Ronald Reagan Presidential Library Digital Library Collections

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual collections.

Collection: Matlock, Jack F.: Files
Folder Title: Disinformation/Deception – USSR
Box: 22

To see more digitized collections visit: https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digital-library

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library inventories visit: https://reaganlibrary.gov/document-collection

Contact a reference archivist at: reagan.library@nara.gov

Citation Guidelines: https://reaganlibrary.gov/citing

National Archives Catalogue: https://catalog.archives.gov/

WITHDRAWAL SHEET

Ronald Reagan Library

Collection Name MATLOCK, JACK: FILES

Withdrawer

JET

4/26/2005

File Folder

USSR-DISINFORMATION/DECEPTION

FOIA

F06-114/6

Box Number

22

YARHI-MILO

Box Hamber	Document Description			2211		
ID Doc Type			No of Pages	Doc Date	Restrictions	
9232 MEMO	DINSINFORMATIO	N USSR-NATO	1	8/4/1984	B1	
	D 3/31/201	5 M430/2				
9233 MEMO	DISINFORMATION	USSR	1	7/31/1984	B1	
	R 3/31/201	5 M430/2				
9234 MEMO	DECEPTION		1	ND	B1	
	R 3/31/201	5 M430/2				
9235 MEMO	LENCZOWSKI TO I STATEMENT ON S U.S.	POINDEXTER RE OVIET INTERVENTION I	5 N	8/16/1984	B1	
	R 12/13/20	07 F06-114/6				
9236 MEMO		ARK RE SOVIET CALLS TION AND PEACEFUL	1	6/28/1983	B1	
	R 11/2/201	2 M430/2				

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]

B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]

B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]

B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]

B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]

B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]

B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]

B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

Dis 1460

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

NID July 31 1984

Sor Conf. Police Encorage

EE hardhners

DECLASSIFIED

NUMBER M430/2#9233

BY RW NARA DATE 3/31/15

1. Need synopsis of Decep.

Coul. remarks: 5 pages

2. Send Bio to Bruce

3. Readings Recommended

4. Decep. on intentions + capabilities

DECLASSIFIED

NLRR M430 2# 9234

BY LW NARA DATE 3/31/15

MEMORANDUM

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

CONFIDENTIAL

August 16, 1984

6217

INFORMATION

MEMORANDUM FOR JOHN M. POINDEXTER

FROM:

JOHN LENCZOWSKI JL

SUBJECT:

Statement on Soviet Intervention in the U.S.

Electoral Process

Per your request, attached at Tab I is the paper on Soviet intervention in the U.S. electoral process. Anything that you might need on this should should already be included in this paper. For purposes of a public statement, however, you may want to cross out selected paragraphs.

Attachment:

Tab I Statement

CONFIDENTIAL Declassify on: OADR

DECLASSIFIED

NLS FOG-1146# 9235

BY LOT, NARA, DATE 12/13/07

NES FOL-114/6#92359
BY LOS NARA, DATE 12/13/07

Soviet Intervention in the U.S. Electoral Process

The Administration is harboring a growing concern about Soviet attempts to intervene in the American election process and the effects this has on the international climate. We feel that the American people deserve to know the facts of this situation and the historical context in which they appear.

Recent Historical Context

There is a history of Soviet efforts to influence Western elections. Just last year, the world witnessed two of the most brazen attempts by the Soviets to affect the elections in Germany and Great Britain. These efforts included: Soviet support for "peace" and unilateral disarmament movements; the focusing of the considerable Soviet propaganda and disinformation apparatus toward the target countries; the issuing of a variety of threats — especially of nuclear cataclysm — should the wrong party be elected to office; and other methods. This intervention was not the figment of anyone's imagination. There has been broad consensus among scholars, experts and the electoral participants themselves that the Soviets in fact were engaging in the internal affairs of these states.

Soviet Consciousness of the U.S. Electoral Process

Today, we are witnessing a similar pattern of Soviet activities. These proceed from an unambiguous Soviet concern with the American electoral process. This concern manifests itself constantly in official statements by the Soviets, which portray various official acts by the President and the Administration as electioneering. For example:

- -- Various Administration efforts, many of several years' standing, to conduct a business-like dialogue with the Soviets have been branded by them as "peace-making cosmetics" for domestic electoral purposes.
- -- The President's trip to China and his participation in the D-Day ceremonies were scored as "electioneering."
- -- The President's annual responsibility in delivering his State of the Union message was also branded as electioneering.
- -- Soviet propaganda regularly cites U.S. public opinion polls that suit Soviet purposes and accuses the President of conducting policies designed to ameliorate temporarily his standing in these polls for electoral reasons.

Soviet Intervention in the U.S. Electoral Process

The principal method by which the Soviets attempt to influence American voters is by campaigning against the candidate and the Party they don't like. Their current campaign is designed to portray the President as a threat to peace, to portray his policies as so unreasonable and aggressive that it is no longer possible to do business with him. Recognizing that there is widespread public support for East-West dialogue, they attribute the impasse to the President and try to engender the fear of war among the electorate.

The Soviets, using their disinformation and propaganda apparatus to which they devote billions of dollars worth every year, have focused on the American elections in a variety of ways:

- -- They attempt to convince American voters that the Soviets have something genuine to fear from U.S. military forces such as our INF deployments, when in fact the Soviets know that our forces are exclusively for defensive and deterrent purposes.
- -- They wildly exaggerate American involvement in Central America for the purpose of frightening Americans that we are in "another Vietnam."
- -- They accuse the Administration of sending the Korean civilian airliner on an aggressive spy mission.
- -- They accuse the President of using terrorism as an instrument of state policy.
- -- They have charged the Administration with using Sakharov as a pawn in a CIA-sponsored subversive operation.
- -- They have called bona fide arms reduction proposals by the Administration such as our chemical weapons ban mere "propaganda tricks."
- -- In their effort to show how the President is a "warmonger" and man with whom it is impossible to do business, they have likened him to Hitler and called America a fascist state.
- -- They have declared continuously that U.S.-Soviet relations are at their lowest and most dangerous levels in history.
- -- They have accused the President of not being truly interested or serious about arms control, but rather that his genuine sentiments favor a perennial arms race.
- -- They attribute the breakdown in the arms control negotiations to the President, when in fact it was they who walked out of the talks. (This propaganda is designed to distract public attention from the outrageous preconditions the Soviets maintain for resumption of these talks.)
- -- They have attempted to demonstrate the President's alleged lack of willingness to negotiate by proposing talks on space weapons and anti-nuclear weapons. When the President showed immediate interest, it was the Soviets who backpedaled away from these talks -- again blaming the President.

- -- They have accused the U.S. of violating various arms control agreements. (Such charges were meant to distract public attention from the undisputed credibility of the President's report to Congress on Soviet noncompliance with arms agreements.)
- -- They have charged the President with preparing America both militarily and psychologically for war. The Administration has been called the most bellicose and militaristic in U.S. history.

As part of their effort to show how U.S.-Soviet relations are the worst ever:

- -- They barred Ambassador Hartman from delivering the traditional July 4 TV speech, accusing it of being part of the U.S. election effort.
- -- They have committed various acts designed to provoke the Administration into an angry reaction -- such as beating and imprisoning U.S. citizens and officials in Leningrad, imprisoning and possibly drugging Sakharov and ignoring the President's human rights demarches.
- -- They organized an international boycott of the Olympics largely for the purpose of showing that the low state of East-West relations and the attendant lack of security for athletes were the cause. The Soviets hoped here that the American public would blame the President for spoiling the Olympics.
- -- They engineered an "active measures" campaign of forgeries of Ku Klux Klan threats to athletes of Third World nations in order to bolster their claims of lack of security in Los Angeles and to widen the boycott.

Taking a different tack, and siding with the President's political opposition, the Soviets have praised the foreign policy planks of the Democratic platform. Pravda, for example, declared that the Democrats "are right on target" in their policies. They have also quoted approvingly various statements by Democratic candidates that were critical of the President's policies.

The most disturbing feature of the Soviet attempts to meddle with U.S. public opinion in an election year is their systematic campaign of intimidation. This has manifested itself in many ways that are profoundly destabilizing to the international climate:

They have issued numerous threats of a variety of dire consequences if the President continues to conduct his foreign policies.

- These threats include the overall danger of nuclear war, the deployment of ever larger Soviet military forces, the prospect of a never-ending arms race, and the impossibility of every achieving arms control so long as President Reagan remains in office. (These threats are not only designed to influence U.S. voters, but also to induce U.S. Allies: a) to distance themselves from the U.S., b) to pressure the U.S. to make negotiating concessions, and c) to support the President's domestic political opposition.)
- -- They have been conducting military exercises that are increasingly large and offensive in nature.
- -- They have made a point of loud announcements of new missile and submarine deployments.
- They have increased their military presence in the Gulf of Mexico as well as in East Asia near U.S. shipping lines of communication.
- -- They have been brazenly developing new and macabre varieties of biological weapons in the face of public exposure of this activity and in spite of the fact that it is a violation of the Biological Weapons Convention.

Altogether, the Soviets devote a massive amount of resources to influence American voters over the heads of the government. Their activities not only constitute intervention into the internal affairs of our country, but have done a great deal to aggravate the international climate.

henczowski

MSG FROM: NSPBT --CPUA

--CPUA TO: NSGVE

08/15/84 20:10:27

To: NSGVE --CPUA

NOTE FROM: PAUL THOMPSON

Subject: Forwarding Note 08/15/84 17:58 Soviet Disinformation and Propaganda

Please send this to John unless you have already done so.

* * * FORWARDED NOTE * * *

To: NSPBT --CPUA PAUL THOMPSON

---SECRET

NOTE FROM: JOHN POINDEXTER

SUBJECT: Soviet Disinformation and Propaganda

I have asked John Lenczowski to draft a statement that would be suitable for Bud or other WH spokesman to use on how the Soviets are trying to manipulate the US election. I have asked for it by Thursday morning.

--CPUA cc: NSRMK BOB KIMMITT

DECLASSIFIED

White House Guidelines, August 28, 1997

By And NARA, Date 6/19/02

USSR/Linformation

SYSTEM II 90731 add on

MEMORANDUM

ACTION

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

June 28, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR WILLIAM P. CLARK

FROM:

JACK F. MATLOCK JOW

SUBJECT:

Soviet Calls for Normalization and Peaceful

Coexistence

John's memo of June 9 calls attention to a very important point regarding the Soviet use of terms deceptively. I agree with him that we must make a more effective effort to make clear to the public what these terms mean to the Soviets.

However, I believe that the President should take on the task directly only when high-level, public Soviet statements contain highly deceptive terminology. Otherwise, it might appear to many as gratuitous hectoring. The report of Andropov's comments at the Harriman meeting was an appropriate occasion, but sufficient time has passed that it is unlikely that another question will be raised regarding it. Therefore, I believe we should wait for another occasion (which will doubtless arise) before engaging the President.

This is an important subject, however, which should be dealt with repeatedly and consistently at all levels of the Government. For example, we should encourage both White House and State Department press spokesmen to speak to the subject whenever appropriate. I also believe that this is an appropriate topic for VOA editorials: perhaps there should be a series on "What Words Mean," which would attempt over time to explain the whole set of deceptive political terms in the Soviet vocabulary. I can imagine, for example, an amusing and effective VOA editorial on "peaceful coexistence." start out by saying that the term sounds eminently reasonable--but wait--listen to how the Soviets define it. And the conclusion could be something like "That's what we call "cold war, "--and really, shouldn't we aim for something better in our relationship?"

RECOMMENDATIONS

our relationship?" RECOMMENDATIONS			DECLASSIFIED		
			NLRR M430/2 49236		
<u>OK</u>	NO		BY KAL NARA DATE 11/2/12		
	_	(1) That we work out with appropriate agencies and offices a comprehensive plan for enlightening the public, here and abroad, regarding deceptive Soviet terminology; and			
		(2)	We wait for an appropriate specific		

directly in this effort.

CONFIDENTIAL -

Declassify on: