsletters & house organs: Free to participants and employees Contain no paid commercial advertising Non-partisan CHE

PROGRAMS

SOURCE

DESCRIPTIONS

uth Programs - OEO Instruction 6168-1a

Par 4	Youth involvement in planning, operation and evaluation of program
Par 5	Program operated on 12 month basis
Par 6	Economic opportunity program, not recreational
Par 7	Grantee providing training & evaluation to upgrade program
Par 8	Program serving most needy and alienated youth 16-21 years of age
Par 9	Coordinated with other activities of youth
FAMILY PLANNING -	CAP Memo 37-A Par 2
	Information only supplied to those requesting it
	Information consistent with individual's moral, philosphical or religious beliefs
	Participation not requisite to participation in other CAA programs
COMPREHENSIVE WOR	K AND TRAINING - Title 1B-EOA
Sect. 124 a 1	No perticipant may be involved on projects involving political parties, sectarian instruction or religious worship
Sect. 124 a 2	Program may not result in displacement of employed workers, impair existing contracts for services or result in substitution of federal for other program funds
HOUSING - Sec, 21	4 EOA
	Housing Development Corporations prohibited

from: Insuring mortages Providing long term financing

SENIOR OPPORTUNITIES AND SERVICES

Title I manpower programs deal with long term Sect. 126 EOA unemployed 55 years and older

Sect. 221 Local initiative programs include programs for elderly

CHE

Stute of California

[FGAL

To : Legal Staff

Memorandum

Date : February 4, 1971

Subject: Legal Services Evaluations

From : Dennis McKee

We have several legal service programs which will be coming up for refunding very shortly. It is my hope that we can develop a general methodology for thorough and efficient evaluation of these programs.

The following sets forth the procedure to be followed:

Structure of a Legal Service Program Evaluation

1) Depending on whether the program you are going to evaluate is in the North or South, check with Geoff Clark or Gil Archuletta to obtain the name of the Program Analyst for that CAP. (Most legal service programs are a delegate agency within a CAP). The program analyst should be able to give you some useful background information and possibly some valuable do's and dont's as regards your evaluative effort for that particular program.

2) Utilize the telephone to as great an extent as possible. With the small staff that we have and the large number of legal service programs it will be necessary for us to conserve time in every way that we can.

Try to set up your agenda prior to arriving in an area. (Allow yourself sufficient flexibility so that you can handle unexpected contingencies).

3) It would be preferrable for your first appointment to be with the attorneys in the O.E.O. Legal Service program which is being evaluated. We want to take a positive approach in this respect. Let's find out how we might help the program.

Attached find a semple Evaluation Checklist.

IM:lff

attach:

Demus H. Mclue

'n	ΰĮ	ad	÷Ċ	8
minte	-		-	-

1) Leg service program attorneys

sk About:

- a) Policies: # of cases handled kinds of cases. Ask to see paperwork to back up these figures.
- b) Office hours kept, interview schedule, etc.
- c) Interview some clients if possible (randomly select several which may be in the office when you are there) with permission of legal servic attorneys.
- d) Ask what the attorneys think the thrust of their programs is, ask what they think it should be.
- e) Ask who we should talk to in the community.
- f) In-kind contributions?
- g) Tell them we wish to offer any assistance we can.
- h) What do they see as problems?
- i) What improvements do they suggest?

Wa (If	as this done? not, why not	?) Remarks
e		
1		

Evaluation C _klist - 1A

Legal Servi attorneys -	cont.	Was this done? (If not, why not?)	Remarks	
bout:				
cases logge what docume are hours p	edure. How are d in, how assigned, ntation is kept, er client or case orneys, etc.			
i.e. What ca	se priorities? ses are programed d? How are these et?			
mechanical are there s which are f that could	e if there are any bottlenecks. i.e. ome classes of case looding the office be handled in a lized manner?	3 5		
	6			
				•
		·		

ntact:

Evaluation C. klist - 2

		and a second
2) School Officials (generally school supt.)	Was this done? (If not, why not?)	Remarks
sk About:	-	
Relations with the program?		
a) Legal		
b) Non-legal	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
c) Any suggested people to talk with?		
3) Police Dept.		
Ask About:		
Relations with the program?		
a) Legal		
b) Non-legal		
c) Any suggested people to talk with?		
4) Welfare Dept.		
Ask About:		
a) Do they refer clients to the O.E.O. Lawyers? Any feedback	?	
b) · Legal		
c) Non-legal		
and the second se	1997 av 1998 FOT Herman var en starte en starte en starte en sen en s	

		Evaluation ecklist	<u>-3</u>	
Contac	<u>st: ()</u>			
5)	District Attorney's Office	Was this done? (If not, why not?)	Romarks	
Ask A	lbout:			an a
a)	Referrals? Feedback?	· 5.		
b)	Do Legal Service Attorneys appear in criminal cases?			
c)	Any suggested people to talk with?			
d)	General rapport with Legal Service Attorneys?			
6)	Public Defender			
Ask A	lbout:			
a)	Referrals? Feedback?			
b)	Do Legal Service Attorneys appear in criminal cases?			•
c)	Any suggested people to talk with?			
d)	General rapport with Legal Service Attorneys?			
7)	Local Bench and Bar			
Ask A	bout:			
a)	Working relationship with O.E.O. attorneys? Legal, Non-legal?			
Ъ)	Do O.E.O. attorneys refer out fee generating cases (P.I., etc.)?			
	, 2017년 1월 19일 - 1월 1 1월 19일 - 1월 1	a na ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang		en e

Change of the Ch	į	Ì	į)	5	ç	7		ć	2		1		ι	1	ł	9	Ċ,	t		j	-	C	2	r	1		2	,	į	i	c	Ś,	¢	Ĵ,	k	Ľ,]	Ì	j	£	5	1	5	Ċ.		÷	ŝ,	į.		ł	ł	
	ĩ	1						•	1	1	Ĩ.		•			Ì		Ĵ	ľ	1	-		-	-			1							J			1		1		1	1			7	i.	1		-	-	1	-	

Contac	t :	•	L.
8)	Local Government Officials	Was this done? (If not, why not?)	Remarks
Ask A	bout:		
Rel	ationship with program?	**	
	a) Legal		
	b) Non-legal		
	c) Suggested people to talk with?		
9)	Local groups (Urban league, churches, Chicano Organiza- tions, NAACP, etc.)	Was this done? (If not, why not?)	Remarks
Ask A	bout:		
a)	Are poor helped? (One group more than another?)		
১)	How could service be improved?		
c)	What do they like about program?		
đ)	Any suggested people to talk with?		
10)	CAP Director		
Ask A	bout:		
How ele	effective is the legal service ment of the CAP?		

j.

11) Local legal aid groups Was this done? (If not, why not?) Remarks Ask About: a tronneys? (Overlap, etc.) b) b) Efficient utilization of resources? 12) CAP Board of Directors Ask About: Their opinion of the program?	lontact:	(
 a) Coordination with O.E.O. attorneys? (Overlap, etc.) b) Efficient utilization of resources? 12) CAP Board of Directors Ask About: Their opinion of the program? 	11) Local legal aid groups	Was this done? (If not, why not?)) Remarks
a) Coordination with O.B.O. attorneys? (Overlap, etc.) b) Efficient utilization of resources? 12) CAP Board of Directors Ask About: Their opinion of the program? Their opinion of the program?	Ask About:		
resources? 12) CAP Board of Directors Ask About: Their opinion of the program?	a) Coordination with O.E.O. attorneys? (Overlap, etc.)	•• .	
Ask About: Their opinion of the program?	b) · Efficient utilization of resources?		
Ask About: Their opinion of the program?			
Their opinion of the program?	12) CAP Board of Directors		
	Ask About:		
	Their opinion of the program?		

Note: Must be completed and turned in two (2) days before due at Governor'

DATE:

PROGRAM ANALYZED:

Guideline For Report Following Examination of Legal Service Program

In each instance be specific and support all generalizations and conclusion 1) General analysis of the program:

2) Weak points:

Strong points:

4) Suggested Changes:

1

5) Help we can provide (asked for or not?):

6) Suggested conditions in grant, if any:

7) Required information we need (in event we lack information you believe is necessary for our examination and analysis): Guideline For Report Following Examination of Legal Service Program - 2

 Suggested position State Office of Economic Opportunities should take on refunding - and why:

a) Veto:

b) Sustain:

c) Let lapse (i.e. no vetoe, but no positive approval):

d) Veto unless certain conditions put in grant (list and explain):

e) Interim Veto because we haven't been supplied required information to base our decision on:

9) Suggested changes in our examination procedures, if any:

£

۰.

10) People to deal with in future examinations (i.e. was CAP director helpful? Legal Services Director?):

11) Suggested sources of information on this program (i.e. were church groups useful here? NAACP? etc.?):

Guideline For Report Following Examination of Legal Service Program - 3

12) Bottlenecks in examination (i.e. were there any dead-ends? Did certain sources or people close up on you or prove misleading? If so, why?):

INFORMATION PACKAGE REVIEW

ALYST NAME _____ DATE SUBMITTED

USE BLANK CONTINUATION SHEETS AS NEEDED FOR EXPANDED EXPLANATIONS. KEEP VISIBLE INDEX IDENTIFICATION CARD ATTACHED! REPORT REQUIRED FOR EACH COMPONENT OR PRO-GRAM.

IS THE INFORMATION ON THE VISIBLE INDEX CARD CORRECT? IF NOT, NOTE CORRECTIONS AND NOTIFY STAFF ASSISTANT FOR PLANNING IMMEDIATELY:

CIRCLE CAP FORM NO. MISSING FROM PACKAGE:

1 5 7 11 25 46 77 81

EXPLAIN OMISSIONS:

OEO DISTRICT SUPERVISOR

GRANTEE INFORMATION (COMPLETE OR CORRECT AS NECESSARY) I.

A. NAMES AND HOME ADDRESSES OF GRANTEE PERSONNEL:

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

OEO FIELD REP.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR

FISCAL OFFICER

OTHER KEY STAFF SUCH AS PROGRAM DIRECTORS:

TITLE NAME ADDRESS

بمتديج بالمتحافظ فتماجل والمحافظ والمحاف		
NAMES OF OTI	HER BOARD MEMBERS:	
	DME ADDRESSES OF DELEG	ATE AGENCY ("X" OUT IF
PROJECT DIRI	ECTOR	
OTHER KEY S' i	TAFF:	
NAME	TITLE	ADDRESS
ADVISORY BO	ARD CHAIRMAN	
OTHER BOARD	MEMBERS (NAMES ONLY):	

-2-

DATE AGENCY FORMED	DELEGATE AGENCY
BUDGET HISTORY (INCLUD HUD, ETC.)	DE ALL SOURCES, I.E., OEO, DOL,
PERSONNEL:	
NO. OF PROFESSIONALS _	NON-PROFESSIONALS
SHORT DESCRIPTION OF P OR FAILURES):	PAST PERFORMANCE (SPECIAL SUCCESSES
SPECIÁL NOTEWORTHY EVE	ENTS RELATED TO AGENCY OR PROGRAM:
the second s	

HAVE ANY POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS ELECTED TO "OPT OUT" OF F. PAST PROGRAMS? (GREEN AMENDMENT) EXPLAIN FULLY: II. GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND EVALUATION TECHNIQUES DO THE OBJECTIVES OF THE PROGRAM FIT INTO THE LONG TERM Α. GOALS OF THE AGENCY? WHAT ARE THE OBJECTIVES? 14 C. S. ARE THE OBJECTIVES COMPATIBLE WITH THE STATED OBJECTIVES в. IN SECTION 201 OF THE ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY ACT? (REFER-ENCE OEO INSTRUCTION 6320-1) EXPLAIN SPECIFICALLY: C. WHAT EVALUATION TECHNIQUES HAVE BEEN BUILT INTO THE PRO-GRAM TO MEASURE ATTAINMENT OF STATED OBJECTIVES?