WITHDRAWAL SHEET

Ronald Reagan Library

Collection Name DEAVER, MICHAEL: FILES

Withdrawer

Pages

KDB 7/19/2005

File Folder

CORRESPONDENCE - MAY 1982 (6)

Document Description

FOIA

F01-107/01

Box Number

NO

DOC Doc Type

7619

MCCARTIN

| 44 | | | | | |
|-------|-----------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| No of | Doc Date | Restrictions | | | |

| | 1 | LETTER | HERMAN WEBB TO M. DEAVER | 1 | 4/20/1982 | В6 | opyle" | 134 |
|---|----|--------|--------------------------|---|-----------|------------|--------|-----|
| - | 2' | LETTER | EWING HASS TO DEAVER | 1 | 5/10/1982 | ₽ 6 | 7/261 | 135 |

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]

B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]

B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]

B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]

B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]

B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]

B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA] B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

WASHINGTON

May 11, 1982

Dear Mr. Van Wagenen:

Mr. Deaver appreciates your correspondence, however, since he no longer lives in California, it is not possible for him to suggest someone your son could contact about a job in the geology field.

Sincerely,

SHIRLEY MOORE Staff Assistant to Michael K. Deaver

Mr. Charles R. Van Wagenen 44 Van Duyne Avenue Auburn, New York 13021

CHARLES R. VAN WAGENEN

44 VAN DUYNE AVENUE AUBURN, NEW YORK 13021 PHONE AL 2-5852



IN Mike,

CHARLES VANWAGENEN, C.R.N., ANESTHETIST 44 VAN DUYNE AVENUE AUBURN, NEW YORK 13021 FOR OBSTETRICAL AND MEMBER AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF NURSE ANESTHETIST
MASTERS IN HEALTH EDUCATION

CHARLES VANWAGENEN, C.R.N., ANESTHETIST 44 VAN DUYNE AVENUE AUBURN, NEW YORK 13021 ___19____ FOR OBSTETRICAL ANESTHESIA MEMBER AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF NURSE ANESTHETIST MASTERS IN HEALTH EDUCATION

WASHINGTON

May 12, 1982

Dear Pete:

Thanks for sharing your concerns about H.R.4326, the Small Business Innovation Act, with me.

As you are aware, the President sent a letter last October to Senator Rudman that stated his support for S.881. During House consideration of analogous legislation, Administration witnesses stated our support for S.881 and at the same time, opposed H.R. 4326, as reported by the Small Business Committee in November.

You can expect that we will continue to oppose H.R.4326 unless it is amended and brought in line with \$.881.

Sincerely,

MICHAEL K. DEAVER Assistant to the President Deputy Chief of Staff

The Honorable Paul N. McCloskey, Jr. Congress of the United States House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

BCC - Kendulustin

PAUL N. MCCLOSKEY, JR.
12th District, California

COMMITTEE ON
GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS
AND
COMMITTEE ON
MERCHANT MARINE
AND FISHERIES

Congress of the United States House of Representatives

DISTRICT OFFICE:
305 GRANT AVENUE
PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA 94308
(415) 326-7383

205 CANNON BUILDING

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20515

(202) 225-3411

Washington, D.C. 20515

7/22/65

May 7, 1982

105

CONFIDENTIAL '

The Honorable Michael K. Deaver Deputy Chief of Staff and Assistant to the President 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mike:

I'd like to ask for your help on a matter of urgency where the White House may suffer a black eye and Speaker O'Neill and Ted Kennedy an unwarranted victory.

H.R. 4326, the so-called "Small Business Innovation Act," is set for a floor vote next Wednesday.

I understand that the President last Fall may have heard the bill's <u>purposes</u> briefly described by Senator Rudman, and responded something to the effect that he was in <u>accord</u> with those purposes. This was also true of 201 members of the House who originally cosponsored the bill but who are now reconsidering upon closer examination.

The bill's chief Senate sponsors are Ted Kennedy, Lowell Weicker and Rudman, and this unlikely combination beguiled the Senate into passing the bill 90-0 last December.

In the House, the Small Business Committee reported the bill out 40-0, and the Speaker has scheduled it for a floor vote next Wednesday, May 12th, to coincide with "Small Business Week."

This is a very bad bill for the reasons described in the enclosed editorials and documents. It would set aside 3% in preference awards for all federal research contracts... requiring that they be given to "small businesses" regardless of the government's need and regardless of competitive merit.

The bill will cost \$38 million in new administrative costs alone. (This estimate is from the Congressional Budget Office.)

Thirteen federal departments award research contracts

which will total roughly \$40 billion in 1982 ($5\frac{1}{2}\%$ of the whole federal budget.) Defense leads the way with \$22 billion, followed by NASA, DOE and HHS in that order.

The Department of Agriculture, for example, will spend \$766 million in 1982. Last year Agriculture put out only \$790,000 to some 20 private firms. If the law passes, however, Agriculture would have to put out \$23 million... literally having to either find the small businesses to accept this money, or to give more than \$1 million to each of the 20 firms they have thus far found capable of doing such research.

The list of horrors goes on. Defense states that the language of the law requiring a 3% set-aside would actually force them to spend 26% of their R&D work on small businesses.

The CIA doesn't want the bill because it obviously doesn't want to even <u>disclose</u> the nature or amount of the research contracts it <u>awards</u>.

NIH estimates the law will prevent the funding of hundreds of worthwhile research projects.

The largest association of small high-technology businesses, the American Electronics Association (AEA) a group which strongly supported the President last Fall and which includes a number of the 40 members of his business advisory group, doesn't want the bill passed pointing out that small businesses already get 6.8% of the contract dollars, whereas they only employ 5.5% of the scientists who do this kind of research.

Furthermore, small high-technology companies last year attracted \$1.3 billion in private venture capital; they represent the last, best chance for a poor person to become rich quickly today; there is no lack of private capital to fund any exciting new applied research concept... these companies are at the forefront of business success in America and don't need government money.

The American Farm Bureau Federation, representing 3,000,000 families, also opposes the bill.

This bill is a boondoggle from start to finish. It is beginning to receive strong editorial denunciation from papers like the New York Times, Washington Post, National Journal, Los Angeles Times, San Francisco Chronicle, Denver Post, Minneapolis Tribune, Sacramento Bee, Washington

Monthly, Rocky Mountain News and Oakland Tribune, among others (attached).

The bottom line is that unless the White House steps in, Ted Kennedy and the Speaker are going to have the first legislative victory of 1982.

Can you quietly get the word to House Republicans that ; the Administration would like to see the bill killed?

If the President gave his acquiescence to Senator Rudman on the bill before the AWACS vote last Fall, can you at least let loose the respective Cabinet Secretaries and agency heads to lobby their constituencies in the House?

I will call you Tuesday morning, May 11th, to see if I can meet with you to discuss any problems you have with these thoughts and the documents enclosed.

Sincerely,

PAUL N. McCLOSKEY, JR.

PNMcC:ddn Enclosures

WASHINGTON

May 13, 1982

Dear Marion:

Your weekend with the Wetzels sounded like a marvelous relaxing time. Wish we could have been there to share it with you.

Thanks so much for the Alexander Valley 1980 Chardonnay. We have already enjoyed both bottles. It was delicious!

Carolyn asked to be remembered to you, and we both send our very best wishes.

Sincerely,

MICHAEL K. DEAVER Assistant to the President Deputy Chief of Staff

Mrs. Earle M. Jorgensen 960 Bel Air Road Los Angeles, CA 90024

Shippens a note

Mrs. Earle M. Jorgensen

960 BEL AIR ROAD Los Angeles, California 90024

May 6, 1982

Dear Carolyn and Mike:

Earle and I spent a marvelous weekend with the Harry Wetzels at their Alexander Valley Vineyards, before we went in April to Washington. Saturday night we went to an elegant dinner party that Tom and Sally Jordan gave in their winery. We talked about the Jordan Cabernet being served at the White House for State Dinners, and how honored they were that it was being used. They are hoping that you will visit them this summer. It is the most beautiful, luxurious place that you can imagine. You can't miss going!!!

Harry Wetzel's Alexander Valley 1980 Chardonnay is considered excellent. The Wetzels asked us to take two bottles for you to try. It's considered by experts to be a fine wine. As I was traveling with Earle to two of his plants, prior to coming to Washington, I could not bring it with me, because I was traveling with "carry-ons". Therefore, I am shipping the wine to you today.

Earle and I are hoping that we will see you both soon, and he joins me in sending our very warmest wishes.

Fondly,

WASHINGTON

May 13, 1982

Dear Miss Carney:

Thank you for your recent message. I appreciate the concern which prompted you to write.

Administration officials have recently met with representatives of the construction industry regarding possible changes in the completed contract method of accounting. During that meeting industry representatives described what they believed to be the undesirable and unintended consequences of changing the existing law. Officials within the Treasury Department have since undertaken a review of the proposed changes.

I was grateful to have the benefit of your comments and suggestions concerning the completed contract method of reporting taxable income. You can be sure that your message will receive serious attention.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,

MICHAEL K. DEAVER Assistant to the President Deputy Chief of Staff

Miss Grace A. Carney Comptroller Norwest Heating & Air Conditioning, Inc. 20101 Fenkell Detroit, MI 48223



Norwest Heating & Air Conditioning, Inc.

20101 FENKELL 535-4400 DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48223

MKD.

April 12, 1982

The Honorable Michael K. Deaver
Deputy Chief of Staff and Assistant
to the President
The White House
Washington, D. C. 20500

Dear Sir:

I am writing in regard to the proposal to amend existing IRS regulations concerning the completed contract method of accounting. As the comptroller of a small heating and cooling contractor, I feel that disallowing the use of the completed contract method of accounting would present an undue hardship on the depressed construction industry as a whole and on our company in particular.

The elimination of the completed contract method of accounting would have grave consequences for our company for several reasons. Unknown variables such as employee productivity, changing specifications, fluctuating costs and even the weather result in an uncertainty as to the final profit or loss on a given contract. Having to estimate and pay tax on an uncertain profit would create a worse cash-flow crisis for us than we are now experiencing. Contractors must expend large amounts of capital in advance of any contract which stretches our financing capacity to the limit. I feel that the proposed IRS regulations would impair our ability to finance contracts, thereby causing the loss of jobs.

In closing, I wish to restate my opposition to the proposed regulation changes as a controller, voter and taxpayer.

Sincerely,

NORWEST HEATING & A./C., INC.

Grace A. Carney

Comptroller

GAC/clv

Le Conseiller Spécial S auprès du Président de la République p

Paris, April, 23rd 1982

Dear Mike,

I thank you very much for the photography which reminds me a very grateful meeting.

I hope to see you at Versailles.

Sincerely yours.

Mr. Mike DEAVER White House WASHINGTON D.C. U.S.A.

WASHINGTON

May 14, 1982

Dear Mr. Webb:

Thank you for your letter. It was good to hear from you again. I appreciate your words of encouragement for President Reagan.

Because the White House is prohibited from accepting monetary gifts or political contributions, I must return your enclosure. If you are interested in contributing to the National Republican Congressional Committee, please write to Post Office Box 1999, Washington, D.C. 20013.

Similarly, I am sure you will understand that it is not possible for me to advise you concerning personal investments.

Again, thank you for taking the time to write.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,

MICHAEL K. DEAVER Assistant to the President Deputy Chief of Staff

Mr. Herman L. Webb Oak Ridge Mobile Home Park Route 3, Box 335 Gainesville, TX 76240 April 20,1982

Mr.Michael Deaver Aide to the President White House Washington, D.C. 20000

Dear Mr. Deaver:

You probably don't remember me.I knew Paul and Marion Deaver in Mojave, California when she was a corrospondant for the Bakersfield Californian and Paul was working at the Edwards A.F.B. I owned the Adobe Motel and also served as President of the Chamber of Commerce, Board Member of the Mojave Public Utility District, Mojave Industrial Action Committee, Predident of the Desert Chapter of the Calif. Motel Assn., member of the Civillan Advisory Committee at Edwards Airforce base And secretary of the Kern County Search and Rescue Posse.

Bob Byers, Richard Poole and I waived over \$100,000.00 in real estate Commissions in order to get new industry into the area and in trying to help community growth. I received a Man of the year award in 1960 for my part in the overall community work.

I tell you these things to help you recall who I am and to let you know that I am aware of how politics work at the State and Local level.

I have voted for President Regan to be Governor of Calif.I have voted for him in every election for Public office when he was a candidate. My Grandfather had 18 children in the early 1980's and they all have children and Grandchildren who are voterd. I have praised President Regan to all of them and advised them to vote for him. We have all contributed to the Republican Party, sometimes in cash but mostly in recruiting family and friends.

Now I have a problem that normans you will advise me on!

WASHINGTON May 14, 1982

Dear Mr. Penny:

Thank you for your recent message. I appreciate your support for President Reagan and for his economic policies. I will be sure to keep your comments in mind during discussions with my colleagues here in Washington.

I have taken the liberty of enclosing a copy of President Reagan's Address to the Nation of April 29, 1982 wherein he discusses the Federal budget for 1983.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,

MICHAEL K. DEAVER Assistant to the President Deputy Chief of Staff

Mr. Edgar Penny 17400 Haynes Street Van Nuys, CA 91406 (213) 3449543

17400 Hagues Su Van huy La 91406.

5.8.82

Michael Deaver. annihant 6 the President Whate House Washington DC. 20501

Dear In Deaver,

Please tell the President to leep to his budget program, i.e low takes, no defense cuts, etc 100%. The people of the hundred Strates are showing undown, they know now that the present francial crisis was caused by 50 years of hear Deal, fallwath ewnomers and also inept wisew abuse policies.

They would no more of the old warmed-own lionomies, they know they would foul again, they would a new Sound Comercian way

(see cutting on signey cide)

Who just loved Pres Reagains & years as our freethor- he Kurus tus for, word mue to help hum. 9 am

LEDGAR PENNY) Lind Ling

WASHINGTON

May 14, 1982

Dear Mr. Sytsma:

Thank you for your recent message. I appreciate the concern which prompted you to write.

Administration officials have recently met with representatives of the construction industry regarding possible changes in the completed contract method of accounting. During that meeting industry representatives described what they believed to be the undesirable and unintended consequences of changing the existing law. Officials within the Treasury Department have since undertaken a review of the proposed changes.

I was grateful to have the benefit of your comments and suggestions concerning the completed contract method of reporting taxable income. You can be sure that your message will receive serious attention.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,

MICHAEL K. DEAVER Assistant to the President Deputy Chief of Staff

Mr. Fred Sytsma
President
Sytsma Sheet Metal, Inc.
7530 West 90th Street
Bridgeview, IL 60455



SYTSMA SHEET METAL, Inc.

RESIDENTIAL - COMMERCIAL - INSTITUTIONAL SHEET METAL WORK

7530 WEST 90th STREET BRIDGEVIEW, ILL. 60455

FRED SYTSMA President WKD->

April 22, 1982

The Honorable Michael K. Deaver
Deputy Chief of Staff and Assistant
to the President
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

RE: Disallowing the use of the completed contract method of accounting.

Dear Mr. Deaver:

As a sheet metal contractor involved in the construction industry, I want to go on record as being opposed to amending the completed contract method of accounting, as such a change could seriously affect our already depressed industry. We believe that the only logical course would be to retain the method that is now in effect.

Sincerely,

Fred Sytsma, President SYTSMA SHEET METAL, INC.

FS/rh

May 14, 1982

Dear Mr. Van Someren:

Thank you for your recent message. I appreciate the concern which prompted you to write.

Administration officials have recently met with representatives of the construction industry regarding possible changes in the completed contract method of accounting. During that meeting industry representatives described what they believed to be the undesirable and unintended consequences of changing the existing law. Officials within the Treasury Department have since undertaken a review of the proposed changes.

I was grateful to have the benefit of your comments and suggestions concerning the completed contract method of reporting taxable income. You can be sure that your message will receive serious attention.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,

MICHAEL K. DEAVER Assistant to the President Deputy Chief of Staff

Mr. Lawrence E. Van Someren, Jr. Air-Rite Heating and Cooling 375 Romajean Parkway Streamwood, IL 60103



375 Romajean Parkway Streamwood, Illinois 60103

Telephone 289-0665

May 5, 1982

The Honorable Michael K. Deaver Deputy Chief of Staff and Assistant to the President The White House Washington, D. C. 20500 19KD->

Dear Sir:

The construction business is in terrible financial shape, both in Residential and Commercial fields. To consider or pass a tax on uncompleted contracts would deliver a final blow to this industry.

It's hard enough to pay taxes now on completed jobs with General Contractors holding 10% retention up to a year. To pay taxes on jobs in progress and not know if they are profitable or not will seriously hurt cash flow and destroy the industry.

Sincerely,

Lawrence E. Van Someren, Jr

LVS/js



5-14-82

Every So somy to hear youre not up to the trip I townever, there come up every other month or so, so keep me norted on the Back situation and week finish another apportunity - heirs niche

EWING HASS 1331 RIDGEWAY DRIVE SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95822

May 10, 1982

Dear Mike:

I was hesitant to accept your wonderful invitation last Friday only because I was afraid that we might have a problem, and unfortunately we do have one.

Gerry has been plagued with serious lower back pains which we first thought were from sciatica, but after X-rays and examinations the experts now say is from the deterioration of several disks in the lower spine. She is limited to walking fairly short distances and stairs are a real problem. She requires lots of rest and simply is not in good condition to travel.

We really agonized over the decision for we both realized that this was a once in a lifetime opportunity. However, we concluded that it was too much for Gerry to undertake, and the doctor and therapist shared that view.

Mike, we can never thank you enough for thinking of us and offering us such a wonderful opportunity. We will always be sorry that we could not accept, but grateful to you for your thoughtfulness. Many, many thanks.

Gerry joins in sending our very best wishes to you and Carolyn.

Sincerely,

Ewing

March 26, 1982

MEMORANDUM FOR:

M-Richard T. Kennedy

FROM:

Helene von Damm

SUBJECT:

United States Delegation to the Second United Nations Conference on the Peaceful Uses of Outer Space (UNISPACE) Vienna, Austria, August 9-21, 1982

We concur in your recommendation that the Honorable James Beggs, Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, by appointed Head of this delegation and the White House will initiate the public announcement of this appointment.

In reviewing the agencies which you recommend as supplying two representatives, we agree that all you mentioned should be included except the Agency for International Development. Further, we plan to add representatives from the International Communications Agency, COMSAT and the Federal Communications Commission.

Our recommendations regarding the individual representatives will be forthcoming by 30 April 1982.

There will be 15 delegates.

UNDER SECRETARY OF STATE FOR MANAGEMENT WASHINGTON

MAR 04 1802

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Ms. Helene von Damm

Deputy Assistant to the President

and Director of Presidential Personnel

The White House

FROM:

M - Richard T. Kennedy MK

SUBJECT:

United States Delegation to the

Second United Nations Conference on the Peaceful Uses of Outer Space (UNISPACE)

Vienna, Austria, August 9-21, 1982

BACKGROUND

The Second United Nations Conference on the Peaceful Uses of Outer Space (UNISPACE) will take place in Vienna, Austria, August 9-21, 1982. The first such Conference was held in 1968, also in Vienna.

UNISPACE '82 will be a major United Nations Conference with world-wide attention focussed on its deliberations. The overall theme of the Conference is the application of outer space activities to development. The agenda for the meeting is expected to reflect a number of important and sensitive issues which will include moves to restrict direct broadcasting from satellites, questions of prior consent for remote-sensing operations, delimitation of outer space, geostationary orbit rights, and the future UN machinery to deal with space activities. Intense Soviet influence over UN outer space affairs and the upcoming Conference further emphasize the need for full and active participation of the United States in a field where we are presumed to be the world leader.

The office of the U.S. Coordinator for the Conference has been established and a senior Government officer named as Coordinator. In addition, we believe it highly desirable to identify publicly the Head of the U.S. Delegation to the Conference as early as possible.

The Department of State proposes to appoint the Honorable James Beggs, Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, as Head of Delegation. This nomination is supported by all concerned departments and agencies. Mr. Beggs is also strongly endorsed by several members of the Committee on Science and Technology of the House of Representatives.

Because Mr. Beggs is already a high-ranking official, we do not believe it is necessary to confer upon him a personal rank of Ambassador. However, given the importance of the Conference, the White House may wish to initiate the public announcement of his appointment as Head of Delegation. It is highly desirable to make such an announcement as soon as possible.

Given the wealth of substantive and technical issues expected to arise at the Conference, it is anticipated that the U.S. Delegation will be relatively large, consisting of at least two representatives from each of the concerned agencies, i.e., National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Department of Defense (DOD), United States Geological Survey (USGS), National Security Council (NSC), Arms Control and Disarmament Agency (ACDA), Department of Energy (DOE), Agency for International Development (AID), etc. It is also anticipated that several Congressional Advisers may also request participation.

I would appreciate your concurrence in the appointment of Mr. Beggs and your views on possible public announcement of his designation.

100

EDWIN J. FEULNER, JR. 6216 BERKELEY ROAD ALEXANDRIA. VIRGINIA 22307

Dear huhe,

Landa and I enjoyed last evening

very such.

That you for meding it possible.

Simely,

E

WASHINGTON

May 15, 1982

Dear Mr. Campbell:

Thank you for sending samples of your letters sent to Senators and Representatives in support of President Reagan's efforts to obtain an acceptable budget.

The President is grateful for your efforts and thoughtfulness.

With best wishes.

Sincerely,

MICHAEL K. DEAVER Assistant to the President Deputy Chief of Staff

Mr. Lee Campbell President Poultry and Egg Institute of America 1815 N. Lynn Street, Suite 801 Arlington, VA 22209



POULTRY AND EGG INSTITUTE OF AMERICA

1815 N. Lynn Street, Suite 801 ● Arlington, VA 22209 Telephone: (703) 522-1363

May 10, 1982

Mr. Michael K. Deaver Assistant to the President The White House Office 1600 Pennsylvania Ave. Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. Deaver:

Enclosed are samples of letters we have written to every Senator and Representative conveying the support of this organization for the President's budget efforts.

Sincerely,

Lee Campbell President

fall

LC/tn

encls.

Ober a poole Do

OVERSEAS OFFICES



POULTRY AND EGG INSTITUTE OF AMERICA

1815 N. Lynn Street, Suite 801 ● Arlington, VA 22209 Telephone: (703) 522-1363

May 10, 1982

The Honorable Thomas P. O'Neill, Jr. U. S. House of Representatives 2231 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D. C. 20515

Dear Mr. O'Neill:

The Poultry and Egg Institute of America is the national trade association representing those who produce, process and distribute chickens, ducks, eggs, turkeys and poultry and egg products. Our members are located in nearly every state and are family businesses, corporations and cooperatives.

At a meeting of the Board of Directors in Washington, D.C., May 5 and 6, the following resolution was passed unanimously and I was instructed to relay it to all Members of Congress.

Resolved, that the Board of Directors of the Poultry and Egg Institute of America unanimously supports the President's effort to obtain an acceptable budget and calls upon Congress to reach agreement with the President on making a balanced budget a realistic goal.

Sincerely,

Lee Campbell President

LC/tn



POULTRY AND EGG INSTITUTE OF AMERICA

1815 N. Lynn Street, Suite 801 ● Arlington, VA 22209 Telephone: (703) 522-1363

May 10, 1982

The Honorable Howard H. Baker, Jr. United States Senate 4123 Dirksen Senate Office Building Washington, D. C. 20510

Dear Senator Baker:

The Poultry and Egg Institute of America is the national trade association representing those who produce, process and distribute chickens, ducks, eggs, turkeys and poultry and egg products. Our members are located in nearly every state and are family businesses, corporations and cooperatives.

At a meeting of the Board of Directors in Washington, D.C., May 5 and 6, the following resolution was passed unanimously and I was instructed to relay it to all Members of Congress.

Resolved, that the Board of Directors of the Poultry and Egg Institute of America unanimously supports the President's effort to obtain an acceptable budget and calls upon Congress to reach agreement with the President on making a balanced budget a realistic goal.

Sincerely,

Lee Campbell President

LC/tn