Ronald Reagan Presidential Library Digital Library Collections

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual collections.

Collection: Barr, William: Files
Folder Title: Justice Assistance Act of 1982 (2)
Box: 9

To see more digitized collections visit: https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digital-library

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library inventories visit: https://reaganlibrary.gov/document-collection

Contact a reference archivist at: reagan.library@nara.gov

Citation Guidelines: https://reaganlibrary.gov/citing

National Archives Catalogue: https://catalog.archives.gov/

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

October 4, 1982

FOR:

FRED FIELDING

FROM:

MICHAEL M. UHLMANN

Attached is a letter to Ed Meese requesting support for a grant application to the National Institute of Justice. To what extent would it be appropriate for Ed Meese to become involved in this and in other requests like it?

WHITE HOUSE COUNSELLOR'S OFFICE TRACKING WORKSHEET FA

□ O · OUTGOING							
☐ H - INTERNAL							
□ I · INCOMING Date Correspondence Received (YY/MM/DD) ### 7 1 22							
Name of Correspondent:	relier (). Beha	n				
CN Mail Report Us	ser Codes: (A)_		(B) (C)			
Subject: Update on	marylan	di Re	epeat Of	knder			
Program Experi	ment a	nd seg	mest of	er			
support of nat	trongl do	stitute	of Justi	re			
grant apple	cation.		00				
ROUTE TO:	AC	TION	DISPOS	ITION			
Office/Agency (Staff Name)	Action Code	Tracking Date YY/MM/DD	Type of Response Co	Completion Date de YY/MM/DD			
CNHAMM	_ 0	8217 127					
CNCRIB	Referral Note:	8207127		C 8218 13			
	Referral Note:	1207127		820707			
PD UHLM	Referral Note:	8218 13	6	1 1			
	Referral Note:	for CQ	meeses sign	ature			
	Referral Note:						
	I - Info Copy Only/No Ac R - Direct Reply w/Copy S - For Signature X - Interim Reply	ction Necessary	DISPOSITION CODES: A - Answered B - Non-Special Referral FOR OUTGOING CORRESPO				
			Type of Response = Initi	als of Signer			

Comments:

Keep this worksheet attached to the original incoming letter.

Send all routing updates to Central Reference (Room 75, OEOB).

Always return completed correspondence record to Central Files.

Refer questions about the correspondence tracking system to Central Reference, ext. 2590.

Completion Date =

Date of Outgoing

RECORDS MANAGEMENT ONLY

	CLASSIFICATION SECTION	
No. of Additional Correspondents: Media:	L Individual Codes: 2.30	2
Prime Subject Code: EA	Secondary Subject Codes: TL 003. FG 017-1 LG BALT	3 FB 006
	PRESIDENTIAL REPLY	
Code Date	Comment	Form
c	Time:	<u>p-</u>
DSP	Time:	Media:
SIGNATURE CODES: CPn - Presidential Correspondence n - 0 - Unknown n - 1 - Ronald Wilson Reagan n - 2 - Ronald Reagan n - 3 - Ron n - 4 - Dutch n - 5 - Ron Reagan n - 6 - Ronald n - 7 - Ronnie CLn - First Lady's Correspondence n - 0 - Unknown n - 1 - Nancy Reagan n - 2 - Nancy n - 3 - Mrs. Ronald Reagan	MEDIA CODES: B · Box/package C · Copy D · Official docume G · Message H · Handcarried L · Letter M · Mailgram O · Memo P · Photo R · Report S · Sealed T · Telegram V · Telephone X · Miscellaneous	ent

CBn - Presidential & First Lady's Correspondence n - 1 - Ronald Reagan - Nancy Reagan n - 2 - Ron - Nancy



BALTIMORE COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT

HEADQUARTERS

400 KENILWORTH DRIVE TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204 (301) 494-2214

090237

Chief of Police

July 16, 1982

The Honorable Edwin Meese, III Counsellor to the President The White House Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Ed:

I want to bring you up to date on Maryland's Repeat Offender Program Experiment (ROPE) and ask your support for our grant application recently submitted to the National Institute of Justice (NIJ), Department of Justice, to evaluate this program.

As I briefly explained to you at the last PERF meeting (and also described in the enclosure), Maryland's ROPE project is a unique crime control program for improving the way juvenile and adult repeat offenders are apprehended, prosecuted, convicted, incarcerated, and treated through a concentrated and coordinated effort by State and local justice agencies. ROPE is an experiment designed to reduce serious delinquency and criminal activity by repeat offenders through the active involvement of all components of the juvenile and criminal justice system. This unique concept has begun to receive national attention. I have discussed the concept before Senator Specter's Subcomittee, and OMB has been given a copy of the paper at their request.

The ROPE concept is now in the planning stage in five of our major urban jurisdictions. We are

-2-

SHOUTH THREE WAYS

excited by the commitment expressed by the Governor and the local chief executives and by the degree of cooperation between the various state and local agencies.

I feel that our ROPE Evaluation application, if funded by NIJ, will benefit Maryland and can be used as a demonstration for other States and localities by providing thorough evaluative information about Maryland's system-wide repeat offender program.

Your support for our ROPE efforts will be greatly appreciated. The federal contact person on this project is: Patrick A. Langan, Ph.D., Manager, Career Criminal Research Program, National Institute of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20531.

Meil Wilham

Cornelius J. Behan Chief of Police

Enc.



HARRY HUGHES
GOVERNOR
NATHANIEL E KOSSACK

EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENT

GOVERNOR'S COMMISSION ON LAW ENFORCEMENT AND THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE SUITE 700. ONE INVESTMENT PLACE TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204

PHONE: 321-3636

JTY FOR THE DEAF 400-057%

MARYLAND CRIMINAL JUSTICE COORDINATING COUNCIL REPEAT OFFENDER PROGRAM EXPERIMENT (ROPE)

RICHARD W FRIEDMAN

The Maryland Criminal Justice Coordinating Council and its Repeat Offender Task Force have designed an experimental program to reduce serious delinquency and criminal activity by repeat offenders. The Repeat Offender Program Experiment (ROPE) will improve the way juvenile and adult repeat offenders are apprehended, prosecuted, convicted, incarcerated, and treated through a concentrated and coordinated effort by State and local justice agencies. This program includes all parts of the juvenile/criminal justice system - law enforcement, prosecution, defense counsel, courts, local jails, Juvenile Services, Parole and Probation, Division of Correction, and the Parole Commission. The ROPE concept is unique to Maryland and it has received national attention. It is experimental and requires the commitment and cooperation of elected and appointed justice system administrators.

The Chief Executives of Baltimore City and Anne Arundel, Baltimore, Howard and Montgomery Counties have agreed to establish local Repeat Offender Steering Councils. These Councils will be composed of State and local justice system administrators who will develop a coordinated approach to handling repeat offenders. These local Councils are asked to plan, implement, monitor, and evaluate a comprehensive repeat offender program consistent with the ROPE concept.

As part of the planning phase, the local Steering Councils will establish target populations as dictated by each jurisdiction's repeat offender problem and will establish objectives consistent with those mandated by the Maryland Criminal Justice Coordinating Council in the ROPE Guidelines and Programmatic Alternatives Report. These objectives fall into four operational areas and one support area and should be adapted to the unique needs of each jurisdiction:

- . Identification, apprehension, adjudication;
- . Conviction/finding of delinquency;
- . Sentencing/disposition;
- . Correctional programs;
- . Timeliness/availability of information, and legal issues.

The <u>ROPE Report</u> explains these objectives, details a number of model programs that can be used to accomplish the objectives, and provides a bibliography and resource listing for the use of each Repeat Offender Steering Council.

Each jurisdiction interested in planning a ROPE program has been awarded a planning grant in order to assist with the development and management of a repeat offender program.

The Council expects the development of well-coordinated local ROPE programs in each of five urban subdivisions. Each program will require an innovative use of existing resources, which may include such actions as:

- . refining and enhancing existing operating procedures and management techniques;
- . improving coordination between justice agencies;
- . realigning existing resource allocations; and
- recommending procedures for better coordinating State and local justice records and computerized information systems.

MEMORANDUM

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

October 7, 1982

FOR: T. KENNETH CRIBB

FROM:

WILLIAM P. BARR WPD

Attached is a copy of Ed Meese's 15 June speech on judicial reform before the Free Congress Research and Education Foundation. The Foundation would like to use it as a chapter in a new book they are putting together on "Criminal Justice Reform: A Blueprint."

If Mr. Meese approves, we can work with Pat McGuigan at the Foundation in footnoting and adapting the speech.

MEETING OF THE

FREE CONGRESS RESEARCH AND EDUCATION FOUNDATION

Bill is the Diliter of a like the pooling the pooling to book a like the po

Criminal Survey of the Call Maria (Call Maria)

(THIS TRANSCRIPT WAS PREPARED FROM A TAPE RECORDING.

WASHINGTON, D.C. TUESDAY, 15 JUNE 1982

reporters, Inc.

1 25

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

PROCEEDINGS

		М	R.	WEYF	RIC	CH:	Now	i	t's	tin	ne	to	int	roduc	e our	g000
fri	end	and	the	mai	n	spea	ker	•	who	is	go	ing	to	give	you	some
of	the	view	s t	hat	Ι	thin	k yo	วน	've	bee	e n	wai	ting	g to 1	hear.	•

I have known Ed Meese now for about 12 years, and I was just recounting that the very first conversation that I ever had with him — in April of 1971 — was on how bad the court system was. And nothing has really changed very much.

I think that I should make it clear -- because some of you follow these things in the media -- from time to time I hold press conferences urging members of the White House staff to resign -- and I want to make it clear that you're not one of those that I've --

(Laughter.)

-- urged to resign.

As a matter of fact, I want to say, here and now, that I am so dedicated to your long-term tenure at the White House that I will come out against you any time that it's helpful.

21 (Laughter.)

(Applause.)

I think that the -- the best compliment that I can

'pay Ed -- and I could go through his whole long list of

credentials, but I think most of you know him and I think

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

444 NORTH CAPITOL STREET WASHINGTON, D.C. 20001 (202) 347-3700

E	L			٠	
7	鳌	1	1	1	
и	m	•	w	_	

most of you have followed his career, and I think that most 1 2 of you know the great regard the President of the United States has for him and the crucial role that he 3 played in the Reagan Administration in California, the role 5 that he plays here in Washington -- but I think the highest compliment that I can pay in this Wonderful city of ours is 6 7 that Ed Meese is somebody who has not forgotten his friends. 8 And I think that that is really something extraordinarily unusual and very important, because I will 10 -- I will say -- as much as I have not approved, you know, 11 12 of everything that the Administration has done -- through 13 Ed Meese the doors of the White House, for those people who 14 have been involved in the original coalition that elected 15 the President, has been open. And suggestions have been 16 considered and policies have been considered.

And we do appreciate that. Ed, and we appreciate the — the efforts that you have been making to — to fight for good policies. And we know that you can't always succeed. We just want to learn how to help you more effectively.

So, ladies and gentlemen, I hope you will join me
in giving a good welcome to our good friend, Ed Meese.

24 (Applause.)

25

17

18

19

20

21

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

T11

MR. MEESE: Thank you very much, Paul.

And thank you, ladies and gentlemen, for your warm welcome.

Paul, I am grateful for your generous offer there. Actually, my wife brings me those clippings where you talk about White House resignations and says, "Now, can't we go home to California?"

(Laughter.)

But I $-\!-$ I want to assure you that we will be there supporting the President for quite some time.

But I'm pleased to be here today and to join in — in this conference. It's an honor to be on the platform here with Raoul Berger, whose book I used quite extensively in my law classes in criminal law and criminal justice at the University of San Diego, and have encouraged many other law professors to do the same. That might be the start of some of the corrective measures that you're talking about at this conference today.

But also, to be here at the Free Congress Research and Education Foundation meeting because, as you all know by your presence and indicate by your presence here, this is an organization that is on the cutting edge of the important issues that are shaping public policy in the country today and looking ahead at public policy issues which should be attracting our attention for years to come.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

444 NORTH CAPITOL STREET WASHINGTON, D.C. 20001 (202) 347-3700

I'm proud to bring you the greetings of
President Reagan and his best wishes for success in this
conference and in your endeavors.

And I'm also pleased to commend you — and particularly Randy here — on the book that I'm sure most of you already have, and many of you have probably read — published by the Free Congress Foundation, "A Blueprint for Judicial Reform." I'm glad to hear that there's another one coming out, but I thought this one was excellent and is probably one of the most important books that is around today, because it does have a comprehensive view of what's necessary in order to correct some of the problems that have developed over the years.

There is much that is wrong with current public policy, with governmental abuse, and with damage to society in general. And a great deal of this can be traced to what has gone on in the judiciary over the past quarter century or more.

And this book details, as you've seen, not only the problems but also suggests some very innovative solutions. And I think it — this conference, the attention that it's getting here will. I'm sure, bring it to the attention of many more people who deserve to read it, particularly those are in policymaking positions in our government at both the state and local level.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

444 NORTH CAPITOL STREET WASHINGTON, D.C. 20001 (202) 347-3700

1.7

As we look over the past quarter century — and when you mentioned, when we first met, Paul, I was reminded that, really, as you look over a quarter century, that's just about the time that I've been involved in either the study or the practice of law. And there's a great deal that has happened. And I think it's worthwhile looking a little bit at what has occurred, because there have been some things that have happened during that period of time that are virutally unprecedented in our history.

There's been unprecedented growth in litigation, in the number of cases that are brought to court and the subject matters in which people feel it's necessary to invoke the system of our judicial and legal process in order to vindicate their rights.

When we get to the point now when a child is being sent to the principal's office and he wants his one phone call to his lawyer, or where lawyers are appointed by the court to represent children against parents, we have reached almost the height of absurdity as far as the legal system is concerned.

We have also had an unprecedented growth in the number of lawyers. And I don't say this merely as a member of the profession, that we want to cut down on the number. But I think that the fact that we have so many people being graduated from law schools and so many people going into the

Ace- Federal Reporters, Inc.

444 NORTH CAPITOL STREET
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20001

(202) 347-3700

.7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

field is a fact that has to be appreciated.

In all of Great Britain, they tell me that -
they're a country that has, what, some 60 million people -
they have approximately 60 -- I believe it's 60,000 lawyers

or 62,000 lawyers.

In California, where we have just over 22 million people, we have some 75,000 lawyers by latest count, and we're pumping them out at a tremendous rate every year.

And this is a factor that has to be considered, because it is one of those things that is important, I think, in looking at the historic development of some of the problems that you're discussing here today.

We have had an unprecedented growth in the number of laws and the areas in which those laws have moved over the past quarter century.

And obviously, as you've heard from others and discussed this morning, we have had unprecedented growth in the area of governmental regulation.

Now, there's a relationship between these things — I suspect the latter two points. The growth in the laws and the growth in regulation has had a lot to do with the growth in litigation and the growth in the number of lawyers.

But two other things have occurred, and this has been particularly true, really, in the last 20 years. And

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

444 NORTH CAPITOL STREET WASHINGTON, D.C. 20001 (202) 347-3700

.7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that is we have had, at the same time, the coincidence of a large number of judges appointed by presidents and, in some cases, governors of liberal persuasion who were interested in expanding the role of the judiciary to what they thought the law ought to be, rather than what legislators had determined it should be.

And during that same period, there grew up a series of taxpayer—supported organizations that provided the cases so that there was a handy vehicle by which judges who were of that inclination could make radical changes in the law and in many cases pervert and abuse what had been the original intent of the Congress and change materially what had been the kind of statutes and the kinds of regulations which any reasonable body politic would be able to stomach.

And as a result, you had an increase in what was then described as "judicial activism," aided and abetted too often by the news media and by the academic community, in many cases professors who thought they knew best what ought to be the law and did not want to run for public office in order to change it and who gave a credence and a believability and authority to this concept of judicial activism which has resulted in the chaotic legal structure that we are the victims of today.

You had judges usurping the power and authority on a regular basis of other branches of government, from

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20001 (202) 347-3700

executive and	legisla	ture alike	. They have at	tempted to
seize control	of many	different	functions and r	many different
subject matter	rs, such	as school	systems, prison	ns, public
housing project	cts, and	in one	system, in one	instance —
even a local s	sewer sy	stem.		

This is the kind of thing that has gotten to the point now where, in time after time, the public has declared, "We ve had enough."

It's kind of interesting to go back some 200 or more years, in the birth of our own republic, where we proclaimed ourselves a nation of laws and not of men.

Even before the patchwork of squabbling colonies had established themselves and renamed themselves "The United States," Alexander Hamilton had faced up to this question of the various powers that were represented in the government, and he defined the Judiciary as the weakest of government's three branches.

He said the Executive -- and this was writing in the Federalist Papers -- Hamilton said:

"The Exeuctive not only dispenses the honors but holds the sword of the commuity. The Legislature not only commands the purse but prescribes the rules by which the duties and rights of every citizen are to be regulated."

And then, he said, "The Judiciary, on the contrary, has no influence over either the sword or the

Ace- Federal Reporters, Inc.

444 NORTH CAPITOL STREET
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20001

(202) 347-3700

	10
1	purse, no direction either of the strength or of the wealth
2	of society, and can take no active resolution whatsoever.
3	It may truly be said to have neither force nor will, but
4	merely judgment."
5	Well, this is one of those cases where
6	Mr. Hamilton was not a very good prophet, although he was a
7	awfully good and sound discusser of what government ought to
8	be.
ġ	If he could see us know, I am sure he would say
10	that things have changed and not for the better.
11	Now, obviously, things have changed in the
12	200-plus years. But we have to look at our judicial and
13	legal system to see where that change has gone awry and wha
14	changes ought to be made in it to get it back to the concept
15	that was held by Hamilton and others as they established a
16	balanced system of government in which the checks and
1.7	balances that are inherent in the Constitution were to
18	preserve citizens from government and not to allow
19	government to become a burden on the citizens themselves.
20	As a matter of fact, Robert Jackson, a Justice of
21	the Supreme Court, said in 1950:
2	All t is not the function of our courts to keep the

"It is not the function of our courts to keep the citizen from falling into error. It is the function of the citizen to keep the government from falling into error."

23

24

25

Well, as we can see, it's time for a change. This

Ace- Federal Reporters, Inc.

444 NORTH CAPITOL STREET
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20001
(202) 347-3700

1.7

is going to require, as you're discussing here, a long-rang
effort. It's going to require cooperation. It's going to
require coordination. It's going to require an effort that
includes and has an effect upon policymakers, upon public
opinion and those who influence public opinion, upon the
news media as one of those influencing devices.

And it's going to involve a heavy dose of academic influence and academic concern, because most of things that have long-term effect don't happen overnight, but rather they are the result of the Chinese water torture, the water drop, drop, drop technique of a buildup of writings, of teachings, and ultimately they come into fruition.

I think we can never forget a story that

Milton Friedman tells — and which I first heard around

1971, Paul, when we were trying to do some things in

California, and Milton Friedman sent a message in which he said:

"You have to remember that everything that Norman Thomas talked about as the candidate of the Socialist Party in the 1930s was ultimately adopted as a part of the platform of both the Republican and the Democratic Parties by the 1970s."

And he said. "The reason was that it was picked up by the intellectual community, by the news media, and was continually pounded into the people, until finally

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

444 NORTH CAPITOL STREET WASHINGTON, D.C. · 20001 (202) 347-3700 it became the thing to do."

Well, we have to do that same sort of thing, but with different subjects and for different reasons.

There are many ways, of course, to effect change.

5 Obviously, you'll be talking about them today.

There's constitutional amendment, a lengthy process but a possible process and in some cases a necessary process.

There's statutory enactment, which is shorter but requires the right political climate — which, at least at this stage. I'd say we have only partially achieved in the Congress — and it requires actions that can be taken by public officials.

In this regard, I might way that this

Administration is particularly interested in making
appointments of judges who do believe in the kind of
restraint that I think is implicit in the writings in your
book and which I am sure has been discussed this morning and
will be further discussed here, because it is this judicial
restraint, the fact that the judiciary does have a great
deal of power — but where there is power there is a
concomitant need for restraint that has to influence the
kinds of people that this President — and hopefully future
Presidents — will appoint to office and where we hope as
many as possible state governors will follow the example.

Ace- Federal Reporters, Inc.

444 NORTH CAPITOL STREET
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20001
(202) 347-3700

1	We take our guidance from something that
2	Justice Hugo Black said shortly before his death, when he
3	commented that "Judges take an oath to support the
4	Constitution as it is, not as they think it should be.
5	"I cannot subscribe," said Jackson, "to the"
6	said Black rather "to the doctrine that, consistent with
.7	that oath, a judge can arrogate to himself a power to
8	'adapt' the Constitution to new times."
9	Now, obviously, there has to be some change to
10	make the Constitution consistent with the needs of the day.
11	But certainly the concepts, the principles, the restraint
12	which has been characteristic, through most of the history
13	of this country, of our courts is a very vital part of the
14	way in which judges take their responsibilities seriously
15	and something that is very much a factor as far as this
16	Administration is concerned in the appointment to people -
1.7	of people to judicial vacancies.
18	But to me and what I'd like to talk about this
19	noon, because I think it fits in with the other topics
20	you're discussing the most serious problems of judicial
21	activism and judicial failure, I might add are in the
22	field of criminal law.
23	Will Rogers used to say that "Here in America, we
24	may not give our criminals much punishment, but we
25	certainly give them plenty of publicity." And I think he

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

444 NORTH CAPITOL STREET
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20001
(202) 347-3700

was certainly correct. And I might add we go beyond that,

2 we also give them a lot of opportunities for bail, just to

3 give one indication of judicial failure.

invading the shores of this nation.

I guess the — the way in which we can best describe some of the failures is what has happened just in the course of the last six months, in which a great deal of attention has been paid by this Administration to the narcotics traffic, recognizing the responsibility of the Federal Government to keep the flow of narcotics from

And we had a situation down in the southeastern part of the United States where a leading figure in a major drug smuggling organization was arrested. The government asked for \$1 million in bail because they felt that was approprite based upon the amount of narcotics he was used to handling and the amount of money that would be available to him. The judge set bail at \$50,000, and the suspect was gone as quickly as he could raise — put up that money in cash bail and then flee the jurisdiction.

Earlier this year, an even more important figure in this same business was was caught trafficking in marijuana. The government introduced evidence that his monthly income from smuggling marijuana into the .

United States was estimated at \$250,000 to half a million dollars a month. His bail was initially set at \$21 million.

Ace- Federal Reporters, Inc.

444 NORTH CAPITOL STREET
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20001
(202) 347-3700

1	nis lawyer shoppied around till they round a sort hearted
2	judge
3	(Laughter.)
4	at which time at which time his bail was
5	lowered to only half a million dollars. He immediately
6	lowered himself over the walls of the jurisdiction and has
7	never been again.
8	Now, this is a part of the problem, but it
9	illustrates the fact that there is an unreasonableness
10	between the conduct of our system and what the people have a
11	right to expect.
12	In a widely read local newspaper in Washington,
13	not noted for its conservative proclivities
14	(Laughter.)
15	there is a series going on now about the death
16	penalty. And I I commend it to your attention.
17	But I think one thing that, perhaps more than
18	anything else, characterizes public attitudes today is this
19	paragraph that I'll read out of that article. It said:
20	"For the family and friends of" - and it names
21	the victim of crime it says, "the case of the
22	Commonwealth of Virginia against Willie Lloyd Turner is a
23	source of never-ending bitterness. They think the case was
24	so mishandled that it has shaken their faith in the criminal

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

444 NORTH CAPITOL STREET
WASHINGTON. D.C. 20001
(202) 347-3700

justic system and left them wondering if society is capable

anymore of rendering punishment."

1.7

Well, I would say that that probably sums up the frustrations and the concerns of a large portion of the population.

Paul and I were talking a minute ago about how the subject of crime has become one of the number one problems, as revealed in public opinion polls, as far as people saying, "What is it that most concerns you and your family about the problems of everyday living?"

The entire criminal justice system has grown so imbalanced in recent years, as clever attorneys and lenient judges have established procedures that have very little to do with justice and a whole lot to do with so-called protecting the rights of the criminal.

In the words of President Reagan's own Task Force on Violent Crime:

The citizen wants safety and expects justice, but too often he or she gets neither. Trials and the subsequent appeals that seem to go on without end have been turned into a search for error rather than a quest for truth, which was the original purpose of the trial and the advocacy system in this country. After a trial in which all kinds of technicalities are introduced, there are the endless appeals, in which the conduct of all of the actors protecting society are examined through a microscope to see

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

444 NORTH CAPITOL STREET WASHINGTON, D.C. 20001 (202) 347-3700

if somehow the appellate court can't find some basis on which to overturn the conviction and either throw it out entirely or send it back for more and more trials."

And I can tell you, from personal experience as a prosecutor, trials almost never, I would say, absolutely never get better when they're done the second and third time.

We have a case out in California now where \$3 million or more is being spent here in 1982 to try a person who is alleged to have committed and was convicting of committing a series of some 20 or more murders back in 1972.

And this is the kind of thing where — this is not an isolated example, but you can go all over the country and you can find this repeated again and again — this is the kind of thing that leads to the frustration and despair on the part of our citizens and the very real question in their minds: Is government capable of carrying out its number one responsibility, which is to protect the lives and property of its citizens?

Well, we are determined, as an Administration — just as you are in your deliberations — to see that the citizens of this country get both safety and justice.

We've all grown familiar -- and perhaps a little jaded -- by public officials and politicians who make a

Ace- Federal Reporters, Inc.

444 NORTH CAPITOL STREET
WASHINGTON. D.C. 20001
(202) 347-3700

1	great point out of declaring themselves enlisted in the wa
2	on crime. As a matter of fact, like wars on poverty, thes
3	have proved to be one-sided engagements at best and often
4	have been as costly as the kinds of wars we've seen on the
5	international scene.

We have thrown money at — at crime, and that didn't work. We have tried all kinds of things, except getting down to the business of saying, "Look, how do you return truth to the courtroom? How do you get judges who will uphold the law and make sense? How do you do the things that every citizen, uninhibited by a legal education, could suggest — "

(Laughter.)

"-- as the means -- as the means of solving the crime problem?"

I guess the best example of what I'm talking about is a matter known as the "exclusionary rule." We ve heard a lot about it. I would like to spend a little time talking about it now, because I think it illustrates the absurdity of some of things that have been done in the name of justice.

The "exclusionary rule" is a rule of evidence that says that any search or seizure that is unreasonable requires that the evidence thereby obtained is inadmissible in the trial of a criminal case.

Ace- Federal Reporters, Inc.

444 NORTH CAPITOL STREET
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20001
(202) 347-3700

	Now that sounds pratty reasonable on its face
	Now, that sounds pretty reasonable on its face.
2	It's interesting to note, however, that when this rule was
3	first developed in 1914, it was applied only in the federal
4	courts, and then only as not as a constitutional
5	doctrine, but only as a rule in which the federal courts
6	said they had a responsibility to supervise the activities
7	of the federal investigative and police agencies.
-8	As a matter of fact, it wasn't even adopted in the
9	states to any great extent. Many states considered it. But
10	over the following three decades, from 1914, only 16 of the
11	states adopted exclusionary rules themselves and
12	sometimes in limited forms. 31 of the states did not. And
13	needless to say, no other civilized nation in the world has
14	ever followed our example in adopting the exclusionary
15	rule.
16	As Justice Frankfurter pointed out in the 1949
17	case, he said:
18	"Neither Britain or any of the Commonwealth
19	jurisdictions passing on the question had held evidence
20	obtained by unreasonable search and seizure to be
21	inadmissible."
22	Well, you wonder, why didn't these other
23	·jurisdictions do this if it was a matter of constitutional

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. 444 NORTH CAPITOL STREET WASHINGTON, D.C. 20001 (202) 347-3700

right, as what later the Court said, in 1961, in the Mapp

24

(phonetic) case?

.15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, the reason is it just doesn't make sense to say that because a policeman has made a technical error --2 and most of these cases are technical errors, without any 3 desire on the part of the police officer to violate the rights of the citizens - it just doesn't make sense for the 5 criminal to go free and to have the evidence, which is usually the most probative and the best evidence and most reliable evidence, of the guilt or innocence of the accused to be excluded from the courtroom simply because of some mistake -- or even where a police officer did what the law 10 provided at that time, and some two years later the court 11 said that it was time to change the law and therefore he had 12 retroactively made a mistake. And this is essentially where .13 the problem lies. 14

Let me give you an example of that, of what the exclusionary rule can do.

There are two cases that were recently undertaken by the courts of — of this country. One case came out of New York; the other case came out of California — on both sides of the continent. They were both cases where police officers stopped a car, smelled burnt marijuana — as a result of that, ordered the people out of the car and, in searching the car, incident to arrest, discovered a drug in the Passenger compartment. And as they made a lawful arrest, they found additional drugs during frisk searches

Ace- Federal Reporters, Inc.

444 NORTH CAPITOL STREET
WASHINGTON. D.C. 20001
(202) 347-3700

- that were made in the course of the proceedings.
- 2 Ultimately, these cases found their way to the
- 3 Supreme Court.
- Now, these were officers who were acting in a
- 5 situation where they thought they were doing what the law
- 6 required. And as these cases found their way to the
- 7 Supreme Court, unfortunately they never found their way out
- 8 again, because by the time the Justice were through --
- 9 Justices were through, out of the nine Justices, three held
- that both searches were legal, three held that both searches
- Were illegal, and three split the difference, holding that
- one search was legal and one search was illegal.
- Now, this is the kind of thing that a police
- officer is supposed to have to figure out in his mind in a
- 15 30-second situation as to how the Supreme Court is going to
- 16 wind up on a case which, to him, seemed like a pretty clear
- 17 case of a violation being committed in his presence.
- But let me tell you, it's even more complex than
- 19 that. This one search that was found illegal by the
- 20 Supreme Court had already been found legal by the
- 21 Supreme Court of California. The other one that had been
- 22 held legal by the U.S. Supreme Court was held illegal by the
- 23 courts of New York.
- By the time it was over, 14 justices in three
- 25 jurisdicitions had ruled on this case. And interestingly

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

444 NORTH CAPITOL STREET WASHINGTON, D.C. 20001 (202) 347-3700

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- enough, they came out if you count & up all the votes seven to seven.
- Now, this may be good enough for Solomon, but it's not much help to the police officer at 2:00 o'clock in the morning on a deserted street in some village.
- Well, we -- we propose -- and it is a policy of 7 this Administration to support legislation to end this paralysis by adopting the so-called "reasonable good faith rule," so that if the court finds, as the Fifth Circuit in this country has already adopted - if a court finds that 10 the police officer was acting in good faith, based upon his 11 good faith and well-founded belief in the state of the law 12 and the situation that confronted him, then the evidence 13 would not be ruled inadmissible and it could be used in the 14 trial of the case. 15

That doesn't mean that the police officer would not be subject to administrative or other means of discipline if it was found that there was some negligence or some inattention to — to duty, but particularly where the officer is acting in good faith and where he is even applying the law as it exists at that particular time, the evidence would be available and could be used to determine the guilt or innocence of the confused (sic).

We feel that this shifts the focus back where it belongs in these and similar kinds of cases, namely to the

Ace- Federal Reporters, Inc.

444 NORTH CAPITOL STREET
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20001
(202) 347-3700

	1	

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- legality or illegality of acts committed by the defendant rather than by the legal community.
- In one bold stroke, it would a trend to restore

 both common sense and public respect to the criminal justice

 system.
- Well, as your own "Blueprint for Judicial Reform"

 makes clear, proposals to curb the federal courts and to get

 back to a rule of reason and a rule of common sense can be

 expanded to many other areas.
 - I don't have time this noon to go into detail on other things like the exclusionary rule, but there are several areas in which we are working and in which a bipartisan package of legislation is presently on the Hill which we are supporting very firmly.
 - One is the reform of bail laws, particularly allowing judges, after a hearing, with full due process protections, to prevent a dangerous defendant from returning to the streets.
 - It's, interestingly enough, noted in the articles on the death penalty now how many of the death penalty cases, how many of the murders that have been committed have been committed by persons who were either on bail or on parole or on probation at the time of the offense.
- And we feel that -- particularly in the area of bail -- when a person is out on bail for one offense and

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

444 NORTH CAPITOL STREET WASHINGTON, D.C. 20001 (202) 347-3700

.16

commits another dangerous offense, he shouldn't get a series
of bites at the body politic, but rather should be
incarcerated until he can be brought to trial.

An overhaul of our sentencing system is included in these bills, replacing the discredited and unpredictable parole structure with a fixed sentence, so that when a judge sentences a person to five years or seven years for a robbery or to 20 years for serious narcotics violations or something else, he can be — that he end the public can know that the criminal is going to stay behind bars for that period of time.

The third provision that we are endorsing in this package, which has been introduced by Senator Thurmond and many others, including, I believe, Senator Hatch as one of the co-authors, is that it would strengthen the penalties for those involved in narcotics offenses, so that we can have a realistic treatment of people who engage in this most dispicable crime, particularly in the area where the Federal Government operates — and that's to get at the big operators who are involved in the wholesale importation of narcotics into this country.

And also with that is provisions that would enable the gove^rnment not only to go after the person but also to go after the property of the offender, to confiscate the boats and other property, the planes, but also to confiscate

Ace- Federal Reporters, Inc.

444 NORTH CAPITOL STREET
WASHINGTON. D.C. 20001
(202) 347-3700

- other assets which have been acquired as a result of the
- 2 trafficking in narcotics. So that it was not enough that
- 3 You can get the person, although that's important, but also
- 4 that you can take away the incentive for others to work with
- 5 him in the particular criminal enterprise.
- 6 And finally, the proposals that we have before the
- 7 -- the Congress at the present time have some specific
- 8 protections for people who are victims of crime,
- 9 particularly people who are victims and witnesses to
- 10 crimes.
- We feel that the increase in the number of
- threats, intimidation, the number of beatings of persons or
- other means to coerce them away from testifying against
- 14 people who are accused of crime, that this requires
- 15 strengthening.
- And this whole system is included in the
- 17 legislative package that we have put forward.
- Now, these are just a few of the things that we
- 19 feel are necessary. But we feel that it is important that
- 20 this kind of a package of laws ---
- And others that I suspect we'll get out of your
- 22 next volume, Paul.
- 23 . be enacted. And we will continue to devote our
- 24 efforts as long as it takes to get these things put into
- 25 law.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

444 NORTH CAPITOL STREET WASHINGTON, D.C. 20001 (202) 347-3700

1	We feel it's our responsibility to those who do
2	the work of society, who follow society's laws. And it's
3	our responsibility, if we are going to be able to enshrine
4	the credo of ordered liberty, for all citizens to enjoy.
5	And we feel that it is important that we do this
6	so that our system of laws and system of government does not
7	become something radically different from what the men in
8	Philadelphia had in mind those 200 summers ago.
9	Now, what you're doing here today and what the
10	President is doing in these measures that I've talked about
11	and more that will be revealed in the coming weeks is
12	really to preserve the basic principles of the founding
13	fathers and also to enable government to carry out that
14	primary responsibility to protect the lives and property of
15	its citizens.
.16	I would hope that in your deliberations here you
17	would join in seeking these three objectives:
18	First of all, to restore truth to the courtroom.
19	Secondly, to restore restraint in the use of power
20	to the judiciary.
21	And thirdly, to restore safety to citizens,
22	whether they be witnesses, whether they be victims, or
23	.whether they be potential victims of crime.
24	If these things are done, we, as a nation, will

Ace- Federal Reporters, Inc.

444 NORTH CAPITOL STREET
WASHINGTON. D.C. 20001
(202) 347-3700

have succeeded in restoring to the judicial system the

Tjl

- 1	respect and the confidence which it ultimately deserves.
2	I wish you good luck in today's deliberations.
3	And I want to tell you that I look forward to working with
4	all of you as, together, we continue these efforts to have
5	mor ^e just a definitely safer society in which our citizens
6	can live.
7	Thank you.
. 8	(Applause.)
9	MR. WEYRICH: They like your speech, and they're
10	against crime.
11	MR. MEESE: (Laughter.)
12	MR. WEYRICH: That concludes the luncheon
.13	session. We now have to go back into the other room, where
14	the afternoon panels will begin.
15	And I think if you look at the schedule, you'll
16	agree that we have a very exciting program this afternoon.
17	(Whereupon, the luncheon session was concluded.)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
0.5	

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

444 NORTH CAPITOL STREET
WASHINGTON. D.C. 20001
(202) 347-3700