

# Ronald Reagan Presidential Library Digital Library Collections

---

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual collections.

---

**Collection:** Roberts, John G.: Files  
**Folder Title:** JGR/Dozier, Gilbert (4 of 6)  
**Box:** 18

---

To see more digitized collections visit:  
<https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digital-library>

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library inventories visit:  
<https://reaganlibrary.gov/document-collection>

Contact a reference archivist at: [reagan.library@nara.gov](mailto:reagan.library@nara.gov)

Citation Guidelines: <https://reaganlibrary.gov/citing>

National Archives Catalogue: <https://catalog.archives.gov/>

THE WHITE HOUSE  
WASHINGTON

October 24, 1984

Dear Mr. Masters:

Thank you for your recent letter to the President concerning the decision to commute the sentence of Gilbert L. Dozier to six years imprisonment. In light of your expressed concerns about that decision, you may be interested in more information about the facts of the case and the procedures that were followed.

Gilbert L. Dozier was convicted in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Louisiana in 1980 for violations of Federal law involving extortion and bribery. Dozier was convicted of soliciting money from individuals and businesses that were, or might have been, affected by actions of the Louisiana Department of Agriculture while he was Commissioner of Agriculture. In 1982 Dozier was also found to have committed additional criminal acts, including obstruction of justice, and to have thereby violated the conditions of a court ordered probationary term. On June 24, 1982, he commenced service of an aggregate sentence of from 58 months to 18 years imprisonment, followed by five years probation, and was fined \$25,000.

In January 1983, Dozier filed an application for Executive clemency with the Office of the Pardon Attorney in the Department of Justice. In accordance with standard procedures, the Office of the Pardon Attorney, headed and staffed by experienced career attorneys, obtained and evaluated pertinent information, reports, and advice concerning Dozier's application. The office recommended that Dozier's sentence be reduced, and on March 20, 1984, the Department of Justice advised the President to modify the sentence of imprisonment and probation to six years imprisonment.

The Department of Justice recommendation was based on the disparity between Dozier's original sentence and sentences imposed in similar circumstances on like offenders for

similar offenses. The disparity became evident through an evaluation of relevant data compiled by the Administrative Office of United States Courts on sentences imposed in Federal courts. Not only was Dozier's sentence comparatively long, but the convictions for racketeering and extortion that made up the pertinent statistics generally involved behavior even more severe than the acts of extortion committed by Dozier. Generally, they included offenders with serious prior criminal records whose offenses involved violence. Sentencing statistics pertaining to defendants convicted of bribery suggest an even greater disparity of sentence. In addition, sentences imposed in comparable cases in recent years upon a number of public officials in the Federal criminal justice system were reviewed, and this review again demonstrated the disparity of Dozier's sentence.

The recommendation of the Department of Justice was also based on Dozier's cooperation with law enforcement authorities after his conviction. Such cooperation provided with respect to ongoing law enforcement efforts is, as I am certain you will understand, a very important consideration in matters of this kind. Also taken into account were the guidelines of the United States Parole Commission, the length of incarceration to date, the fact that Dozier paid his fine, and the minimal additional deterrent effect to be achieved by completion of the original sentence.

The President accepted the advice of the Department of Justice and on June 22, 1984 reduced Dozier's sentence to six years. While the recommended sentence of six years imprisonment will permit Dozier to become eligible for parole consideration after two years imprisonment, any actual release date will be determined by the United States Parole Commission in its discretion and in accordance with its applicable guidelines. Unless the Parole Commission releases him sooner, Dozier will remain incarcerated until the expiration of his six-year sentence, subject to statutory release procedures (including good time) applicable to all Federal prisoners.

It is important to recognize that the President has not pardoned Dozier for the very serious criminal conduct that resulted in his conviction and incarceration. The reduction of sentence, approved for the reasons outlined above, in no way minimizes the seriousness of the crimes committed by Dozier.

We appreciate your taking the time to share your views on this matter with us. I hope the foregoing responds to your concerns.

Sincerely,

Original signed by RAH

Richard A. Hauser  
Deputy Counsel to the President

Mr. Burton J. Masters  
6138 Del Canto  
San Jose, CA 95119

RAH:JGR:aea 10/24/84  
cc: FFFielding/RAHauser/JGRoberts/Subj/Chron

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

September 5, 1984

Dear Mr. Bingham:

Thank you for your recent letter to the President concerning the decision to commute the sentence of Gilbert L. Dozier to six years imprisonment. In light of your expressed concerns about that decision, you may be interested in more information about the facts of the case and the procedures that were followed.

Gilbert L. Dozier was convicted in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Louisiana in 1980 for violations of Federal law involving extortion and bribery. Dozier was convicted of soliciting money from individuals and businesses that were, or might have been, affected by actions of the Louisiana Department of Agriculture while he was Commissioner of Agriculture. In 1982 Dozier was also found to have committed additional criminal acts, including obstruction of justice, and to have thereby violated the conditions of a court ordered probationary term. On June 24, 1982, he commenced service of an aggregate sentence of from 58 months to 18 years imprisonment, followed by five years probation, and was fined \$25,000.

In January 1983, Dozier filed an application for Executive clemency with the Office of the Pardon Attorney in the Department of Justice. In accordance with standard procedures, the Office of the Pardon Attorney, headed and staffed by experienced career attorneys, obtained and evaluated pertinent information, reports, and advice concerning Dozier's application. The office recommended that Dozier's sentence be reduced, and on March 20, 1984, the Department of Justice advised the President to modify the sentence of imprisonment and probation to six years imprisonment.

The Department of Justice recommendation was based on the disparity between Dozier's original sentence and sentences imposed in similar circumstances on like offenders for

similar offenses. The disparity became evident through an evaluation of relevant data compiled by the Administrative Office of United States Courts on sentences imposed in Federal courts. Not only was Dozier's sentence comparatively long, but the convictions for racketeering and extortion that made up the pertinent statistics generally involved behavior even more severe than the acts of extortion committed by Dozier. Generally, they included offenders with serious prior criminal records whose offenses involved violence. Sentencing statistics pertaining to defendants convicted of bribery suggest an even greater disparity of sentence. In addition, sentences imposed in comparable cases in recent years upon a number of public officials in the Federal criminal justice system were reviewed, and this review again demonstrated the disparity of Dozier's sentence.

The recommendation of the Department of Justice was also based on Dozier's cooperation with law enforcement authorities after his conviction. Such cooperation provided with respect to ongoing law enforcement efforts is, as I am certain you will understand, a very important consideration in matters of this kind. Also taken into account were the guidelines of the United States Parole Commission, the length of incarceration to date, the fact that Dozier paid his fine, and the minimal additional deterrent effect to be achieved by completion of the original sentence.

The President accepted the advice of the Department of Justice and on June 22, 1984 reduced Dozier's sentence to six years. While the recommended sentence of six years imprisonment will permit Dozier to become eligible for parole consideration after two years imprisonment, any actual release date will be determined by the United States Parole Commission in its discretion and in accordance with its applicable guidelines. Unless the Parole Commission releases him sooner, Dozier will remain incarcerated until the expiration of his six-year sentence, subject to statutory release procedures (including good time) applicable to all Federal prisoners.

It is important to recognize that the President has not pardoned Dozier for the very serious criminal conduct that resulted in his conviction and incarceration. The reduction of sentence, approved for the reasons outlined above, in no way minimizes the seriousness of the crimes committed by Dozier.

We appreciate your taking the time to share your views on this matter with us. I hope the foregoing responds to your concerns.

Sincerely,

Original signed by RAH

Richard A. Hauser  
Deputy Counsel to the President

Mr. William E. Bingham  
Post Office Box 4  
Baton Rouge, LA 70808

RAH:JGR:aea 9/6/84  
cc: RAHauser  
JGRoberts  
Subj  
Chron



THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

September 6, 1984

Dear Mr. Martin:

Thank you for your recent letter to the President concerning the decision to commute the sentence of Gilbert L. Dozier to six years imprisonment. In light of your expressed concerns about that decision, you may be interested in more information about the facts of the case and the procedures that were followed.

Gilbert L. Dozier was convicted in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Louisiana in 1980 for violations of Federal law involving extortion and bribery. Dozier was convicted of soliciting money from individuals and businesses that were, or might have been, affected by actions of the Louisiana Department of Agriculture while he was Commissioner of Agriculture. In 1982 Dozier was also found to have committed additional criminal acts, including obstruction of justice, and to have thereby violated the conditions of a court ordered probationary term. On June 24, 1982, he commenced service of an aggregate sentence of from 58 months to 18 years imprisonment, followed by five years probation, and was fined \$25,000.

In January 1983, Dozier filed an application for Executive clemency with the Office of the Pardon Attorney in the Department of Justice. In accordance with standard procedures, the Office of the Pardon Attorney, headed and staffed by experienced career attorneys, obtained and evaluated pertinent information, reports, and advice concerning Dozier's application. The office recommended that Dozier's sentence be reduced, and on March 20, 1984, the Department of Justice advised the President to modify the sentence of imprisonment and probation to six years imprisonment.

The Department of Justice recommendation was based on the disparity between Dozier's original sentence and sentences imposed in similar circumstances on like offenders for



similar offenses. The disparity became evident through an evaluation of relevant data compiled by the Administrative Office of United States Courts on sentences imposed in Federal courts. Not only was Dozier's sentence comparatively long, but the convictions for racketeering and extortion that made up the pertinent statistics generally involved behavior even more severe than the acts of extortion committed by Dozier. Generally, they included offenders with serious prior criminal records whose offenses involved violence. Sentencing statistics pertaining to defendants convicted of bribery suggest an even greater disparity of sentence. In addition, sentences imposed in comparable cases in recent years upon a number of public officials in the Federal criminal justice system were reviewed, and this review again demonstrated the disparity of Dozier's sentence.

The recommendation of the Department of Justice was also based on Dozier's cooperation with law enforcement authorities after his conviction. Such cooperation provided with respect to ongoing law enforcement efforts is, as I am certain you will understand, a very important consideration in matters of this kind. Also taken into account were the guidelines of the United States Parole Commission, the length of incarceration to date, the fact that Dozier paid his fine, and the minimal additional deterrent effect to be achieved by completion of the original sentence.

The President accepted the advice of the Department of Justice and on June 22, 1984 reduced Dozier's sentence to six years. While the recommended sentence of six years imprisonment will permit Dozier to become eligible for parole consideration after two years imprisonment, any actual release date will be determined by the United States Parole Commission in its discretion and in accordance with its applicable guidelines. Unless the Parole Commission releases him sooner, Dozier will remain incarcerated until the expiration of his six-year sentence, subject to statutory release procedures (including good time) applicable to all Federal prisoners.

It is important to recognize that the President has not pardoned Dozier for the very serious criminal conduct that resulted in his conviction and incarceration. The reduction of sentence, approved for the reasons outlined above, in no way minimizes the seriousness of the crimes committed by Dozier.

We appreciate your taking the time to share your views on this matter with us. I hope the foregoing responds to your concerns.

Sincerely,

Original signed by RAH

Richard A. Hauser  
Deputy Counsel to the President

Mr. Caleb Martin  
Martin Brothers, Incorporated  
Post Office Box 630  
Winnsboro, Louisiana 71295

RAH:JGR:aea 9/6/84  
cc: RAHauser  
JGRoberts  
Subj  
Chron

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

September 6, 1984

Dear Dr. Richards:

Thank you for your recent letter to the President concerning the decision to commute the sentence of Gilbert L. Dozier to six years imprisonment. In light of your expressed concerns about that decision, you may be interested in more information about the facts of the case and the procedures that were followed.

Gilbert L. Dozier was convicted in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Louisiana in 1980 for violations of Federal law involving extortion and bribery. Dozier was convicted of soliciting money from individuals and businesses that were, or might have been, affected by actions of the Louisiana Department of Agriculture while he was Commissioner of Agriculture. In 1982 Dozier was also found to have committed additional criminal acts, including obstruction of justice, and to have thereby violated the conditions of a court ordered probationary term. On June 24, 1982, he commenced service of an aggregate sentence of from 58 months to 18 years imprisonment, followed by five years probation, and was fined \$25,000.

In January 1983, Dozier filed an application for Executive clemency with the Office of the Pardon Attorney in the Department of Justice. In accordance with standard procedures, the Office of the Pardon Attorney, headed and staffed by experienced career attorneys, obtained and evaluated pertinent information, reports, and advice concerning Dozier's application. The office recommended that Dozier's sentence be reduced, and on March 20, 1984, the Department of Justice advised the President to modify the sentence of imprisonment and probation to six years imprisonment.

The Department of Justice recommendation was based on the disparity between Dozier's original sentence and sentences imposed in similar circumstances on like offenders for

similar offenses. The disparity became evident through an evaluation of relevant data compiled by the Administrative Office of United States Courts on sentences imposed in Federal courts. Not only was Dozier's sentence comparatively long, but the convictions for racketeering and extortion that made up the pertinent statistics generally involved behavior even more severe than the acts of extortion committed by Dozier. Generally, they included offenders with serious prior criminal records whose offenses involved violence. Sentencing statistics pertaining to defendants convicted of bribery suggest an even greater disparity of sentence. In addition, sentences imposed in comparable cases in recent years upon a number of public officials in the Federal criminal justice system were reviewed, and this review again demonstrated the disparity of Dozier's sentence.

The recommendation of the Department of Justice was also based on Dozier's cooperation with law enforcement authorities after his conviction. Such cooperation provided with respect to ongoing law enforcement efforts is, as I am certain you will understand, a very important consideration in matters of this kind. Also taken into account were the guidelines of the United States Parole Commission, the length of incarceration to date, the fact that Dozier paid his fine, and the minimal additional deterrent effect to be achieved by completion of the original sentence.

The President accepted the advice of the Department of Justice and on June 22, 1984 reduced Dozier's sentence to six years. While the recommended sentence of six years imprisonment will permit Dozier to become eligible for parole consideration after two years imprisonment, any actual release date will be determined by the United States Parole Commission in its discretion and in accordance with its applicable guidelines. Unless the Parole Commission releases him sooner, Dozier will remain incarcerated until the expiration of his six-year sentence, subject to statutory release procedures (including good time) applicable to all Federal prisoners.

It is important to recognize that the President has not pardoned Dozier for the very serious criminal conduct that resulted in his conviction and incarceration. The reduction of sentence, approved for the reasons outlined above, in no way minimizes the seriousness of the crimes committed by Dozier.

We appreciate your taking the time to share your views on this matter with us. I hope the foregoing responds to your concerns.

Sincerely,

Original signed by RAH  
Richard A. Hauser  
Deputy Counsel to the President

Dr. Darrell H. Richards  
2730 N. Causeway Boulevard  
Metairie, LA 70002

RAH:JGR:aea 9/6/84  
cc: RAHauser  
JGRoberts  
Subj  
Chron

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

September 6, 1984

Dear Mr. Jennings:

Thank you for your recent letter to the President concerning the decision to commute the sentence of Gilbert L. Dozier to six years imprisonment. In light of your expressed concerns about that decision, you may be interested in more information about the facts of the case and the procedures that were followed.

Gilbert L. Dozier was convicted in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Louisiana in 1980 for violations of Federal law involving extortion and bribery. Dozier was convicted of soliciting money from individuals and businesses that were, or might have been, affected by actions of the Louisiana Department of Agriculture while he was Commissioner of Agriculture. In 1982 Dozier was also found to have committed additional criminal acts, including obstruction of justice, and to have thereby violated the conditions of a court ordered probationary term. On June 24, 1982, he commenced service of an aggregate sentence of from 58 months to 18 years imprisonment, followed by five years probation, and was fined \$25,000.

In January 1983, Dozier filed an application for Executive clemency with the Office of the Pardon Attorney in the Department of Justice. In accordance with standard procedures, the Office of the Pardon Attorney, headed and staffed by experienced career attorneys, obtained and evaluated pertinent information, reports, and advice concerning Dozier's application. The office recommended that Dozier's sentence be reduced, and on March 20, 1984, the Department of Justice advised the President to modify the sentence of imprisonment and probation to six years imprisonment.

The Department of Justice recommendation was based on the disparity between Dozier's original sentence and sentences imposed in similar circumstances on like offenders for

similar offenses. The disparity became evident through an evaluation of relevant data compiled by the Administrative Office of United States Courts on sentences imposed in Federal courts. Not only was Dozier's sentence comparatively long, but the convictions for racketeering and extortion that made up the pertinent statistics generally involved behavior even more severe than the acts of extortion committed by Dozier. Generally, they included offenders with serious prior criminal records whose offenses involved violence. Sentencing statistics pertaining to defendants convicted of bribery suggest an even greater disparity of sentence. In addition, sentences imposed in comparable cases in recent years upon a number of public officials in the Federal criminal justice system were reviewed, and this review again demonstrated the disparity of Dozier's sentence.

The recommendation of the Department of Justice was also based on Dozier's cooperation with law enforcement authorities after his conviction. Such cooperation provided with respect to ongoing law enforcement efforts is, as I am certain you will understand, a very important consideration in matters of this kind. Also taken into account were the guidelines of the United States Parole Commission, the length of incarceration to date, the fact that Dozier paid his fine, and the minimal additional deterrent effect to be achieved by completion of the original sentence.

The President accepted the advice of the Department of Justice and on June 22, 1984 reduced Dozier's sentence to six years. While the recommended sentence of six years imprisonment will permit Dozier to become eligible for parole consideration after two years imprisonment, any actual release date will be determined by the United States Parole Commission in its discretion and in accordance with its applicable guidelines. Unless the Parole Commission releases him sooner, Dozier will remain incarcerated until the expiration of his six-year sentence, subject to statutory release procedures (including good time) applicable to all Federal prisoners.

It is important to recognize that the President has not pardoned Dozier for the very serious criminal conduct that resulted in his conviction and incarceration. The reduction of sentence, approved for the reasons outlined above, in no way minimizes the seriousness of the crimes committed by Dozier.



We appreciate your taking the time to share your views on this matter with us. I hope the foregoing responds to your concerns.

Sincerely,

Original signed by RAH

Richard A. Hauser  
Deputy Counsel to the President

Mr. R.D. Jennings  
Capital Valve & Fitting Co., Inc.  
9243 Interline Avenue  
Baton Rouge, LA 70809

RAH:JGR:aea 9/6/84

cc: RAHauser  
JGRoberts  
Subj  
Chron

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

September 6, 1984

Dear Ms. Hobart:

Thank you for your recent letter to the President concerning the decision to commute the sentence of Gilbert L. Dozier to six years imprisonment. In light of your expressed concerns about that decision, you may be interested in more information about the facts of the case and the procedures that were followed.

Gilbert L. Dozier was convicted in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Louisiana in 1980 for violations of Federal law involving extortion and bribery. Dozier was convicted of soliciting money from individuals and businesses that were, or might have been, affected by actions of the Louisiana Department of Agriculture while he was Commissioner of Agriculture. In 1982 Dozier was also found to have committed additional criminal acts, including obstruction of justice, and to have thereby violated the conditions of a court ordered probationary term. On June 24, 1982, he commenced service of an aggregate sentence of from 58 months to 18 years imprisonment, followed by five years probation, and was fined \$25,000.

In January 1983, Dozier filed an application for Executive clemency with the Office of the Pardon Attorney in the Department of Justice. In accordance with standard procedures, the Office of the Pardon Attorney, headed and staffed by experienced career attorneys, obtained and evaluated pertinent information, reports, and advice concerning Dozier's application. The office recommended that Dozier's sentence be reduced, and on March 20, 1984, the Department of Justice advised the President to modify the sentence of imprisonment and probation to six years imprisonment.

The Department of Justice recommendation was based on the disparity between Dozier's original sentence and sentences imposed in similar circumstances on like offenders for

similar offenses. The disparity became evident through an evaluation of relevant data compiled by the Administrative Office of United States Courts on sentences imposed in Federal courts. Not only was Dozier's sentence comparatively long, but the convictions for racketeering and extortion that made up the pertinent statistics generally involved behavior even more severe than the acts of extortion committed by Dozier. Generally, they included offenders with serious prior criminal records whose offenses involved violence. Sentencing statistics pertaining to defendants convicted of bribery suggest an even greater disparity of sentence. In addition, sentences imposed in comparable cases in recent years upon a number of public officials in the Federal criminal justice system were reviewed, and this review again demonstrated the disparity of Dozier's sentence.

The recommendation of the Department of Justice was also based on Dozier's cooperation with law enforcement authorities after his conviction. Such cooperation provided with respect to ongoing law enforcement efforts is, as I am certain you will understand, a very important consideration in matters of this kind. Also taken into account were the guidelines of the United States Parole Commission, the length of incarceration to date, the fact that Dozier paid his fine, and the minimal additional deterrent effect to be achieved by completion of the original sentence.

The President accepted the advice of the Department of Justice and on June 22, 1984 reduced Dozier's sentence to six years. While the recommended sentence of six years imprisonment will permit Dozier to become eligible for parole consideration after two years imprisonment, any actual release date will be determined by the United States Parole Commission in its discretion and in accordance with its applicable guidelines. Unless the Parole Commission releases him sooner, Dozier will remain incarcerated until the expiration of his six-year sentence, subject to statutory release procedures (including good time) applicable to all Federal prisoners.

It is important to recognize that the President has not pardoned Dozier for the very serious criminal conduct that resulted in his conviction and incarceration. The reduction of sentence, approved for the reasons outlined above, in no way minimizes the seriousness of the crimes committed by Dozier.

We appreciate your taking the time to share your views on this matter with us. I hope the foregoing responds to your concerns.

Sincerely,

Original signed by RAH

Richard A. Hauser  
Deputy Counsel to the President

Ms. Sandra Hobart  
P.O. Box 51983  
Lafayette, LA 70505

RAH:JGR:aea 9/6/84

cc: RAHauser  
JGRoberts  
Subj  
Chron

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

September 6, 1984

Dear Mr. Lombas:

Thank you for your recent letter to the President concerning the decision to commute the sentence of Gilbert L. Dozier to six years imprisonment. In light of your expressed concerns about that decision, you may be interested in more information about the facts of the case and the procedures that were followed.

Gilbert L. Dozier was convicted in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Louisiana in 1980 for violations of Federal law involving extortion and bribery. Dozier was convicted of soliciting money from individuals and businesses that were, or might have been, affected by actions of the Louisiana Department of Agriculture while he was Commissioner of Agriculture. In 1982 Dozier was also found to have committed additional criminal acts, including obstruction of justice, and to have thereby violated the conditions of a court ordered probationary term. On June 24, 1982, he commenced service of an aggregate sentence of from 58 months to 18 years imprisonment, followed by five years probation, and was fined \$25,000.

In January 1983, Dozier filed an application for Executive clemency with the Office of the Pardon Attorney in the Department of Justice. In accordance with standard procedures, the Office of the Pardon Attorney, headed and staffed by experienced career attorneys, obtained and evaluated pertinent information, reports, and advice concerning Dozier's application. The office recommended that Dozier's sentence be reduced, and on March 20, 1984, the Department of Justice advised the President to modify the sentence of imprisonment and probation to six years imprisonment.

The Department of Justice recommendation was based on the disparity between Dozier's original sentence and sentences imposed in similar circumstances on like offenders for

similar offenses. The disparity became evident through an evaluation of relevant data compiled by the Administrative Office of United States Courts on sentences imposed in Federal courts. Not only was Dozier's sentence comparatively long, but the convictions for racketeering and extortion that made up the pertinent statistics generally involved behavior even more severe than the acts of extortion committed by Dozier. Generally, they included offenders with serious prior criminal records whose offenses involved violence. Sentencing statistics pertaining to defendants convicted of bribery suggest an even greater disparity of sentence. In addition, sentences imposed in comparable cases in recent years upon a number of public officials in the Federal criminal justice system were reviewed, and this review again demonstrated the disparity of Dozier's sentence.

The recommendation of the Department of Justice was also based on Dozier's cooperation with law enforcement authorities after his conviction. Such cooperation provided with respect to ongoing law enforcement efforts is, as I am certain you will understand, a very important consideration in matters of this kind. Also taken into account were the guidelines of the United States Parole Commission, the length of incarceration to date, the fact that Dozier paid his fine, and the minimal additional deterrent effect to be achieved by completion of the original sentence.

The President accepted the advice of the Department of Justice and on June 22, 1984 reduced Dozier's sentence to six years. While the recommended sentence of six years imprisonment will permit Dozier to become eligible for parole consideration after two years imprisonment, any actual release date will be determined by the United States Parole Commission in its discretion and in accordance with its applicable guidelines. Unless the Parole Commission releases him sooner, Dozier will remain incarcerated until the expiration of his six-year sentence, subject to statutory release procedures (including good time) applicable to all Federal prisoners.

It is important to recognize that the President has not pardoned Dozier for the very serious criminal conduct that resulted in his conviction and incarceration. The reduction of sentence, approved for the reasons outlined above, in no way minimizes the seriousness of the crimes committed by Dozier.

We appreciate your taking the time to share your views on this matter with us. I hope the foregoing responds to your concerns.

Sincerely,

Original signed by RAH

Richard A. Hauser  
Deputy Counsel to the President

Mr. Herman J. Lombas, Jr.  
4120 I Street  
Metairie, LA 70001

RAH:JGR:aea 9/6/84

cc: RAHauser  
JGRoberts  
Subj  
Chron



THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

September 6, 1984

Dear Ms. Engelsman:

Thank you for your recent letter to the President concerning the decision to commute the sentence of Gilbert L. Dozier to six years imprisonment. In light of your expressed concerns about that decision, you may be interested in more information about the facts of the case and the procedures that were followed.

Gilbert L. Dozier was convicted in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Louisiana in 1980 for violations of Federal law involving extortion and bribery. Dozier was convicted of soliciting money from individuals and businesses that were, or might have been, affected by actions of the Louisiana Department of Agriculture while he was Commissioner of Agriculture. In 1982 Dozier was also found to have committed additional criminal acts, including obstruction of justice, and to have thereby violated the conditions of a court ordered probationary term. On June 24, 1982, he commenced service of an aggregate sentence of from 58 months to 18 years imprisonment, followed by five years probation, and was fined \$25,000.

In January 1983, Dozier filed an application for Executive clemency with the Office of the Pardon Attorney in the Department of Justice. In accordance with standard procedures, the Office of the Pardon Attorney, headed and staffed by experienced career attorneys, obtained and evaluated pertinent information, reports, and advice concerning Dozier's application. The office recommended that Dozier's sentence be reduced, and on March 20, 1984, the Department of Justice advised the President to modify the sentence of imprisonment and probation to six years imprisonment.

The Department of Justice recommendation was based on the disparity between Dozier's original sentence and sentences imposed in similar circumstances on like offenders for

similar offenses. The disparity became evident through an evaluation of relevant data compiled by the Administrative Office of United States Courts on sentences imposed in Federal courts. Not only was Dozier's sentence comparatively long, but the convictions for racketeering and extortion that made up the pertinent statistics generally involved behavior even more severe than the acts of extortion committed by Dozier. Generally, they included offenders with serious prior criminal records whose offenses involved violence. Sentencing statistics pertaining to defendants convicted of bribery suggest an even greater disparity of sentence. In addition, sentences imposed in comparable cases in recent years upon a number of public officials in the Federal criminal justice system were reviewed, and this review again demonstrated the disparity of Dozier's sentence.

The recommendation of the Department of Justice was also based on Dozier's cooperation with law enforcement authorities after his conviction. Such cooperation provided with respect to ongoing law enforcement efforts is, as I am certain you will understand, a very important consideration in matters of this kind. Also taken into account were the guidelines of the United States Parole Commission, the length of incarceration to date, the fact that Dozier paid his fine, and the minimal additional deterrent effect to be achieved by completion of the original sentence.

The President accepted the advice of the Department of Justice and on June 22, 1984 reduced Dozier's sentence to six years. While the recommended sentence of six years imprisonment will permit Dozier to become eligible for parole consideration after two years imprisonment, any actual release date will be determined by the United States Parole Commission in its discretion and in accordance with its applicable guidelines. Unless the Parole Commission releases him sooner, Dozier will remain incarcerated until the expiration of his six-year sentence, subject to statutory release procedures (including good time) applicable to all Federal prisoners.

It is important to recognize that the President has not pardoned Dozier for the very serious criminal conduct that resulted in his conviction and incarceration. The reduction of sentence, approved for the reasons outlined above, in no way minimizes the seriousness of the crimes committed by Dozier.

We appreciate your taking the time to share your views on this matter with us. I hope the foregoing responds to your concerns.

Sincerely,

Original signed by RAH  
Richard A. Hauser  
Deputy Counsel to the President

Ms. Margaret Engelsman  
12619 Middlewood Drive  
Baker, LA 70714

RAH:JGR:aea 9/6/84  
cc: RAHauser  
JGRoberts  
Subj  
Chron

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

September 6, 1984

Dear Mr. Knight:

Thank you for your recent letter to the President concerning the decision to commute the sentence of Gilbert L. Dozier to six years imprisonment. In light of your expressed concerns about that decision, you may be interested in more information about the facts of the case and the procedures that were followed.

Gilbert L. Dozier was convicted in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Louisiana in 1980 for violations of Federal law involving extortion and bribery. Dozier was convicted of soliciting money from individuals and businesses that were, or might have been, affected by actions of the Louisiana Department of Agriculture while he was Commissioner of Agriculture. In 1982 Dozier was also found to have committed additional criminal acts, including obstruction of justice, and to have thereby violated the conditions of a court ordered probationary term. On June 24, 1982, he commenced service of an aggregate sentence of from 58 months to 18 years imprisonment, followed by five years probation, and was fined \$25,000.

In January 1983, Dozier filed an application for Executive clemency with the Office of the Pardon Attorney in the Department of Justice. In accordance with standard procedures, the Office of the Pardon Attorney, headed and staffed by experienced career attorneys, obtained and evaluated pertinent information, reports, and advice concerning Dozier's application. The office recommended that Dozier's sentence be reduced, and on March 20, 1984, the Department of Justice advised the President to modify the sentence of imprisonment and probation to six years imprisonment.

The Department of Justice recommendation was based on the disparity between Dozier's original sentence and sentences imposed in similar circumstances on like offenders for

similar offenses. The disparity became evident through an evaluation of relevant data compiled by the Administrative Office of United States Courts on sentences imposed in Federal courts. Not only was Dozier's sentence comparatively long, but the convictions for racketeering and extortion that made up the pertinent statistics generally involved behavior even more severe than the acts of extortion committed by Dozier. Generally, they included offenders with serious prior criminal records whose offenses involved violence. Sentencing statistics pertaining to defendants convicted of bribery suggest an even greater disparity of sentence. In addition, sentences imposed in comparable cases in recent years upon a number of public officials in the Federal criminal justice system were reviewed, and this review again demonstrated the disparity of Dozier's sentence.

The recommendation of the Department of Justice was also based on Dozier's cooperation with law enforcement authorities after his conviction. Such cooperation provided with respect to ongoing law enforcement efforts is, as I am certain you will understand, a very important consideration in matters of this kind. Also taken into account were the guidelines of the United States Parole Commission, the length of incarceration to date, the fact that Dozier paid his fine, and the minimal additional deterrent effect to be achieved by completion of the original sentence.

The President accepted the advice of the Department of Justice and on June 22, 1984 reduced Dozier's sentence to six years. While the recommended sentence of six years imprisonment will permit Dozier to become eligible for parole consideration after two years imprisonment, any actual release date will be determined by the United States Parole Commission in its discretion and in accordance with its applicable guidelines. Unless the Parole Commission releases him sooner, Dozier will remain incarcerated until the expiration of his six-year sentence, subject to statutory release procedures (including good time) applicable to all Federal prisoners.

It is important to recognize that the President has not pardoned Dozier for the very serious criminal conduct that resulted in his conviction and incarceration. The reduction of sentence, approved for the reasons outlined above, in no way minimizes the seriousness of the crimes committed by Dozier.

We appreciate your taking the time to share your views on this matter with us. I hope the foregoing responds to your concerns.

Sincerely,

Original signed by RAH

Richard A. Hauser  
Deputy Counsel to the President

Mr. Thomas A. Knight  
320 Austin Street  
Bogalusa, LA 70487

RAH:JGR:aea 9/6/84  
cc: RAHauser  
JGRoberts  
Subj  
Chron

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

September 6, 1984

Dear Mr. Fox:

Thank you for your recent letter to the President concerning the decision to commute the sentence of Gilbert L. Dozier to six years imprisonment. In light of your expressed concerns about that decision, you may be interested in more information about the facts of the case and the procedures that were followed.

Gilbert L. Dozier was convicted in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Louisiana in 1980 for violations of Federal law involving extortion and bribery. Dozier was convicted of soliciting money from individuals and businesses that were, or might have been, affected by actions of the Louisiana Department of Agriculture while he was Commissioner of Agriculture. In 1982 Dozier was also found to have committed additional criminal acts, including obstruction of justice, and to have thereby violated the conditions of a court ordered probationary term. On June 24, 1982, he commenced service of an aggregate sentence of from 58 months to 18 years imprisonment, followed by five years probation, and was fined \$25,000.

In January 1983, Dozier filed an application for Executive clemency with the Office of the Pardon Attorney in the Department of Justice. In accordance with standard procedures, the Office of the Pardon Attorney, headed and staffed by experienced career attorneys, obtained and evaluated pertinent information, reports, and advice concerning Dozier's application. The office recommended that Dozier's sentence be reduced, and on March 20, 1984, the Department of Justice advised the President to modify the sentence of imprisonment and probation to six years imprisonment.

The Department of Justice recommendation was based on the disparity between Dozier's original sentence and sentences imposed in similar circumstances on like offenders for



similar offenses. The disparity became evident through an evaluation of relevant data compiled by the Administrative Office of United States Courts on sentences imposed in Federal courts. Not only was Dozier's sentence comparatively long, but the convictions for racketeering and extortion that made up the pertinent statistics generally involved behavior even more severe than the acts of extortion committed by Dozier. Generally, they included offenders with serious prior criminal records whose offenses involved violence. Sentencing statistics pertaining to defendants convicted of bribery suggest an even greater disparity of sentence. In addition, sentences imposed in comparable cases in recent years upon a number of public officials in the Federal criminal justice system were reviewed, and this review again demonstrated the disparity of Dozier's sentence.

The recommendation of the Department of Justice was also based on Dozier's cooperation with law enforcement authorities after his conviction. Such cooperation provided with respect to ongoing law enforcement efforts is, as I am certain you will understand, a very important consideration in matters of this kind. Also taken into account were the guidelines of the United States Parole Commission, the length of incarceration to date, the fact that Dozier paid his fine, and the minimal additional deterrent effect to be achieved by completion of the original sentence.

The President accepted the advice of the Department of Justice and on June 22, 1984 reduced Dozier's sentence to six years. While the recommended sentence of six years imprisonment will permit Dozier to become eligible for parole consideration after two years imprisonment, any actual release date will be determined by the United States Parole Commission in its discretion and in accordance with its applicable guidelines. Unless the Parole Commission releases him sooner, Dozier will remain incarcerated until the expiration of his six-year sentence, subject to statutory release procedures (including good time) applicable to all Federal prisoners.

It is important to recognize that the President has not pardoned Dozier for the very serious criminal conduct that resulted in his conviction and incarceration. The reduction of sentence, approved for the reasons outlined above, in no way minimizes the seriousness of the crimes committed by Dozier.

We appreciate your taking the time to share your views on this matter with us. I hope the foregoing responds to your concerns.

Sincerely,

Original signed by RAH

Richard A. Hauser  
Deputy Counsel to the President

H.C. Fox  
4438 Orchid Street  
Shreveport, LA 71105

RAH:JGR:aea 9/6/84  
cc: RAHauser  
JGRoberts  
Subj  
Chron

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

September 6, 1984

Dear Mrs. Murphy:

Thank you for your recent letter to the President concerning the decision to commute the sentence of Gilbert L. Dozier to six years imprisonment. In light of your expressed concerns about that decision, you may be interested in more information about the facts of the case and the procedures that were followed.

Gilbert L. Dozier was convicted in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Louisiana in 1980 for violations of Federal law involving extortion and bribery. Dozier was convicted of soliciting money from individuals and businesses that were, or might have been, affected by actions of the Louisiana Department of Agriculture while he was Commissioner of Agriculture. In 1982 Dozier was also found to have committed additional criminal acts, including obstruction of justice, and to have thereby violated the conditions of a court ordered probationary term. On June 24, 1982, he commenced service of an aggregate sentence of from 58 months to 18 years imprisonment, followed by five years probation, and was fined \$25,000.

In January 1983, Dozier filed an application for Executive clemency with the Office of the Pardon Attorney in the Department of Justice. In accordance with standard procedures, the Office of the Pardon Attorney, headed and staffed by experienced career attorneys, obtained and evaluated pertinent information, reports, and advice concerning Dozier's application. The office recommended that Dozier's sentence be reduced, and on March 20, 1984, the Department of Justice advised the President to modify the sentence of imprisonment and probation to six years imprisonment.

The Department of Justice recommendation was based on the disparity between Dozier's original sentence and sentences imposed in similar circumstances on like offenders for

similar offenses. The disparity became evident through an evaluation of relevant data compiled by the Administrative Office of United States Courts on sentences imposed in Federal courts. Not only was Dozier's sentence comparatively long, but the convictions for racketeering and extortion that made up the pertinent statistics generally involved behavior even more severe than the acts of extortion committed by Dozier. Generally, they included offenders with serious prior criminal records whose offenses involved violence. Sentencing statistics pertaining to defendants convicted of bribery suggest an even greater disparity of sentence. In addition, sentences imposed in comparable cases in recent years upon a number of public officials in the Federal criminal justice system were reviewed, and this review again demonstrated the disparity of Dozier's sentence.

The recommendation of the Department of Justice was also based on Dozier's cooperation with law enforcement authorities after his conviction. Such cooperation provided with respect to ongoing law enforcement efforts is, as I am certain you will understand, a very important consideration in matters of this kind. Also taken into account were the guidelines of the United States Parole Commission, the length of incarceration to date, the fact that Dozier paid his fine, and the minimal additional deterrent effect to be achieved by completion of the original sentence.

The President accepted the advice of the Department of Justice and on June 22, 1984 reduced Dozier's sentence to six years. While the recommended sentence of six years imprisonment will permit Dozier to become eligible for parole consideration after two years imprisonment, any actual release date will be determined by the United States Parole Commission in its discretion and in accordance with its applicable guidelines. Unless the Parole Commission releases him sooner, Dozier will remain incarcerated until the expiration of his six-year sentence, subject to statutory release procedures (including good time) applicable to all Federal prisoners.

It is important to recognize that the President has not pardoned Dozier for the very serious criminal conduct that resulted in his conviction and incarceration. The reduction of sentence, approved for the reasons outlined above, in no way minimizes the seriousness of the crimes committed by Dozier.

We appreciate your taking the time to share your views on this matter with us. I hope the foregoing responds to your concerns.

Sincerely,

Original signed by RAH

Richard A. Hauser  
Deputy Counsel to the President

Mrs. J.T. Murphy  
986 Oak Hills Parkway  
Baton Rouge, LA 70810

RAH:JGR:aea 9/6/84  
cc: RAHauser  
JGRoberts  
Subj  
Chron

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

September 6, 1984

Dear Mr. Treppendahl:

Thank you for your recent letter to the President concerning the decision to commute the sentence of Gilbert L. Dozier to six years imprisonment. In light of your expressed concerns about that decision, you may be interested in more information about the facts of the case and the procedures that were followed.

Gilbert L. Dozier was convicted in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Louisiana in 1980 for violations of Federal law involving extortion and bribery. Dozier was convicted of soliciting money from individuals and businesses that were, or might have been, affected by actions of the Louisiana Department of Agriculture while he was Commissioner of Agriculture. In 1982 Dozier was also found to have committed additional criminal acts, including obstruction of justice, and to have thereby violated the conditions of a court ordered probationary term. On June 24, 1982, he commenced service of an aggregate sentence of from 58 months to 18 years imprisonment, followed by five years probation, and was fined \$25,000.

In January 1983, Dozier filed an application for Executive clemency with the Office of the Pardon Attorney in the Department of Justice. In accordance with standard procedures, the Office of the Pardon Attorney, headed and staffed by experienced career attorneys, obtained and evaluated pertinent information, reports, and advice concerning Dozier's application. The office recommended that Dozier's sentence be reduced, and on March 20, 1984, the Department of Justice advised the President to modify the sentence of imprisonment and probation to six years imprisonment.

The Department of Justice recommendation was based on the disparity between Dozier's original sentence and sentences imposed in similar circumstances on like offenders for

similar offenses. The disparity became evident through an evaluation of relevant data compiled by the Administrative Office of United States Courts on sentences imposed in Federal courts. Not only was Dozier's sentence comparatively long, but the convictions for racketeering and extortion that made up the pertinent statistics generally involved behavior even more severe than the acts of extortion committed by Dozier. Generally, they included offenders with serious prior criminal records whose offenses involved violence. Sentencing statistics pertaining to defendants convicted of bribery suggest an even greater disparity of sentence. In addition, sentences imposed in comparable cases in recent years upon a number of public officials in in the Federal criminal justice system were reviewed, and this review again demonstrated the disparity of Dozier's sentence.

The recommendation of the Department of Justice was also based on Dozier's cooperation with law enforcement authorities after his conviction. Such cooperation provided with respect to ongoing law enforcement efforts is, as I am certain you will understand, a very important consideration in matters of this kind. Also taken into account were the guidelines of the United States Parole Commission, the length of incarceration to date, the fact that Dozier paid his fine, and the minimal additional deterrent effect to be achieved by completion of the original sentence.

The President accepted the advice of the Department of Justice and on June 22, 1984 reduced Dozier's sentence to six years. While the recommended sentence of six years imprisonment will permit Dozier to become eligible for parole consideration after two years imprisonment, any actual release date will be determined by the United States Parole Commission in its discretion and in accordance with its applicable guidelines. Unless the Parole Commission releases him sooner, Dozier will remain incarcerated until the expiration of his six-year sentence, subject to statutory release procedures (including good time) applicable to all Federal prisoners.

It is important to recognize that the President has not pardoned Dozier for the very serious criminal conduct that resulted in his conviction and incarceration. The reduction of sentence, approved for the reasons outlined above, in no way minimizes the seriousness of the crimes committed by Dozier.



We appreciate your taking the time to share your views on this matter with us. I hope the foregoing responds to your concerns.

Sincerely,

Original signed by RAH

Richard A. Hauser  
Deputy Counsel to the President

David Treppendahl, C.C.I.M.  
Real Estate Investment Services  
5420 Corporate Boulevard, Suite 202  
Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70808

RAH:JGR:aea 9/6/84  
cc: RAHauser  
JGRoberts  
Subj  
Chron

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

September 6, 1984

Dear Mr. Perry:

Thank you for your recent letter to the President concerning the decision to commute the sentence of Gilbert L. Dozier to six years imprisonment. In light of your expressed concerns about that decision, you may be interested in more information about the facts of the case and the procedures that were followed.

Gilbert L. Dozier was convicted in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Louisiana in 1980 for violations of Federal law involving extortion and bribery. Dozier was convicted of soliciting money from individuals and businesses that were, or might have been, affected by actions of the Louisiana Department of Agriculture while he was Commissioner of Agriculture. In 1982 Dozier was also found to have committed additional criminal acts, including obstruction of justice, and to have thereby violated the conditions of a court ordered probationary term. On June 24, 1982, he commenced service of an aggregate sentence of from 58 months to 18 years imprisonment, followed by five years probation, and was fined \$25,000.

In January 1983, Dozier filed an application for Executive clemency with the Office of the Pardon Attorney in the Department of Justice. In accordance with standard procedures, the Office of the Pardon Attorney, headed and staffed by experienced career attorneys, obtained and evaluated pertinent information, reports, and advice concerning Dozier's application. The office recommended that Dozier's sentence be reduced, and on March 20, 1984, the Department of Justice advised the President to modify the sentence of imprisonment and probation to six years imprisonment.

The Department of Justice recommendation was based on the disparity between Dozier's original sentence and sentences imposed in similar circumstances on like offenders for

similar offenses. The disparity became evident through an evaluation of relevant data compiled by the Administrative Office of United States Courts on sentences imposed in Federal courts. Not only was Dozier's sentence comparatively long, but the convictions for racketeering and extortion that made up the pertinent statistics generally involved behavior even more severe than the acts of extortion committed by Dozier. Generally, they included offenders with serious prior criminal records whose offenses involved violence. Sentencing statistics pertaining to defendants convicted of bribery suggest an even greater disparity of sentence. In addition, sentences imposed in comparable cases in recent years upon a number of public officials in the Federal criminal justice system were reviewed, and this review again demonstrated the disparity of Dozier's sentence.

The recommendation of the Department of Justice was also based on Dozier's cooperation with law enforcement authorities after his conviction. Such cooperation provided with respect to ongoing law enforcement efforts is, as I am certain you will understand, a very important consideration in matters of this kind. Also taken into account were the guidelines of the United States Parole Commission, the length of incarceration to date, the fact that Dozier paid his fine, and the minimal additional deterrent effect to be achieved by completion of the original sentence.

The President accepted the advice of the Department of Justice and on June 22, 1984 reduced Dozier's sentence to six years. While the recommended sentence of six years imprisonment will permit Dozier to become eligible for parole consideration after two years imprisonment, any actual release date will be determined by the United States Parole Commission in its discretion and in accordance with its applicable guidelines. Unless the Parole Commission releases him sooner, Dozier will remain incarcerated until the expiration of his six-year sentence, subject to statutory release procedures (including good time) applicable to all Federal prisoners.

It is important to recognize that the President has not pardoned Dozier for the very serious criminal conduct that resulted in his conviction and incarceration. The reduction of sentence, approved for the reasons outlined above, in no way minimizes the seriousness of the crimes committed by Dozier.

We appreciate your taking the time to share your views on this matter with us. I hope the foregoing responds to your concerns.

Sincerely,

Original signed by RAH

Richard A. Hauser  
Deputy Counsel to the President

Mr. Monte Perry  
5106 Brightside View Drive  
Baton Rouge, LA 70808

RAH:JGR:aea 9/6/84  
cc: RAHauser  
JGRoberts  
Subj  
Chron

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

September 6, 1984

Dear Mr. Spilmann:

Thank you for your recent letter to the President concerning the decision to commute the sentence of Gilbert L. Dozier to six years imprisonment. In light of your expressed concerns about that decision, you may be interested in more information about the facts of the case and the procedures that were followed.

Gilbert L. Dozier was convicted in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Louisiana in 1980 for violations of Federal law involving extortion and bribery. Dozier was convicted of soliciting money from individuals and businesses that were, or might have been, affected by actions of the Louisiana Department of Agriculture while he was Commissioner of Agriculture. In 1982 Dozier was also found to have committed additional criminal acts, including obstruction of justice, and to have thereby violated the conditions of a court ordered probationary term. On June 24, 1982, he commenced service of an aggregate sentence of from 58 months to 18 years imprisonment, followed by five years probation, and was fined \$25,000.

In January 1983, Dozier filed an application for Executive clemency with the Office of the Pardon Attorney in the Department of Justice. In accordance with standard procedures, the Office of the Pardon Attorney, headed and staffed by experienced career attorneys, obtained and evaluated pertinent information, reports, and advice concerning Dozier's application. The office recommended that Dozier's sentence be reduced, and on March 20, 1984, the Department of Justice advised the President to modify the sentence of imprisonment and probation to six years imprisonment.

The Department of Justice recommendation was based on the disparity between Dozier's original sentence and sentences imposed in similar circumstances on like offenders for

similar offenses. The disparity became evident through an evaluation of relevant data compiled by the Administrative Office of United States Courts on sentences imposed in Federal courts. Not only was Dozier's sentence comparatively long, but the convictions for racketeering and extortion that made up the pertinent statistics generally involved behavior even more severe than the acts of extortion committed by Dozier. Generally, they included offenders with serious prior criminal records whose offenses involved violence. Sentencing statistics pertaining to defendants convicted of bribery suggest an even greater disparity of sentence. In addition, sentences imposed in comparable cases in recent years upon a number of public officials in the Federal criminal justice system were reviewed, and this review again demonstrated the disparity of Dozier's sentence.

The recommendation of the Department of Justice was also based on Dozier's cooperation with law enforcement authorities after his conviction. Such cooperation provided with respect to ongoing law enforcement efforts is, as I am certain you will understand, a very important consideration in matters of this kind. Also taken into account were the guidelines of the United States Parole Commission, the length of incarceration to date, the fact that Dozier paid his fine, and the minimal additional deterrent effect to be achieved by completion of the original sentence.

The President accepted the advice of the Department of Justice and on June 22, 1984 reduced Dozier's sentence to six years. While the recommended sentence of six years imprisonment will permit Dozier to become eligible for parole consideration after two years imprisonment, any actual release date will be determined by the United States Parole Commission in its discretion and in accordance with its applicable guidelines. Unless the Parole Commission releases him sooner, Dozier will remain incarcerated until the expiration of his six-year sentence, subject to statutory release procedures (including good time) applicable to all Federal prisoners.

It is important to recognize that the President has not pardoned Dozier for the very serious criminal conduct that resulted in his conviction and incarceration. The reduction of sentence, approved for the reasons outlined above, in no way minimizes the seriousness of the crimes committed by Dozier.

We appreciate your taking the time to share your views on this matter with us. I hope the foregoing responds to your concerns.

Sincerely,

Original signed by RAH

Richard A. Hauser  
Deputy Counsel to the President

Mr. Joseph R. Spilmann, Jr.  
6238 St. Anthony Avenue  
New Orleans, LA 70122

RAH:JGR:aea 9/6/84

cc: RAHauser  
JGRoberts  
Subj  
Chron



THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

September 6, 1984

Dear Mr. Griffith:

Thank you for your recent letter to the President concerning the decision to commute the sentence of Gilbert L. Dozier to six years imprisonment. In light of your expressed concerns about that decision, you may be interested in more information about the facts of the case and the procedures that were followed.

Gilbert L. Dozier was convicted in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Louisiana in 1980 for violations of Federal law involving extortion and bribery. Dozier was convicted of soliciting money from individuals and businesses that were, or might have been, affected by actions of the Louisiana Department of Agriculture while he was Commissioner of Agriculture. In 1982 Dozier was also found to have committed additional criminal acts, including obstruction of justice, and to have thereby violated the conditions of a court ordered probationary term. On June 24, 1982, he commenced service of an aggregate sentence of from 58 months to 18 years imprisonment, followed by five years probation, and was fined \$25,000.

In January 1983, Dozier filed an application for Executive clemency with the Office of the Pardon Attorney in the Department of Justice. In accordance with standard procedures, the Office of the Pardon Attorney, headed and staffed by experienced career attorneys, obtained and evaluated pertinent information, reports, and advice concerning Dozier's application. The office recommended that Dozier's sentence be reduced, and on March 20, 1984, the Department of Justice advised the President to modify the sentence of imprisonment and probation to six years imprisonment.

The Department of Justice recommendation was based on the disparity between Dozier's original sentence and sentences imposed in similar circumstances on like offenders for

similar offenses. The disparity became evident through an evaluation of relevant data compiled by the Administrative Office of United States Courts on sentences imposed in Federal courts. Not only was Dozier's sentence comparatively long, but the convictions for racketeering and extortion that made up the pertinent statistics generally involved behavior even more severe than the acts of extortion committed by Dozier. Generally, they included offenders with serious prior criminal records whose offenses involved violence. Sentencing statistics pertaining to defendants convicted of bribery suggest an even greater disparity of sentence. In addition, sentences imposed in comparable cases in recent years upon a number of public officials in the Federal criminal justice system were reviewed, and this review again demonstrated the disparity of Dozier's sentence.

The recommendation of the Department of Justice was also based on Dozier's cooperation with law enforcement authorities after his conviction. Such cooperation provided with respect to ongoing law enforcement efforts is, as I am certain you will understand, a very important consideration in matters of this kind. Also taken into account were the guidelines of the United States Parole Commission, the length of incarceration to date, the fact that Dozier paid his fine, and the minimal additional deterrent effect to be achieved by completion of the original sentence.

The President accepted the advice of the Department of Justice and on June 22, 1984 reduced Dozier's sentence to six years. While the recommended sentence of six years imprisonment will permit Dozier to become eligible for parole consideration after two years imprisonment, any actual release date will be determined by the United States Parole Commission in its discretion and in accordance with its applicable guidelines. Unless the Parole Commission releases him sooner, Dozier will remain incarcerated until the expiration of his six-year sentence, subject to statutory release procedures (including good time) applicable to all Federal prisoners.

It is important to recognize that the President has not pardoned Dozier for the very serious criminal conduct that resulted in his conviction and incarceration. The reduction of sentence, approved for the reasons outlined above, in no way minimizes the seriousness of the crimes committed by Dozier.

We appreciate your taking the time to share your views on this matter with us. I hope the foregoing responds to your concerns.

Sincerely,

Original signed by RAH  
Richard A. Hauser  
Deputy Counsel to the President

Mr. James M. Griffith  
1414 Monterrey Boulevard  
Baton Rouge, LA 70815

RAH:JGR:aea 9/6/84

cc: RAHauser  
JGRoberts  
Subj  
Chron

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

September 6, 1984

Dear Dr. Johnson:

Thank you for your recent letter to the President concerning the decision to commute the sentence of Gilbert L. Dozier to six years imprisonment. In light of your expressed concerns about that decision, you may be interested in more information about the facts of the case and the procedures that were followed.

Gilbert L. Dozier was convicted in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Louisiana in 1980 for violations of Federal law involving extortion and bribery. Dozier was convicted of soliciting money from individuals and businesses that were, or might have been, affected by actions of the Louisiana Department of Agriculture while he was Commissioner of Agriculture. In 1982 Dozier was also found to have committed additional criminal acts, including obstruction of justice, and to have thereby violated the conditions of a court ordered probationary term. On June 24, 1982, he commenced service of an aggregate sentence of from 58 months to 18 years imprisonment, followed by five years probation, and was fined \$25,000.

In January 1983, Dozier filed an application for Executive clemency with the Office of the Pardon Attorney in the Department of Justice. In accordance with standard procedures, the Office of the Pardon Attorney, headed and staffed by experienced career attorneys, obtained and evaluated pertinent information, reports, and advice concerning Dozier's application. The office recommended that Dozier's sentence be reduced, and on March 20, 1984, the Department of Justice advised the President to modify the sentence of imprisonment and probation to six years imprisonment.

The Department of Justice recommendation was based on the disparity between Dozier's original sentence and sentences imposed in similar circumstances on like offenders for

similar offenses. The disparity became evident through an evaluation of relevant data compiled by the Administrative Office of United States Courts on sentences imposed in Federal courts. Not only was Dozier's sentence comparatively long, but the convictions for racketeering and extortion that made up the pertinent statistics generally involved behavior even more severe than the acts of extortion committed by Dozier. Generally, they included offenders with serious prior criminal records whose offenses involved violence. Sentencing statistics pertaining to defendants convicted of bribery suggest an even greater disparity of sentence. In addition, sentences imposed in comparable cases in recent years upon a number of public officials in the Federal criminal justice system were reviewed, and this review again demonstrated the disparity of Dozier's sentence.

The recommendation of the Department of Justice was also based on Dozier's cooperation with law enforcement authorities after his conviction. Such cooperation provided with respect to ongoing law enforcement efforts is, as I am certain you will understand, a very important consideration in matters of this kind. Also taken into account were the guidelines of the United States Parole Commission, the length of incarceration to date, the fact that Dozier paid his fine, and the minimal additional deterrent effect to be achieved by completion of the original sentence.

The President accepted the advice of the Department of Justice and on June 22, 1984 reduced Dozier's sentence to six years. While the recommended sentence of six years imprisonment will permit Dozier to become eligible for parole consideration after two years imprisonment, any actual release date will be determined by the United States Parole Commission in its discretion and in accordance with its applicable guidelines. Unless the Parole Commission releases him sooner, Dozier will remain incarcerated until the expiration of his six-year sentence, subject to statutory release procedures (including good time) applicable to all Federal prisoners.

It is important to recognize that the President has not pardoned Dozier for the very serious criminal conduct that resulted in his conviction and incarceration. The reduction of sentence, approved for the reasons outlined above, in no way minimizes the seriousness of the crimes committed by Dozier.

We appreciate your taking the time to share your views on this matter with us. I hope the foregoing responds to your concerns.

Sincerely,

Original signed by RAH

Richard A. Hauser  
Deputy Counsel to the President

Charles D. Johnson, D.D.S.  
3012 Ray Weiland Drive  
Baker, LA 70714

RAH:JGR:aea 9/6/84  
cc: RAHauser  
JGRoberts  
Subj  
Chron

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

September 6, 1984

Dear Mr. Zeringue:

Thank you for your recent letter to the President concerning the decision to commute the sentence of Gilbert L. Dozier to six years imprisonment. In light of your expressed concerns about that decision, you may be interested in more information about the facts of the case and the procedures that were followed.

Gilbert L. Dozier was convicted in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Louisiana in 1980 for violations of Federal law involving extortion and bribery. Dozier was convicted of soliciting money from individuals and businesses that were, or might have been, affected by actions of the Louisiana Department of Agriculture while he was Commissioner of Agriculture. In 1982 Dozier was also found to have committed additional criminal acts, including obstruction of justice, and to have thereby violated the conditions of a court ordered probationary term. On June 24, 1982, he commenced service of an aggregate sentence of from 58 months to 18 years imprisonment, followed by five years probation, and was fined \$25,000.

In January 1983, Dozier filed an application for Executive clemency with the Office of the Pardon Attorney in the Department of Justice. In accordance with standard procedures, the Office of the Pardon Attorney, headed and staffed by experienced career attorneys, obtained and evaluated pertinent information, reports, and advice concerning Dozier's application. The office recommended that Dozier's sentence be reduced, and on March 20, 1984, the Department of Justice advised the President to modify the sentence of imprisonment and probation to six years imprisonment.

The Department of Justice recommendation was based on the disparity between Dozier's original sentence and sentences imposed in similar circumstances on like offenders for



similar offenses. The disparity became evident through an evaluation of relevant data compiled by the Administrative Office of United States Courts on sentences imposed in Federal courts. Not only was Dozier's sentence comparatively long, but the convictions for racketeering and extortion that made up the pertinent statistics generally involved behavior even more severe than the acts of extortion committed by Dozier. Generally, they included offenders with serious prior criminal records whose offenses involved violence. Sentencing statistics pertaining to defendants convicted of bribery suggest an even greater disparity of sentence. In addition, sentences imposed in comparable cases in recent years upon a number of public officials in the Federal criminal justice system were reviewed, and this review again demonstrated the disparity of Dozier's sentence.

The recommendation of the Department of Justice was also based on Dozier's cooperation with law enforcement authorities after his conviction. Such cooperation provided with respect to ongoing law enforcement efforts is, as I am certain you will understand, a very important consideration in matters of this kind. Also taken into account were the guidelines of the United States Parole Commission, the length of incarceration to date, the fact that Dozier paid his fine, and the minimal additional deterrent effect to be achieved by completion of the original sentence.

The President accepted the advice of the Department of Justice and on June 22, 1984 reduced Dozier's sentence to six years. While the recommended sentence of six years imprisonment will permit Dozier to become eligible for parole consideration after two years imprisonment, any actual release date will be determined by the United States Parole Commission in its discretion and in accordance with its applicable guidelines. Unless the Parole Commission releases him sooner, Dozier will remain incarcerated until the expiration of his six-year sentence, subject to statutory release procedures (including good time) applicable to all Federal prisoners.

It is important to recognize that the President has not pardoned Dozier for the very serious criminal conduct that resulted in his conviction and incarceration. The reduction of sentence, approved for the reasons outlined above, in no way minimizes the seriousness of the crimes committed by Dozier.

We appreciate your taking the time to share your views on this matter with us. I hope the foregoing responds to your concerns.

Sincerely,

Original signed by RAH

Richard A. Hauser  
Deputy Counsel to the President

Mr. Paul J. Zeringue  
Route 3, Box 58CA  
Vacherie, LA 70090

RAH:JGR:aea 9/6/84

cc: RAHauser  
JGRoberts  
Subj  
Chron

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

September 6, 1984

Dear Mr. Dobson:

Thank you for your recent letter to the President concerning the decision to commute the sentence of Gilbert L. Dozier to six years imprisonment. In light of your expressed concerns about that decision, you may be interested in more information about the facts of the case and the procedures that were followed.

Gilbert L. Dozier was convicted in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Louisiana in 1980 for violations of Federal law involving extortion and bribery. Dozier was convicted of soliciting money from individuals and businesses that were, or might have been, affected by actions of the Louisiana Department of Agriculture while he was Commissioner of Agriculture. In 1982 Dozier was also found to have committed additional criminal acts, including obstruction of justice, and to have thereby violated the conditions of a court ordered probationary term. On June 24, 1982, he commenced service of an aggregate sentence of from 58 months to 18 years imprisonment, followed by five years probation, and was fined \$25,000.

In January 1983, Dozier filed an application for Executive clemency with the Office of the Pardon Attorney in the Department of Justice. In accordance with standard procedures, the Office of the Pardon Attorney, headed and staffed by experienced career attorneys, obtained and evaluated pertinent information, reports, and advice concerning Dozier's application. The office recommended that Dozier's sentence be reduced, and on March 20, 1984, the Department of Justice advised the President to modify the sentence of imprisonment and probation to six years imprisonment.

The Department of Justice recommendation was based on the disparity between Dozier's original sentence and sentences imposed in similar circumstances on like offenders for

similar offenses. The disparity became evident through an evaluation of relevant data compiled by the Administrative Office of United States Courts on sentences imposed in Federal courts. Not only was Dozier's sentence comparatively long, but the convictions for racketeering and extortion that made up the pertinent statistics generally involved behavior even more severe than the acts of extortion committed by Dozier. Generally, they included offenders with serious prior criminal records whose offenses involved violence. Sentencing statistics pertaining to defendants convicted of bribery suggest an even greater disparity of sentence. In addition, sentences imposed in comparable cases in recent years upon a number of public officials in the Federal criminal justice system were reviewed, and this review again demonstrated the disparity of Dozier's sentence.

The recommendation of the Department of Justice was also based on Dozier's cooperation with law enforcement authorities after his conviction. Such cooperation provided with respect to ongoing law enforcement efforts is, as I am certain you will understand, a very important consideration in matters of this kind. Also taken into account were the guidelines of the United States Parole Commission, the length of incarceration to date, the fact that Dozier paid his fine, and the minimal additional deterrent effect to be achieved by completion of the original sentence.

The President accepted the advice of the Department of Justice and on June 22, 1984 reduced Dozier's sentence to six years. While the recommended sentence of six years imprisonment will permit Dozier to become eligible for parole consideration after two years imprisonment, any actual release date will be determined by the United States Parole Commission in its discretion and in accordance with its applicable guidelines. Unless the Parole Commission releases him sooner, Dozier will remain incarcerated until the expiration of his six-year sentence, subject to statutory release procedures (including good time) applicable to all Federal prisoners.

It is important to recognize that the President has not pardoned Dozier for the very serious criminal conduct that resulted in his conviction and incarceration. The reduction of sentence, approved for the reasons outlined above, in no way minimizes the seriousness of the crimes committed by Dozier.

We appreciate your taking the time to share your views on this matter with us. I hope the foregoing responds to your concerns.

Sincerely,

Original signed by RAH

Richard A. Hauser  
Deputy Counsel to the President

Mr. Robert C. Dobson  
1037 Dauphine Street  
New Orleans, LA 70116

RAH:JGR:aea 9/6/84  
cc: RAHauser  
JGRoberts  
Subj  
Chron

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

September 6, 1984

Dear Mr. Nelson:

Thank you for your recent letter to the President concerning the decision to commute the sentence of Gilbert L. Dozier to six years imprisonment. In light of your expressed concerns about that decision, you may be interested in more information about the facts of the case and the procedures that were followed.

Gilbert L. Dozier was convicted in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Louisiana in 1980 for violations of Federal law involving extortion and bribery. Dozier was convicted of soliciting money from individuals and businesses that were, or might have been, affected by actions of the Louisiana Department of Agriculture while he was Commissioner of Agriculture. In 1982 Dozier was also found to have committed additional criminal acts, including obstruction of justice, and to have thereby violated the conditions of a court ordered probationary term. On June 24, 1982, he commenced service of an aggregate sentence of from 58 months to 18 years imprisonment, followed by five years probation, and was fined \$25,000.

In January 1983, Dozier filed an application for Executive clemency with the Office of the Pardon Attorney in the Department of Justice. In accordance with standard procedures, the Office of the Pardon Attorney, headed and staffed by experienced career attorneys, obtained and evaluated pertinent information, reports, and advice concerning Dozier's application. The office recommended that Dozier's sentence be reduced, and on March 20, 1984, the Department of Justice advised the President to modify the sentence of imprisonment and probation to six years imprisonment.

The Department of Justice recommendation was based on the disparity between Dozier's original sentence and sentences imposed in similar circumstances on like offenders for

similar offenses. The disparity became evident through an evaluation of relevant data compiled by the Administrative Office of United States Courts on sentences imposed in Federal courts. Not only was Dozier's sentence comparatively long, but the convictions for racketeering and extortion that made up the pertinent statistics generally involved behavior even more severe than the acts of extortion committed by Dozier. Generally, they included offenders with serious prior criminal records whose offenses involved violence. Sentencing statistics pertaining to defendants convicted of bribery suggest an even greater disparity of sentence. In addition, sentences imposed in comparable cases in recent years upon a number of public officials in the Federal criminal justice system were reviewed, and this review again demonstrated the disparity of Dozier's sentence.

The recommendation of the Department of Justice was also based on Dozier's cooperation with law enforcement authorities after his conviction. Such cooperation provided with respect to ongoing law enforcement efforts is, as I am certain you will understand, a very important consideration in matters of this kind. Also taken into account were the guidelines of the United States Parole Commission, the length of incarceration to date, the fact that Dozier paid his fine, and the minimal additional deterrent effect to be achieved by completion of the original sentence.

The President accepted the advice of the Department of Justice and on June 22, 1984 reduced Dozier's sentence to six years. While the recommended sentence of six years imprisonment will permit Dozier to become eligible for parole consideration after two years imprisonment, any actual release date will be determined by the United States Parole Commission in its discretion and in accordance with its applicable guidelines. Unless the Parole Commission releases him sooner, Dozier will remain incarcerated until the expiration of his six-year sentence, subject to statutory release procedures (including good time) applicable to all Federal prisoners.

It is important to recognize that the President has not pardoned Dozier for the very serious criminal conduct that resulted in his conviction and incarceration. The reduction of sentence, approved for the reasons outlined above, in no way minimizes the seriousness of the crimes committed by Dozier.



We appreciate your taking the time to share your views on this matter with us. I hope the foregoing responds to your concerns.

Sincerely,

Original signed by RAH

Richard A. Hauser  
Deputy Counsel to the President

Mr. Craig R. Nelson  
Hulse, Nelson & Wanek  
610 Baronne Street  
New Orleans, LA 70113

RAH:JGR:aea 9/6/84

cc: RAHauser  
JGRoberts  
Subj  
Chron

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

September 5, 1984

Dear Mrs. Sullins:

Thank you for your recent letter to the President concerning the decision to commute the sentence of Gilbert L. Dozier to six years imprisonment. In light of your expressed concerns about that decision, you may be interested in more information about the facts of the case and the procedures that were followed.

Gilbert L. Dozier was convicted in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Louisiana in 1980 for violations of Federal law involving extortion and bribery. Dozier was convicted of soliciting money from individuals and businesses that were, or might have been, affected by actions of the Louisiana Department of Agriculture while he was Commissioner of Agriculture. In 1982 Dozier was also found to have committed additional criminal acts, including obstruction of justice, and to have thereby violated the conditions of a court ordered probationary term. On June 24, 1982, he commenced service of an aggregate sentence of from 58 months to 18 years imprisonment, followed by five years probation, and was fined \$25,000.

In January 1983, Dozier filed an application for Executive clemency with the Office of the Pardon Attorney in the Department of Justice. In accordance with standard procedures, the Office of the Pardon Attorney, headed and staffed by experienced career attorneys, obtained and evaluated pertinent information, reports, and advice concerning Dozier's application. The office recommended that Dozier's sentence be reduced, and on March 20, 1984, the Department of Justice advised the President to modify the sentence of imprisonment and probation to six years imprisonment.

The Department of Justice recommendation was based on the disparity between Dozier's original sentence and sentences imposed in similar circumstances on like offenders for

similar offenses. The disparity became evident through an evaluation of relevant data compiled by the Administrative Office of United States Courts on sentences imposed in Federal courts. Not only was Dozier's sentence comparatively long, but the convictions for racketeering and extortion that made up the pertinent statistics generally involved behavior even more severe than the acts of extortion committed by Dozier. Generally, they included offenders with serious prior criminal records whose offenses involved violence. Sentencing statistics pertaining to defendants convicted of bribery suggest an even greater disparity of sentence. In addition, sentences imposed in comparable cases in recent years upon a number of public officials in the Federal criminal justice system were reviewed, and this review again demonstrated the disparity of Dozier's sentence.

The recommendation of the Department of Justice was also based on Dozier's cooperation with law enforcement authorities after his conviction. Such cooperation provided with respect to ongoing law enforcement efforts is, as I am certain you will understand, a very important consideration in matters of this kind. Also taken into account were the guidelines of the United States Parole Commission, the length of incarceration to date, the fact that Dozier paid his fine, and the minimal additional deterrent effect to be achieved by completion of the original sentence.

The President accepted the advice of the Department of Justice and on June 22, 1984 reduced Dozier's sentence to six years. While the recommended sentence of six years imprisonment will permit Dozier to become eligible for parole consideration after two years imprisonment, any actual release date will be determined by the United States Parole Commission in its discretion and in accordance with its applicable guidelines. Unless the Parole Commission releases him sooner, Dozier will remain incarcerated until the expiration of his six-year sentence, subject to statutory release procedures (including good time) applicable to all Federal prisoners.

It is important to recognize that the President has not pardoned Dozier for the very serious criminal conduct that resulted in his conviction and incarceration. The reduction of sentence, approved for the reasons outlined above, in no way minimizes the seriousness of the crimes committed by Dozier.

We appreciate your taking the time to share your views on this matter with us. I hope the foregoing responds to your concerns.

Sincerely,

Original signed by RAH

Richard A. Hauser  
Deputy Counsel to the President

Mrs. Roy S. Sullins  
2071 Columbine Street  
Baton Rouge, LA 70808

RAH:JGR:aea 9/6/84

cc: RAHauser  
JGRoberts  
Subj  
Chron

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

September 5, 1984

Dear Mrs. Bankston:

Thank you for your recent letter to the President concerning the decision to commute the sentence of Gilbert L. Dozier to six years imprisonment. In light of your expressed concerns about that decision, you may be interested in more information about the facts of the case and the procedures that were followed.

Gilbert L. Dozier was convicted in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Louisiana in 1980 for violations of Federal law involving extortion and bribery. Dozier was convicted of soliciting money from individuals and businesses that were, or might have been, affected by actions of the Louisiana Department of Agriculture while he was Commissioner of Agriculture. In 1982 Dozier was also found to have committed additional criminal acts, including obstruction of justice, and to have thereby violated the conditions of a court ordered probationary term. On June 24, 1982, he commenced service of an aggregate sentence of from 58 months to 18 years imprisonment, followed by five years probation, and was fined \$25,000.

In January 1983, Dozier filed an application for Executive clemency with the Office of the Pardon Attorney in the Department of Justice. In accordance with standard procedures, the Office of the Pardon Attorney, headed and staffed by experienced career attorneys, obtained and evaluated pertinent information, reports, and advice concerning Dozier's application. The office recommended that Dozier's sentence be reduced, and on March 20, 1984, the Department of Justice advised the President to modify the sentence of imprisonment and probation to six years imprisonment.

The Department of Justice recommendation was based on the disparity between Dozier's original sentence and sentences imposed in similar circumstances on like offenders for

similar offenses. The disparity became evident through an evaluation of relevant data compiled by the Administrative Office of United States Courts on sentences imposed in Federal courts. Not only was Dozier's sentence comparatively long, but the convictions for racketeering and extortion that made up the pertinent statistics generally involved behavior even more severe than the acts of extortion committed by Dozier. Generally, they included offenders with serious prior criminal records whose offenses involved violence. Sentencing statistics pertaining to defendants convicted of bribery suggest an even greater disparity of sentence. In addition, sentences imposed in comparable cases in recent years upon a number of public officials in the Federal criminal justice system were reviewed, and this review again demonstrated the disparity of Dozier's sentence.

The recommendation of the Department of Justice was also based on Dozier's cooperation with law enforcement authorities after his conviction. Such cooperation provided with respect to ongoing law enforcement efforts is, as I am certain you will understand, a very important consideration in matters of this kind. Also taken into account were the guidelines of the United States Parole Commission, the length of incarceration to date, the fact that Dozier paid his fine, and the minimal additional deterrent effect to be achieved by completion of the original sentence.

The President accepted the advice of the Department of Justice and on June 22, 1984 reduced Dozier's sentence to six years. While the recommended sentence of six years imprisonment will permit Dozier to become eligible for parole consideration after two years imprisonment, any actual release date will be determined by the United States Parole Commission in its discretion and in accordance with its applicable guidelines. Unless the Parole Commission releases him sooner, Dozier will remain incarcerated until the expiration of his six-year sentence, subject to statutory release procedures (including good time) applicable to all Federal prisoners.

It is important to recognize that the President has not pardoned Dozier for the very serious criminal conduct that resulted in his conviction and incarceration. The reduction of sentence, approved for the reasons outlined above, in no way minimizes the seriousness of the crimes committed by Dozier.

We appreciate your taking the time to share your views on this matter with us. I hope the foregoing responds to your concerns.

Sincerely,

Original signed by RAH

Richard A. Hauser  
Deputy Counsel to the President

Mrs. Cynthia Bankston  
1482 Weinberger Road  
Ponchatoula, LA 70454

RAH:JGR:aea 9/6/84  
cc: RAHauser  
JGRoberts  
Subj  
Chron