## Ronald Reagan Presidential Library Digital Library Collections

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual collections.

Collection: Crippen, Dan L.: Files
Folder Title: [Soviet Union: Moscow Summit]
Box: 10

To see more digitized collections visit: <a href="https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digital-library">https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digital-library</a>

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library inventories visit: <a href="https://reaganlibrary.gov/document-collection">https://reaganlibrary.gov/document-collection</a>

Contact a reference archivist at: reagan.library@nara.gov

Citation Guidelines: <a href="https://reaganlibrary.gov/citing">https://reaganlibrary.gov/citing</a>

National Archives Catalogue: <a href="https://catalog.archives.gov/">https://catalog.archives.gov/</a>

Document No. <u>56/395</u>

## WHITE HOUSE STAFFING MEMORANDUM

DATE: 5/18/88 ACTION/CONCURRENCE/COMMENT DUE BY: 2:00 5/19/88

SUBJECT:	PRESIDENTIAL	REMARKS:	LU	NCHEON WITH	CULTURA	L AND	ART (	COMM	UNITY	
HOUSE OF WRITERS										
	ACTION FYI						ACTION FYI			
VICE PRE			HOBBS					ا و		
BAKER	· ·			HOOLEY						
DUBERST	TEIN			KRANOWIT	Z					
MILLER -	ОМВ			POWELL						
BAUER				RANGE			M			
CRIBB				RISQUE						
CRIPPEN				RYAN						
CULVAH	OUSE			SPRINKEL						
DAWSON	N	□P	-0.	TUTTLE						
DONATE	LLI			DOLAN						
FITZWAT	ER	<u> </u>								
GRISCON	1	4								
REMARKS:  Please provide your comments/recommendations directly to Tony Dolan's office with an info copy to my office by 2:00 Thursday, May 19. Thank you.										
see page 5  See page 5  Dan L. Crippen										

5-20-88

Rhett Dawson Ext. 2702 PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: LUNCHEON WITH CULTURAL AND ART COMMUNITY HOUSE OF WRITERS 1888 MAY 18 TM 12 TM 1

It's with some humility that I come here today. You here -writers, artists, dramatists, musicians of this vast country -are heirs to the seminal figures in many of the arts as they have
developed in 20th Century Europe and America. I'm thinking of
such giants as Kandinsky, Stravinsky, Stanislovsky, Tolstoy, of
course, and Dostoyesky -- men whose vision transformed all of
ours.

I've been very impressed with what I've heard just now. For my contribution to this dialogue, I thought I would deal here briefly with a question whose answer might open up some new insights for all of us. You see, I've been told that many of you are puzzled that a former actor could become the leader of a great nation, particularly the United States. What does acting have to do with politics and statecraft? Whatever possessed the American people to entrust this high office to me?

You might feel reassured to know, you aren't the first to ask that question. Back in Washington, just about every member of the political opposition has been asking it for the past 8 years.

And there were lots of others before them. Almost a quarter of a century ago, I announced that I was going to run for what turned out to be the very first public office I ever held,

Governor of California. Now, I had served as president of my

union, the Screen Actors Guild, and led a successful strike, but I was still known primarily as an actor. In the movie business, actors often get what we call type-cast -- that is, the studios come to think of you as playing certain kinds of roles, so those are the kinds of roles they give you, and no matter how hard you try, you just can't get them to think of you in any other way. That happened to me, and believe me, after a while, I wondered about always appearing as Errol Flynn's or Jimmy Stewart's or somebody else's best buddy while they got the girl. Anyway, one day the head of one of our major studios and a man I had worked for, Jack Warner, of the Warner brothers, was told that Ronald Reagan was running for governor. The story goes that Jack thought for a moment, shook his head, and said, "No. Jimmy Stewart for Governor. Ronald Reagan for best friend."

Yet in looking back I believe that acting did help prepare me for the work I do now. There are two things -- two indispensable lessons -- that I have taken from my craft into public life. And I hope you won't think it excessively opportune if I use the words of a Soviet filmmaker to explain one of them. He was, after all, one of the world's greatest filmmakers, and so, like so many of your artists, indeed like so many of you, belongs in a broader sense to all of humanity.

It was during the production of <u>Ivan the Terrible</u> when Eisenstein noted that in making a film or in thinking through any detail of it, which to my mind would include the acting of a part, in his words, "The most important thing is to have the vision. The next is to grasp and hold it.... You must see and

feel what you are thinking. You must see and grasp it. You must hold and fix it in your memory and senses. And you must do it at once."

To grasp and hold a vision, to fix it in your senses -- that is the very essence, I believe, of successful leadership, not only on the movie set, where I learned about it, but everywhere. And by the way, in my many dealings with him since he became General Secretary, I've found that Mr. Gorbachev has the ability to grasp and hold a vision, and I respect him for that.

The second lesson I carried from acting into public life was more subtle. And let me again refer to a Soviet writer, a poet, again one of the world's greatest. At the beginning of Requiem, Anna Akhmatova writes of standing in a line outside a prison when someone in the crowd recognizes her as a well-known poet.

She continues: "Then a woman standing behind me, whose lips were blue with cold, and who, naturally enough, had never even heard of my name, emerged from that state of torpor common to us all and, putting her lips close to my ear (there, everyone spoke in whispers), asked me:

"-- And could you describe this?

"And I answered her:

"-- I can.

"Then something vaguely like a smile flashed across what once had been her face."

That exchange -- "Can you describe this"; "I can" -- is at the heart of acting. You get inside a character, a place, and a moment. You come to know the character in that instant not as an

abstraction -- one of "the people," one of "the masses" -- but as a particular person, yearning, hoping, fearing, loving; a face, even "what had once been a face," apart from all others; and you describe it.

Pretty soon, at least for me, it becomes harder and harder to force any member of humanity into a straitjacket -- into some rigid form in which you expect all to fit. In acting, even as you develop an appreciation for what we call the dramatic, you become, in a more intimate way, less taken with superficial pomp and circumstance, more attentive to the core of the soul, that part of each of us that God holds in the hollow of His hand and into which He breathes the breath of life. And you come to appreciate what another of your poets, Nikolay Gumilev, meant when he wrote that, "The eternal entrance to God's paradise is not closed with seven diamond seals.... It is a doorway in a wall abandoned long ago -- stones, moss, and nothing more."

As I see it, political leadership in a democracy requires seeing past the abstractions and embracing the vast diversity of humanity. And doing it with humility -- listening, as best you can, not just to those with high positions, but to the cacophonous voices of people. And trusting those millions of people. Keeping out of their way. Not trying to act the all wise and all powerful. Not letting government act that way. The word we have for this is freedom.

In the last few years, freedom for the arts has been expanded in the Soviet Union. Poems, books, music, and works in other fields that were once banned have been made available to

the public, and the artists who produced them have been honored. Just last week, thanks to the work of the Writer's Union, the first step was taken to make the Pasternak home at Peredelkino into a museum. In the meantime, some artists in exile — the stage director Yuri Lyubimov, for example — have been permitted to return and to work, and artists who are here have been allowed greater range. In film production, young moviemakers like Andrei Tarkovsky and Tenghiz Abuladze soared like falcons once the hood was lifted; so, too, young writers like Tatyana Tolstaya.

We in the United States applaud the expansion of artistic freedom in the Soviet Union under Mr. Gorbachev. We hope to see it go further. We hope to see Mikhail Baryshnikov and Mstislav Rostropovich perform again in Moscow. We hope to see the works of Alexander Solzenistan available through the country. And we hope to see a permanent end to the stories of official and unofficial harassment of artists and writers.

We want this not just for your sake, but for our own. We believe that the greater the freedoms in other countries, the more secure both our own freedoms and peace. And, we believe that, when the arts in any country are free to blossom, the lives of all people are richer.

William Faulkner said of poets, although he could have been speaking of any of the arts: "It is [the poet's] privilege to help man endure by lifting his heart, by reminding him of the courage and honor and hope and pride and compassion and pity and sacrifice which have been the glory of our past. The poet's

voice need not merely be the record of man, it can be one of the props, the pillars to help him endure and prevail."

Thank you for having me here today, and for sharing your thoughts with me. And God bless you.

Document No. 561336

## WHITE HOUSE STAFFING MEMORANDUM

DATE: 5/18/88 ACTION/CONCURRENCE/COMMENT DUE BY: COB 5/19/88									
SUBJECT:	REVISEDPRESI	DENTIAL ADDR	ESS:	MOSCOW STATE UN	IVERSITY				
(5/18 - 12:00 draft)									
		ACTIO	N FYI		ACTION FYI				
VICE PRESIDENT				HOBBS					
BAKER				HOOLEY					
DUBE	DUBERSTEIN			KRANOWITZ					
MILLE	R - OMB			POWELL					
BAUE	R			RANGE	i i				
CRIBB	}			RISQUE					
CRIPP	EN			RYAN					
CULV	AHOUSE	Ø		SPRINKEL					
DAW	SON	□P	⊠SS	TUTTLE					
DONA	DONATELLI			DOLAN					
FITZWATER			Ø	COURTEMANCHE					
GRISC	ОМ	P		GRAHAM					
REMARKS:									
Please provide your comments/recommendations directly to TOny Dolan's office bwith an info copy to my office by close of business Thursday, May 19, 1988. Thank you.									
RESPONSE: no comments de ne de la commenta del commenta del commenta de la commenta del commenta de la commenta del commenta de la commenta del commenta de la commenta del commenta de la commenta de la commenta del co									
no comments  Dan L. Crippen  5-20-88									

Rhett Dawson Ext. 2702 PRESIDENTIAL ADDRESS: MOSCOW STATE UNIVERSITY MOSCOW, U.S.S.R. WEDNESDAY, MAY 25, 1988

Thank you all very much. [acknowledgements]

It's a great pleasure to be here at Moscow State University. And I want to thank you all for turning out. I know you must be very busy this week studying and you are all now taking your final examinations -- so let me just wish you [Nyeh pooka nyeh peara].

[Did I hear something?] In America we might say "break a leg," which is just as untranslatable.

Nancy couldn't make it today because she is visiting

Leningrad -- which she tells me over the phone is a very

beautiful city -- but she, too, says hello and wishes you good

luck.

Let me say, it's also a great pleasure to once again have this opportunity to speak directly on this live broadcast to the people of the Soviet Union. As you may know, this speech is also being carried live back in the United States. So while I have you all together -- electronically at least -- I want to tell you that before I left Washington I received many heartfelt letters and telegrams asking me to carry here a simple message. A simple message, perhaps, but also some of the most important business of this summit -- it is a message of peace and goodwill and hope for a growing friendship and closeness between our two peoples.

As you know, I've just come from a meeting with one of your most distinguished graduates. In this, our fourth summit,

General Secretary Gorbachev and I have spent many hours together, and I feel that we are getting to know each other well.

Our discussions, of course, have been focused primarily on many of the important issues of the day -- issues I want to touch on with you in a few moments. But first I want to take a little time to talk to you much as I would to any group of college students in the United States. I want to talk, not just of the realities of today, but of the possibilities of tomorrow.

Standing here before a mural of your revolution, I want to talk about a very different revolution that is taking place right now, quietly sweeping the globe, without bloodshed or conflict. Its effects are peaceful, but they will fundamentally alter our world, shatter old assumptions, and reshape our lives.

It's easy to underestimate, because it's not accompanied by banners or fanfare. It's been called the technological, or information, revolution, and as its emblem, one might take the tiny silicon chip -- no bigger than a fingerprint, one of these chips has more computing power than a roomful of old-style computers, or the ability to store in its memory every word in every book in miles of library shelves. They would enable you to hold the entire contents of the Lenin Library in the palm of your hand.

As part of an exchange program, we now have an exhibition touring your country that shows how information technology is transforming our lives: replacing manual labor with robots, forecasting weather for farmers, or mapping the genetic code of D.N.A. for medical researchers. Micro-computers today aid the

design of everything from houses to cars to spacecraft -- they even design better and faster computers. They can translate English into Russian or enable the blind to read -- or help Michael Jackson produce on one synthesizer the sounds of a whole orchestra. Linked by a network of satellites and fiber optic cables, one individual with a desktop computer and a telephone commands resources unavailable to the largest governments just a few years ago.

Like a chrysalis, we are emerging from the economy of the Industrial Revolution -- an economy confined to and limited by the Earth's physical resources -- into, as one economist titled his book, The Economy In Mind, in which there are no bounds on human imagination and the freedom to create is the most precious natural resource.

Think of that little computer chip. Its value isn't in the sand from which it is made, but in the microscopic architecture designed into it by ingenious human minds. Or take the example of the satellite relaying this broadcast around the world, which replaces thousands of tons of copper mined from the Earth and molded into wire.

In the new economy, human invention increasingly makes physical resources obsolete. We are breaking through the material conditions of existence to a world where man paints his own destiny. Even as we explore the most advanced reaches of science, we are returning to the age old wisdom of our culture, a wisdom contained in the first line of the Gospel of John in the New Testament: In the beginning was the word, the idea, and it

was from this idea that the material abundance of creation issued forth.

But progress is not foreordained. The key is freedom -freedom of thought, freedom of information, freedom of
communication. The renowned scientist, scholar, and founding
father of this University, Mikhail Lomonosov knew that. "It is
common knowledge," he said, "that the achievements of science are
considerable and rapid, particularly once the yoke of slavery is
cast off and replaced by the freedom of philosophy."

You know, one of the first communications between your country and mine was a letter from the president of one of our oldest universities to Michael Lomonosov on a subject of scientific inquiry. And one of the first contacts was between Russian and American explorers. The Americans were members of Cook's last voyage on an expedition searching for an Arctic passage; on the island of Unalaska, they came upon the Russians, who took them in and together, with the native Indians, held a prayer service on the ice.

The explorers of the modern era are the entrepreneurs, men with vision, with the courage to take risks and faith enough to brave the unknown. These entrepreneurs and their small enterprises are responsible for almost all the economic growth in the United States. They are the prime movers of the technological revolution. In fact, one of the largest personal computer firms in the United States was started by two college students, no older than you, in the garage behind their home.

Some people, even in my own country, look at the riot of experiment that is the free market and see only waste. What of all the entrepreneurs that fail? Well, many do, particularly the successful ones. Often several times. And if you ask them the secret of their success, they will tell you, it's all that they learned in their struggles along the way -- yes, it's what they learned from failing. Like an athlete in competition, or a scholar in pursuit of the truth, experience is the greatest teacher.

That is why it's so hard for government planners, no matter how sophisticated, to ever substitute for millions of individuals working night and day and to make their dreams come true. The . fact is, bureaucracies are pretty much the same around the world. There's an old story about a town -- it could be anywhere -- with a bureaucrat who is known to be a good for nothing, but he somehow has always hung on to power. So one day, in a town meeting, an old woman gets up and says to him, "There is a folk legend where I come from that when a baby is born an angel comes down from heaven and kisses it on one part of its body. If the angel kisses him on his hand, he becomes a handyman, if he kisses him on his forehead, he becomes bright and clever. And I've been trying to figure out where the angel kissed you that you should sit there for so long and do nothing."

We are seeing the power of freedom spreading around the world -- countries such as the Republic of Korea, Singapore, and Taiwan have vaulted into the technological era, barely pausing in the industrial age along the way. Low-tax, free-market

agricultural policies in the sub-continent mean that India is now a net exporter of food. Perhaps most exciting are the winds of change blowing over mainland China, where one quarter of the world's population is now feeling their first thrill of freedom.

At the same time, the growth of democracy has become one of the most powerful political movements of our age. In Latin America in the 1970's, only a third of the population lived under democratic government — today over 90 percent do. In the Philippines and South Korea, free, contested, democratic elections are the order of the day. Throughout the world, free markets are the model for growth; democracy is the standard by which governments are measured.

We, Americans, make no secret of our belief in freedom. In fact, it is something of a national pastime. Every 4 years the American people choose a new President, and 1988 is one of those years. At one point there were 14 candidates running in the 2 major parties, not to mention all the others, including the Communist and Socialist candidates -- all trying to get [poluchit] my job.

Over 1,000 local television stations, 8,500 radio stations, and 1,700 daily newspapers, each one an independent, private enterprise in no way connected with the Government, report on the candidates, grill them in interviews, and bring them together for debates. In the end, the people vote -- they decide who will be the next President.

But freedom doesn't begin or end with elections. Go to any American town, to take just an example, and you will see dozens

of churches, representing many different beliefs -- in many places synagogues and mosques -- and you will see families of every conceivable nationality, worshipping together.

Go into any schoolroom, and there you will see children being taught the Declaration of Independence, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable rights, among them freedom of speech, freedom of assembly, and freedom of religion that no government can justly deny them.

Go into any courtroom, and there will preside an independent judge, beholden to no Government power; and there will be a jury of usually 12 men and women -- common citizens, they are the ones, the only ones, who weigh the evidence and decide on guilt or innocence. In that court, the accused is innocent until proven guilty, and the word of a policeman, or any official, carries no more weight than the word of the accused.

Go to any college campus, there you'll find an open, sometimes heated, discussion of the problems in American society and what can be done to correct them. Turn on the television, and you'll see the legislature conducting the business of Government right there before the camera, debating and voting on the legislation that will become the law of the land. March in any demonstration, and there are many of them -- the people's right of assembly is guaranteed in the Constitution and enforced by the police.

Go into any Union Hall, where the members know their right to strike is protected by law. As a matter of fact, one of the many jobs I've had before this one was being president of a union, the Screen Actors Guild of America. I lead my union out on strike for higher pay -- and I'm proud to say, we won.

But freedom is more, even than this: Freedom is the right to question, and change, the established way of doing things. It is the continuing revolution of the marketplace. It is the understanding that allows us to recognize shortcomings and seek solutions. It is the right to put forth an idea, scoffed at by the experts, and watch it catch fire among the people. It is the right to follow your star, or stick to your conscience, even if you are the only one in a sea of doubters.

Freedom is the recognition that no single person, no single authority or government has a monopoly on the truth, but that every individual life is infinitely precious, that every one of us was put on this world for a reason and has something to offer.

America is a nation made up of hundreds of nationalities.

Our ties to your land are more than ones of good feeling; they are ties of kinship. In America, you will find Russians,

Armenians, Ukranians, people from all the Baltic and Central

Asian Republics: They come from every part of the Soviet Union,

from every continent, to live in harmony, seeking a place where each is respected, each is valued for its diverse strengths and beauties and the richness it brings to our lives.

Recently, your government has been allowing a few individuals and families out to visit relatives in the West. We can only hope that it won't be long before many more are allowed to do so, and Ukrainian-Americans, Lativian-Americans,

Armenian-Americans, can freely visit their homelands, just as this Irish-American visits his.

Freedom, it has been said, makes people selfish and materialistic, but Americans are one of the most religious peoples on Earth. Because they know that liberty, just as life itself, is not earned, but a gift from God, they seek to share that gift with the world. "Reason and experience," said George Washington, in his farewell address, "both forbid us to expect that National morality can prevail in exclusion of religious principle... [and it is] substantially true, that virtue or morality is a necessary spring of popular government."

Democracy is less a system of government than it is a system to keep Government small, unintrusive: A system of constraints on power to keep politics and government secondary to the important things in life, the true sources of value found only in family and faith.

But I hope you know, I go on about these things not simply to extol the virtues of my own country, but to speak to the true greatness of the heart and soul of your land. Who, after all, needs to tell the land of Dostiyevsky about the quest for truth, the home of Kandinsky and Scriabin about imagination, the rich and noble culture of Alizheer Navoi about beauty and heart. The great culture of your diverse land speaks with a glowing passion to all humanity. Let me cite one of the most eloquent contemporary passage on human freedom; it comes, not from the literature of America, but from this country, from one of the greatest writers of the 20th century, Boris Pasternak, in the

novel, <u>Dr. Zhivago</u>: "I think that if the beast that sleeps in man could be held down by threats -- any kind of threat, whether of jail or of retribution after death -- then the highest emblem of humanity would be the lion tamer in the circus with his whip, not the prophet who sacrificed himself. But... this is just the point -- what has for centuries raised man above the beast is not the cudgel but an inward music: the irresistible power of unarmed truth..."

The irresistible power of unarmed truth -- today the world looks expectantly to signs of change, steps toward greater freedom in the Soviet Union, because we know that such freedom in this land would mean a flowering and renaissance of humanity unparalleled in modern history.

We watch and we hope as we see positive changes taking place. We look forward to seeing these first steps turn into strides, to change that builds an unstoppable momentum, pulled along by that irresistible power of unarmed truth, the irresistible power of freedom.

There are some, I know, who look with a wary eye on the changes taking place in your society, fearful that change will bring only disruption and discontinuity -- that to embrace the hope of the future must mean a complete rejection of the past.

[Insert to come: story on Butch Cassidy and the Sundance Kid]

But like a tree growing strong through the seasons, rooted in the earth and drawing life from the sun, so too, positive change must be rooted in traditional values -- in the land and

culture, in family and community -- and it must take its life from the eternal things, from the source of all life, which is faith. Such change will lead to new understandings, new opportunities, to a broader future in which the tradition is not supplanted, but finds its full flowering.

That is the future beckoning to your generation. At the same time, we should remember that reform that is not institutionalized will always be insecure. Such freedom will always be looking over its shoulder. A falcon on a tether, no matter how long the rope, can always be pulled back. That is why, in my conversation with General Secretary Gorbachev, I have urged him to look for ways to institutionalize change — to put guarantees on reform. And we have been talking together about one sad reminder of a divided world, the Berlin Wall. It is time to remove the barriers that keep people apart.

I am proposing an increased exchange program of high school students between our countries. You have a wonderful phrase in Russian for this: "Better to see something once than to hear about it a hundred times." General Secretary Gorbachev and I first began working on this in 1985; in our discussion today, we agreed on working up to 2,000 exchanges a year, from each country, in the near future. But not everyone can travel across the continents and oceans. Words travel lighter: That is why we would like to make available to this country more of our \_\_\_\_ thousand magazines and periodicals; and our television and radio shows, that can be beamed off a satellite in seconds.

Nothing would please us more than for the Soviet people to get to know us and our way of life.

Just a few years ago, few would have imagined the progress our two nations have made together: The I.N.F. treaty, which General Secretary Gorbachev and I signed last December in Washington, the first true nuclear arms reduction treaty in history, calling for the elimination of an entire class of nuclear missiles. And just 15 days ago, we saw the beginning of your withdrawal from Afghanistan, which gives us hope that soon the fighting may end and the healing may begin, and that that suffering country may find self-determination, unity, and peace at long last.

It is my fervent hope that our constructive cooperation on these issues will be carried on to address together the continuing destruction of conflicts in many regions of the globe and that the serious discussions that led to the Geneva accords on Afghanistan will lead to solutions in Africa, Asia, Southeast, and Central America.

I have often said, nations do not distrust each other because they are armed, they are armed because they distrust each other. If this globe is to live in peace and prosper, if it is to embrace all the possibilities of the technological revolution, then nations must renounce, once and for all, the right to an expansionist foreign policy. Peace between nations must be an enduring goal -- not a tactical stage in a continuing conflict.

I have been told that there is a popular song in this country -- perhaps you know it? -- whose evocative refrain asks

the question, "Do the Russians want war?" In answer it says, "Go ask... the silence that lingers in the air, above the birch and poplar there/ Beneath those trees the soldiers lie.... Go ask those who gave the soldiers life/ Go ask my mother, ask my wife/ Then you will have to ask no more/ Do the Russians want a war?"

But what of your one-time allies? What of those who embraced you on the Elbe? What if we were to ask the the watery graves of the Pacific, or the European battlegrounds where America's fallen were buried far from home. What if we were to ask their mothers, sisters, and sons: Do Americans want war? Ask us, too, and you will find the same answer, the same longing in every heart. People do not make wars, governments do -- no mother would ever willingly sacrifice their sons for territorial gain, for economic advantage, for ideology. A people free to choose, will always choose peace.

Americans seek always to make friends of old antagonists:

After a colonial revolution with Britain we cemented for all ages
the ties of kinship between our nations; after a terrible civil
war between North and South, we healed our wounds and found true
unity as a Nation. We fought two world wars in my lifetime
against Germany, and one with Japan, but now those nations are
two of our closest allies and friends.

Some people point to the trade disputes between us as sign of strain, but they are the frictions of all families, and the family of free nations is a big and vital and sometimes boisterous one. I can tell you that nothing would please my heart more, than in my lifetime to see our diplomats grappling

with the problem of trade disputes between America and a growing, exuberant, exporting Soviet Union that had opened up to economic freedom and growth.

And as important as these official people-to-people exchanges are, nothing would please me more than for them to become unnecessary, to see travel between East and West become so routine that college students in the Soviet Union could take a month off in the summer and, just like students in the West do now, put a pack on their back and travel from country to country in Europe with barely a passport check in between. Nothing would please me more than to see the day that a concert promoter in, say, England could call up a Soviet Rock group -- without going through any government agency -- and have them playing in Liverpool the next night.

Is this just a dream? Perhaps, but it is a dream that it is our responsibility to make come true.

Your generation is living in one of the most exciting, hopeful times in Soviet history. It is a time when the first breath of freedom stirs the air and the heart beats to the accelerated rhythm of hope, when the accumulated spiritual energies of a long silence yearn to break free.

I am reminded of the mysterious, ambiguous passage near the end of Gogol's <u>Dead Souls</u>. Comparing his nation to a spreading troika, he asks what will be its destination. But he writes, "there was no answer save the bell pouring forth marvellous sound."

We do not know what will be the conclusion of this journey, but we are hopeful that the promise of reform will be fulfilled. In this Moscow spring, this May, 1988, we may be allowed that hope -- that freedom, like the fresh green sapling planted over Tolstoi's grave, will blossom forth at last in the rich fertile soil of your people and culture. We may be allowed to hope that the marvellous sound of a new openness will keep ringing through, leading to a new world of reconciliation, friendship, and peace.

Thank you all very much and [in Russian: God bless you].

Document No. 561 410

NOON - Friday 05/20%

## WHITE HOUSE STAFFING MEMORANDUM

05/19/88

	Actions	CONCON	NEIVED CO	DIVINICAT DUE BY.					
SUBJECT:	PRESIDENTIAL RA	DIO TA	LK: U	PCOMING MOSCO	W SUMMIT	(for 0	)5/28/88)		
			(	05/19 4:00 p.	m. draft)				
	ACTION FYI						ACTION FYI		
VICE PRES	IDENT			HOBBS					
BAKER				HOOLEY					
DUBERST	EIN			KRANOWITZ	•	i.			
MILLER - C	ОМВ			POWELL		0			
BAUER				RANGE					
CRIBB				RISQUE					
CRIPPEN				RYAN					
CULVAHO	USE			SPRINKEL					
DAWSON		□P	1205	TUTTLE					
DONATEL	LI			DOLAN			]		
FITZWATE	R		8						
GRISCOM									

**REMARKS:** 

Please provide any comments/recommendations directly to Tony Dolan by Noon on Friday, 05/20, with an info copy to my office. Thanks.

RESPONSE:

Or

Dan L. Crippen

5-20-88

PRESIDENTIAL RADIO TALK: UPCOMING MOSCOW SUMMIT
SATURDAY, MAY 28, 1988 1988 MAY 19 PM 5: 04

My fellow Americans, as this broadcast reaches you, which I recently pre-taped, I am in Helsinki, Finland, on my way to the Soviet Union, where I arrive on Sunday.

When I meet in the coming days with Soviet General Secretary Gorbachev, it will be our fourth set of face-to-face talks in 2 years. Through this dialogue, U.S.-Soviet relations have moved forward on the basis of frankness and realism. This relationship has not rested on any single issue, but has been built on a sturdy four-part agenda that covers human rights, regional conflicts, nuclear arms reduction, and bilateral exchanges.

What has been achieved in this brief span of time offers great hope for a brighter future and a safer world.

Through America's firmness and resolve, we concluded the historic I.N.F. treaty that will eliminate an entire class of U.S. and Soviet intermediate-range nuclear missiles from Europe.

The Soviet army is now withdrawing from Afghanistan, a process that shall be complete when the heroic Afghan people recover the independent, non-communist, and undivided nation for which they have long struggled.

It is also encouraging to hear General Secretary Gorbachev speak forthrightly of the problems he sees in the Soviet Union. The new Soviet ruler talks of "glasnost" and "perestroika" -- openness and restructuring -- words that to Western ears have a

particularly welcome sound. And since he began his campaign, we can list developments that the Free World heartily applauds.

We have seen many well-known prisoners of conscience released from harsh labor camps or strict internal exile, courageous people like Andrei Sakharov and Josif Begun.

Soviet authorities have permitted the publication of books, like <u>Dr. Zhivago</u>, and the distribution of movies, such as <u>Repentance</u>, that are critical of aspects of the Soviet past and present. Greater emigration has been allowed. Greater dissent is being tolerated. And recently, General Secretary Gorbachev has promised to grant a measure of religious freedom to the peoples of the Soviet Union.

All this is new and good. But at the same time, there is another list that the West cannot ignore. There remain systematic human rights violations under the Helsinki Accords; and the Soviet Union continues to obstruct agreement on human rights issues in the Helsinki follow-up meetings. The Baltic nations and most of the Eastern European nations also have significant human rights problems. In Asia, Africa, and Central America, the Soviets continue to support regimes that oppress their own people and commit aggression against neighboring states, even when those neighbors are totally neutral in the East-West conflict.

This second list will be at the top of my agenda in the days ahead. I shall say, among other things, that it is time for the Soviet Union to fully honor the Helsinki Accords. As I said yesterday, speaking in the same hall where the Helsinki Accords

were signed in 1975, it is difficult to understand why, 13 years later, cases of divided families and blocked marriages should remain on the East-West agenda; or why Soviet citizens who wish, by right, to emigrate should be subject to artificial quotas and arbitrary rulings. And there are other questions: the continued suppression of those who wish to practice their religious beliefs, and the release of <u>all</u> prisoners of conscience.

In working for a safer world and a brighter future for all people, we know arms agreements alone will not make the world safer -- we must also reduce the reasons for having arms. As I said to General Secretary Gorbachev when we first met in 1985: We do not distrust each other because we are armed; we are armed because we distrust each other. History has taught us that it is not weapons themselves that cause war, but the nature of the governments that wield the weapons. So when we encourage Soviet reforms, it is with the knowledge that democracy not only guarantees human rights, but also helps prevent war, and, in truth, is a form of arms control. So, really, our whole agenda has one purpose: to protect peace, freedom, and life itself.

And one of the most important steps toward these goals would be for Soviet reforms to not simply be issued by decree, but for the Soviet leadership to <u>institutionalize</u> fundamental principles by permitting an independent judiciary, the freedom to form political parties, and the secret ballot.

And that is why we are ready to work with the Soviets. To praise and criticize, and work for change. Because that is the path to lasting peace, greater freedom, and a safer world.

I am grateful for your prayers and support as I embark on this journey.

Until next week, thanks for listening, and God bless you.