Ronald Reagan Presidential Library Digital Library Collections

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual collections.

Collection: Roberts, John G.: Files Folder Title: JGR/Pro Bono (10)
Box: 45

To see more digitized collections visit: https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digital-library

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library inventories visit: https://reaganlibrary.gov/document-collection

Contact a reference archivist at: reagan.library@nara.gov

Citation Guidelines: https://reaganlibrary.gov/citing

National Archives Catalogue: https://catalog.archives.gov/

104 Box 45 - JGR/Pro Bono (10) - Roberts, John G.: Files SERIES I: Subject File

WASHINGTON

October 1, 1984

MEMORANDUM FOR FRED F. FIELDING

FROM:

JOHN G. ROBERTS

SUBJECT:

Request From Dixon National Bank

and Universal Match

Universal Match Corporation has written you, and orally asked Ron Jackson, if it can produce matchbooks for the Dixon National Bank. The matchbooks depict the Reagan boyhood home on one side, and a bust of the President -- "Dixon's Favorite Son" -- with Dixon's Main Street in the background on the other side. The flap reads "1981-85-89 Years as President." You will recall that Universal and Dixon National Bank erred in 1981 by using a matchbook design with the Presidential Seal; Universal has apparently learned its lesson and is now seeking advance approval.

I see no reason or basis for us to object to the proposed design. Unlike the offending 1981 matchbook design, this one contains neither the statutorily protected Seal nor a drawing of the White House. It does contain an image of the President, but we can hardly object to a depiction linking the President with the town of Dixon. Furthermore, there is no suggestion on the matchbook that the President is linked with the bank or any other commercial enterprise. The attached draft advises Universal that we have no objection to the matchbook design.

Attachment

WASHINGTON

October 1, 1984

Dear Mr. Yalem:

Thank you for your letter concerning the proposed design of matchbooks ordered by the Dixon National Bank. The bank would like to order matchbooks with the Reagan boyhood home depicted on the back cover, and a bust of the President in the foreground and Dixon in the background on the front

I have no legal objection to the proposed design. matchbook design contains neither the statutorily protected Seal of the President, nor any depiction that suggests or could be construed as a commercial endorsement by the President or the White House. Accordingly, approval from this office is not required to proceed with production of

Thank you for raising this matter with us.

Sincerely,

Orig. signed by FFF

Fred F. Fielding Counsel to the President

Mr. Harold P. Yalem Universal Match Corporation 400 Paul Avenue St. Louis, Missouri 63135

FFF:JGR:aea 10/1/84

cc: FFFielding MGRoberts/Subj/Chron

bcc: Ron Jackson

White House Staff Mess

JV

WHITE HOUSE CORRESPONDENCE TRACKING WORKSHEET

ID # 252449 CU FEOOY

O - OUTGOING H - INTERNAL I - INCOMING Date Correspondence Received (YY/MM/DD) Name of Correspondent:	rold P. C	tilen	All Marie Ma	
•	User Codes: (A)_	Y Pres	(B) (C) National idential	Bank
ROUTE TO:	ACTI	ON	DISPOSI	TION
Office/Agency (Staff Name)	Action Code	Tracking Date YY/MM/DD	Type of Response Coo	Completion Date te YY/MM/DD
CV Hollane	ORIGINATOR	14.08.17	7	1 1
CUIDT 18	Referral Note:	4 p8 ,20)	5840812
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Referral Note:	1 1		
	Referral Note:			
	Referral Note: _		.,	- 1 1
ACTION CODES: A - Appropriate Action C - Comment/Recommendation D - Draft Response F - Furnish Fact Sheet to be used as Enclosure	1 - Info Copy Only/No Action R - Direct Reply w/Copy S - For Signature X - Interim Reply	on Necessary	DISPOSITION CODES: A - Answered B - Non-Special Referral FOR OUTGOING CORRESPO Type of Response = Initia Code = "A" Completion Date = Date	als of Signer
		~ ^	Completion Date = Date	or Cordonia

Keep this worksheet attached to the original incoming letter. Send all routing updates to Central Reference (Room 75, OEOB). Always return completed correspondence record to Central Files. Refer questions about the correspondence tracking system to Central Reference, ext. 2590.



252449 Cuc

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICES - 400 PAUL AVENUE - ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI 63135

314/522-1620

August 14, 1984

Honorable Fred Fielding Counsel to the President The White House Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. Fielding:

If you recall, I had the pleasure of corresponding with you in 1981 as a result of the Dixon National Bank reproducing the Presidential Seal and destroyed a lot of printed stock when we found this to be objectionable to you.

We have now received another small order from the Dixon National Bank and although we can see nothing wrong with the design, I thought it best to run it by you for your consideration and approval.

As you can see, no seals or images of the White House will be used and it looks as if it is just general knowledge copy.

I would appreciate hearing from you just as soon as possible because our good friends at the Dixon National Bank would like to start using this design as soon as possible.

I will look forward to hearing from you.

Condially,

Harold P. Yalem Field Sales Manager

HPY:mb

Enclosures

RONALD REAGAN BOYHOOD HOME
1920...1924

BIG SOUTH HENNEPH AVENUE · DIXON, IL

DIXON

Dixon's Favorite Son

1981-85-89 Years as President

797 C	LORS*	•	•	·	
100				NI Pl	O. OF /
ESCRIPTION	c-8	•••••	•		················
SIBLE FOR ERF	OT AUTHOR	ORREC	LEASE CHI	ECK CARE	DATE CHE !! 1.1984

WASHINGTON

October 4, 1984

MEMORANDUM FOR FRED F. FIELDING

FROM:

JOHN G. ROBERTS

SUBJECT:

Letter From Carhart Requesting Appointment Regarding Presentation of Book Entitled "Battles and Campaigns in Vietnam"

Paul Thompson and Robert Kimmitt have asked for our views on a request from a Vietnam Veteran, Tom Carhart, to present to the President an inscribed copy of his new book, Battles and Campaigns in Vietnam. The burden of the book is that the United States did not lose militarily in Vietnam. Kimmitt has prepared a scheduling proposal for a Presidential meeting with Carhart, but wanted your views before proceeding.

The obvious problem is that Carhart may use the occasion to publicize his new book. Even if Carhart agrees not to make any promotional use of his meeting with the President, media accounts will have the effect of promoting Carhart's book. No one has read the book, however, and I do not think we should so cavalierly associate the President with it. The attached memorandum for Kimmitt notes that the proposed meeting would inevitably link the President with the book, that we would prefer the meeting not take place because of the commercial promotion problem (unless NSC has strong policy reasons for the meeting), and that at the very least NSC and our office would have to review the book before any meeting.

Attachment

WASHINGTON

October 5, 1984

MEMORANDUM FOR ROBERT KIMMITT

PAUL THOMPSON

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

PAHPOR

FROM:

FRED F. FIELDING Orig. signed by FFF

COUNSEL TO THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT:

Letter From Carhart Requesting Appointment Regarding Presentation of Book Entitled

"Battles and Campaigns in Vietnam"

You have asked for our views on a proposed meeting at which Tom Carhart would present the President with a copy of his new book, Battles and Campaigns in Vietnam. Any meeting so centered on a new book would inevitably link the President with the book. This office would generally oppose such a meeting, because of the inevitable impression that the President has endorsed the book, which would of course contravene our policy of avoiding endorsements of commercial products or enterprises. I am concerned, moreover, that we know little about Battles and Campaigns in Vietnam itself. Before any meeting at which the book will be featured takes place, the book will have to be carefully reviewed by NSC and this office, and perhaps others as well.

FFF: JGR: aea 10/5/84

cc: FFFielding/JGRoberts/Subj/Chron

WASHINGTON

October 4, 1984

MEMORANDUM FOR ROBERT KIMMITT

PAUL THOMPSON

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

FROM:

FRED F. FIELDING

COUNSEL TO THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT:

Letter From Carhart Requesting Appointment Regarding Presentation of Book Entitled

"Battles and Campaigns in Vietnam"

You have asked for our views on a proposed meeting at which Tom Carhart would present the President with a copy of his new book, Battles and Campaigns in Vietnam. Any meeting so centered on a new book would inevitably link the President with the book. This office would generally oppose such a meeting, because of the inevitable impression that the President has endorsed the book, which would of course contravene our policy of avoiding endorsements of commercial products or enterprises. If the National Security Council favors such a meeting for significant policy reasons, however, we would interpose no objection. I am concerned, however, that we know little about Battles and Campaigns in Vietnam itself. Before any meeting at which the book will be featured takes place, the book will have to be carefully reviewed by NSC and this office, and perhaps others as well.

noreney

FFF:JGR:aea 10/4/84

cc: FFF.ielding/JGRoberts/Subj/Chron

ID	.11	CU
111	#	1 11
16	π	

WHITE HOUSE
CORRESPONDENCE TRACKING WORKSHEET

	CONNESP	ONDENOE IN	ACKING NON	INSTILLT	
O - OUTGOIN H - INTERNA I - INCOMIN Date Cor	L			(se)	-
	orrespondent:	ahmy	en		
☐ Mi Mail	Report	∨ User Codes: (A)	(B)((C)
	l ++ 1.	(In A)	+ 1	·	
Subject:	the b-such	entation	of book	entitle	
J Ju 1.	Eattles and	Campa	uns V	ietnam"	
		J		· .	
ROUTE TO:			ACTION	DISPOSI	TION
	, ,	4-41	Tracking	Type	Completion
Office/Agency	(Staff Name)	Action Code	Date YY/MM/DD	of Response Cod	Date de YY/MM/DD
	WHolland	ORIGINATOF	941010		1 1-
	Λ· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Referral Note	: su Kenn	utt mens als	0 , ==
(WATT8.	<u> </u>	84,10,04	£ £	5 841/0-104
		Referral Note	: <u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			1 1		
		Referral Note):	•	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				1 1
		Referral Note):	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
					1 1
		Referral Note			
_	ACTION CODES:	* 4***********************************		DISPOSITION CODES:	
	A - Appropriate Action C - Comment/Recommendation D - Draft Response F - Furnish Fact Sheet	i - Info Copy Only/No R - Direct Reply w/Cop S - For Signature X - Interim Reply	Action Necessary	A - Answered B - Non-Special Referral	-C - Completed S - Suspended
	to be used as Enclosure		i van Mett eigebe o	FOR OUTGOING CORRESPO Type of Response = Initia Code = "A"	
		يو يون و ينجو		Completion Date = Date	of Outgoing
Comments: _	*				
					•

Keep this worksheet attached to the original incoming letter.

Send all routing updates to Central Reference (Room 75, OEOB).

Always return completed correspondence record to Central Files.

Refer questions about the correspondence tracking system to Central Reference, ext. 2590.

THE WHITE HOUSE WASHINGTON

TO: Dianna G. Holland

FROM: Sherrie M. Cooksey Associate Counsel to the President

☐ FYI

□ COMMENT

☐ ACTION

For appropriate staffing. Please note NSC planned a fast turn around on this.

Sheng Please let me know if you think the Prendent should lo this?

Thanks.

Can thanner

TO

DISPATCH

PRESIDENT

FROM CARHART, TOM

DOCDATE 01 OCT 84

RECEIVED 03 OCT 84 10

URGENT

KEYWORDS: VIETNAM

MP

LEGAL ISSUES '

AΡ

SUBJECT: LTR TO PRES FM CARHART REQUESTING APPT RE PRESENTATION BOOK ENTITLED
BATTLES & CAMPAIGNS VIETNAM

ACTION: PREPARE MEMO KIMMITT TO RYAN DUE: 04 OCT 84 STATUS S FILES WH

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR ACTION
CHILDRESS

THOMPSON

FOR INFO

SIGUR

KIMMITT

(C)

MARTIN

W/ATTCH FILE

COMMENTS PAUL, PLS CHECK LEGAL ASPECT W/ FIELDING'S OFC.

REF# LOG NSCIFID

REF# LOG NSCIFID (LB

National Security Council The White House

System #

94007 (P	3: (3	Packag	e#
J. C.	SEQUENCE TO	HAS SEEN	DISPOSITION
Paul Thompson		_	·
Bob Kimmitt		K	
John Poindexter			
Tom Shull			
Wilma Hall			
Bud McFarlane			
Bob Kimmitt			
NSC Secretariat	2		STAFF
Situation Room			<u>.</u>
I = Information A = Action	R = Retain	D = Dispatch	N = No further Action
cc: VP Meese Bake	er Deaver Ot	her	
COMMENTS	Should be s	een by:	
Achon Cl	heldren		(Date/Time)
Cut T	margana 1		
hoper mem	4 (sched	ule mono	٠ ١ ٠
Kum	# -> R.	you, due	10/4
Thompson t	· chech	wind on	weed.
Thompson to	eldings 2	hell	,

President Ronald Reagan 34The White House Washington, D.C. 20500

1 October, 1984

Dear Mr. President,

In 1980, I worked for your election with "Veterans for Reagan" at the R.N.C. In August, I wrote the enclosed letter to the Editor of the New York Times, in which I applauded your comment that the Vietnam war was a "noble cause".

Since your election, I have worked for the Department of the Army. In August, 1984, as a result of Tom Pauken's request to Secretary of the Army John Marsh, I was detailed to ACTION to go to the rescue of the Connecticut Vietnam Veterans Leadership Program, whose former Director had received considerable negative publicity as a function of his regrettable illegal activities. Over the ensuing year, I successfully accomplished that task, as evidenced by the enclosed letter from the Chairman of the Board, and am now helping close down VVLP nationally.

Some time in late October, Crown Publications will begin to market my first book, <u>Battles and Campaigns in Vietnam</u>, a narrow military recounting of what actually happened there. This book destroys several myths, the most important of which is that America "lost" the war in Vietnam. I show with undeniable historical evidence that we <u>won</u> the military war in South Vietnam (we never lost a single military engagement involving units larger than Company size). By the early 1970s, virtually all of South Vietnam had been freed from the control of the North Vietnamese Communists - a dramatic change from the situation we faced when our forces began to arrive in 1965. The fall of South Vietnam in 1975 was not a function of American military failure, but was largely attributable to a Democratic Congress turning our national back on an ally.

As a token of my admiration, respect, political support, and gratitude for your "noble cause" comment with which you saluted us during the 1980 election, I would be honored to present you with an inscribed copy of my book. If you can fit me into your busy schedule, I have an advance copy now that I am prepared to present to you at your convenience.

Sincerely yours,

Tom Carhart VVLP National Headquarters 634-9312

ARTHUR OCHS BULZBERGER
Publisher

A. M. ROSENTHAL, Executive Editor

BEYMOUR TOFFING, Managing Editor
ARTHUR GELB, Deputy Managing Editor
JAMES L. CRÉENFIELD, Assistant Managing Editor
FETER MILLONES, Assistant Managing Editor LOUIS SILVERSTEIN, Assistant Managing Editor

MAI FRANKEL, Editorial Page Editor PACE ROSENTHAL, Deputy Editorial Repo-CHARLOTTE CUSTIS, Assents to Editor

TOM WICKIN, Associate Eduter

utumn Chòices

start of a new season. Reality beckons; there's a choice to be made. ..

In the real autumnal world, Russians grow to only about 5'9", barely tall enough to see beyond China, Afghanistan and Poland. But being human, they also refuse to let America regain military superiority over them. They insist on equal power and equal meddling rights around the globe. How, then, is the unending competition with them to be managed? Where is the leader who will explain that Americans will win some rounds, and lose some, without risking the end of the world? Who can lead in containing the arms race even while containing aggression?

In the real autumnal world, Americans finally need to be told the true cost of energy, in lost national wealth, personal income and diplomatic influence. There will be no allies worthy of the name if Americans keep burning gasoline that costs less than half the price that Europeans and Japanese are made to pay. There will be no economic revival for America so long as all its exports and much of its capital are being hocked in Arabian markets.

A mature America is ready to hear that being No. 1 in weaponry, and energy, and food, and political free doms is no guarantee of either survival or prosperity. The MX missile will not win the arms race. The sun will not soon replace Saudi Arabia. The farm cannot be the only productive American enterprise. Talent and freedom do not by themselves assure the creative organization of a society.

And even wisely harnessed, America's power and influence will never again be great enough to organize the world. Germans will accommodate to business with the Russians and Japanese will bow before Arabs so long as their survival depend on it. Reace in the West Bank will not repair Lebanon, secure the Saudi throne or depose the Ayatollahs. In the dreams of an electoral summer, America's resources and resourcefulness are made to appear supreme. By autumn, they promise not salvation, only opportunity.

Are Dying

It is time to look beyond the annual budget fix. For such a cause, significant new sources of support ought to be found.

The trees of the private sector have been shaken only by the libraries' richer midtown cousin, the re-nowned research center behind the marble lions on Fifth Avenue. Although it belongs to the same public library system, the research library now relies almost entirely on private contributions. A drive to endow it with a \$50 million capital fund is nearing completion and other commitments are being pulled together to provide \$10 million a year toward operating costs. Why not turn now to this fund-raising machine to help the branches?

A study by Compfroller Goldin's office indicates that many New Yorkers may be willing to pay a special fee or tax for better library service. Foundations can help, too. It would be wrong to expect them to take over what should be a public service; but they could underwrite the search for new sources of support, perhaps with matching grants that stimulate corporate and

Letters-

The Scarred Faces of America's Coal Fields ---

The current efforts of Senator Byrd to weaken the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 clearly point to what the real objectives of the coal industry are - to have no reclamation at all and to continue the rape and-run methods of mineral extrac-

For the past year I was a reclamation specialist in Kentucky for the Office of Surface Mining and was charged with enforcement of the Surface Mining Act and associated regu-lations. My job was to see that coal companies complied with the law and, as told by O.S.M. administrators, to cite any and all violations of the law.

To do this was totally unworkable since the backup staff of O.S.M. was only a fragment of the size needed to enforce the law. Illegal miners break-ing all points of the law were just as active the day I quit as the day I started work.

It appeared to on-the-ground O.S.M. personnel that there was some sort of calculated conspiracy to see that the law was unworkable. A tiny staff of lawvers could not come close to handling all the cases that should have been taken to court, and when cases aid teath court, sympathetic judges gave all benefit of the doubt and cred-ibility to the coal companies, It, was



very discouraging to me, both as a government employee and U.S. titizen, to see so many lies and half-truths accepted as believable evidence.

I saw that I had climbed onto one of these "paper tigers" that I had always heard about. The observations, recommendations and opinions of those on-the-ground O.S.M. employees inter-ested in seeing effective reclamation mattered little, for management was more interested in the number of inspected and the number of violations written, which meant that things looked good on paper but were a shambles in actualry

This management and administrative problem, coupled with the small of O.S.M., especially the legal stall, and the political clout of the coal companies have rendered strip mine control almost ineffective. The companies knew this and to see that they are . mounting an offensive to further re-duce 0.5.M. power scares me because the states, at least reflected by the embarrassing weakness of Kentucky to enforce state reclamation laws, do not want to have a quality reclamation program.

As someone who was in the thick of the reclamation fight as long as my mental and physical health could take it, I urge Congress to keep the recesmation law as it is and to increase the O.S.M. budget dramatically. There also should be more oversight of O.S.M. to insure that the office is doing: is main task - enforcing the Surface Mining Act to get quality reclamation in the field and not doing its reclamation by number juggling in the office. One hopes the states and the coal com-panies can develop a sense of environmental protection and we can all celebrate the departure of the Federal Government from the coal fields

TOM CLEMENTS Atlanta, Aug. 22, 1980

Vietnam Veteran Grateful to Reagan

Recently, Ronald Reagan said that our Vietnam experience was a "noble cause." Since then, this comment has been cynically attacked in the press and on radio and television as naïve and simplemended, and I understand that Mr. Reagan's candidacy has suffered from it.

As a former soldier awarded two Purple Hearts in Vietnam (January and June 1968), I believe I speak for the millions of other American men and women who are Vietnam veterans when I thank Mr. Reagan for his recognition of the price we paid. I was a young man. I didn't know much. I believed we were fighting to defend the freedom of South Vietnam's people and I still believe that today. I don't know whether the American military presence in Vietnam was right or wrong in some absolute sense but I disagree with those who feel that the present-Communist governments in Indochina are bands of angels, given the millions of their own countrymen who have been slaughtered, sent off to 'reeducation camps", never to be heard of again, or ruthlessly thrown Into the sea

Indeed, I believe that our Vietnam experience was a "noble cause." I am proud that I served my country in its hour of distress, and if needed, I would serve again without the slightest hesitation. Perhaps It's just that freedom has a special sweetness for those who have laid their lives on the line for it; or perhaps I, too, am naive and simpleminded.

I am saddened that some Americans can criticize Mr. Reagan because he honors those who offered their lives for America. But his voice is too lonely on the present political stage. Those who served that "noble cause," the survivors and the dead, are grateful to have a spokesman in Mr. Reagan.
Tom CARHART

McLean, Va., Aug. 25, 1960

Lotus Leaf Purveyors

What Platforms Say ·

To the Editor

Robert Curvin's Aug. 22 Editorial Notebook on political party platforms erroneously dismisses the significance of these documents.

Parties, -candidates -and -elected Presidents take them seriously, as we have demonstrated in research on major party platforms from 1944 to 1976 ("Elections in America," Longman: 1980). We have shown that a signlficant number - approximately twothirds - of the winning party's platform pledges are translated governmental programs. David Truman was wrong in suggesting that "as a pledge of future action, the party platform is almost meaningless and is properly so regarded by the voters," as Dr. Curvin was wrong in quoting him.

The current emphasis on the difference in content of the Democratic and Republican platforms is not misplaced. The electorate should pay heed: the record of fulfillment on platform promises by the party-which cap ures the White House is quite high.

We do agree that there are dangers in single-issue politics and in attempts to bind a candidate to all specific points in a platform. These cautions, however, should not obscure the facts
- platforms do say something of value to voters, to public officials and ideally, even to the press.

GERALD M. POMPER

SUSAN S. LEDERMAN New Brunswick, N.J., Aug. 23, 1980 The writers are, respectively, professor of Political Science at Rutgers University, and assistant professor of Political Science at Kean College of New Jersey.

U.S. Nuclear Strategy Flouts SALT Accords

To the Editor:

I fully share your anxiety expressed in the Aug. 21 editorial that the new doc-trine of nuclear war, advanced by the Carter Administration, is fraught with many threats. The main one is the permissibility of a nuclear war as a plank of the U.S. military-political strategy.

The provocative character of the doctrine lies in the fact that the American side, by refusing to recognize, to-gether with the Soviet Union, the nuclear stalemate, creates the impression of the resultant switching of U.S. priorities to the development of a potential insuring a first sudden strike at Soviet forces. Further, it appears to justify the deployment of new and more sophisticated weapons systems.

In this context, the new doctrine cannot appear in Soviet eyes other than a blatant flouting of the existing Soviet-U.S.-accords-and-understand ings, in particular, the basic principles of the SALT 1 agreement, the agree-ment on the prevention of nuclear war, etc. The incentive it incorporates for the development of new and unprecedented weapons systems hits the SALT Il treaty, even before it comes into force, and the entire SALT process.

The more consideration is given to the thoughts about the unthinkable being raised to the rank of official doctrine, the more sinister appear its consequences for mankind

> SPARTAK BEGLOV Novosti Press Agency Moscow, Aug. 26, 1980

Israel's 'Unilateral' Action on Jerusalem

The United States did not veto the recent U.N. Security Council Jerusalem resolution, according to a State Department spokesman, because is-rael had acted "unilaterally" in designating unified Jerusalem as its eternal

Is there any nation on earth which has not chosen its capital "unilateral-ly?" Only Israel's doing so is a crime

recalled defiance of the United Nations parti-tion resolution of 1947, Jordan attacked Israel and managed to conquer the eastern portion of the city, pro-ceeding promptly to expel all its Jewish residents, to destroy their synagogues, desecrate their graves and bar their access to the site of their an-

cient temple.

The United States did not recognize

Connecticut Vietnam Veterans Leadership Program, Inc.

THOMAS M. CARHART, IH EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

MAXIE L. PATTERSON CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD

ONE CORPORATE CENTER **SUITE 1500** HARTFORD, CT 06103 (203) 249-7225

Tom Pauken Director, ACTION 806 Connecticut Ave. N.W. Washington, D.C. 20525

2 July, 1984

Dear Tom.

A year ago, you sent Tom Carhart up here to help us out as the new Director of our Chapter of the VVLP. We had received quite a bit of unfavorable publicity as a result of the previous Director's unfortunate illegal actions, and we hoped that Tom would be able to improve our situation.

With a general license to try to improve the image and welfare of Vietnam veterans in the community, Tom went right to work. He started by paying off some of our debts, dismissing others, and resolving the rest to the satisfaction of all concerned, with vitrtually no publicity (he kept us out of court, and so out of the public record, on at least four occasions). He then focused his attention on building a positive image for Vietnam veterans in the community.

When he arrived, six Vista "volunteers" were theoretically working for VVLP, but they were doing very little other than playing pool at the Vietnam Veterans Center and complaining. Within a few months, Tom replaced five of these individuals with more productive Vietnam veterans and got them working for community-based charities (Toys for Tots, the Community Renewal Team, the Urban League, the Asylum Hill Agency, the American Red Cross, and the Central City Churches Youth Program). A number of local newspaper articles and at least two television shows covered these efforts. He has also written five Op-Ed articles for the Hartford Courant (I enclose a copy of his latest, from this Fourth of July) and has appeared on at least four local television news programs. The image he has presented has been of Vietnam veterans as winners and as important members of the community, and I believe he has been quite successful in this effort.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

WADE D. SAYER GREATER HARTFORD PRIVATE INDUSTRY COUNCIL WALTER C. WRIGHT AETNA LIFE & CASULTY

At the same time, Tom has been working to promote the welfare of Vietnam veterans, and that means primarily jobs. Early on, Tom was able to enlist the support of Denis Mullane, the President and CEO of Connecticut Mutual Life Insurance Company. Mr. Mullane wrote letters to the CEOs of the top twenty two corporate employers in the Hartford area, introducing Tom, mentioning his program for hiring Vietnam veterans, stating that CML would participate and requesting that they do the same. Tom then visited those CEOs or their delegated representatives, strongly encouraging the hiring of Vietnam veterans.

The results to date have been gratifying (e.g., in the first three months of 1984, Aetna and Travellers Insurance Companies have, as a result of Tom's efforts, hired 45 Vietnam veterans between them). Tom has also generated significant radio, television, and newspaper coverage for this employment effort.

On August 3rd, Connecticut VVLP will be hosting a day-long Job's Fair at the Summit Hotel ballroom. So far, twelve major corporations (including all the large insurance companies) have promitted to attend with jobs for Vietnam veterans. The Governor and all Connecticut Members of Congress have been invited (so far, one Senator and one Congresswoman have accepted, no refusals), as well as the CEOs of the corporations and some other local dignitaries. The media have been alerted and are favorably disposed. I understand that you have been invited by separate letter, and I hope you can attend.

Tom's year with us will be up in August, and I understand he will be returning to Washington, D.C. As Chairman of the Board, I want you to know that Tom Carhart has done an excellent job of rescuing our VVLP organization, changing its public image dramatically, and doing some important work in improving the general image of Vietnam veterans in our area and getting many of them hired.

If you need any further information from me, please do not hesitate to call.

Best regards,

Maxie L. Patterson

Chairman of the Board

Certificate of Appreciation

This certificate is awarded to

Thomas Merritt Carhart, II

For distinguished service on behalf of President-elect Ronald Reagan and Vice President-elect George Bush as a member of the Veterans Administration Transition Team.

Given at Washington, D.C.

This 6th day of January 1981

Dr. David M. Abshire
Director, National Security
Group

Honorable William H. Ayres
Team Leader, Veterans
Administration



The Hartford Courant

Hail to the Chief

Tom Carhart

The dead had been mourned and buried, the wounded treated and evacuated, and the smoke had begun to clear over the Marine contingent at the Beirut airport. Then, true to form, members of the Democratic-controlled U.S. House of Representatives came out of their Capitol Hill bunker and tried to shoot the survivors, including the Republican president.

Members of the Investigations Subcommittee of the House Armed Services Committee recently issued a. bluntly worded report that harshly criticized the entire military chain of command. While the report found fault with security precautions and decisions made or not made by individual soldiers on the ground in Beirut, it also fired a blast at every commander all the way up the line, capped with this shot at the president: "The Subcommittee must call to account the higher policy-making authority that adopted and continued a policy that placed military units in a deployment where protection was inevitably inadequate."

The fact that the subcommittee report was approved by all eight Democrats and only one (of four) Republicans may indicate that partisan politics played a role in it. After the fact, unfortunately, all the finger-pointing and fault-finding in the world will not bring back any of those noble young men who gave their lives for their country. And that is the key factor here: In this day of the all-volunteer military, every person who wears the uniform is consciously offering his or her life for America. The Beirut in-

cident was beyond the victims' control, and the offers of their lives for their country were simply accepted. They and their brothers-in-arms should be honored for their selfless actions, not criticized by those they protect.

We must accept that the catastrophic outcome was unforeseen, although perhaps not unforeseeable. But the same might be said if a hijacked airliner had crashed into the building rather than a truck. Foreseeability and other specific aspects of the event are internal matters to be resolved by the Department of Defense. If there was negligence on the part of any individuals, they will properly and in due course be called to account in-house

The last thing we need in the wake of such tragedy is a symbolic public lynching of military leaders by politicians. The only apparent benefit that might come to anyone from this congressional report would seem to be the political enhancement of the public image accorded some members of Congress.

After the subcommittee report was released, some Americans, including figures in the media, sought a scapegoat. Defense Secretary Caspar W. Weinberger had already requested a thorough investigation of the Beirut matter by a blue-ribbon panel of civilian and military authorities, headed by Adm. Robert L. J. Long.

The Long report also faulted the military hierarchy, but took a wider view. It found that the entire chain of command had been heavily involved in the planning and execution of the U.S. presence in Lebanon. While the specific safety precautions at the Beirut airport may have been selected and im-

plemented by lower-ranki commanders, the failure of senior a mirals and generals to correct or ex comment on them gave the operati at least their tacit approval.

President Réagan said at his ne conference this week that he agre "wholeheartedly" with the Long r port's conclusion that U.S. milita forces "are by tradition and training inadequately equipped to deal with the fundamentally new phenomenon state-supported terrorism." He direc ed, therefore, that local commander not be punished for not fully compre hending the nature of today's terroris threat. "If there is to be blame," Pres dent Reagan said, "it properly rest here in this office and with this presi dent. I accept responsibility for the bad as well as the good."

That's called genuine leadership from a man with the courage to stand up for principle and take the heat Most Americans have endured enough

self-flagellation. Why do some of us insist on finding American soldiers at fault for having failed to anticipate lunacy, when the genuine culpability lies with the lunatics, the Syrians and Iranians who sponsored this heinous act?

The campaign counselors in the White House are no doubt delighted by the pre-election year timing of this noble act of leadership. But this was not a political decision; rather, it was those great American traditions of duty, honor, country, shining through our military commander in chief, Ronald Reagan, like the sun. He wears the cloak of national leadership very well indeed.

Tom Carhart, former infantry platoon leader with the 101st Airborne Division in Vietnam, is executive director of the federally funded Connecticut Vietnam Veterans Leadership Program in Hartford.

WASHINGTON

Fred Fielding:

given the problems that arose with the John P. Wheeler Vietnam book, I would like your views on attached before proceeding. I imagine Dearen will turn it down, but I do not wont to forward it if your see a problem.

Bob Cumitt

WASHINGTON

SCHEDULE PROPOSAL

TO:

FREDERICK J. RYAN, Director

Presidential Appointments and Scheduling

FROM:

ROBERT M. KIMMITT

REQUEST:

Meeting with Tom Carhart, Vietnam Veterans

Leadership Program (VVLP).

PURPOSE:

To present the President with an inscribed copy of his first book, Battles and Campaigns in

Vietnam.

BACKGROUND:

Tom Carhart worked at the RNC with "Veterans for Reagan" and subsequently for the Department of the Army. He was detailed in August 1984 to ACTION in order to rescue a troubled Vietnam Veterans Leadership Program in Connecticut.

After an impressive clean-up, he is now involved in helping close VVLP nationally. Tom's book defends US military performance in Vietnam and blames the fall of South Vietnam in 1975 on a

lack of political will in the Congress.

PREVIOUS

PARTICIPATION:

None.

DATE & TIME:

Open.

DURATION: 5 minutes.

LOCATION:

Oval Office.

PARTICIPANTS:

Tom Carhart

Robert M. Kimmitt

OUTLINE OF EVENTS:

Presentation of inscribed copy of book and photo

opportunity.

REMARKS REQUIRED:

None.

MEDIA COVERAGE:

None.

PROPOSED "PHOTO":

The President and Mr. Carhart standing during

presentation of book and handshake.

RECOMMENDED BY:

Robert C. McFarlane.

OPPOSED BY:

None.

President Ronald Reagan UlThe White House Washington, D.C. 20500

l October, 1984

Dear Mr. President,

In 1980, I worked for your election with "Veterans for Reagan" at the R.N.C. In August, I wrote the enclosed letter to the Editor of the New York Times, in which I applauded your comment that the Vietnam war was a "noble cause".

Since your election, I have worked for the Department of the Army. In August, 1984, as a result of Tom Pauken's request to Secretary of the Army John Marsh, I was detailed to ACTION to go to the rescue of the Connecticut Vietnam Veterans Leadership Program, whose former Director had received considerable negative publicity as a function of his regrettable illegal activities. Over the ensuing year, I successfully accomplished that task, as evidenced by the enclosed letter from the Chairman of the Board, and am now helping close down VVLP nationally.

Some time in late October, Crown Publications will begin to market my first book, Battles and Campaigns in Vietnam, a narrow military recounting of what actually happened there. This book destroys several myths, the most important of which is that America "lost" the war in Vietnam. I show with undeniable historical evidence that we won the military war in South Vietnam (we never lost a single military engagement involving units larger than Company size). By the early 1970s, virtually all of South Vietnam had been freed from the control of the North Vietnamese Communists — a dramatic change from the situation we faced when our forces began to arrive in 1965. The fall of South Vietnam in 1975 was not a function of American military failure, but was largely attributable to a Democratic Congress turning our national back on an ally.

As a token of my admiration, respect, political support, and gratitude for your "noble cause" comment with which you saluted us during the 1980 election, I would be honored to present you with an inscribed copy of my book. If you can fit me into your busy schedule, I have an advance copy now that I am prepared to present to you at your convenience.

Sincerely yours,

Tom Carhart VVLP National Headquarters 634-9312

of

11-

ARTHUR OCHS SULTBERGER
Publisher

A. M. ROSENTHAL, Executive Editor A. M. BOSENTHAL, Executive Editor
BETMOUR TOPPING, Managing Editor
ARTHUR CELB, Deputy Monoging Editor
JAMES L. CRIL NYTIELD, Assistant Managing Editor
FETER MILLONES, Assistant Managing Editor
LOUIS BILVERSTEIN, Assistant Managing Editor

MAI FRANKEL, Editorial Page Editor CHARLOTTE CURTIS, Assectate Editor

TON WICKER, Associate Editor

Lutumn Chòices

start of a new season. Reality beckons; there's a choice to be made.

In the real autumnal world, Russians grow to only about 5'9", barely tall enough to see beyond China, Afghanistan and Poland. But being human, they also refuse to let America regain military superiority over them. They insist on equal power and equal meddling rights around the globe. How, then, is the unending competition with them to be managed? Where is the leader who will explain that Americans will win some rounds, and lose some, without risking the end of the world? Who can lead in containing the arms race even while containing aggression?

In the real autumnal world, Americans finally need to be told the true cost of energy, in lost national wealth, personal income and diplomatic influence.. There will be no allies worthy of the name if Americans keep burning gasoline that costs less than half the price that Europeans and Japanese are made to pay. There will be no economic revival for America so long as all its exports and much of its capital are being hocked in Arabian markets.

A mature America is ready to hear that being No. 1 in weaponry, and energy, and food, and political free doms is no guarantee of either survival or prosperity. The MX missile will not win the arms race. The sun will not soon replace Saudi Arabia. The farm cannot be the only productive American enterprise. Talent and freedom do not by themselves assure the creative organization of a society.

And even wisely harnessed, America's power and influence will never again be great enough to organize the world. Germans will accommodate to business with the Russians and Japanese will bow before Arabs so long as their survival depend on it. Reace in the West Bank will not repair Lebanon, secure the Saudi throne or depose the Ayatoliahs. In the dreams of an electoral nummer. America's resources and resourcefulness are made to appear supreme. By autumn, they promise not salvation, only opportunity.

s Are Dying

It is time to look beyond the annual budget fix. For such a cause, significant new sources of support ought to be found.

The trees of the private sector have been shaken only by the libraries' richer midtown cousin, the renowned research center behind the marble lions on Fifth Avenue. Although it belongs to the same public library system, the research library now relies almost entirely on private contributions. A drive to endow it with a \$50 million capital fund is nearing completion and other commitments are being pulled together to provide \$10 million a year toward operating costs. Why not turn now to this fund-raising machine to help the branches?

A study by Compfroller Goldin's office indicates that many New Yorkers may be willing to pay a special fee or tax for better library service. Foundations can help, too. It would be wrong to expect them to take over what should be a public service; but they could underwrite the search for new sources of support, perhaps with matching grants that stimulate corporate and user contributions.

The Scarred Faces of America's Coal Fields ——

To the Editor:

The current efforts of Senator Byrd to weaken the Surface Mining Control and Reciamation Act of 1977 clearly point to what the real objectives of the coal industry are - to have no recla mation at all and to continue the rapeand nun methods of mineral extrac-

For the past year I was a reclamation specialist in Kentucky for the Office of Surface Mining and was charged with enforcement of the Surface Mining Act and associated regulations. My job was to see that coal companies complied with the law and, as told by O.S.M. administrators, to cite any and all violations of the law.

To do this was totally unworkable since the backup staff of O.S.M. was only a fragment of the size needed to enforce the law. Illegal miners break-ing all points of the law were just as active the day I quit as the day I started work.

It appeared to on-the-ground O.S.M personnel that there was some sort of calculated conspiracy to see that the law was unworkable. A tiny staff of lawyers could not come close to handling all the cases that should have heen taken in court, and when cases sub-reach court, sympathetic judges gave all benefit of the doubt and credibility to the coal companies, it, was.



very discouraging to me, both as a government employee and U.S. titizen, to see so many lies and half-truths accepted as believable evidence

I saw that I had climbed onto one of those "paper tigers" that I had always heard about. The observations, recommendations and opinions of those on-the-ground O.S.M. employees interested in seeing effective reclamation mattered little, for management was more interested in the number of mines inspected and the number of violations written, which meant that things looked good on paper but were a shambles in actuality

This management and administrative problem, coupled with the small size of O.S.M., especially the legal companies have rendered strip mine control almost ineffective. The companies knew this and to see that they are mounting an offensive to further re-duce O.S.M. power scares me because the states, at least reflected by the embarrassing weakness of Kentucky to enforce state reclamation laws, do not want to have a quality reclamation

As someone who was in the thick of the reclamation fight as long as my mental and physical health could take it, I urge Congress to keep the recenmation law as it is and to increase the O.S.M. budget dramatically. There also should be more oversight of O.S.M. to insure that the office is doing As main task — enforcing the Surface Mining Act to get quality reclamation in the field and not doing its reclamation by number juggling in the office. One hopes the states and the coal com-panies can develop a sense of environmental protection and we can all cele brate the departure of the Federal Government from the coal fields.

TOM CLEMENTS Atlanta, Aug. 22, 1980

Vietnam Veteran Grateful to Reagan

Recently, Ronald Reagan said that our Vietnam experience was a "noble * Since then, this comment has been cynically attacked in the press and on radio and television as naive and simpleminded, and I understand that Mr. Reagan's candidacy has suffered from it.

As a former soldier awarded two Purple Hearts in Vietnam (January and June 1968), I believe I speak for the millions of other American men and women who are Vietnam veterans when I thank Mr. Reagan for his recognition of the price we paid. I was a young man. I didn't know much. I believed we were fighting to defend the freedom of South Vietnam's people and I still believe that today. I don't know whether the American military presence in Vietnam was right or wrong in some absolute sense, but I disagree with those who feel that the sent-Communist governments in indochina are bands of angels, given the millions of their own countrymen who have been slaughtered, sent off to "reeducation camps", never to be heard of again, or ruthlessly thrown into the sea

Indeed, I believe that our Vietnam experience was a "noble cause. proud that I served my country in its hour of distress, and if needed, I would serve again without the slightest hesitation. Perhaps it's just that freedom has a special sweetness for those who have laid their lives on the line for it; or perhaps 1, too, am naïve and simpleminded.

I am saddened that some Americans can criticize Mr. Reagan because he honors those who offered their lives for America. But his voice is too lonely on the present political stage. Those who served that "noble cause," the survivors and the dead, are grateful to have a spokesman in Mr. Reagan.
Tom Carhart

McLean, Va., Aug. 25, 1980

Lotus Leaf Purveyors

To the Editor:

What Platforms Say .

To the Editor:

Robert Curvin's Aug 22 Editorial Notebook on political party platforms erroneously dismisses the significance

of these documents.

Parties, -candidates -and -elected Presidents take them seriously, as we have demonstrated in research on major party platforms from 1944 to 1976 ("Elections in America," Longman: 1980). We have shown that a significant number — approximately two-thirds — of the winning party's plat-form pledges are translated into governmental programs. David Tru-man was wrong in suggesting that "as a pledge of future action, the party platform is almost meaningless and is properly so regarded by the voters," as Dr. Curvin was wrong in quoting him

The current emphasis on the difference in content of the Democratic and Republican platforms is not misplaced. The electorate should pay heed; the record of fulfillment on platform promises by the party which captures the White House is quite high.

e do agree that there are dangers in single-issue politics and in attempts to bind a candidate to all specific points in a platform. These cautions, however, should not obscure the facts platforms do say something of value to voters, to public officials and ideally, even to the press.

GERALD M. POMPER SUSAN S. LEDERMAN New Brunswick, N.J., Aug. 23, 1980

The writers are, respectively, professor of Political Science at Rutgers University, and assistant professor of Political Science at Kean College of New Jersey.

U.S. Nuclear Strategy Flouts SALT Accords

To the Editor:

I fully share your anxiety expressed in the Aug. 21 editorial that the new door trine of nuclear war, advanced by Carter Administration, is fraught with many threats. The main one is the permissibility of a nuclear war as a plank of the U.S. military-political strategy.

The provocative character of the doctrine lies in the fact that the American side, by refusing to recognize, together with the Soviet Union, the nuclear stalemate, creates the impression of the resultant switching of U.S. priorities to the development of a potential insuring a first sudden strike at Soviet forces. Further, it appears to justify the deployment of new and more sophisticated weapons systems.

In this context, the new doctrine cannot appear in Soviet eyes other than a blatant flouting of the existing Soviet-U.5.-accords-and-understand ings, in particular, the basic principles of the SALT I agreement, the agreement on the prevention of nuclear war, etc. The incentive it incorporates for the development of new and unprecedented weapons systems hits the SALT 11 treaty, even before it comes into force, and the entire SALT process.

The more consideration is given to the thoughts about the unthinkable being raised to the rank of official doc-trine, the more sinister appear its consequences for mankind.

> SPARTAK BEGLOV Novosti Press Agency Moscow, Aug. 26, 1980

> > that.

Israel's 'Unilateral' Action on Jerusalem

The United States did not veto the recent U.N. Security Council Jerusalem resolution, according to a State Department spokesman, because 1srael had acted "unilaterally" in designating unified Jerusalem as its eternal

Is there any nation on earth which has not chosen its capital "unilaterally?" Only Israel's doing so is a crime Perhaps it will be argued that Isdefiance of the United Nations parti-tion resolution of 1947, Jordan attacked Israel and managed to conquer the eastern portion of the city, proceeding promptly to expel all its Jewish residents, to destroy their syna-gogues, desecrate their graves and bar their access to the site of their ancient temple.

recalled

will

The United States did not recognize Jordan's annexation of East Jerusa-

Connecticut Vietnam Veterans Leadership Program, Inc.

THOMAS M. CARHART, IH

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

MAXIE L. PATTERSON

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD

ONE CORPORATE CENTER SUITE 1500 HARTFORD, CT 06103 (203) 249-7225

Tom Pauken
Director, ACTION
806 Connecticut Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20525

2 July, 1984

Dear Tom,

A year ago, you sent Tom Carhart up here to help us out as the new Director of our Chapter of the VVLP. We had received quite a bit of unfavorable publicity as a result of the previous Director's unfortunate illegal actions, and we hoped that Tom would be able to improve our situation.

With a general license to try to improve the image and welfare of Vietnam veterans in the community, Tom went right to work. He started by paying off some of our debts, dismissing others, and resolving the rest to the satisfaction of all concerned, with vitrually no publicity (he kept us out of court, and so out of the public record, on at least four occasions). He then focused his attention on building a positive image for Vietnam veterans

When he arrived, six Vista "volunteers" were theoretically working for VVLP, but they were doing very little other than playing pool at the Vietnam Veterans Center and complaining. Within a few months, Tom replaced five of these individuals with more productive Vietnam veterans and got them working for community-based charities (Toys for Tots, the Community Renewal Team, the Urban League, the Asylum Hill Agency, the American Red Cross, and the Central City Churches Youth Program). A number of local newspaper articles and at least two television shows covered these efforts. He has also written five Op-Ed articles for the Hartford Courant (I enclose a copy of his latest, from the television news programs. The image he has presented has been of Vietnam veterans as winners and as important members of the community, and I believe he has been quite successful in this effort.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

I. CHARLES MATHEWS
UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORP.
MARTIN T. CONNORS
CONNECTICUT MUTUAL LIFE
INSURANCE COMPANY

WADE D. SAYER
GREATER HARTFORD
PRIVATE INDUSTRY COUNCIL
WALTER C. WRIGHT
AETNA LIFE & CASULTY

PAUL F. L'ESPERANCE LITTON INDUSTRIES EARLE L. JACKSON HEUBLEIN INC. At the same time, Tom has been working to promote the welfare of Vietnam veterans, and that means primarily jobs. Early on, Tom was able to enlist the support of Denis Mullane, the President and CEO of Connecticut Mutual Life Insurance Company. Mr. Mullane wrote letters to the CEOs of the top twenty two corporate employers in the Hartford area, introducing Tom, mentioning his program for hiring Vietnam veterans, stating that CML would participate and requesting that they do the same. Tom then visited those CEOs or their delegated representatives, strongly encouraging the hiring of Vietnam veterans.

The results to date have been gratifying (e.g., in the first three months of 1984, Aetna and Travellers Insurance Companies have, as a result of Tom's efforts, hired 45 Vietnam veterans between them). Tom has also generated significant radio, television, and newspaper coverage for this employment effort.

On August 3rd, Connecticut VVLP will be hosting a day-long Job's Fair at the Summit Hotel ballroom. So far, twelve major corporations (including all the large insurance companies) have promitted to attend with jobs for Vietnam veterans. The Governor and all Connecticut Members of Congress have been invited (so far, one Senator and one Congresswoman have accepted, no refusals), as well as the CEOs of the corporations and some other local dignitaries. The media have been alerted and are favorably disposed. I understand that you have been invited by separate letter, and I hope you can attend.

Tom's year with us will be up in August, and I understand he will be returning to Washington, D.C. As Chairman of the Board, I want you to know that Tom Carhart has done an excellent job of rescuing our VVLP organization, changing its public image dramatically, and doing some important work in improving the general image of Vietnam veterans in our area and getting many of them hired.

If you need any further information from me, please do not hesitate to call.

Best regards,

Maxie L. Patterson

Chairman of the Board '

Certificate of Appreciation

This certificate is awarded to

Thomas Merritt Carhart, III

For distinguished service on behalf of President-elect Ronald Reagan and Vice President-elect George Bush as a member of the Veterans Administration Transition Team.

Given at Washington, D.C.

This 6th day of January 1981

Dr. David M. Abshire
Director, National Security
Group

Honorable William H. Ayres Team Leader, Veterans Administration



The Hartford Courant

Hail to the Chief.

Tom Carhart

The dead had been mourned and buried, the wounded treated and evacuated, and the smoke had begun to clear over the Marine contingent at the Beirut airport. Then, true to form, members of the Democratic-controlled U.S. House of Representatives came out of their Capitol Hill bunker and tried to shoot the survivors, including the Republican president.

Members of the Investigations Subcommittee of the House Armed Services Committee recently issued a, bluntly worded report that harshly criticized the entire military chain of command. While the report found fault with security precautions and decisions made or not made by individual soldiers on the ground in Beirut, it also fired a blast at every commander all the way up the line, capped with this shot at the president: "The Subcommittee must call to account the higher policy-making authority that adopted and continued a policy that placed military units in a deployment where protection was inevitably inadequate."

The fact that the subcommittee report was approved by all eight Democrats and only one (of four) Republicans may indicate that partisan politics played a role in it. After the fact, unfortunately, all the finger-pointing and fault-finding in the world will not bring back any of those noble young men who gave their lives for their country. And that is the key factor here: In this day of the all-volunteer military, every person who wears the uniform is consciously offering his or her life for America. The Beirut in-

cident was beyond the victims' control, and the offers of their lives for their country were simply accepted. They and their brothers-in-arms should be honored for their selfless actions, not criticized by those they protect.

We must accept that the catastrophic outcome was unforeseen, although perhaps not unforeseeable. But the same might be said if a hijacked airliner had crashed into the building rather than a truck. Foreseeability and other specific aspects of the event are internal matters to be resolved by the Department of Defense. If there was negligence on the part of any individuals, they will properly and in due course be called to account in-house.

The last thing we need in the wake of such tragedy is a symbolic public lynching of military leaders by politicians. The only apparent benefit that might come to anyone from this congressional report would seem to be the political enhancement of the public image accorded some members of Congress.

After the subcommittee report was released, some Americans, including figures in the media, sought a scapegoat. Defense Secretary Caspar W. Weinberger had already requested a thorough investigation of the Beirut matter by a blue-ribbon panel of civilian and military authorities, headed by Adm. Robert L. J. Long.

The Long report also faulted the military hierarchy, but took a wider view. It found that the entire chain of command had been heavily involved in the planning and execution of the U.S. presence in Lebanon. While the specific safety precautions at the Beirut airport may have been selected and im-

plemented by lower-ranking commanders, the failure of senior a mirals and generals to correct or ever comment on them gave the operation at least their tacit approval.

President Reagan said at his new conference this week that he agree "wholeheartedly" with the Long re port's conclusion that U.S. militar forces "are by tradition and training inadequately equipped to deal with the fundamentally new phenomenon o state-supported terrorism." He direct ed, therefore, that local commanders not be punished for not fully comprehending the nature of today's terrorist threat. "If there is to be blame," President Reagan said, "it properly rests here in this office and with this president. I accept responsibility for the bad as well as the good."

That's called genuine leadership from a man with the courage to stand up for principle and take the heat. Most Americans have endured enough

self-flagellation. Why do some of us insist on finding American soldiers at fault for having failed to anticipate lunacy, when the genuine culpability lies with the lunatics, the Syrians and Iranians who sponsored this heinous act?

The campaign counselors in the White House are no doubt delighted by the pre-election year timing of this noble act of leadership. But this was not a political decision; rather, it was those great American traditions of duty, honor, country, shining through our military commander in chief, Ronald Reagan, like the sun. He wears the cloak of national leadership very well indeed.

Tom Carhart, former infantry platoon leader with the 101st Airborne Division in Vietnam, is executive director of the federally funded Connecticut Vietnam Veterans Leadership Program in Hartford.

WASHINGTON

October 4, 1984

MEMORANDUM FOR FRED F. FIELDING

FROM:

JOHN G. ROBERTS

SUBJECT:

Clearance for Publication of Remarks Made by Eliza Paschall Before Board Meeting of National Federation of

Republican Women

Faith Whittlesey has asked you to review a summary of a speech a member of her staff, Eliza Paschall, delivered before a Board Meeting of the National Federation of Republican Women (NFRW). The speech concerned gender discrimination in employment, and the NFRW would like to publish the summary in its monthly newsletter.

In the summary Paschall stresses that equal pay for equal work is the law and is being enforced, primarily by the EEOC. She dismisses the canard that women are discriminated against because they receive \$0.59 to every \$1.00 earned by men by noting that the statistical average does not take into account the relatively recent influx of women into the work force (so that they typically have less seniority than men), the fact that women more often work part-time, or the fact that women take longer and more frequent leaves from the work force. On the controversial subject of equal pay for work of "comparable worth," Paschall simply cites the National Academy of Sciences study concerning the confusion over what "comparable worth" means.

I have only one quibble with the summary. In the first paragraph, Paschall states that it is illegal to pay a woman less than a man for doing the same or substantially the same work "or work of equal work, effort and responsibility." The quoted language is a vague gloss readily capable of being misinterpreted. I think it better to adhere to the universally accepted formulation of "the same or substantially the same work."

Attachment

WASHINGTON

October 4, 1984

MEMORANDUM FOR FAITH RYAN WHITTLESEY

ASSISTANT TO THE PRESIDENT

FOR PUBLIC LIAISON

FROM: FRED F. FIELDING Orig. signed by Fre

COUNSEL TO THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: Clearance for Publication of Remarks

Made by Eliza Paschall Before Board Meeting of National Federation of

Republican Women

Counsel's Office has reviewed the summary of the speech Eliza Paschall delivered before the Board Meeting of the National Federation of Republican Women (NFRW). You have advised that the NFRW proposes to publish the summary in its monthly newsletter. Only one small item in the summary causes concern. In the first paragraph, Paschall asserts that it is illegal for an employer to pay a woman less than a man for the same work or substantially the same work, "or work of equal work, effort and responsibility." I recommend deleting the quoted language. It is an imprecise gloss on the universally accepted "same or substantially the same work" formulation, and, particularly in light of the "comparable worth" controversy, is readily capable of being misinterpreted.

FFF: JGR: aea 10/4/84

cc: FFFielding/VGRoberts/Subj/Chron

	•		1D #	<u> </u>		
CORRESPON	WHITE HO		KSHEET			
□ O · OUTGOING			Ω -	, _)		
☐ H · INTERNAL				المسلام		
□ I - INCOMING			-11 th			
Date Correspondence Received (YY/MM/DD)	_	. (Pls Note de			
Name of Correspondent:	. Byan	Whate	isey			
☐ MI Mail Report Use	r Codes: (A)	3. V	(B)	(C)		
Subject: Clearance for	publicat	tion of	remark	made		
My Clina Talenta	uc m	me de	Joseph 1	nelling		
Palone sed	ulin !	my Au	nuvica	<u> </u>		
ROUTE TO:	AC	TION	DISPOSITION			
		Tracking	Туре	Completion		
Office/Agency (Staff Name)	Action Code	Date YY/MM/DD	of Response	Date Code YY/MM/DD		
(4) Holland	ORIGINATOR	84,10,03	Nesponse			
		,				
WAT18		84,10,04		5 84,10,00		
	Referral Note:					
	_	1 1				
	Referral Note:					
		. 1 1		1 1		
·	Referral Note:	;				
	neterral Note.			1 1		
·						
•	Referral Note:					
ACTION CODES:			DISPOSITION CODES:			
C - Comment/Recommendation R - D - Draft Response S -	- Info Copy Only/No A - Direct Reply w/Copy - For Signature - Interim Reply	ction Necessary '	A - Answered B - Non-Special Refer			
to be used as Enclosure .			FOR OUTGOING CORR Type of Response = Code = Completion Date =	Initials of Signer "A"		
0			. •			
Comments:			-			
<u> </u>						
		•				

Keep this worksheet attached to the original incoming letter.

Send all routing updates to Central Reference (Room 75, OEOB).

Always return completed correspondence record to Central Files.

Refer questions about the correspondence tracking system to Central Reference, ext. 2590.

WASHINGTON

October 3, 1984

T0:

FRED F. FIELDING

FROM:

FAITH RYAN WHITTLESEY

SUBJECT:

Clearance for publication of remarks made by

Eliza Paschall before Board meeting of National Federation of Republican Women

Eliza Paschall of my staff spoke before a Board Meeting of the National Federation of Republican Women and the organization would like to publish a summary of her remarks in their monthly newsletter Challenge.

I am attaching a summary of the speech and would appreciate your review and clearance, if possible, by October 5.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Attachment

Summary of Speech at Board Meeting, National Federation of Republican Women, September 14, 1984.

EQUAL PAY FOR EQUAL WORK, WITHOUT REGARD TO SEX, IS THE LAW and has been since 1963. It is against the law, against the law, against the law, against the law for any employer, public or private to pay a woman less than a man, because of her sex for doing the same work, substantially the same work or work of equal work, effort and responsibility. Passing more laws or amending the Constitution would not make it any more unlawful than it already is not to pay women the same as men for doing the same or substantially the same work.

The Equal Pay Act of 1963 provides that any woman, or man, who believes that she is being paid less than her male counterpart, or less than a male who preceded her in the job, or who followed her in the job, may file a complaint with the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission. This doesn't cost any money. It doesn't require a lawyer. It may be done confidentially. The EEOC is required to make an investigation using normal investigative techniques, such as examining payrolls (which normally would not be available to an employee) and to determine on the basis of that investigation whether or not women are being paid less than their male counterparts. If that is the case, the law requires that the women's pay be increased to equal that of the males, and that they receive backpay.

The EEOC receives and processes Equal Pay complaints in all of its field offices, one in every state, on a confidential basis,

2,

with no press reports. If the EEOC is not able to resolve the matter on the administrative level, or if the complainant chooses not to wait for the EEOC to complete its process, the complainant may file an Equal Pay law suit in federal court. That information, like all court records, is public information and often reported in the press. However, most of the activity never gets in the papers, because it never gets into court.

The law permits "third party" complaints. If you know of a woman who is being paid less or has reason to believe she is being paid less than her male counterpart, you may report that information to the EEOC.

There is widespread confusion about "Equal Pay" largely because of statistics on the earnings of men as a whole and women as a whole. The reports that women earn 59¢ or 79¢, etc. to the \$1 earned by men refer to statistical averages, not to individuals doing the same or substantially the same work. In view of the influx of women into the labor force, part-time women workers, temporary women workers, etc., it is not surprising that if you add up the earnings of all employed men and divide by the number of men employed, and you add up the earnings of all employed women and divide by the number of women employed, the average earnings of men is higher than that of women. That does not prove that women are paid less for doing the same work. There is also widespread confusion over "comparable worth", a term with no legal or operational definition. A National Academy of Sciences study (WOMEN, WORK AND WAGES) refers to "wage discrimination...when the job structure within a firm is substantially segregated by sex, race or ethnicity and workers of one

category are paid less than workers in another category when the two groups are performing work that is not the same but that is, in some sense, of comparable worth to their employer. The committee grappled with precisely what the phrase 'in some sense' involves...

"In our judgment <u>no universal standard of job worth exists</u>, both because any definition of 'comparable worth' of jobs is in part a matter of values and because, even for a particular definition, problems of measurement are likely". (Emphasis added).

(Note: Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 outlaws "men's job" and "women jobs".)

So - to get back to "Equal Pay", contrary to reports that President Reagan has cut back on civil rights enforcement, he has steadily increased EEOC's appropriation. The agency does not have a backlog. It does not investigate selectively. It is ready, willing, and able to investigate alleged violations of the law.

The next time you hear somebody say a woman is paid less than a man for equal work, ask WHERE, so you can report it to the EEOC and the woman can get the pay to which she is entitled!

Eliza Paschall

Associate Director

Office of Public Liaison

(Eliza served on the Atlanta staff of the EEOC for 15 years and was an early national officer of $\underline{\text{NOW}}$.)
October 3, 1984

October 9, 1984

MEMORANDUM FOR FRED F. FIELDING

FROM:

JOHN G. ROBERTS

SUBJECT:

Resolution Regarding the Quadrennial Report of the Commission on Executive, Legislative and Judicial Salaries

Emory G. Hatcher, the Circuit Executive for the Tenth Circuit, has written the President to forward a resolution adopted by the Tenth Circuit Judicial Conference. The resolution urges the President and Congress to accept whatever the new Quadrennial Commission recommends with respect to appropriate judicial salaries. According to the resolution, the repeated failure in the past to accept Commission recommendations has frustrated the very purpose of the Commission and resulted in the failure to compensate judges fairly.

At this point I recommend simply a brief note acknowledging receipt of the resolution. The fact that it was transmitted under a letter from the Circuit Executive suggests nothing more is expected.

Attachment

October 5, 1984

Dear Mr. Hatcher:

Thank you for your letter of September 11, 1984 to the President. That letter transmitted a resolution adopted by the Judicial Conference of the Tenth Judicial Circuit, calling upon the President and Congress to accept the recommendations of the Quadrennial Report of the Commission on Executive, Legislative, and Judicial Salaries.

We appreciate having the benefit of the views of the Tenth Circuit Judicial Conference on this important subject, and will certainly accord them the consideration merited by their source. Thank you for sharing the resolution with us.

Sincerely,

Orig. signed by FFF

Fred F. Fielding Counsel to the President

The Honorable Emory G. Hatcher Circuit Executive, United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit United States Courthouse Denver, Colorado 80294

FFF:JGR:aea 10/9/84

cc: FFFielding/JGRoberts/Subj/Chron

THE WHITE HOUSE CORRESPONDENCE TRACKING WORKSHEET

INCOMING

DATE RECEIVED: SEPTEMBE	R 17, 1984				<i>J</i> */	
NAME OF CORRESPONDENT:	THE HONORABLE EMO	ORY (G. HATCHER			
	UTION REGARDING COMMISSION ON EXED DUDICIAL SALAR.	CUT				
		A	CTION	DI	SPOSITION	
ROUTE TO: OFFICE/AGENCY (STAFF	NAME)	ACT CODE	DATE YY/MM/DD	TYPE RESP	C COMPLE D YY/MM/	TED DD
FRED FIELDING REFERRAL NO)TE:	OB MA	84/09/17		/_/	
REFERRAL NO	TE:		8 <u>4709119</u>			
REFERRAL NO					//	
REFERRAL NO					// 	
REFERRAL NO	TE:		//			
COMMENTS:						_
ADDITIONAL CORRESPONDEN				_		
MI MAIL USER CODES:	(A)(B)	· · ·	(C)	and the same of th	
**************************************	******************* *DISPOSITION COD:		********** *OUTGOI * CORRE	NG		* *
*A-APPROPRIATE ACTION *C-COMMENT/RECOM *D-DRAFT RESPONSE	*A-ANSWERED *B-NON-SPEC-REFE *C-COMPLETED	RRAL	*TYPE F	RESP=IN	NITIALS F SIGNER	* *
*F-FURNISH FACT SHEET *I-INFO COPY/NO ACT NEC *R-DIRECT REPLY W/COPY	*S-SUSPENDED				DATE OF OUTGOING	* * *
*S-FOR-SIGNATURE *X-INTERIM REPLY	*		* *			*

REFER QUESTIONS AND ROUTING UPDATES TO CENTRAL REFERENCE (ROOM 75,0EOB) EXT. 2590
KEEP THIS WORKSHEET ATTACHED TO THE ORIGINAL INCOMING LETTER AT ALL TIMES AND SEND COMPLETED RECORD TO RECORDS MANAGEMENT.
