Ronald Reagan Presidential Library Digital Library Collections

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual collections.

Collection: Blackwell, Morton: Files

Folder Title: Mailing – Year of the Bible – Law Suit

Box: 12

To see more digitized collections visit: https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digital-library

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library inventories visit: https://reaganlibrary.gov/document-collection

Contact a reference archivist at: reagan.library@nara.gov

Citation Guidelines: https://reaganlibrary.gov/citing

National Archives Catalogue: https://catalog.archives.gov/

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

June 2, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR MORTON C. BLACKWELL

11, "

SPECIAL ASSISTANT TO THE PRESIDENT

FOR PUBLIC LIAISON

FROM:

FRED F. FIELDING

COUNSEL TO THE PRESEDENT

SUBJECT:

Gaylor v. Reagan; Zwerling v. Reagan

(Year of the Bible Litigation)

This memorandum is in response to your note of May 27 to David Waller requesting our review of the attached memorandum you have prepared for mailing to religious leaders who have expressed interest in this matter.

We have no problem with the draft vis-a-vis the pending litigation. Our only comment, a very minor one, is that according to our records, the bill which passed in the House was introduced by Congressman William Ford of Michigan, not Carlos Moorhead of California.

Thank you for referring your draft to this office. I flow manager heard Ford's warmer the more

flux was gruen to Hear Lamb 6/8 at 2:30 for ok.

National Committee for the Year of the Bible, a broad coalition of religious leaders headed by Dr. Bill Bright and three national co-chairmen:

- --Dr. Gerson D. Cohen, Chancellor, The Jewish Theological Seminary of America
- -- John Cardinal Krol, Senior Prelate, The Roman Catholic Archdiocese of Philadelphia
- --Dr. Thomas F. Zimmerman, General Superintendent, The General Council of the Assemblies of God

For your information and use, enclosed are copies of the following items:

- -- Public Law 97-280, authorizing and requesting the President to declare 1983 the Year of the Bible.
- -- The President's Year of the Bible Proclamation of February 3, 1983.
- -- The Opinion and Order of U.S. District Court Judge James Doyle of Wisconsin refusing to enjoin the President from declaring 1983 the Year of the Bible.
- -- The complaint filed by the A.C.L.U. urging the U.S. District Court fro the Central District of California to declare the President's proclamation unconstitutional.

Because of your expressed interest in the prayer issue, I hope this information will be of interest and use to you.

- Way of Prayer - 82 Rose Jarolen

- Way of Prayer - 82 Rose Jarolen

Morton C. 1

- Religious Broadcasters on

reliedule recommend sent

reliedule recommend from

Office of Pi

Jean Jaroles

Morton C. B.

Special Assistant

Office of Pi

Marker

Morton C. B.

Special Assistant

Office of Pi

Marker

Morton C. B.

Special Assistant

Office of Pi

Morton C. Blackwell Special Assistant to the President Office of Public Liaison

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

A legal action was filed against the President April 21, 1983 in Federal court in California by American Civil Liberties Union attorneys. At issue is the President's proclamation of 1983 as the Year of the Bible.

The government is vigorously defending this suit.

Here is a summary of the background of this issue.

In April of 1982, the President was asked by Dr. Bill Bright of Campus Crusade for Christ to support legislation calling for a proclamation declaring 1983 Year of the Bible. The President strongly endorsed the proposal.

Senator Bill Armstrong (R-Colorado) introduced appropriate legislation in the U.S. Senate, and Representative Carlos Moorhead (R-California) introduced companion legislation in the House of Representatives. The legislation passed both Houses and was signed into law by the President October 4, 1982.

A self-described "non-theist," Anne Gaylor of Madison, Wisconsin, filed suit against the President in Federal court in Wisconsin, asking that the court enjoin the President from issuing the proclamation authorized and requested by the Congress.

The U.S. District Court judge in Wisconsin refused to intervene prior to the issuance of the proclamation, deciding that there was no "virtual certainty" that the President would designate 1983 the Year of the Bible in an unconstitutional manner.

The President signed this proclamation at the National Prayer Breakfast in Washington, D.C., February 3, 1983. At that time he also accepted honorary chairmanship of the

parkage is with Jean Lamb.

National Committee for the Year of the Bible, a broad coalition of religious leaders headed by Dr. Bill Bright and three national co-chairmen:

- --Dr. Gerson D. Cohen, Chancellor, The Jewish Theological Seminary of America
- --John Cardinal Krol, Senior Prelate, The Roman Catholic Archdiocese of Philadelphia
- --Dr. Thomas F. Zimmerman, General Superintendent, The General Council of the Assemblies of God

For your information and use, enclosed are copies of the following items:

- --Senator Armstrong's S.J. Res. 165 which became Public Law 97-280, authorizing and requesting the President to declare 1983 the Year of the Bible.
- -- The President's Year of the Bible Proclamation of February 3, 1983.
- --The Opinion and Order of U.S. District Court Judge James Doyle of Wisconsin refusing to enjoin the President from declaring 1983 the Year of the Bible.
- --The complaint filed by the A.C.L.U. urging the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California to declare the President's proclamation unconstitutional.

Because of your expressed interest in the prayer issue, I hope this information will be of interest and use to you.

Sincerely,

Morton C. Blackwell
Special Assistant to the President
Office of Public Liaison

97TH CONGRESS 2D SESSION

S. J. RES. 165

Authorizing and requesting the President to proclaim 1983 as the "Year of the Bible".

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

MARCH 15 (legislative day, FEBRUARY 22), 1982

Mr. Armstrong (for himself, Mr. Thurmond, Mr. Heflin, Mr. Randolph, Mr. Lugar, Mr. Denton, Mr. Mattingly, Mr. Gorton, Mr. Symms, Mr. Dole, Mr. Quayle, Mr. Pryor, Mr. Kasten, Mr. Johnston, Mr. Andrews, Mrs. Kassebaum, Mr. Harry F. Byrd, Jr., Mr. DeConcini, Mr. Stennis, Mr. Domenici, Mr. Humphrey, Mr. D'Amato, Mr. Jepsen, Mr. Rudman, Mr. Boren, Mr. Murkowski, Mr. Chiles, Mr. Stevens, Mr. Hatfield, and Mr. Inouye) introduced the following joint resolution; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary

JOINT RESOLUTION

Authorizing and requesting the President to proclaim 1983 as the "Year of the Bible".

- Whereas the Bible, the Word of God, has made a unique contribution in shaping the United States as a distinctive and blessed nation and people;
- Whereas deeply held religious convictions springing from the Holy Scriptures led to the early settlement of our Nation;
- Whereas Biblical teachings inspired concepts of civil government that are contained in our Declaration of Independence and the Constitution of the United States;

- Whereas many of our great national leaders—among them Presidents Washington, Jackson, Lincoln, and Wilson—paid tribute to the surpassing influence of the Bible in our country's development, as in the words of President Jackson that the Bible is "the rock on which our Republic rests";
- Whereas the history of our Nation clearly illustrates the value of voluntarily applying the teachings of the Scriptures in the lives of individuals, families, and societies;
- Whereas this Nation now faces great challenges that will test this Nation as it has never been tested before; and
- Whereas that renewing our knowledge of and faith in God through Holy Scripture can strengthen us as a nation and a people: Now, therefore, be it
 - 1 Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives
 - 2 of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
 - 3 That the President is authorized and requested to designate
- 4 1983 as a national "Year of the Bible" in recognition of both
- 5 the formative influence the Bible has been for our Nation,
- 6 and our national need to study and apply the teachings of the
- 7 Holy Scriptures.

O

Philip Zwerling, John Crossley, Jr., SOMPUTERIZED CASE NUMBER John A. Hutchison, J. Eugh Anwyl, Gerald LaRue, Maxine Negri, Herbert Livingston, Elliot Burk, Krishna Kau Khalsa, Albert Lewis, Leonard Beerman CV-251 4 Ryo Imamura, Simon Cohen, Michi Naka-5.7 yama, Elson Snow, Richard Kato, Ronald Reagan, President of the SUMMONS United States of America, DEFENDANT(S)) THE ABOVE-NAMED DEFENDANT(S), You are hereby summoned and required to serve upon Eve Triffo Fred Okrand

plaintiff's attorney, whose address is:

ACLU Foundation of Southern California 633 South Shatto Place Los Angeles, California 90005

an answer to the complaint which is herewith served upon you within 60 after service of this summons upon you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.

DATE: April 21, 1983	EDWARD M. KRITZMAN, CLERK	
P324362539 CERTIFIED	Deputy Clerk	-1010
REGISTERED	MO TO FILES (SEAL OF THE COURT)	JUSTICE R
1/80) CV-1A	OMPTLY AFTER CTION THIS ITEM AUTIMONS JACKET	83 0
	DO NOT FILE IN	Ď,

PROOF OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

CIVIL NO. CV-83 2504 R

truly that I have been appointed to serve the summons and complaint in the within action suant to F.R.Civ.P. 4(c) and CCP 414.10 and that I served the summons and complaint as lower.

(TYPE OR PRINT)

Many and title of person served: Hon. William F. Smith, Attorney General

Person with whom left: Title or relationship to person served:

bate and time of delivery:

Mailing date; class of mail:
April 21, 1983 First Class, Certified
Address, City and State: Return Receipt
Attorney General, Washington, D.C. 20530

Place of Mailing
Los Angeles, California
() Home (x) Business

Manner of service, (Check applicable box and complete separate proof of service for each defendant served.)

PERSONAL SERVICE

PERSONAL SERVICE, by handing copies to the person served (F.R.Civ.P. 4(d)).

UPON THE UNITED STATES OR AN OFFICER OR AGENCY OF THE UNITED STATES, by serving in a manner as prescribed by Rule 4(d)(4) and (5) F.R.Civ.P.

UPON A STATE OR MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OR OTHER GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATION THEREOF SUBJECT TO SUIT, C.C.P. 415.30(a); F.R.Civ.P. 4(d)(6), by delivering a copy of the summons and complaint to the chief executive officer thereof or by serving the summons and complaint in a manner prescribed by law of that state for the service of summons or other like process upon any such defendant.

SERVICE BY MAIL PROVISION

MAIL AND ACKNOWLEDGMENT SERVICE, C.C.P. 415.30: F.R.Civ.P. 4(d)(7). By mailing (by first-class mail or airmail) copies to the person served, together with two copies of the form of notice and acknowledgment and a return envelope, postage prepaid, addressed to the sender. (ATTACH WRITTEN ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT OF SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT)

The service by mail provision does not apply to service upon the United States or an officer or agency of the United States, but applies only to service upon a defendant of any class referred to in Rules 4(d)(l) and (3) F.R.Civ.P.

Continued on Reverse

SUBSTITUTED SERVICE PROVISIONS:

the summons and complaint to him personally house or usual place of abode with some personal therein or by delivering a copy of the summons.	or by leaving copies thereof at his dwelling on of suitable age and discretion then residing nons and complaint to an agent authorized by process; or in a manner consistent with C.C.P. parate attempting personal service.)
cories at the dwelling house, usual place of person served in the presence of a competent in charge of his office or place of business	member of the household or a person apparently, at least 18 years of age, who was informed reafter mailing (by first class mail, postage
authorized by statute to receive service and	UPON A PARTNERSHIP OR OTHER UNINCORPORATED A COMMON NAME, by delivering a copy of the ging or general agent, or to any other agent e service of process and, if the agent is one if the statute so requires, by also mailing a masistent with C.C.P. 415.20(a); F.R.Civ.P.
OTHER F.R.Civ.P. 4(e)(i). Attach separate p	pages if necessary.
declare under penalty of perjury that so foregoing document is true and correct. Secuted at Los Angeles , State California ,this 21st day of	
April , 19 83.	- Floor Valler
	Signature of person authorized to make service
for service \$, Mileage \$, Total \$
	·
ACKNOWLEDGMENT (OF SERVICE
, rece	ived a true copy of the within document
, 19	
	(Signature)
for	
	(Party Served)

(8/80) ĈV-1C

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of mitiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instruction sheet.)

LAINTIFFS REV. PHILIP Z JR., REV. JCH ANWYL, DR. GE HERBERT LIVIN FRISHNA KAUR FRIS	WERLING, REV. JOHN A. HUTCHISON, IN A. HUTCHISON, IN RAID LARUE, MAXINGSTON, REV. RYO IN KHALSA, REV. GEORIUMON G. COHEN, MINOR G. COHEN, ACLUMENTAL GORDIA, 633 SO. S. S. S. 90005, (213) 4	HN CROSSLEY, REV. J. HUGH NE NEGRI, IMAMURA, RGE W. COLE, NARD I. BEER- ICHI NAKAYAMA, INTIFF LOS Angeles EPHONE NUMBER) Foundation of Shatto Pl.,	United States COUNTY OF RESIDENCE (IN U.S. PLAINTIF	OF FIRST LISTED DEFE F CASES ONLY) MNATION CASES, USE TH INVOLVED	NDANT
LACE AN E IN ON		BASIS OF JU	N D4 DIVERSITY	CITIZEN.	RSITY, INDICATE SHIP ON REVERSE. 1332, 1441)
FIRST AMENDMEN	T TO THE UNITED of 1983 as "Year	STATES CONSTITUTE of the Bible" v	TION: action to siolates Establish	seek declaration	that Presidential
			ACTIONS UNDE	R STATUTES	
COMIRACT	TORTS	CIVIL RIGHTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES Con:
118 INSURANCE 129 MARINE 130 MILLER ACT 140 NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENT 3150 RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT & ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT	PERSONAL INJURY 310 AIRPLANE 315 AIRPLANE PRODUCT LIABILITY 329 ASSAULT, LIBEL 8 SLANDER 338 FEDERAL EMPLOYERS LIABILITY LIABILITY 340 MARINE	441 VOTING 442 JOBS 443 ACCOMMODATIONS 444 WELFARE 446 OTHER CIVIL	610 AGRICULTURE 620 FOOD & DRUG 630 LIQUOR LAWS 640 R.R. & TRUCK 650 AIRLINE REGS 660 OCCUPATIONAL	420 TRUSTEE 421 TRANSFER (915b) 422 APPEAL (801) PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 COPYRIGHT 830 PATENT 840 TRADEMARK	450 COMMERCE ICC RATES ETC 460 DEPORTATION 610 SELECTIVE SERVICE SECURITIES COMMODITIES EXCHANGE
151 MEDICARE ACT 152 RECOVERY OF DEFAULTED STUDENT LOANS 153 RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT OF VETERANS	345 MARINE PRODUCT LIABILITY 350 MOTOR VEHICLE PRODUCT LIABILITY	AIGHTS	SAFETY/HEALTH	SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395H) 862 BLACK LUNG (923) 863 DIWC (405 (9))	☐ 891 AGRICULTURAL ACTS ☐ 892 ECONOMIC STABILIZATION ACT ☐ 893 ENVIRON-
BENEFITS 160 STOCKHOLDERS SUITS 190 OTHER CONTRACT 185 CONTRACT PRODUCT LIABILITY REAL PROPERTY 1210 CONDEMNATION 1220 FORECLOSURE 1230 RENT LEASE & EJECTMENT 1240 TORTS TO LAND 1245 TOPT PRODUCT LIABILITY 1290 ALL OTHER REAL PROPERTY	350 OTHER PERSONAL INJURY 36Z PERSONAL INJURY-MED MALPRACTICE 355 PERSONAL INJURY PRODUCT LIASILITY PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 OTHER FRAUD 271 TRUTH IN LENDING 380 OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY DAMAGE PROPERTY DAMAGE PROPUCT LIABILITY E BOX ONLY)	PRISONER PETITIONS 510 VACATE SENTENCE (2255) 530 HABEAS CORPUS 540 MANDAMUS & OTHER 550 CIVIL RIGHTS		863 DIWW (405 (g)) 864 SSID THE XVI 865 RSI (405 (g)) TAX SUITS 870 TAXES 871 IRS-THIRD PARTY 26 USC 7609 875 CUSTOMER CHALLENGE 12 USC 3410 GIMER STATUTES 400 STATE REAPPORTION- MENT 410 ANTI-TRUST 430 BANKING	MENTAL MATTERS B94 ENERGY ALLOCATION ACT B95 FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT B00 APPEAL OF FEE DETERMINATION UNDER EQUAL ACCESS TO JUSTICE 950 CONSTITU- TIONALITY OF STATE STATUES B90 OTHERS STATUTORY ACTIONS ACCESS TO
Original 2 Proceeding		manded from 4 Reinst Reopt		d from Strict	7 Judge from Magistrate Judgment

NITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

(Continued on Reverse Side)

'-71 (01/83)

CIVIL COVER SHEET (Reverse Side)

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of splendings or other papers as required by now properties provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1774, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of instating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)

ITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES		Check/Fill in if demanded in complaint:		
F DIVERSITY)	PTF DEF	DEMAND 8 OTHER		
ACE OF BUSINESS IN (STATE)		Has this Cause of Action previously been filed and dismissed No Yes Case No.		
THER NON-CITIZEN F THIS STATE	D4 D4	RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY		
Nack YES only If demanded in complaint: URY DEMAND: VES B%0		JUDGE DOCKET NO. CIVIL CASES ARE DEPARD RELATED IF A PREVIOUSLY FILED CASE INVOLVES:		
		Property included in previously filed case Same issue of fact or grows out of the same transaction Validity or infringement of the same patent copyright or trademark		
		(PARTIES SHOULD REFER TO THIS COURT'S LOCAL RULE 2 FOR COMPLETE INFORMATION REGARDING RELATED CASES)		
Date April 21, 1983		Signature of Attorney of Record		

Key to statistical codes relating to social security cases:

NATURE OF SUITE CODE	ABBREVIATION	SUBSTANTIVE STATEMENT OF CAUSE OF ACTION
861	RIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (medicare) under Title XVIII, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also includes claims by hospitals, skilled mursing facilities, etc. for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 USC 1935FF.(b)).
962	EL.	All claims for "black lung" benefits under Title IV, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969 (30 USC 923).
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title II of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability (42 USC 405-(g)).
663	DIW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title II of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 USC 405 (g)).
854	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title XVI of the Social Security Act, as amended.
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title II of the
1/021		Cools Committee to the control of the

Social Security Act. as amended, (42 USC

FRED OKRAND ACLU Foundation of 2 Southern California 633 South Shatto Place Los Angeles, CA 90005 (213) 487-1720 4 ō 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 REV. PHILIP ZWERLING, REV. JOHN CROSSLEY, 11 JR., REV. JOHN A. HUTCHISON, REV. J. HUGH ANWYL, DR. GERALD LARUE, MAXINE NEGRI, 12 HERBERT LIVINGSTON, REV. RYO IMAMURA, KRISHNA KAUR KHALSA, REV. GEORGE W. COLE, 13 RABBI ALBERT LEWIS, RABBI LEONARD I. BEER-MAN, CANTOR SIMON G. COHEN, MICHI NAKAYAMA, 14 ELSON SNOW, and RICHARD KATO, 15 Plaintiffs, Iti VS. 17 RONALD W. REAGAN, President of the United States of America, 18 Defendant. 19 20 Plaintiffs allege: 21 22 2:3 24 25 26 27

EVE TRIFFO

1

28

No.

) COMPLAINT FOR) DECLARATORY RELIEF

This is an action arising under the First Amendment to the United States Constitution for declaratory relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§2201 and 2202. This action challenges Public Law 97-280, a copy of which is attached to this complaint as Exhibit A, and the Presidential Proclamation, "Year of the Bible, 1983," a copy of which is attached to this complaint as Exhibit B, as violative of the establishment clause of the

First Amendment to the United States Constitution.

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331.
- 3. Venue lies in this Court pursuant to 29 U.S.C. \$1391(e).

4. Plaintiff PHILIP ZWERLING, a resident of Los Angeles, () California and a taxpayer, is the minister of the First Unitarian Church of Los Angeles. Reverend Zwerling is not a Christian and does not accept the teachings of the Bible as the "Word of God." Reverend Zwerling encourages his congregation to find religious truths in many different religious writings and traditions. Accepting the Bible as the Word of God would inhibit the purpose of the First Unitarian Church, which is to endeavor to resist formalizing religion into ritual, cult or sect.

5. Plaintiff JOHN CROSSLEY, JR., is a taxpayer, a resident of Los Angeles, California, a Professor of Religion at the University of Southern California and an ordained minister of the United Presbyterian Church of the United States of America. Reverend Crossley believes that the Bible is the Word of God and that the contents of P.L. 97-230 and the Presidential Proclamation are substantially true, but believes it is wrong for the President to make it a civic duty to read the Bible. Reverend Crossley believes that the United States of America is a pluralistic nation which believes in the separation of church and state and which should never hypostatize the texts of any religions to be the definitive religious text of the whole nation. He further believes that this attempt to take the holy text of particular religions and to make it the

preeminent text of the whole nation damages him in two ways:

first, it takes the Bible away from people whose holy text it

is and utilizes it for political purposes, and secondly, it

makes more difficult the beneficial ecumenical dialogue between

peoples of all faiths who hold different texts to be the Word

of God.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.1

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

6. Plaintiff JOHN A. HUTCHISON is a taxpayer, a resident of Claremont, California, Professor Emeritus of the Philosophy of Religion at the Claremont Graduate School and an ordained minister of the United Presbyterian Church of the United States of America. Reverend Hutchison reads the Bible devotionally as the Word of God and also critically as a scholar. He believes that in this religiously pluralistic society, it is necessary for the largest religious group, Protestant Christians, to play fair and not ask for special advantages. He further believes that P.L. 97-280 and the Presidential Proclamation do not play fair in that they hypostatize one religious text, the Bible, and make it a civic duty to read it. Reverend Hutchison believes that he is damaged in several ways by P.L. 97-280 and the Presidential Proclamation: first, they encourage the least rational, least critical forms of understanding of the Bible, while he has always tried to promote a fair-minded, objective and critical study of the Bible; second, they take the Bible away from people whose holy text it is and utilize it for political purposes; third, they make more difficult the beneficial interfaith dialogue between peoples of all faiths who hold divergent texts to be the Word of God.

7. Plaintiff J. HUGH ANWYL, a resident of San Pedro.

California and a taxpayer, is an ordained minister of the United Church of Christ and President of the Ecumenical Fellowship, a member congregation of the United Church of Christ in Los Angeles California. Reverend Anwyl, as the minister of the Ecumenical Fellowship, works to promote ecumenism with his fellow non-Christian journeyers on the religious quest. Reverend Anwyl believes that P.L. 97-280 and the Presidential Proclamation ignore the many faiths which have contributed and continue to contribute to this country and that their highlighting of only the Christian faith impedes his efforts to promote ecumenism. Additionally, Reverend Anwyl believes that P.L. 97-280 and the Presidential Proclamation turn the Bible, a book he holds sacred, into a political ploy.

1.1

S. Plaintiff GERALD LaRUE, Th.D., is the leader of the Ethical Culture Society of Los Angeles, California, which is associated with the American Ethical Union. Dr. LaRue is Emeritus Professor of Biblical History and Archeology at the University of Scuthern California and a taxpayer and a resident of Los Angeles, California. Dr. LaRue, who is not a Christian, believes that the Bible is a collection of documents produced by the Hebrew, Jewish and Christian communities between 2000 to 3000 years ago and that it has no authority except that which human beings give to it. Dr. LaRue does not urge the Ethical Culture Society, of which he is the religious leader, to read the Bible as the "Word of God" or to extract from it any "message". Dr. LaRue believes that P.L. 97-280 and he Presidential Proclamation disadvantage Ethical Culturists by ignoring their beliefs.

2.2

g. Plaintiff MAXINE NEGRI, is the president of the Humanist Society of Friends, an affiliate of the American Humanist
Association of which she is vice-president and senior counselor,
and a resident and taxpayer of Los Angeles, California. "umanist
minister Negri believes that Humanism is a scientific religion
for a scientific age. She further believes that the Bible is a
man-written document and not the "Word of God" and as such has
no religious authority. Hence, Ms. Negri does not encourage
those who look to her for religious leadership to accept some
parts of the Bible as moral authority for the way they lead
their lives, especially those portions which deal with the submissive role of women. Additionally, Ms. Negri believes that
governmental urging of Bible reading is an insensitive disservice
to persons of other faiths.

Atheists United, a California nonprofit corporation, and a resident and taxpayer of Los Angeles, California. Mr. Livingston is an Atheist and as such, believes that the Bible is superstition and mythology. Mr. Livingston does not believe in the existence of God and therefore does not believe the Bible is the "Word of God." Mr. Livingston does not encourage the Atheists who look to him for leadership to read the Bible. Mr. Livingston further feels singled out by virtue of his Atheism for disadvantageous treatment through governmental approval of the Bible and thus disapproval of his beliefs.

11. Plaintiff RYO IMAMURA is a Jodo Shinshu Buddhist, True
Pure Land Sect, a taxpayer and a resident of Alameda, California.
Reverend Imamura's religion does not accept the Bible as the

"Word of God," nor does he believe that it has a "message" which is important for Buddhists of his sect to receive. Reverend Imamura does not urge the Buddhists who look to him for spiritual guidance to read the Bible.

ō

S

2:3

Angeles, California, a taxpayer and the head minister, the Mukhia Sardani Sahiba, of the Sikh Dharma of the Western Hemisphere for Los Angeles. Mukhia Sardani Sahiba Khalsa does not accept the Bible as the "Word of God,"; she believes that the Siri Guru Granth Sahib is the emobdiment of the Word of God and a living teacher in written form, although she respects the right of Christians to believe in the Bible. Mukhia Sardani Sahiba Khalsa is offended by her government's urging her and the members of her congregation to read the Bible.

Montebello, California, a taxpayer, an ordained minister of the United Presbyterian Church of the United States of America and the immediate past President of the Interreligious Council of Southern California. Reverend Cole believes that the Old and New Testaments are both the Word of God and that P.L. 97-280 and the Presidential Proclamation elicit his affirmation in terms of his own faith experience. Reverend Cole has devoted and continues to devote substantial effort to building an interfaith religious community in Southern California, an effort which involves honoring other religious traditions and experiences outside the Judeo-Christian community, traditions and experiences which have faith commitments based on non-Biblical scriptural roots. Reverend Cole has had to fight against

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

community paranoia about Eastern and new religions as strange cults in building an interfaith religious community, and this endeavor has taught him to resist strong movements to decry the presence of other religions in this country. He is further convinced that more ecumenism is the religious future of our country. Reverend Cole believes that when a clarion call comes from this nation's highest political office to read the Bible, giving religious appeal the weight of law, that his job of fostering mutual interfaith respect and understanding is made more difficult because such an appeal constitutes an affront to those with faith commitments and experiences not rooted in the Bible, an affront which is difficult to overcome. As a Christian, Reverend Cole is himself affronted by the federal government's use of holy scriptures central to his faith experience as a political platform and the exploitation of his religious symbols for political purposes.

14.Plaintiff ALBERT LEWIS is a taxpayer, a resident of Los Angeles, California, and Rabbi Emeritus of Temple Isaiah of Los Angeles. Plaintiff LEONARD I. BEERMAN is a taxpayer, a resident of Los Angeles, California and a Rabbi. Rabbi Lewis and Rabbi Beerman are Jews who believe that the Hebrew Bible, what Christians refer to as the Old Testament, is holy. They also believe that the people who founded this country intended that no civil authority should ever aid, abet or sanction any religious observance whatsoever. Rabbi Lewis and Rabbi Beerman believe that the use of the Bible in P.L. 97-280 and the Presidential Proclamation creates a linkage between political power and religious power which is abhorrent because where such

linkages have occurred, Jews as a people have suffered. Rabbi Lewis and Rabbi Beerman further believe that where political authority has given religious authority the power to determine what is right as P.L. 97-280 and the Presidential Proclamation do, Judaism and all minority religions and their members have suffered.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.)

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff SIMON G. COHEN is a taxpayer, a resident of Oakland, California and has served as cantor for 26 years in conservative Jewish congregations. Cantor Cohen is a Jew who believes that the Biblical Old Testament but not the New Testament is the Word of God. Cantor Cohen believes that the Presidential Proclamation and P.L. 97-280 force him as a Jew to point out that Jews do not consider the United States a Christian country nor do they consider the New Testament holy scripture. Cantor Cohen fears that the statement of his disagreement with P.L. 97-280 and the Presidential Proclamation can only be resented by his Christian neighbors. Cantor Cohen believes that P.L. 97-280 and the Presidential Proclamation have caused the federal government to turn its back on him and other non-Christians and non-believers who have the right to call themselves good Americans with pride which it is now harder for them to do.

Angeles, California, a taxpayer and a Shinto Buddhist. Ms.
Nakayama believes that the Bible is great literature but is not the "Word of God" and is appalled that her President is urging her to read it in violation of her own religious beliefs.

9.9

City, California, a taxpayer and the English editor of Wheel of Dharma for the Buddhist Churches of America. Mr. Snow, is a Jodo Shinshu Buddhist, True Pure Land sect, and as such, does not accept the Bible as the "Word of God" nor does he wish to be urged by his President to read a Christian book in violation of his own religious beliefs.

Park, California, a taxpayer and an agnostic. Mr. Kato believes that it is impossible to know whether there is a God, given that no known religious writings including the Bible provide concrete evidence other than a basis in faith for the existence of a monotheistic or polytheistic deity; yet, many natural phenomena such as healing have no single root causal explanation in fact, thereby lending themselves to the rationale that a deity is responsible. Hence, Mr. Kato does not believe that the Bible is the "Word of God" nor does he wish to be encouraged by his President to read a Christian book in violation of his own religious beliefs.

19. Defendant RONALD REAGAN is the President of the United States of America and in that capacity he has declared 1983 the Year of the Bible.

20. During 1982 the United States Congress approved Public Law 97-280, 96 Stat. 1211, a joint resolution of the Senate and the House of Representatives authorizing and requesting the President of the United States to proclaim 1933 as the "Year of the Bible." P.L. 97-280 declares the Bible, as distinct from any other religious book, to be "the Word of

God," declares that "renewing our knowledge of and faith in God through Holy Scripture can strengthen us as a nation and a people," and declares "our national need to study and apply the teachings of the Holy Scriptures." Defendant President Reagan signed P.L. 97-280 on or about October 4, 1982.

ō

1.1

2.3

- 21. P.L. 97-280 authorized and requested the President of the United States to designate 1983 as a national "Year of the Bible."
- 22. On or about February 3, 1983 pursuant to P.L. 97-280, President Reagan issued a proclamation entitled "Year of the Bible, 1983." In this proclamation President Reagan "encourage[s] all citizens, each in his or her own way, to reexamine and rediscover its [the Bible's] priceless and timeless message."
- 23. P.L. 97-280 singles out the Bible from all other spiritual and religious teachings as the "Word of God." The Presidential Proclamation limits the definition of the Bible to the Christian Bible by specifying both the Old and New Testaments. Both P.L. 97-280 and the Presidential Proclamation stress the need for this nation to read only the Bible and recognize the influence of only the Bible.
- 24. Plaintiffs believe that P.L. 97-280 and the Presidential Proclamation of February 3, 1983 are unconstitutional in that they violate the separation of church and state mandated by the establishment clause of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.
- 25. Plaintiffs are suffering direct, personal harm from P.L. 97-280 and the Presidential Proclamation of February

Some of the plaintiffs do not accept the Bible as the "Word of God" and cannot read it for any "priceless and timeless message" without violating their own non-Christian religions and atheist beliefs. The non-Christian plaintiffs have been singled out for disadvantageous treatment by P.L. 97-280 and the Presidential Proclamation by virtue of their minority religion status. The non-Christian plaintiffs who are religious leaders suffer the additional harm of having the prestige and power of the United States of America endorse the Christian Bible, a book whose religious teachings they do not necessarily endorse to those who look to them for religious, spiritual and atheist leadership, and thus undermining that leadership. The Christian plaintiffs suffer the harm of having their religious book, the Bible, used for political rather than religious purposes and also suffer damage to their efforts to promote and engage in beneficial ecumenical dialogue with members of non-Christian faiths.

26. An actual controversy exists in that plaintiffs allege that P.L. 97-280 and the Presidential Proclamation of February 3, 1983 violate the First Amendment to the United States Constitution, while defendants allege to the contrary, and declaratory relief will effectively adjudicate the rights of the parties to this action.

24 ///

1

2

3

4

ŏ

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.1

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs respectfully pray that this 1 Court: 2 (1) Declare P.L. 97-280 and the Presidential Procla-3 mation of February 3, 1983, "Year of the Bible, 1983," to be a 4 violation of the establishment clause of the First Amendment 5 to the United States Constitution; 6 (2) Award plaintiffs their costs and reasonable 7 attorneys fees; 8 (3) Grant such other and further relief as the - 9 Court may deem just and proper. 10 11 DATED: April 21, 1983 EVE TRIFFO 12 FRED OKRAND 13 14 15 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 16 17 18 19 20 21 (34) 2:3 24 25 26

27

28

PUBLIC LAW 97-230 [S.J.Res. 165]; October 4, 1982

YEAR OF THE BIBLE-DESIGNATION

Jaint Resolution authorizing and requesting the President to goodsin 1933 as the "Year of the Bible".

Whereas the Bible, the Word of God, has made a unique contribution in shaping the United States as a distinctive and blessed nation and people;

Whereas deeply held religious convictions springing from the Holy Scriptures led to the early settlement of our Nation;

Whereas Biblical teachings inspired concepts of civil government that are contained in our Declaration of Independence and the Constitution of the United States;

Whereas many of our great national leaders—among them Presidents Washington, Jackson, Lincoln, and Wilson—paid tribute to the surpassing influence of the Bible in our country's development, as in the words of President Jackson that the Bible is "the rock on which our Republic rests";

Whereas the history of our Nation clearly illustrates the value of volunturily applying the teachings of the Scriptures in the lives of individuals, families, and societies;

Whereas this Nation now faces great challenges that will test this Nation as it has never been tested before; and

Whereas that renewing our knowledge of and faith in God through Holy Scripture can strengthen us as a nation and a people: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled. That the President is authorized and requested to designate 1938 as a national "Year of the Bible" in recognition of both the formative influence the Bible has been for our Nation, and our national need to study and apply the teachings of the Holy Scriptures.

Year of the Bible.

Approved October 4, 1932.

LEGISLATIVE HISTORY-8.J. Ros. 165:

ONGRESSIONAL RECORD, Vol. 128 (1982):
Mar. 81, considered and passed Senate.
Rept. 21, considered and passed House.

96 STAT. 1211

FOR RELEASE AT 9:00 A.M. EST

February 3, 1983

YEAR OF THE BIBLE, 1983

BY THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

A PROCLAMATION

Of the many influences that have shaped the United States of America into a distinctive Nation and people, none may be said to be more fundamental and enduring than the Bible.

Deep religious beliefs stemming from the Old and New Testaments of the Bible inspired many of the early settlers of our country, providing them with the strength, character, convictions, and faith necessary to withstand great hardship and danger in this new and rugged land. These shared beliefs helped forge a sense of common purpose among the widely dispersed colonies -- a sense of community which laid the foundation for the spirit of nationhood that was to develop in later decades.

The Bible and its teachings helped form the basis for the Founding Fathers' abiding belief in the inalienable rights of the individual, rights which they found implicit in the Bible's teachings of the inherent worth and dignity of each individual. This same sense of man patterned the convictions of those who framed the English system of law inherited by our own Nation, as well as the ideals set forth in the Declaration of Independence and the Constitution.

For centuries the Bible's emphasis on compassion and love for our neighbor has inspired institutional and governmental expressions of benevolent outreach such as private charity, the establishment of schools and hospitals, and the abolition of slavery.

Many of our greatest national leaders -- among them Presidents Washington, Jackson, Lincoln, and Wilson -- have recognized the influence of the Bible on our country's development. The plainspoken Andrew Jackson referred to the Bible as no less than "the rock on which our Republic rests." Today our beloved America and, indeed, the world, is facing a decade of enormous challenge. As a people we may well be tested as we have seldom, if ever, been tested before. We will need resources of spirit even more than resources of technology, education, and armaments. There could be no more fitting moment than now to reflect with gratitude, humility, and urgency upon the wisdom revealed to us in the writing that Abraham Lincoln called "the best gift God has ever given to man . . . But for it we could not know right from wrong."

more

The Congress of the United States, in recognition of the unique contribution of the Bible in shaping the history and character of this Nation, and so many of its citizens, has by Senate Joint Resolution 165 authorized and requested the President to designate the year 1983 as the "Year of the Bible."

NCW, THEREFORE, I, RONALD REAGAN, President of the United States of America, in recognition of the contributions and influence of the Bible on our Republic and our people, do hereby proclaim 1983 the Year of the Bible in the United States. I encourage all citizens, each in his or her own way, to reexamine and rediscover its priceless and timeless message.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this third day of February, in the year of our Lord nineteen hundred and eighty-three, and of the Independence of the United States of America the two hundred and seventh.

RONALD REAGAN

2 4 4 4

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

ANNE GAYLOR,)		
Plaintiff,)		
v.)	OPINION AND ORDER 82-C-985-D	
RONALD REAGAN, President of the United States;		02 0 703 5	
97th Congress of the U.S.A.,)		
Defendants.) -		

This is an action for declaratory and injunctive relief, challenging the constitutionality of a proposed presidential designation of 1983 as a national "Year of the Bible."

Plaintiff has moved for a preliminary injunction ordering the President not to make such a designation, pending a final judicial determination of its constitutionality. This opinion and order are addressed to that motion.

Jurisdiction is claimed under 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1343. No basis for jurisdiction under §1343 is suggested or suggests itself.

The Complaint

In determining whether plaintiff enjoys standing to bring and to maintain this action, it is appropriate to look to the allegations of the complaint, liberally construed in plaintiff's favor. South East Lake View, Etc. v. Dept. of Housing, 685 F.2d 1027, 1034 (7th Cir. 1982). The factual allegations of this complaint may be summarized as follows:

The Congress of the United States has approved Senate

Joint Resolution 165, Public Law 97-280, 96 Stat. 1211. (A

copy of P.L. 97-280 is attached to the complaint. A copy is

also attached to this opinion and order as Appendix A and

made a part hereof.) There is a substantial likelihood that

in response to the authorization and request embodied in

P.L. 97-280, the defendant President will proceed "to

designate 1983 as a national 'Year of the Bible.'"

Plaintiff is a Wisconsin taxpayer, an adult resident of Madison, Dane County, Wisconsin, and president of the Freedom From Religion Foundation, Inc., a national organization headquartered in Madison. That organization is dedicated to the principle of separation of church and state. If the President declares 1983 as a national year of the bible, the declaration will directly and substantially harm the ability of Freedom From Religion Foundation, Inc., to carry out its function. 1/

Biblical teachings are unscientific. 2/ Issuance of the presidential declaration will erode the ability of "our

 $[\]frac{1}{No}$ organization is a party to this action. That injury may befall a non-party is not a material fact.

^{2/}In large part the complaint consists of a series of allegations that the plaintiff believes certain propositions (e.g., "plaintiff believes Biblical teachings are unscientific . . . "). Construing the complaint liberally, I take it to allege that those propositions are true (e.g., biblical teachings are unscientific). But it appears that plaintiff also means to allege that she is a person who holds a certain

people--presumably, the citizens or residents of the United States--to deal effectively with individual, local and national problems and will negatively affect the future of the United States. The teachings of the bible contain violent sexist and racist overtones which potentially could encourage persons who rely on them to act in a manner harmful to plaintiff and others. If P.L. 97-280 is put into effect it will become a strong tool in the hands of theocrats and religious revivalists. The inherent power and prestige of the United States government makes it very likely its citizens will be influenced by such a presidential declaration.

Plaintiff is a non-theist. She believes that: biblical teachings are unscientific and represent a denial of the objective reality of nature; encouragement of the government to rely on these teachings will erode the ability of our people to deal effectively with individual, local and national problems and will negatively affect the future of our nation; the teachings of the bible contain violent sexist and racist overtones which potentially could encourage persons who rely on them to act in a manner harmful to herself and others. She personally finds repugnant these violent sexist and racial overtones.

Plaintiff believes that if the President is not enjoined from executing P.L. 97-280, plaintiff's first amendment right to be free from laws respecting the establishment of religion will be violated. 3/

^{3/}This latter statement of belief on plaintiff's part is repeated in various forms within the complaint. Whether a plaintiff believes that the constitution has a certain meaning is not a material fact.

Comment on Factual Showing

Although it is to the complaint that I must look to determine standing, it is to evidence that I must look to determine whether plaintiff is entitled to a preliminary injunction. The complaint, unverified and signed only by plaintiff's attorney, as permitted by Rule 11, Fed. R. Civ. P., is not evidence. The material facts alleged in plaintiff's affidavit executed November 22, 1982 are evidence. Although I have not been expressly requested to take judicial notice of the content of P.L. 97-280 and its history, as they appear in exhibit A to the complaint and in appendix A to this opinion, see Rule 201, Fed. R. Evid., it is not unfair to the defendant President for me to consider that plaintiff has implied the request and I do take such notice. Similarly, although the burden of proof is upon the plaintiff, it seems not unfair to the defendant President to accept the representation by the United States Attorney that the President did in fact approve and sign P.L. 97-280. In the course of informal oral independent inquiry which I have made to confirm that the President did in fact approve and sign P.L. 97-280, I have been informed that the President made a public statement explaining his action. However, I have deliberately refrained from further inquiry on that point, and I have no knowledge of the content of any such statement. I proceed on the basis that there is no evidence of record in this case that the President has issued any public statement

concerning P.L. 97-280 and no evidence of the content of any

such statement, if one was made. Upon the basis of the entire record in this case and solely for the purpose of deciding plaintiff's motion for a preliminary injunction, I find as fact those matters set forth hereinafter under the heading "Facts."

Facts

Senate Joint Resolution 165 passed the United States

Senate March 31, 1982, passed the House of Representatives

September 21, 1982, and was signed and approved by the

President on October 4, 1982. It thus became Public Law 97
280 and appears at 96 Stat. 1211. It is probable that the

President will issue a proclamation in some form designating

1983 as a national "Year of the Bible."

Plaintiff is an adult resident of the City of Madison,
Dane County, Wisconsin. She is the founder and president of
Freedom From Religion Foundation, an incorporated 1100member national organization headquartered in Madison,
Wisconsin. She has spent a large part of her adult life
endeavoring to keep state and church separate in this
country. As a non-theist, she believes that biblical
teachings are unscientific and represent a denial of objective
reality of nature and that reliance upon them erodes the
ability to deal effectively with problems. She believes
that the teachings of the bible contain violent sexist and
racist overtones and potentially could provide justification

for people to act in a similar manner.

Opinion

1. Standing

In her complaint, plaintiff presents herself principally as an advocate of the separation of church and state, as a constitutional theorist, so to speak. In this role, she is indistinguishable from a person who believes that the states should not unduly burden interstate commerce or a person who believes that the right of the people to keep and bear arms shall not be infringed. Perhaps more to the point, in this role she is indistinguishable from a person who believes, in the language of P.L. 97-280, that "renewing our knowledge of and faith in God through Holy Scripture can strengthen us as a nation and people," but who also advocates the separation of church and state and who would have opposed Senate Joint Resolution 165 and who would presently oppose a designation of 1983 as "the Year of the Bible." role, she appears to be merely a person interested in a problem, a concerned bystander, however intense may be her interest and concern. United States v. SCRAP, 412 U.S. 669, 687 (1973); Sierra Club v. Morton, 405 U.S. 727, 739 (1972). In such a role, she lacks standing.

In her complaint, plaintiff appears also to allege that the biblical teachings are in fact unscientific and represent a denial of the objective reality of nature; that in fact reliance on those teachings erodes the ability of people to deal effectively with problems; and that in fact biblical teachings contain violent sexist and racist overtones which can encourage persons who rely on them to act in a manner harmful to plaintiff and others. It is as if plaintiff seeks findings by the court that these propositions are true. But such propositions, whether true or false, are not material to this lawsuit based on the establishment clause of the first amendment. Whether an established religion is bad, unscientific, violent, sexist, or racist is immaterial. None of these allegations is relevant to plaintiff's standing to bring this action (or to any other issue in the lawsuit).

However, with the benefit of the liberal construction which I am bound to accord the complaint, it may be read to present the plaintiff as a person who does not share a system of thought founded on the belief in one or more gods, 4/ and thus as a person who is not an adherent of a "religion," within the meaning of the establishment clause of the first amendment, the teachings of which are to be found in "the Bible," as that term is used in P.L. 97-280. The question is whether these allegations, and only these allegations of the complaint, afford plaintiff standing to challenge the implementation of P.L. 97-280 by a presidential designation of 1983 as "the Year of the Bible."

^{4/}See definition of "theism" in Webster's Third New International Dictionary (1971).

It is familiar law that the first branch of the standing doctrine is jurisdictional and stems from Article III of the Constitution. That is, the plaintiff must allege "such a personal stake in the outcome of the controversy as to assure that concrete adverseness which sharpens the presentation of issues upon which the court so largely depends for illumination of difficult constitutional questions."

Baker v. Carr, 369 U.S. 186, 204 (1963). As corollaries to this constitutional test of standing, there are requirements that the personal stake, or threatened bona fide injury, be fairly traceable to the challenged conduct and that the exercise of the court's remedial powers would redress the injury asserted. See Chayes, Foreword: Public Law Litigation and the Burger Court, 96 HARV. L. REV. 4, 22-26 (1982).

So-called prudential limitations have been added by the Supreme Court. One is that the injury must be peculiar to the plaintiff or the group she represents, rather than one shared generally with the citizenry at large. Another is that the plaintiff must ordinarily assert her own legal interest rather than those of other persons. Another is that the plaintiff's interest must be arguably within the zone of protection of the relevant constitutional provision.

Id. See South East Lake View, Etc., supra, 685 F.2d, at 1034-1036.

Assuming for a moment that the personal stake or threatened bona fide injury is made out in the allegations of this plaintiff's complaint, it is clear that such injury is traceable to the challenged conduct (namely the enactment of P.L. 97-280 and a presidential designation of 1983, in

tandem) and that an injunction against the presidential designation would avert at least some substantial portion of the threatened injury. It is also clear that plaintiff is asserting her own interest as a "non-theist," although she necessarily asserts as well the interests of many others who do not adhere to that form of theism, the teachings of which appear in the bible. Also, the interest she asserts falls squarely within the protection of the establishment clause.

The two serious questions relating to plaintiff's standing are: (1) whether she has made out a bona fide threatened injury; and (2) whether that injury is peculiar to her or the group she represents, rather than one shared generally with the citizenry at large.

The suggestion is that this is a tempest in a teapot because P.L. 97-280 and a presidential designation of 1983, in tandem, can be expected to have so little actual impact upon anyone. A decent respect for the legislative and executive branches bars acquiescence in this suggestion.

The Senate and the House obviously regarded Senate Joint Resolution 165 as a matter of great moment. By his approval and signature, the President has demonstrated that he shares this view. I may not assume that they consciously engaged in an idle pursuit, nor would it be seemly for me to find that they have erred in their assessement that the designation of 1983 as "the Year of the Bible" will have a real impact upon the lives of the people of the United States. It follows that the impact will be experienced not only by those who already adhere to the teachings of the bible and

those who may be persuaded in 1983 and thereafter to do so, but also by those like the plaintiff who do not adhere and are unlikely to be persuaded. But the impact will vary as between those two broad groups.

The boldness and aggressiveness of P.L. 97-280 cannot be ignored. It pronounces the bible to be "the Word of God" and it calls for the presidential designation of 1983 in recognition of our national need "to apply the teachings of the Holy Scriptures." P.L. 97-280 represents a Congressional pronouncement—and, if the President makes his designation in the terms of P.L. 97-280—a pronouncement by the government of the United States that truth and virtue lie within the bible and that falseness and evil lie without it. The implication is so powerful as hardly to be described as implication, that those who fail or refuse to accept and to apply biblical teachings abide in falseness and evil. To be subjected to such reproach by one's government is to suffer bona fide injury.

There may be a suggestion, too, that this kind of injury pales in contrast to injury to one's body or pocketbook. It is true that much of the Constitution is directed to the physical order, to tangible things and activety, such as commerce and currency and property. But the very opening words of the Bill of Rights are: "Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion" In the constitutional hierarchy, primacy is given to the life of the mind and spirit, to religion and to the absence of religion, to the values we shape for ourselves between birth

and death. To assign minor significance to injury to those values wrought by governmental censure is to misapprehend the Constitution.

I hold that the threatened injury to plaintiff described in the complaint is bona fide, for the purpose of the standing doctrine.

With respect to the remaining prudential test, the threatened visitation of opprobrium upon the plaintiff by her government is not peculiar to her. It is a threat directed to a considerable segment of our population.

However, it is definitely not shared by the citizenry at large. Although statistics immediately available to me are not readily translatable in terms of adherence to the bible as "the word of God," the members of Roman Catholic and Protestant churches in 1979 constituted about 55% of the estimated total population of the United States. It can be assumed safely that a large portion of that 55% are persons who do accept the bible as "the word of God" and who are not threatened with governmental censure for non-adherence to that belief.

I conclude that because the threat of injury here cannot fairly be considered as shared by the whole people of the United States, the plaintiff meets this portion of the standing test.

^{5/}I assume throughout that the "bible" referred to in P.L. 97-280 includes the new testament.

^{6/}Statistical Abstract of the United States, U.S.

I conclude, more generally, that the allegations of the complaint, liberally construed, are sufficient to confer standing upon the plaintiff.

2. Merits of motion for preliminary injunction

The injury with which plaintiff is threatened is serious. It would be irreparable. Once the President had designated 1983 as "the Year of the Bible," incorporating the terms of P.L. 97-280, later efforts by him to repair the damage could hardly be totally successful. Remedies at law, such as money damages, would be unavailable. Nixon v. Fitzgerald, 102 S. Ct. 2690 (1982). On the other hand, unless I were to find as a fact, judicially, that the bible is indeed "the Word of God," from which I consider myself constitutionally prohibited, one or two years of delay in the designation of a "Year of the Bible" appear not unduly injurious to the defendant President, the Congress, and those who desire such a designation.

Nevertheless, it is doubtful whether it is within the power of the national judiciary to intervene in advance of any act contemplated by the President and to exercise its injunctive power to forbid it. State of Mississippi v. Johnson, 71 U.S. (4 Wall.) 475, 501 (1886). Even assuming the judiciary enjoys the power anticipatorily to enjoin the President, who, with the Vice-President, is the only officer of the United States elected by the whole people, this is

I have made plain my view that the issue here is grave.

But what is missing is a virtual certainty that unless '
enjoined, the President will actually choose to designate

1983 as "the Year of the Bible" and, more to the point, that
if he does so, he will express the designation in an unconstitutional manner.

As chief executive it is surely within the power of the President to exhort the entire nation to mark 1983 as a year of reflection and contemplation. It is surely within his power to exhort the people of the United States in 1983 to draw upon those wonderfully rich and various wellsprings of tradition—ethnic, regional, religious, and non-religious—from which they draw their strength and resolve. It is surely within his power to identify and to proclaim his own tradition and to express his respect for those differing but equally proud traditions. To accomplish such constitutional exhortation in the context of a "Year of the Bible" would be a remarkable feat. But doubt that he can perform it does not justify the exercise of the injunctive power of this court against the President, if such power exists.

Order .

It is ordered that plaintiff's motion for a preliminary injunction is denied.

Entered this 234 day of December, 1982.

BY THE COURT:

James E. Doyle District Judge Public Law 97-280 97th Congress

Joint Resolution

Authorizing and requesting the President to proclaim 1983 as the "Year of the Bible".

Oct. 4, 1982 [S.J. Res. 165]

Whereas the Bible, the Word of God, has made a unique contribution in shaping the United States as a distinctive and blessed nation and people;

Whereas deeply held religious convictions springing from the Holy Scriptures led to the early settlement of our Nation;

Whereas Biblical teachings inspired concepts of civil government that are contained in our Declaration of Independence and the Constitution of the United States;

Whereas many of our great national leaders—among them Presidents Washington, Jackson, Lincoln, and Wilson—paid tribute to the surpassing influence of the Bible in our country's development, as in the words of President Jackson that the Bible is "the rock on which our Republic rests";

Whereas the history of our Nation clearly illustrates the value of voluntarily applying the teachings of the Scriptures in the lives of individuals, families, and societies;

Whereas this Nation now faces great challenges that will test this Nation as it has never been tested before; and

Whereas that renewing our knowledge of and faith in God through Holy Scripture can strengthen us as a nation and a people: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That the President is authorized and requested to designate 1983 as a national "Year of the Bible" in recognition of both the formative influence the Bible has been for our Nation, and our national need to study and apply the teachings of the Holy Scriptures.

Year of the Bible.

Approved October 4, 1982.

YEAR OF THE BIBLE

BY THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA A PROCLAMATION

Of the many influences that have shaped the United
States of America into a distinctive nation and people, the
Bible has been and continues to be preeminent.

Deep religious beliefs stemming from the Old and New Testaments inspired the early settlers of our country, helping them to withstand great hardship and danger by providing the encouragement, sustenance, and guidance for them to persevere. Reverence for the Scriptures and their precepts helped forge a sense of common purpose among the widely dispersed colonies — a sense of community which laid the foundation for the spirit of nationhood that was to develop in later decades.

The Scriptures were the basis for the Founding Fathers' abiding belief in the inalienable rights of the individual — rights grounded in the very essence of the Bible and its emphasis on the implicit worth of each of us in the eyes of God. This sense of man patterned the convictions of those who framed the English system of law inherited by our own nation, as well as the ideals set forth in the Declaration of Independence and the Constitution.

For centuries the Bible has inspired such institutional and governmental expressions of compassionate outreach as private charity, the establishment of schools and hospitals, and the abolition of slavery. In case after case the roots of these actions lie unmistakably in the Scriptural teachings on compassion and love for our neighbor.

Many of our greatest national leaders -- among them

Presidents Washington, Jackson, Lincoln, and Wilson -have recognized the influence of the Bible on our country's
development and its importance to the survival of our form
of government and our shared life as a people. The plainspoken Andrew Jackson referred to the Bible as no less than

"the rock on which our Republic rests." Today our beloved America and, indeed, the world is facing a decade of enormous challenge. As a people we may well be tested as we have seldom, if ever, been tested before. We will need resources of spirit even more than resources of technology, education, and armaments. There could be no more fitting moment than now to turn again with gratitude, humility, and urgency to the eternal wisdom revealed to us in the writing that Abraham Lincoln called "the best gift God has ever given to man. . . But for it we could not know right from wrong."

The Congress of the United States, in recognition of both the formative influence the Bible has been for our nation and our national need to study and apply the teachings of the Holy Scriptures, has by Senate Joint Resolution authorized and requested the President to designate the year 1983 as the "Year of the Bible."

NOW, THEREFORE, I, RONALD REAGAN, President of the United States of America, do hereby proclaim 1983 the Year of the Bible in the United States. I call upon all citizens, each in his or her own way, to search this Book of Books for the wisdom and guidance that over centuries have been a source of inspiration, strength, and blessing for mankind.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this

day of , in the year of

our Lord nineteen hundred and eighty-two, and of the

Independence of the United States of America the two

hundred and seventh.

Ronald Reagan

THE WHITE HOUSE WASHINGTON

May 27, 1983

Maiselle

Morton said this is to go to David Waller (115-EOB).

He is to give us clearance on it. He should note that on page 2 there are four enclosures indicated that are to go with the letter.

The letter has been changed per his instructions to note that "The government is vigorously defending this suit." (Previously the paragraph had indicated that the President was vigorously defending this suit.)

If Waller approves this, he should know that it will be sent out to religious leaders who have expressed an interest in the matter.

Thanks.

Toyce

THE WHITE HOUSE WASHINGTON

May 27, 1983

TO: DAVID WALLER

FROM: MORTON C. BLACKWELL

Attached is a letter for your clearance concerning the Year of the Bible suit.

Please note that on page 2 there are four enclosures that are to go with the letter.

This letter will be sent to religious leaders who have expressed an interest in the matter.

Thank you for your prompt attention.