Ronald Reagan Presidential Library Digital Library Collections

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual collections.

Collection: Baker, James A.: Files Folder Title: [Massachusetts] Box: 13

To see more digitized collections visit: https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digital-library

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library inventories visit: https://reaganlibrary.gov/document-collection

Contact a reference archivist at: reagan.library@nara.gov

Citation Guidelines: https://reaganlibrary.gov/citing

National Archives Catalogue: https://catalog.archives.gov/

Colonial Financial Advisors, Inc.
Old City Hall
45 School Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02108
(617) 227-6919

December 11, 1984

Mr. James A. Baker, III Chief of Staff The White House Washington, D.C. 20500

RE: TREASURY DEPARTMENT TAX REFORM PROPOSALS

Dear Mr. Baker:

I urge you to immediately take a strong position against the tax reform proposals recently issued by the U.S. Department of Treasury. The uncertainty of future legislation is causing havor in the investment community. This uncertainty is likely to result in an acceleration of the recessionary pressures already building in a present economic climate.

This proposal may appear to somewhat lower the Federal tax for low to moderate income households. However, it completely ignores the intent embodied in the existing tax code to help provide decent and affordable housing for low to moderate income households. In today's marketplace, fully 35 to 40% of the total rental housing capital investment is equity which is compensated solely through tax deferral and conversion with no current yield. Current rent will only support a market competitive cash yield for 60 to 65% of the construction cost (typical mortgage financing). If the pass through tax benefits are illuminated under the Treasury proposal, new rental construction will stop and rents on existing rental housing will quickly adjust to a 50% increase, thereby costing the average American renter about \$2,000 per year. In essence, Treasury proposal is a government mandated rent increase.

I believe that if enacted, certain provisions contained in the Treasury proposal would create a disincentive for capital formation, thus greatly damaging the economy of the United States. This in turn will cripple the construction and development industries resulting in the loss of millions of jobs, and ultimately creating a severe housing shortgage and higher rents for millions of tenants across the United States. The proposal is economically damaging and ineffectual and conflicts with the underlying philosophy of the Reagan Administration and re-election. I, therefore, urge you, in the strongest terms, to publicly oppose the recent Treasury proposal.

Very truly yours.

Richard J. DeAgazio

President

RJDkmr

Exchange Group Inc.

Old City Hall 45 School Street Boston, Massachusetts 02108 December 11, 1984

TAB-4

Telephone: (617) 277-0181

Mr. James A. Baker, III Chief of Staff The White House Washington, D.C. 20500

RE: TREASURY DEPARTMENT TAX REFORM PROPOSALS

Dear Mr. Baker:

I urge you to immediately take a strong position against the tax reform proposals recently issued by the U.S. Department of Treasury. The uncertainty of future legislation is causing havoc in the investment community. This uncertainty is likely to result in an acceleration of the recessionary pressures already building in a present economic climate.

This proposal may appear to somewhat lower the Federal tax for low to moderate income households. However, it completely ignores the intent embodied in the existing tax code to help provide decent and affordable housing for low to moderate income households. In today's marketplace, fully 35 to 40% of the total rental housing capital investment is equity which is compensated solely through tax deferral and conversion with no current yield. Current rent will only support a market competitive cash yield for 60 to 65% of the construction cost (typical mortgage financing). If the pass through tax benefits are illuminated under the Treasury proposal, new rental construction will stop and rents on existing rental housing will quickly adjust to a 50% increase, thereby costing the average American renter about \$2,000 per year. In essence, Treasury proposal is a government mandated rent increase.

I believe that if enacted, certain provisions contained in the Treasury proposal would create a disincentive for capital formation, thus greatly damaging the economy of the United States. This in turn will cripple the construction and development industries resulting in the loss of millions of jobs, and ultimately creating a severe housing shortgage and higher rents for millions of tenants across the United States. The proposal is economically damaging and ineffectual and conflicts with the underlying philosophy of the Reagan Administration and re-election. I, therefore, urge you, in the strongest terms, to publicly oppose the recent Treasury proposal.

Very truly yours,

Richard J. DeAgaz

President

RJDkmr

Exchange Securities, Inc.

a subsidiary of Exchange Group Inc. of Boston

Old City Hall 45 School Street Boston, Massachusetts 02108 Telephone: (617) 227-0181

December 11, 1984

Mr. James A. Baker, III Chief of Staff The White House Washington, D.C. 20500

RE: TREASURY DEPARTMENT TAX REFORM PROPOSALS

Dear Mr. Baker:

I urge you to immediately take a strong position against the tax reform proposals recently issued by the U.S. Department of Treasury. The uncertainty of future legislation is causing havoc in the investment community. This uncertainty is likely to result in an acceleration of the recessionary pressures already building in a present economic climate.

This proposal may appear to somewhat lower the Federal tax for low to moderate income households. However, it completely ignores the intent embodied in the existing tax code to help provide <u>decent</u> and <u>affordable</u> housing for low to moderate income households. In today's marketplace, fully 35 to 40% of the total rental housing capital investment is equity which is compensated solely through tax deferral and conversion with no current yield. Current rent will only support a market competitive cash yield for 60 to 65% of the construction cost (typical mortgage financing). If the pass through tax benefits are illuminated under the Treasury proposal, new rental construction will stop and rents on existing rental housing will quickly adjust to a 50% increase, thereby costing the average American renter about \$2,000 per year. In essence, Treasury proposal is a government mandated rent increase.

I believe that if enacted, certain provisions contained in the Treasury proposal would create a disincentive for capital formation, thus greatly damaging the economy of the United States. This in turn will cripple the construction and development industries resulting in the loss of millions of jobs, and ultimately creating a severe housing shortgage and higher rents for millions of tenants across the United States. The proposal is economically damaging and ineffectual and conflicts with the underlying philosophy of the Reagan Administration and re-election. I, therefore, urge you, in the strongest terms, to publicly oppose the recent Treasury proposal.

Very truly yours,

Richard J. DeAgaza

President

RJDkmr

December 11, 1984

Mr. James A. Baker III Chief of Staff and Assistant to the President The White House 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20500

Re: Treasury Department Tax Reform Proposals

Dear Mr. Baker:

I urge you to immediately take a strong position against the tax reform proposals recently issued by the U.S. Department of Treasury. The uncertainty of future legislation is causing havoc in the investment community. This uncertainty is likely to result in an acceleration of the recessionary pressures already building in the present economic climate.

I believe that if enacted, certain provisions contained in the Treasury proposal would create a disincentive for capital formation, thus greatly damaging the economy resulting in the loss of millions of jobs, and ultimately creating a severe housing shortage and higher rents for millions of tenants across the United States. The proposal is economically damaging and ineffectual and conflicts with the underlying philosophy of the Reagan administration and re-election. I, therefore, urge you, in the strongest terms, to publicly oppose the recent Treasury proposal.

Very truly yours

Arthur C. Coughlan, Jr.

128 Richdale Road Needham, MA 02194