Ronald Reagan Presidential Library Digital Library Collections

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual collections.

Collection: Blackwell, Morton: Files

Folder Title: Tuition Tax Credits I (5 of 13)

Box: 26

To see more digitized collections visit: https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digital-library

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library inventories visit: https://reaganlibrary.gov/document-collection

Contact a reference archivist at: reagan.library@nara.gov

Citation Guidelines: https://reaganlibrary.gov/citing

National Archives Catalogue: https://catalog.archives.gov/

WITHDRAWAL SHEET

Ronald Reagan Library

Collection Name BLACKWELL, MORTON: FILES

Withdrawer

RBW

6/22/2011

File Folder

TUITION TAX CREDITS I (5 OF 13)

FOIA

F06-0055/07

Box Number

26

POTTER, CLAIRE

		Document Description	35		
DOC NO	Doc Type		No of Doc Date Restrictions Pages		
1	PAPER	RE. ADDRESSES	1	ND	B6
2	PAPER	RE. TUITION TAX CREDIT MEETING	1	6/22/1982	B6

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]

B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]

B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]

B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]

B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]

B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]

B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]

B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

James J. Kilpatrick



Religion 'wins

Bill Ball won another big one the other day, and for all those who believe in religious freedom it was cause for celebration. The sovereign state of Michigan got put in its place by an Ingham County circuit judge, Ray C. Hotchkiss.

For those who may have missed earlier installments in a continuing drama, William B. Ball of Harrisburg, Pa., is the nation's foremost constitutional lawyer in the First Amendment field of religion. He won the landmark case of Yoder vs. Wisconsin, involving Amish children. In another case he licked the state of Ohio. He whupped North Carolina. He may yet win his toughest fight, involving Bob Jones University in South Carolina.

In each of these cases, the issue is essentially the same: Ball is defending the constitutional right of non-conforming, unconventional, unoffending persons to exercise their religion free of harassment by government. The First Amendment says flatly that Congress shall make "no law" respecting an establishment of religion or prohibiting the free exercise thereof. That provision many years ago was extended to state legislatures as well as to the Congress.

But the sovereign state of Michigan, in patent indifference to the First Amendment, enacted a law that provides on its face for "the supervision of denominational and parochial schools." The act demands a certain "course of studies" in such schools. Under the law, all teachers at these schools must obtain state certification and must pass a state-controlled examination.

There is still more to this Michigan law. Section 4 of the act authorizes the state superintendent of public instruction to close non-complying schools and to force their students to attend public schools. One of the purposes of the act, not so explicitly stated, is to recover for the public schools the \$2,000 in state aid

that is lost whenever a pupil goes to a private school.

In 1979 the state began threatening the Sheridan Road Christian School and the First Baptist Church School in the small town of Bridgeport, a few miles south of Saginaw. Parents and pastors fought back with a petition for injunction. The case came on for trial in April of last year before Judge Hotchkiss, Last month the court held the key sections of Michigan's law unconstitutional.

It developed at trial that the state's own witnesses were in hopeless confusion about what 'courses of study' could be required of religious schools. The act demanded that these courses, whatever they might be, must meet the "same standard" of courses in public schools, or be generally "equivalent" to the public schools. On cross-examination, Ball reduced the state's expert witnesses to the kind of babble described in that famous trial in Alice in Wonderland. Some of the witnesses said "important" and some said "unimportant."

A major issue had to do with certification of teachers. Was there any evidence, Ball inquired, to prove that state-certified teachers are better teachers than noncertified teachers? Alas, there was none

Dr. Russell Kirk testified that as a general proposition, certification requirements "do positive harm" by demanding pedagogy at the expense of subject knowledge. On the record of achievement tests, the Sheridan Road and First Baptist pupils were doing handsomely. Judge Hotchkiss agreed: "The overwhelming weight of evidence ... shows that teacher certification does not ensure teacher competency and may even hinder teacher competency."

In the end, the judge found that the state has no "compelling" interest in prescribing curriculum or textbooks for religious schools. The state law, he said, does not ensure even a minimum degree of quality in education: "It merely requires that non-public schools be as good as, or as bad as, the public schools." The state's only legitimate interest, the court ruled, lies in enforcing certain requirements having to do with sanitation and safety—and the two schools had not objected to these.

Michigan will appeal Judge Hotchkis's decision, and the case may wind up before the U.S. Supreme Court. Unless a string of precedents is to be overturned, the judge's unequivoral ruling, based upon an excellent record, will be 'affirmed'. Religious freedom comes first: government's entanglement with religion comes a very poor second



Hey, Gaiden Parachute, wake upl it may be fine for as to worn, about things."



The Education Foundation

EDUCATIONAL CHOICE vs. RACIAL REGULATION:

NON-DISCRIMINATION SAFEGUARDS AND THE

TUITION TAX CREDIT BILL

by Jeremy Rabkin

In 1925, a unanimous Supreme Court struck down an Oregon law that required all children to attend the public schools. Parents, the Court insisted, have a constitutional right to have their children educated in private or parochial schools. The state may compel children to be educated, but it cannot compel them to attend state schools, the Court held, because "the child is not the mere creature of the state." The modern Court has continued to affirm this important constitutional guarantee.

But the modern Court has also recognized that many rights guaranteed by the Constitution -- such as the right to be represented by a lawyer in court--can be rather empty for those who lack the financial means to exercise them. In criminal trials, the Supreme Court has required that the government hire defense lawyers for those too poor to hire their own and Congress has appropriated many millions to the Legal Services Corporation, over the last decade, to enable the poor to be adequately represented in civil litigation. The Reagan administration has now proposed that the federal government assist parents to exercise their constitutional right to private schooling for their children, by allowing parents to deduct part of their tuition expenses from their federal tax bill. The cost of private education having risen dramatically in the last two decades, even many middle class parents now require such assistance if they are to have any realistic opportunity to exercise their right to private schooling.

The tuition tax credit bill has been subject to intense criticism on many grounds, however, principally by those who fear its effects on public education. And it is certainly appropriate

Dr. Jeremy Rabkin is Co-Director of the Program on Courts and Public Policy at Cornell University.

to consider the implications of the Reagan bill as a matter of public policy, because the government is not, after all, obliged to subsidize every right guaranteed by the Constitution. Thus there is now a spirited debate in Congress about whether the Legal Services Corporation should be eliminated or its services restricted. In that debate, conservative policy objections to LSC litigation cannot be dismissed by appealing to the right to counsel, for it is not a right the government is always obliged to subsidize. The issue of LSC's continued existence turns essentially on policy judgments, not constitutional determinations. So does the issue of tuition tax credits.

This paper will not attempt any evaluation of the tuition tax credit bill as a matter of policy, however, except in relation to one particular policy objection that has been raised against it by some critics and elaborated at times with quasi-Constitutional That is the charge that educational tax credits would encourage the "flight" of white children to private schools, while discriminatory barriers would then force minority students to remain in resegregated public schools. To counter such charges, the Reagan administration included a provision in its bill to prohibit the use of tuition tax credits at schools practicing racial discrimination. Senator Bradley, among others, has proposed much tighter constrols of this kind. This seemingly peripheral issue has now, in fact, emerged as a critical element in the legislative fortunes of the tuition tax credit proposal. For no one wants to defend a proposal that seems designed to resegregate American education. On the other hand, the tax credit proposal will lose much of its appeal if it is finally loaded down with elaborate regulatory restrictions. The whole point of the tax credits is to promote broader parental choice and control in education and this goal is not readily reconciled with elaborate federal regulation.

The implications of various anti-discrimination provisions should therefore be considered with great care by advocates of tuition tax credits. There are quite different notions of what constitutes "discrimination" and quite different notions of how the problem relates to a tax credit scheme. Advocates of tax credits must take care not to be saddled with the interpretations most favorable to their opponents.

THE RELATION BETWEEN TAX CREDITS AND RACIAL DISCRIMINATION

On the face of it, the problem of discrimination in private schools might seem quite separable from the question of federal support to private education. Racial discrimination is a social evil that can be addressed in direct legislation. Thus the 1964 Civil Rights Act prohibited racial discrimination in private employment, whether an employer received any financial assistance from the government or not. If discrimination in private education is a significant problem, why not act against it in the same direct fashion?

As a matter of fact, there is already some relevant law on the books. In a 1976 decision, Runyan v. McCrary, the United States Supreme Court held that an 1866 civil rights act, prohibiting discrimination in private contracts, makes it unlawful for private schools to maintain a racially exclusive admissions policy. Black students may thus sue in federal court to defend their right to be considered for admission by any private school in the country. It is not clear from the Runyan decision whether the existing law extends to discriminatory practices within a school. But it is notable that over the past twenty years of wide-ranging activity on behalf of civil rights, Congress has never considered any direct legislation dealing with discrimination in private education. Why the urgency to address this problem in connection with tuition tax credits?

Historically, there are two major reasons why antidiscrimination provisions have been linked to federal subsidies or benefits. First, there is the quasi-Constitutional notion that, since government itself may not discriminate, it should not lend support to institutions that do discriminate. Thus the 1964 Civil Rights Act included a blanket prohibition of "discrimination on the basis of race, color or national origin" in "any program or activity receiving federal financial assistance" (Title VI).5 Advocates of this measure originally defended it as embodying "the simple principle that taxes which are raised without regard to race should be spent without regard to race."

The second reason is rather different, however: conditional government benefits provide regulatory leverage, and such "indirect" regulation is often regarded as less coercive—or at any rate, more politically acceptable—than direct controls. Thus government contractors have been required to develop "affirmative action" plans, pledging to hire more minorities and women, as a condition of maintaining their contracts. The burdens of this program have frequently been defended on the grounds that, after all, no one is obliged to do business with the government.

Even the first of these rationales does not inevitably apply to tuition tax credits. The tax credits will go to parents, providing only very indirect benefit to private schools. If such indirect benefit is considered a form of "federal financial assistance" to the schools, themselves, then they will already be covered by Title VI of the 1964 Civil Rights Act—and no additional restrictions should be necessary. Yet Title VI has never been previously applied to tax benefits, precisely because they have not been regarded as "federal financial assistance" even to the immediate recipients, let alone to secondary or indirect beneficiaries. Thus no one has ever suggested that tax deductions for home mortgage payments should be disallowed, even if they benefit banks or realtors engaged in "redlining" or other discriminatory practices.

All federal agencies dispensing "financial assistance" are required to issue implementing regulations to assure that aid recipients comply with the terms of Title VI. Though all federal agencies bestowing direct grants did draw up such regulations in the 1960s, the Internal Revenue Service has never done so and has never been asked to do so by any president or by any court. That is powerful evidence that tax benefits have never been considered the equivalent of direct subsidies.

There are powerful practical reasons for this approach, as well. If tax benefits are direct subsidies, then churches are receiving federal subsidies through their own tax-exempt status and the tax-deductibility of the contributions offered by their followers. In that case, the tax code would seem to violate the Supreme Court's reading of the "Establishment Clause" of the First Amendment, as prohibiting any direct government subsidy to religion. Must we then discontinue tax benefits for religious institutions? Or if this problem is overlooked (for the Supreme Court has, in fact, held that tax exemptions for churches are perfectly constitutional), must we then have the IRS snooping through church records to make sure that no tax-exempt church practices "discrimination"? It is hard to believe that anyone would want such government controls on religion in America.

Of course, non-discrimination provisions in a tuition tax credit bill need not be understood in this way as an acknowledgement of the government's constitutional or civil rights obligations. They can certainly be defended as a simple policy decision to exploit the regulatory leverage which even the bestowal of indirect benefits—and the consequent power to deny them unless specified conditions are met—makes available to the government. Given the policy aim of the tax credit bill—to promote educational choice—some further protection for black children in private schools may be desirable, beyond the existing legal guarantee against discrimination in admissions. Certainly, the promise of expanded educational choice would be a hollow one for minorities if their opportunities for private education were curtailed by arbitrary exclusions or hostile treatment.

But the concept of "discrimination" has often been given extraordinary reach by zealous "civil rights" agencies. Thus the Office for Civil Rights in the Education Department has defined as "presumptively discriminatory" any test or standard on which minorities (or women) perform, on the average, less well than whites (or males). And in the name of "remedying discrimination," OCR has imposed hiring quotas for minority teachers, bilingual education classes for students with English language difficulties, and a variety of other expensive and disruptive requirements for schools receiving "federal financial assistance." If tuition tax credits are regarded as a source of regulatory leverage on private schools, such impositions could certainly be extended. But there is no obligation to do so,

since there is no clear constitutional obligation to place any non-discrimination controls on tuition tax credits. And if it is all a matter of policy considerations, there are several compelling reasons why extensive controls should be avoided.

Foremost is the problem noted at the outset: extensive regulatory controls are incompatible with the aim of expanding educational choice. This point will be elaborated at greater length later in this paper, as its importance demands. But a few other considerations which militate against extensive controls should be noted here. First, a majority of the private schools now in existence are religious schools. Not only are there potential First Amendment problems with extensive regulation of religious institutions, but the general presumption against regulating religion also has obvious and well-founded policy justification. Second, the history of civil rights regulation in other fields suggests that overly ambitious controls can be self-defeating, embroiling enforcements agencies in costly litigation and demoralizing bureaucratic tangles, to the point where enforcement of the most basic standards is jeopardized. 10 Third, over-reliance on the leverage of conditional funding schemes can be self-defeating in another way: If the regulatory conditions are too costly or intrusive, many schools may decide not to participate in the program at all--thereby depriving the government of any leverage on their institutional practices, and also making it harder for them to enroll lower-income children, who are disproportionately black. To the extent that financial cost rather than deliberate segregation is the principal barrier to black enrollment in private schools, excessive regulation will make that barrier harder to overcome.

Why not, then, simply condition participation in the tuition tax credit program on a school's acceptance of a clear, limited—and readily enforceable—non-discrimination standard? This seems to be the soundest policy course, and sound policy seems to be the only thing at stake. Many people have been misled about this, however, by the recent controversy over IRS enforcement of non-discrimination standards for tax-exempt private schools. To dispel such misconceptions, it is worth taking a closer look at the experience of the Internal Revenue Service in this area. That experience also has much to teach about the dangers of open-ended prohibitions on "discrimination."

THE LEGAL BASIS FOR IRS REGULATION OF PRIVATE SCHOOLS .

The Internal Revenue Code provides that tax exempt status will be available to any institution "organized exclusively for religious, charitable, scientific, literary, or educational purposes..." It says nothing at all about the racial policies, non-discriminatory or otherwise, that institutions must maintain to qualify for tax-exempt status. In a 1971 decision, however, the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia held that

the IRS should withhold tax-exempt status from every private school in Mississippi unless it were certified as racially "non-discriminatory." On the basis of this decision, Green v. Connally, the IRS tried to impose increasingly elaborate controls on private schools throughout the country over the following ten years.

STRAIN BYTHIN

In January 1982, the Reagan administration announced that it would no longer subject private schools to nondiscrimination requirements, since the Justice Department had determined that the Internal Revenue Code did not authorize such conditions for tax exempt status. The White House quickly followed up this announcement with a recommendation that Congress should amend the Code to provide such authority to IRS. No action has been taken by Congress, however, in large part because critics have insisted that IRS enforcement authority in this field is already "well established law." The question is now before the Supreme Court in the case of Bob Jones University v. Regan, appealing a prior action against the school by IRS. The Supreme Court will probably not decide the case until June, 1983, at the earliest, and the outcome is still uncertain. But several things can be said about the legal issues in the meantime.

First, whatever else may be said about IRS authority in this area, it certainly did not derive from "well established law." The IRS changed its position during the course of the original Green litigation. It did not contest the plaintiffs' basic demand for non-discrimination conditions, nor did it appeal the district court decision requiring them. A hasty appeal was launched by parents favoring segregated private schools, but the Supreme Court summarily affirmed the district court, without offering any argument to explain its decision. 14 Several years later, the Supreme Court conceded in a formal opinion in another case that, under these circumstances, its summary affirmance of Green "lacks precedential weight." In the spring of 1981, three justices signed a lengthy opinion expressing grave doubts that the IRS did have any enforcement authority in this area. 16 This opinion was offered as a dissent to the Court's denial of certiorari in a related case, and as such it was never answered by the other justices.

Second, the district court decision in Green did not find that tax exemptions are "federal financial assistance" within the meaning of Title VI of the 1964 Civil Rights Act. The plaintiffs indeed urged that the ban on discrimination in Title VI could be extended to tax benefits, but the court specifically declined to reach this question. As noted earlier, the IRS never issued the general implementing regulations required by Title VI, nor has any court ever directed it to do so. 17 The district court in Green based its decision instead on the finding that allowing tax exemptions to "discriminatory" private schools would "frustrate the federal public policy against support for racial segregation of schools, public or private." It cited past decisions of the Supreme Court's "establishing public policy

as a limitation on tax benefits." But these precedents were actually of dubious relevance, since they dealt with corporate taxpayers who sought to deduct criminal fines as "necessary business expenses." The Supreme Court had clearly stated in other cases that such "public policy limitations" on tax deductions were not meant to be a "sanction against wrongdoing," but should apply only "in extremely limited circumstances" where allowing a deduction would undermine criminal law enforcement. Other federal judges in subsequent cases have indeed found that Green relied on a false and inappropriate interpretation of the "public policy limitation" on tax benefits. And here too it is notable that the IRS has never attempted to apply the open-ended reasoning in Green to any tax-exempt institutions, except for private schools.

Finally, the district court decision in <u>Green</u> did not declare that tax exemptions for "discriminatory schools" would be unconstitutional. The court did voice concerns on this account and justified reliance on its strained application of the "public policy limitation" as a way of avoiding the necessity of a constitutional pronouncement. Yet the court did not, in fact, have any better grounds for a constitutional judgment. The court suggested that tax exemptions were "equivalent" to direct subsidies and noted that the Constitution forbids direct subsidy of discriminatory practices. But it could not then explain why tax exemptions for churches would not violate the constitutional prohibition on direct subsidies to religion. In fact, as noted earlier, the Supreme Court has held that tax exemptions for churches are not an unconstitutional subsidy to religion.

As an alternative argument, the court noted that the government was still constitutionally prohibited from pursuing any policy that had the effect of increasing racial segregation. But this was equally dubious. There was no proof that tax exemptions for "discriminatory schools" did have the effect of increasing segregation: such schools might well continue to operate and draw just as many students even if subject to tax, since they had very little profit to report, in any event. More importantly, the Supreme Court has emphasized in subsequent decisions that a mere incidental effect on racial balance is not enough to : invalidate a government policy on constitutional grounds; there must be some indication that the policy has a discriminatory or segregative intent. 21 The Green decision never claimed that the federal policy on private school tax exemptions was intended to encourage segregation. And, of course, it could never have shown this had it tried, since the policy was adopted decades before the Supreme Court's initial decisions on school desegregation, at a time when the federal government gave no thought to the racial character of schools, public or private.

The Green decision is best understood as a bending of the law to reach a very particularized problem in a period of unusual challenge. The Green suit was framed as a class action regarding private schools in Mississippi. When the suit was filed in 1969, civil rights lawyers had recently won an important

victory against state efforts to circumvent public school desegregation there. The state of Mississippi had enacted an extensive program of aid to private schools in the mid-1960s, at the very moment when courts were beginning to enforce desegregation of the public schools in earnest. A federal court in Mississippi struck down this program in 1968, as an unconstitutional effort to recreate an all-white <u>public</u> school system under the guise of aid to private education. 22 All the schools that received state aid under the program were indeed all-white, and many were avowedly established as white "havens" from integration. The Green court seemed to regard the denial of federal tax benefits as a natural extension of this recent decision denying direct state subsidies to the "segregation academies" of Mississippi. But while the impulses behind the Green decision are thus understandable, this does not make its faulty reasoning any more defensible today. And if the Supreme Court is at all faithful to its own past decisions -- an obligation, to be sure, that the Court does not always respect -- it will so pronounce in Bob Jones v. Regan later this year.

10年から、日本日本

If the Supreme Court does uphold the argument of the Justice Department, that Green v. Connally was wrongly decided, nothing will prevent Congress from finally heeding President Reagan's plea and enacting a clear statutory prohibition of tax exemptions for discriminatory private schools. Partisan politics doubtless fueled much of the outcry against the Justice Department's determination that IRS lacked enforcement authority without such a statute. But the controversy also seemed to reflect a fairly broad public consensus that racial discrimination should not be tax exempt, at least where private schools are concerned. Indeed, such a consensus no doubt helps explain why successive administrations acquiesced to the Green decision, despite its very evident flimsiness in legal terms.

But even if the Supreme Court does uphold Green, Congress might still find it advisable to enact some language clarifying the precise scope of IRS authority in this area. And whatever the Court or Congress may decide about conditions for tax exemption, this will not necessarily settle the conditions for participation in a tuition tax credit program. Not every tax-exempt school may wish to participate in the tuition tax credit program, so in principle the eligibility conditions for the latter might be made more demanding. On the other hand, schools which have not applied for, or are not eligible for, tax-exempt status may still attract families interested in the tuition tax credit. Should the credits be available for tuition payments to such schools? Probably not, but that is a decision Congress must face. In all of these decisions about tax benefits and private schools, Congress would do well to remember the experience we have had with IRS enforcement in this field in the decade after Green. "Discrimination," as interpreted by tax officials, turned out to be a surprisingly elastic concept.

LESSONS OF THE IRS EXPERIENCE

How large a problem is racial discrimination in private education? It all depends on how the term "discrimination" is defined. The course of the Green litigation provides a useful perspective on the difficulties involved.

In the immediate aftermath of the Green decision, the IRS notified all tax-exempt private schools that they must publicly declare a policy of non-discrimination if they wished to retain their tax exempt status. In the State of Mississippi, the actual subject of the court order in Green, the IRS found that thirty-three private schools refused to make such a declaration. In all the remaining states (for IRS had decided at the outset to apply the new policy nationwide), the IRS found only some sixty other schools refusing to declare a policy of non-discrimination. In 1975 the IRS tightened its requirements, specifying that schools must publicize their non-discrimination policies each year to retain tax exempt Still, by 1979 only 106 schools in the entire country had forfeited their tax exemptions by failing to meet these requirements.²⁴ Such schools had implicitly confessed that they did not want any black students, and doubtless deserved to be called "segregation academies." On the other hand, some 18,000 schools did agree to publicize their non-discrimination policies. Self-confessed "segregation academies," in other words, were far less than one percent of the private schools known to the IRS.

Civil rights lawyers insisted that the problem was far wider, however, because many of the schools offering public assurances of non-discrimination might actually practice discrimination in subtle ways. The Washington lawyers who brought the original Green suit reopened the litigation in 1976 to demand stiffer enforcement standards. Simultaneously, they filed a national class action suit (originally called Wright v. Simon) to ensure that any new standards would apply across the country, not just in Mississippi. Both cases have dragged on to the present day, with civil rights lawyers insisting that many private schools retaining tax exemptions are actually "discriminatory." But in all these years, they have not found a single tax-exempt school that has turned away black applicants on account of race, nor a single school that has even been accused of doing so! The IRS itself has never found such a school, though it has audited hundreds of schools since 1971 and has specifically sought out such evidence of hidden discrimination.

The civil rights lawyers have claimed, however, that this is beside the point. They maintain that black students have been afraid to apply at all to many schools because they "know" that—whatever the schools may say to satisfy IRS—they are really intended to be white "havens" from integration in the public schools. This claim might be justified in many

cases, but it might just as easily reflect baseless suspicions. Nonetheless, the civil rights lawyers persuaded the IRS that private schools ought to carry the burden of proving that they were not "discriminatory," if they happened to be founded or expended during the period of public school integration. How could the schools prove this? By recruiting a specified quota of black students or at least by spending significant resources in "affirmative action" efforts to do so.

In August 1978, the IRS published a proposed regulation along these lines and -- somewhat to its own surprise, it seems -encountered a storm of protest.25 Private school officials expressed great indignation at a policy which assumed them guilty until proven innocent merely from accidents or geography and chronology. In response, the IRS issued modified regulations in February 1979, which--by indirect but obvious reference--exempted Jewish day schools and Catholic parochial schools from the racial quota and affirmative action requirements. 26 Critics charged that this was a transparent effort to split the private school constituency, the better to regulate the remaining schools. Fundamentalist "Bible schools," in particular, protested the refusal of the IRS to accord them the same benefit of the doubt. Hearings conducted by the House Ways and Means Committee in the spring of 1979 were dominated by bitter criticism of the new IRS policy.

Ultimately, Congress acted directly to rein in the IRS. In the summer of 1979, it enacted amendments to the annual Treasury appropriation, prohibiting the IRS from enforcing any new regulations in this area. 27 These amendments were reenacted with every subsequent appropriation until this year. But it seems likely that the dispute over IRS policy will be renewed following the Supreme Court's decision in Bob Jones v. Regan. And the controversy will surely affect deliberations on the tuition tax credit proposal. Several lessons of the IRS experience should therefore be recalled.

First, there are bound to be grave implementation difficulties with any policy that treats the absence of black enrollment in private schools as a presumptive indication of "discrimination." It is obvious why Jewish day schools do not attract black students. But many Catholic schools in the North have enrolled large numbers of black students, even many black Protestants, attracted by the high educational standards in these schools. Should the absence of black enrollment in other Catholic schools be regarded, then, as an indication of "discrimination"? And what indeed should be made of Fundamentalist schools, whose particular sectarian atmosphere may be uncongenial to most blacks? A quota standard of "discrimination" must inevitably generate official exemptions—as for the Jewish day schools—but drawing official lines in this area is bound to be an extremely divisive and embittering regulatory enterprise.

Second, affirmative action requirements for private schools must raise a host of awkward difficulties concerning regulation of religion. The IRS regulations of August 1978 required that if "suspect" schools did not fill their minority enrollment quotas, they must endeavor to do so by "active and vigorous recruitment" of minority teachers as well as students, by "publicized offering of tuition waivers, scholarships or other financial assistance...for minority students" and by "special minority-oriented curriculum or orientation programs." Many religious schools objected that this effectively required them to proselytize on behalf of their own sectarian creeds within the minority community -- or else violated their right to have schools conducted by and for their own believers. This raises serious First Amendment issues, 28 but the practical dilemmas are equally evident. Some schools may invoke religious scruples as a mere pretext to evade affirmative action requirements. But how is the government to evaluate the sincerity or validity of such claims to "conscientious objector" exemptions from the normal requirements?

Third, focusing attention on minority enrollment patterns tends to confuse the real issue, which is educational opportunity. Racial integration is often a necessary means to this end, but it is not an end in itself. The Supreme Court has required public school districts with a history of officially imposed segregation to "eliminate all vestiges of the dual system," even if this requires assigning students by race to ensure integrated enrollments. The Court has required school districts with guilty pasts to go to great lengths to reassure minorities that governing officials will no longer act on racist intentions. This was intended to provide a better learning environment for minority students.

But the Court has not required active integration efforts where there was no history of official segregation and no need for such special assurances. It has not treated students—black or white—as mere pawns to be rearranged according to some aesthetically pleasing color scheme. Advocates of extended IRS regulation of private schools have come perilously near to this, however. They denounced new private schools in the South as educationally inferior to the public schools, which proved, they said, that these schools were established simply as "havens" for white racists. Yet they simultaneously demanded that the IRS require these schools to recruit minority students. Why minority students should be helped by recruitment into "inferior" schools—whether "racist" or not—is very hard to comprehend. But an obsession with statistical integration can readily generate such confusion.

Finally, it is important to notice that much of the support for enlarging IRS regulation of private schools derived from groups that have been hostile to private education in general. Thus the National Education Association, representing unionized public school teachers, urged that minority enrollment quotas for private schools should be <u>increased</u> "significantly" beyond the IRS proposal and the affirmative action requirements stiffened.³⁰ It is not indulging unwarranted cynicism to suspect that the NEA simply wished to burden private schools with intrusive regulation, or force them to adopt the bureaucratic norms of public education. Tarring private schools with "presumptions" of racism is in any case, a convenient way to distract attention from the many sources of parental dissatisfaction with public education. It is much easier to dismiss rising private school enrollments as a symptom of racism than as a reaction to declining standards in the public schools.

THE PERSON NAMED IN

THE REGULATORY TEMPTATION

To be sure, not all advocates of enlarged racial controls on tax-exempt schools were motivated by general hostility to private education. Any many who now advocate tight controls on tuition tax credits may be equally sincere and fair-minded in their intentions. Public schools, after all, have been subject to very extensive federal regulation over the past decade, and the proponents of these controls surely have not intended to undermine public education. But despite their good intentions, many of these regulatory programs proved to have quite undesirable consequences. The lessons of this broader regulatory experience should also be recalled when Congress devises non-discrimination safeguards for the tuition tax credits.

First, the experience in public education demonstrates that regulation readily begets more regulation. Congress enacted Title VI of the 1964 Civil Rights Act in response to the intense national revulsion at racial segregation in the South. The measure was urged as a recognition of fundamental Constitutional obligations and still more as a matter of "simple justice." In 1972 Congress enacted a parallel measure, prohibiting sex discrimination in "any education program or activity receiving federal financial assistance"—though this time there was no constitutional obligation, and even sponsors of the measure were quite vague about the "problem" it was supposed to remedy. Soon after, Congress enacted statutes to protect the physically and mentally handicapped from "discrimination" in public schools—with almost no debate at all about what these statutes would do or why they were required. 32

Congress itself found it hard to distinguish the constitutional and moral claims of each new constituency demanding federal protection. Regulation writers in the Department of Health, Education and Welfare found it even harder. Having invoked statistical discrepancies as an indication of racial discrimination in the late 1960s, they proceeded to apply the

same techniques to sex discrimination in the mid 1970s, scrutinizing disproportionate enrollments of boys in "shop" classes and girls in home economics classes. Having demanded various affirmative action efforts on behalf of blacks, HEW was readily persuaded to demand wheelchair ramps and costly special devices for the handicapped in the late 1970s. 33

Second, the public school experience demonstrates that regulatory officials tend to expand their reach to cover all contingencies, preferring uniform controls to flexible accommodation. HEW's regulations (taken over by the new Department of Education in 1980) sought to standardize the most minute aspects of public school activity. Eager to forestall any practice that might even be mistaken for "discrimination," HEW's Office for Civil Rights refused to place any trust in the good sense or the fairmindedness of local school officials.

Sometimes the consequences of this petty tyranny were simply comical, as when OCR ruled that an Arizona high school could not maintain separate father-son and mother-daughter banquets. (This, the agency maintained, would be unfair to students who no longer had a parent of the proper sex--taking it for granted that school officials could not be trusted to deal with this difficulty on their own.) But sometimes the consequences of official arrogance have been far more serious. In 1970, for example, OCR decided that failing to provide special assistance for students of foreign origin would constitute "discrimination." Instead of allowing school districts to devise their own remedial programs for students with English language deficiencies, the agency proceeded in the mid-1970s to require bilingual education. It simply ignored protests that native language instruction would isolate foreign-born students, perpetuating their educational problems. It refused to allow schools to adopt proven alternatives for helping these students into the mainstream.

Third, the history of these programs confirms that regulation is readily expanded, but very difficult to remove. The bilingual education requirements were imposed on individual school districts in case-by-case enforcement during the 1970s. When formally codified and published in the Federal Register in 1980, they provoked so much controversy that the official regulation was withdrawn. Yet informal enforcement practice was not significantly changed. A more trivial example may be more revealing: In 1978, HEW Secretary Joseph Califano announced his intention of removing federal controls on school dress codes, conceding that his department's regulations on sex discrimination had erred in not trusting such matters to local judgment in the first place. In response to protests from feminist organizations, however, Califano's successor reversed his decision—and not until two years into the Reagan administration were federal controls in this area finally rescinded. 35

The culminating lesson is the most crucial: regulators readily lose track of their ultimate goals, pursuing good intentions to perverse extremes. This is most evident in OCR's approach to school policies or standards having a statistically disparate effect on different racial groups. The agency has insisted that employment or certification standards for teachers may not be maintained if they have the effect of disqualifying disproportionately more black than white applicants. So too with discipline policies and with testing and tracking procedures for students: If--on a statistical basis--minority students are more often disciplined, failed or demoted, schools can be accused of "discrimination." And it doesn't matter, according to the agency, whether the individual students in these statistics have all been held to the same precise standards. The statistical effect is enough to invalidate a policy or standard for being "discriminatory." 36 But is it really helpful to minority students to have less qualified teachers? Less school discipline? Laxer standards of educational performance? In its obsession with hidden "discrimination," OCR often seems to forget that educational opportunity is the real issue.

All through the 1970s, as these federal controls were elaborated and more and more vigorously enforced, the educational achievements of public school students continued to decline—and this was equally true for minority students as for whites. Federal regulation was surely not the sole, nor even the primary cause of this disturbing trend. Perhaps it was mere coincidence that mounting federal control accompanied accelerating educational decline. But many parents—including many minority parents—concluded that their children would have better educational opportunities in private schools.

Advocates of the tuition tax credit bill argue that this measure will enhance educational opportunity by expanding educational choice. The argument obviously presumes that parents can be trusted to choose the best or most appropriate educational alternatives for their own children. Some educators may dispute this. But it would certainly be very strange for the federal government to subsidize parental choice with tuition tax credits and simultaneously restrict that choice with extensive regulation of private schools. Whatever the net cost or gain from federal regulation of public education, many parents-including many minority parents -- may prefer to take their chances with unregulated private schools. If we try to protect parents from their own preferences with a little regulation, we may end up burdening them--or their children--with a lot more "protection" than they originally bargained for. That, at lease, is what our experience with federal regulation of public education suggests.

CONCLUSION: LIMITING CONTROLS ON PRIVATE EDUCATION

Congress has no constitutional obligation to include non-discrimination safeguards in a tuition tax credit bill. Green v. Connally, the 1971 district court decision that was used to justify IRS regulation of tax-exempt schools, may seem to suggest otherwise. But that decision was almost surely incorrect, as the Justice Department now contends. Existing law already prohibits private schools (regardless of their tax status) from maintaining racially exclusive admissions policies. Furthermore, our experience of federal regulation in public education suggests that enforcement bureaucracies can stretch simple "nondiscrimination" requirements into far-reaching regulatory codes. This would obviously threaten the primary purpose of the tax credit scheme--expanding educational choice. Our experience with IRS regulation in this area also indicates that racial controls in private education would be bitterly divisive and would inevitably raise acute dilemmas regarding government regulation of religion.

How can Congress assure that a non-discrimination provision in the tuition tax credit measure remains reasonably limited?

First, Congress should specify that it is only concerned with race discrimination. Some may wish to see controls on sex discrimination. In 1975, for example, the Civil Rights Commission urged the IRS to withhold tax exemptions from private schools practicing sex discrimination, on the grounds that sex discrimination was already contrary to "public policy." 37 Such regulatory expansion should be firmly checked at the outset. Otherwise, controls may be continually extended, following the pattern in regulation of public education. In private education, controls on race discrimination should be understood as a unique exception to the general principle of non-interference by the federal government.

Second, Congress should specify that racial discrimination means invidious treatment on the basis of race. It should explicitly disclaim any intention to interfere with school standards or policies on the basis of their effects, if they are uniformly applied. It should explicitly disclaim any intent to impose racial quotas or racial balances in private school enrollments or in any aspect of private school activity.

Third, enforcement should not be left to an administrative agency like the IRS. The IRS already audits private schools to ensure compliance with financial reporting requirements, but compliance with nondiscrimination requirements should be presumed of all schools participating in the tuition tax credit program. Schools should not be forced to bear the burden of disproving a presumption of guilt, nor should nondiscrimination be the subject of open-ended investigations by administrative officials. That is a sure recipe for enlarging the meaning of "nondiscrimination."

Instead, Congress should limit enforcement activity to actions initiated by persons claiming that they, themselves (or their children), have been direct victims of racially-motivated mistreatment. Where the possible victims are not sufficiently motivated or concerned to initiate a complaint, the government should not indulge in free-floating investigations into "discrimination" in the abstract.

Congress might allow actual complainants to sue for specified damages in federal court. Or it might allow the Justice Department to sue for civil damages on the complainant's behalf. Efficient enforcement requires that there be some sanction against discrimination, beyond a court order to cease and desist. Otherwise, a school might simply continue its discriminatory practices until ordered to stop in each particular case. To discourage mercenary or frivolous cases, however, Congress might provide that the complainant or the government must cover the school's attorney's fees if the suit fails. In any case, Congress should contain the potential for judicial abuses in such cases by prohibiting class actions, prohibiting any equitable relief beyond orders to cease and desist, and by setting a reasonable limit on damage awards (a maximum of \$50,000, for example).

The Reagan administration bill and most of the current counter-proposals provide that schools found guilty of discrimination will be declared ineligible for participation in the tuition credit program for a period of some years. This is probably a mistake. For this sanction would punish parents and children--who may be quite innocent of any wrong-doing-along with the guilty school officials. That is surely undesirable in itself. And precisely this overkill dimension of the sanction may make it hard to enforce in practice, since judges and government attorneys may feel obliged to lean over backwards to avoid such an inequitable penalty.

Whatever the precise details, regulation of private education can be limited if Congress takes care to do so. If it enacts an open-ended nondiscrimination provision at the outset of a tuition credit program, restraints will be very hard to impose in the future. Thus Congress would be wise to err on the side of caution, leaving itself the option of extending controls later, as experience may dictate. Regulatory controls are always easier to expand than to remove.

NOTES

- 1. Pierce v. Society of Sisters, 268 U.S. 510 (1925).
- 2. Gideon v. Wainwright, 372 U.S. 335 (1963).
- 3. P.L. 92-261.
- Runyon v. McCrary, 427 U.S. 160 (1976)
- 5. 42 U.S.C. \$2000(d).
- 6. A concise account of the origins and development of this program is provided in Nathan Glazer, <u>Affirmative Discrimination</u> (Basic Books, 1975).
- 7. Everson v. Board of Education, 330 U.S. 1 (1947); Lemon v. Kurtzman, 403 U.S. 602 (1971).
- 8. Walz v. Tax Commission, 397 U.S. 664 (1970).
- 9. See policy memorandum of January 14, 1971, Office for Civil Rights, Department of Health, Education and Welfare, defining racial discrimination in employment; see regulations on sex discrimination, 40 Fed. Reg. 24128 (June 4, 1975).
- See Rabkin, "Office for Civil Rights" in J.Q. Wilson,
 ed. The Politics of Regulation (New York: Basic Books, 1980).
- 11. 25 U.S.C. \$501(c)(3) and 26 U.S.C. \$ 170(c)(2).
- 12. Green v. Connally, 330 F. Supp. 1150 (1971).
- 13. Bob Jones University v. Miller, 639 F2d 147 (4th cir., 1980).
- 14. Coit v. Green, 404 U.S. 997 (1971).
- 15. Bob Jones University v. Simon, 416 U.S. 725 (1973) at 740 fn. 11.
- 16. Prince Edward School Foundation v. U.S., 49 LW 3613.
- 17. It is true that in another aberrant case, the IRS was ordered by the D.C. District Court to withhold tax exemptions from social clubs with discriminatory admissions policies.

 McGlotten v. Connally, 338 F. Supp. 448 (D.D.C., 1972).

 But even here no Title VI regulations were issued.

 A devastating critique of that decision—also never appealed by IRS—is provided in Bittker and Kaufman, "Taxes and Civil Rights: Constitutionalizing the Internal Revenue Code," 82 Yale Law Journal 51 (1972).

- 18. The leading case is <u>Tank Truck Rentals v. Commissioner of</u> Internal Revenue, 356 U.S. 30 (1958).
- 19. Commissioner v. Tellier, 383 U.S. 687 (1966) at 69.
- 20. Bob Jones University v. Blumenthal, 486 F. Supp. 890 (D.C.S.C., 1978).
- 21. Washington v. Davis, 426 U.S. 229 (1976); Jefferson v. Hackney, 406 U.S. 535 (1972); Keyes v. Denver School District, 413 U.S. 189 (1973); Milliken v. Bradley, 418 U.S. 717 (1974).
- 22. Coffey v. State Education Finance Commission, 290 F. Supp. 1389 (S.D. Miss., 1969).
- 23. See Rev. Proc. 72-54, 1972-2C.B.834 and Rev. Proc. 75-50, 1975-2C.B. 587.
- 25. Testimony of IRS Commissioner Jerome Kurtz, <u>Tax Exempt</u>
 Status of Private Schools, Hearings Before the Subcommittee on Oversight, House Committee on Ways and Means, 1979, pp. 252-53.
- 25. 42 Fed. Reg. 37296 (Aug. 22, 1978).
- 26. 44 Fed. Reg. 9451 (Feb. 13, 1979).
- 27. P.L. No. 96-74, \$ 103, 93 Stat. 562 and \$ -14, 93 Stat. 576 (1979).
- 28. These were recognized by the court in <u>Bob Jones University</u> v. Blumenthal, 468 F. Supp. 890 (D.C.S.C., 1978).
- 29. See Milliken v. Bradley, 418 U.S. 717 (1974) and Keyes v. Denver School District, 413 U.S. 189 (1973).
- 30. Tax Exempt Status of Private Schools, Hearings, op cit., pp. 1273-74.
- 31. Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, codified at 29 U.S.C. \$1681-86.
- 32. Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, codified at 29 U.S.C. 706. Also P.L. 94-142, "Education for All Handicapped Children Act." For an account of the staggering implementation difficulties encountered in the latter, see Pittinger and Kuriloff, "Educating the handicapped: reforming a radical law," Public Interest, No. 66, Winter 1982, pp. 72-96.
- 33. See the regulations implementing Sec. 504 at 42 Fed. Reg. 22676 (May 4, 1977).

- 34. "Bilingual Education: The New Accent in Civil Rights Regulation," Regulation, Nov./Dec. 1980, pp. 5-8. Also "Bilingual Regulation since Hufstedler: Reform or Paralysis?", LEARN, Inc., Washington, D.C. 1983.
- 35. "Dress Codes Decontrolled," Regulation, Sept./Oct. 1982, pp. 12-13.
- 36. "Student Discipline," and "HEW Fact Sheet" (mimeographed circular produced by HEW for press purposes), dated September 1975, stressing that intent is not the issue.
- 37. U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, To Ensure Equal Educational Opportunity, Vol. III of The Federal Civil Rights Enforcement Effort 1974, January 1975, pp. 153-54.

The opinions expressed in this study are not necessarily those of LEARN, INC., or of any of its officers or employees.

LEARN, INC.

The Education Foundation

For release Tuesday, April 19, 1983 Call Larry Uzzell, 202-362-0725

CONTROLS ON PRIVATE SCHOOLS MAY HURT BLACKS

Amendments to tuition tax credit legislation supported by civil rights leaders may actually make it harder for black students to attend private schools, according to a study by an expert on civil-rights regulation released in Washington today.

Jeremy Rabkin, Co-Director of the Program on Courts and Public Policy at Cornell University, warned in a study commissioned by LEARN, Inc., a private research foundation specializing in education policy, that amendments designed to protect blacks may be self-defeating. Rabkin said that the record of Federal agencies like the U.S. Office for Civil Rights proves that vague, open-ended statutory language can embroil the agencies "in costly litigation and demoralizing bureaucratic tangles, to the point where enforcement of the most basic standards is jeopardized."

Rabkin drew what he called four "lessons" from the experience of civil-rights regulation in public schools. First, "Regulation begets more regulation," as new constituencies like women and the handicapped emerge to demand Federal protection. Second, regulatory officials prefer "uniform controls to flexible accommodation," as when they ruled that an Arizona high school could not hold separate father-son and mother-daughter banquets.

Third, Rabkin said, "Regulation is readily expanded, but very difficult to remove." In 1978 President Carter's HEW Secretary publicly announced his intention of removing Federal controls on dress codes in schools. But it took another four years for the removal of these regulations to be put into effect.

"The culminating lesson is the most crucial," Rabkin said.

"Regulators readily lose track of their ultimate goals, pursuing good intentions to perverse extremes." He cited the Office for Civil Rights' opposition to employment standards, testing procedures, and discipline policies in schools which have the effect of disqualifying blacks in larger proportions than whites.

"The statistical effect is enough to invalidate a policy or standard for being discriminatory" in the view of the Federal regulators, said Rabkin. "But is it really helpful to minority students to have less qualified teachers? less school discipline? laxer standards of educational performance? In its obsession with hidden 'discrimination,' OCR often seems to forget that educational opportunity is the real issue."

Rabkin warned that such overly costly or intrusive regulations against discrimination could discourage many private schools from participating in the tuition tax credit program at all--"thereby depriving the government of any leverage on their institutional practices." Many black children would then find it harder to get into such schools not because of racism, but simply because their parents could not afford to pay the tuition.

"To the extent that financial cost rather than deliberate segregation is the principal barrier to black enrollment in private schools, excessive regulation will make that barrier harder to overcome," Rabkin said.

Self-confessed "segregation academies" number less than one per cent of the private schools known to the U.S. Internal Revenue Service. Civil-rights lawyers have claimed that many schools practice discrimination without admitting it, but Rabkin pointed out that "they have not found a single tax-exempt school that has turned away black applicants on account of race nor a single school that has even been accused of doing so."

Rabkin recommended that tuition tax credit legislation should include safeguards against racial discrimination, but that Congress should draft these safeguards in such a way as to prevent the Internal Revenue Service from becoming another Office for Civil Rights, setting detailed policies for schools on issues which have nothing to to with discrimination. He recommended that Congress allow only actual victims of specific acts of discrimination to sue for damages. "Where the possible victims are not sufficiently motivated or concerned to initiate a complaint, the government should not indulge in free-floating investigations into 'discrimination' in the abstract," he said. He also suggested that Congress assign responsibility for enforcement to the Justice Department only, not to an administrative agency like the IRS.

"Congress would be wise to err on the side of caution, leaving itself the opiton of expanding controls later," Rabkin concluded. "Regulatory controls are always easier to expand than to remove."

mtean Taf THE WHITE HOUSE Office of the Press Secretary For Immediate Release September 14, 1982 REMARKS OF THE PRESIDENT IN A MEETING WITH RELIGIOUS EDITORS The Roosevelt Room 12:50 P.M. EDT

MR. MEESE: Mr. President, we've just been having a discussion that's kind of a follow-on to your speech in Kansas on American values, and we've discussed some of the legislative issues that are related to those values. So you might want to say a few words yourself on the subject.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, all right. Yes, I will. I think my timing is terrible, though. As an after-lunch and afterdinner speaker, I can tell you that one of the great hazards that always drives me up the wall -- I happen to be a dessert man myself. (Laughter.) And usually I hear the toastmaster introducing me just as they're putting the dessert in front of me, I have to get up and walk away from it. (Laughter.) I feel as if I've done that too --But go ahead and, please, go ahead and eat while we're talking.

I'm delighted that you're all here and I know that you've been briefed and had a briefing on the subject of our legislation for tuition tax credits. And I expect to make another strike and try for a breakthrough in that today and hope to get it out of the Senate committee and onto the floor because I'll be meeting very shortly with Senators Dole and Moynihan and Roth and Packwood on this particular subject.

I know there's been a lot of debate and discussion about the issue. I doubt if there's much opposition among you, I hope not, to this idea. I don't know whether Ed or Karna told you about a survey that we've just come across -- a research done in 54 parochial schools -- that found with regard to -- I say this as an answer to those people that, again, have just automatically tagged this proposal as "something for the rich". All they think of when they think of private -- that's why I try to avoid the word "private" school. I try to refer to it as independent school because all they think about is someone sending a child to a high-class expensive finishing school or prep school. And that isn't true. That isn't what we're really talking about. There are so few of those compared to the general parochial schools, independent schools, throughout the country. But in this survey of 54 schools, they found 56 percent in these parochial schools of the student body were black; 31 percent of those were Protestant. Now, I know there are Protestant schools represented here as well as the Catholic schools. But what they also learned was -- and the parents, incidentally, of most of these children, the overwhelming majority, are not anywhere up on the economic scale. As a matter of fact, the average tuition of those schools worked out to \$300. That was a true hardship at the economic level of the parents who, wanting something better for their children as parents have from time immemorial, and wanting the best education they could provide for them were willing to sacrifice and pay a tuition to a private school because they no longer had confidence in the public schools in their areas -that they could get the training that they would need to advance.

MORE

And they found that it was not religion that had prompted the overwhelming majority to choose a religious school whether Protestant or Catholic. It was the desire and the belief that they would get a better education there than they could get in a present day public schools.

Now, I'm a product of the public schools myself in a small town in Illinois. But I believe all of us are aware that there have been changes. I happen to believe that as long as there is independent education in this country, all the way from the lowest grade on up through college and university, then we have academic freedom. I would hate to see the day when all education in our country was tax supported and, therefore, under political guidance and rule. And I think also, the best chance to improve the quality of education which on-the-record has very definitely established that in public schools, under whatever pressures or crowding or whatever, has slumped in comparison to the schools that we're talking about. The best chance we have of raising that level is through competition.

So, we're going to do everything we can. I believe heart and soul and campaigned on this issue. The fairness of it — the fact that families are paying their full share of the taxes to support the public school system and are still willing to sacrifice on top of that and pay fully the cost for sending their child — there's no way that this can be construed as some are trying to do, as an assault on the public schools — or that in any way it is taking anything away from the support of the public schools. And if anyone wants to do a little arithmetic, let them sit down and figure out if these independent schools disappeared tomorrow and the public schools had to pick up the burden of all of the students presently being educated in these other schools, what would happen to

the taxes of everyone, where would the public facilities come from -school facilities? So, I've gone on longer than I wanted. If someone
here had just -- I know I've only got a second or two before I've got
to cross the hall. But if there was a question or two that hasn't
been answered in the briefing that you'd like to throw at me just
because I'm here, fire away.

Q Mr. President, about the issue of a compromise that you're going to be working out this afternoon with some people on the Finance Committee -- doesn't the issue hinge on segregation? And what kinds of provisions would be acceptable to you for you to strengthen the bill to satisfy some of its critics?

THE PRESIDENT: I have to believe that -- since this will be a tax credit and by the government -- I have to believe that, obviously, such schools would have to meet the standards of intergration and be open to all. And I -- apparently -- I have not seen any evidence that that is not already taking place. The figures that I just gave a moment ago ensure that that is taking place in the schools that we are talking about.

Q Well, Mr. President, do you not think this could be attacked as somewhat of a band-aid approach to education? Shouldn't your administration be trying to upgrade the quality of public education?

THE PRESIDENT: Of course, public education is not a function of the federal government. There is financial aid in recent years to some of these schools. And, as a matter of fact, being able to remember when that began, it was the usual thing of the federal government claiming that there was distress after the federal government had usurped most of the tax sources in the country. And, having created the problem, then, for local rule, the federal government said, oh, we must help you. And, in the beginning, educators opposed that, because they thought that it would interfere with academic freedom. And the federal government insisted, oh, no. It just wanted to help them out financially.

I remember, on one occasion, Francis Kepple was the Director of Education at the time at the federal level — and he said they had absolutely no intention of interfering in any way. And some of the educators who were debating this issue had proposed a tax credit idea of contributions to schools, whether public or independent,

of a certain amount, and so let the government -- they would know better -- set the amount, and wouldn't this be direct aid then financially -- a tax credit for a contribution to a school, whether public or private, keeping open the competitive idea. And why wouldn't such a thing work? And after days and days of debate Mr. Kepple gave away more than he had intended. He suddenly grew impatient and he blurted out, "Well, under such a system we couldn't acheive our social objectives."

This was from a man that had said there would not be any government social objectives, there would just be financial aid. No, I think that the federal government has done what it can to insure that in the running of the public schools, they must live up to our Constitution, which they did not always do as we know, and some years ago there had to be some rather drastic action to bring that about.

That is the function of the federal government, to insure that anyone's constitutional rights are being observed and to go where-ever the government has to go to see that that does take place. But I --know, I don't think that -- If you look at some of the figures in the public school system in recent years, you will find that the federal government has more than matched its financial aid with interference in the running of schools, and I believe that this is what has led to the deterioration of quality -- that the federal government has imposed out of all proportion -- I think the federal government puts up about 8 percent of the cost of public education, but it interferes far more than 8 percent in the things that it imposes and demands of the public schools.

MR. GERGEN: Sir, you have a 1:00 pm appointment.

THE PRESIDENT: All right. Well, there was one hand down here that -- Father.

Q Mr. President, what is your assessment of the possibility in the country for a human life amendment, an anti-abortion amendment of any kind?

THE PRESIDENT: The one that is presently being blocked by a filibuster I know has been in a sense cluttered up with a lot of extra and extranneous other resolutions which have weakened support for it and probably is one of the reasons that we are apparently not able to get cloture to shut off the filibuster.

MORE

If that continues, then the only outcome can be for the Leader of the Senate to table that particular amendment, which then opens the floor for several others that are waiting on that same subject.

My own feeling is I'm going to fight as long and hard as I can, and what I said in Philadelphia recently about that -- I've been a little critical sometimes to myself, not openly, about some of the human life groups, because, first of all, they have not rallied behind a single measure. They've been divided behind these several -- in support of these various -- several members, and that's what's kept us from bringing this to the floor and getting a determination.

But the other thing is I have wished that they could center on a much more simple approach. And I tried this out in speaking to the Knights of Columbus in Philadelphia. We've had extensive hearings in the Senate to determine when does life begin, and great expert testimony given by people of conflicting views on that. But the upshot was no real firm decision as to when life begins. And I think that in itself was a decision. If you cannot determine when life begins, then doesn't simple morality dictate that you opt for the fact that it is alive until and unless someone can prove it dead?

If we came upon a body in the street that was unconscious and we weren't sure whether it was unconscious or dead, we wouldn't say, "Let's bury it." We'd wait until someone assured us that it wasn't alive.

And I think the same thing goes of the unborn child. I happen to believe the unborn child is a living human being. I think the fact that children have been prematurely born even down to the three-month stage and have lived to -- the record shows, to grow up and be normal human beings, that ought to be enough for all of us. And I just don't think there's anything that -- other than self-protection, the protection of the mother's life, that justifies taking of a human life. We condone it in self-defense. We can condone it in no other way. And I'm going to -- I intend to fight it out in that line if it takes all -- (laughter) -- all four years.

MR. GERGEN: Thank you, Mr. President.

WITHDRAWAL SHEET

Ronald Reagan Library

Collection Name Withdrawer BLACKWELL, MORTON: FILES 6/22/2011 RB

W

FOIA File Folder

F06-0055/07 TUITION TAX CREDITS I (5 OF 13)

POTTER, CLAIRE

Box Number

26 35

No of Doc Date Restric-DOC Document Type NO Document Description tions pages

PAPER 1 ND **B6**

RE. ADDRESSES

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]

B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]

B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]

B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]

B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]

B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]

B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]

B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

Fu

TUITION TAX CREDITS MEETING

June 22, 1982

The Roosevelt Room

1:30 p.m.	Welcome and Introductions - Jack Burgess Office of Public Liaison
1:35 p.m.	Overview of Legislation - Robert Carleson Office of Policy Development
1:50 p.m.	Legislative Strategy - Bob Thompson Office of Legislative Affairs
2:05 p.m.	General Discussion - Morton Blackwell Office of Public Liaison
2:15 p.m.	The President and Vice President arrive
2:20 p.m.	The President departs
2:30 p.m.	The Vice President departs and meeting adjourns

Mr. Robert Baldwin Executive Director Learn, Inc.

Mr. William Bentley Ball Ball & Skelly

Mr. William Billings President National Christian Action Coalition

Mr. Virgil Dechant Supreme Knight Knights of Columbus

Dr. Leonard DeFiore Superintendant of Schools Archdiocese of Washington

Dr. Jerry Falwell Moral Majority

Rev. Thomas Gallagher Secretary for Education U.S. Catholic Conference

Rev. John Giminez Chairman Washington For Jesus

Mr. James Henderson President National Office of Elack Catholics

Rev. Melvin Fodges Director Foundation for Christian Education

Dr. Ronald Jchnson Vice Presidert Accelerated Christian Education

Rev. Alexand=r Karloutsos Executive Director Department of Communications Greek Orthodox Archdiocese of North and South America

Mr. Ben Kinchlow Co-Host Seven Hundred Club Christian Broadcasting Network

Rabbi Menachem Lubinsky Director of Government and Public Affairs Agudath Israel of America

List of Participants (cont.)

Ms. Marilyn Lundy President Citizens for Educational Freedom

Mr. Ed McAteer President Religious Roundtable

Msgr. John Meyers President The National Catholic Educational Association

Msgr. Edward F. Spiers Archdiocese of Washington

Mr. Robert Smith
Executive Director
Council for American Private Education

Mr. Paul Weyrich Director Committee for Survival of a Free Congress

Dr. Walter Williams Economics Professor George Mason University

Mr. David Young Murphey, Young & Smith, Attorneys at Law May 15. 198.

THE WHITE HOUSE WASHINGTON

TUITION TAX CREDIT GROUP

Mike Uhlmann (395-5114 OPD) Room 200 Winder Bldg. USTR

Ann Fairbanks (2884) 213 OEOB

Dan Oliver, Education (245-8940) 400 Maryland Ave. SW, Room 4091, Wash. 20202

Chuck O'Malley, Education (472-9610) Room 4137, 400 Md. Ave. SW Washington 20202

Gary Bauer (2135) Room 212 OEOB

Mel Bradley (6560) Room 162 OEOB

Mike Horowitz, OMB (395-4852) Room 472 OEOB William McKee, Treasury (566-2316) Room 3064, U.S. Treasury Dept. WASH 20220

Morton Blackwell (2657) Room 191 OEOB

Bob Thompson (Ken Duberstein's office) (Ext. 2230) 2nd Floor West Wing

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

Mr. Robert Baldwin Executive Director Learn, Inc.

Mr. William Bentley Ball (717) 232 -8731 Ball & Skelly

Mr. William Billings (703) 941-8912
President Acron Carundon
National Christian Broadcasting Network

Dr. Leonard DeFiore Superintendent of Schools 789-0074 Archdiocese of Washington

Dr. Jerry Falwell Moral Majority (804) 528-411

Rev. Thomas Gallagher
Secretary for Education
U.S. Catholic Conference

Rev. John Giminez Rock Church 804 495-1905

James Henderson 347-4619
President
National Office of Black Catholics

Rev. Melvin Hodges (504) 355-1644

Foundation for Christian Education

Dr. Ronald Johnson 214 462-1776 Vice President Accelerated Christian Education

Rev. Alex Karloutsos
Executive Director
Department of Communciations
Greek Orthodox Archdiocese of North and South America

Mr. Ben Kinchlow
Co-Host
Seven Hundred Club

Rabbi Menachem Lubinsky 212 791 1800 Director of Government and Public Affairs Agudath Israel of America

List of Participants (cont.)

Ms. Marilyn Lundy 638-6423.
President
Citizens for Educational Freedom

Mr. Ed McAteer
President
Religious Roundtable

Msgr. John Meyers 293-5954
President
The National Catholic Educational Association

Mr. Robert Smith 659-00 Executive Director Council for American Private Education.

Mr. Paul Weyrich 546-3000 Director Committee for Survival of a Free Congress

Dr. Walter Williams Economics Professor George Mason University

Mr. David Young Attorney

ADDENDUM
Mr. Virgil Dechant
Supreme Knight
Knights of Columbus

772-2130

May EDWARD Spiers 526-5047 DIVINE WORD COLLEGE

WITHDRAWAL SHEET

Ronald Reagan Library

Collection Name BLACKWELL, MORTON: FILES Withdrawer

6/22/2011 RB

W

File Folder

FOIA

TUITION TAX CREDITS I (5 OF 13)

F06-0055/07

POTTER, CLAIRE

Box Number

26

35

DOC Document Type NO Document Description No of Doc Date Restricpages

tions

2 **PAPER** 1 6/22/1982 B6

RE. TUITION TAX CREDIT MEETING

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]

B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]

B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]

B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]

B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]

B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]

B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]

B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

From the desk of:

TO Maiselb

CONNAUGHT MARSHNER

Date 4-13

Morton

This list includes 500 National organizations supportive of turn to adib, in the manner indicated by the section headings.

Please call me if
you have any questions
or need to discuss any
of this.

Hope you had a good Easter.

- CRCM

X Invito to White It man

The Committee for the Survival of a Free Congress, Inc. 721 2nd Street, N.E. • Capitol Hill • Washington, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-3003

A. The following will only support a bill with adequate protections against government harrassment.

Accelerated Christian Education Dr. Ron Howard 2600 Aceln Lewisville, Texas 75067

(214) 462-1776

Association of Christian Schools International Dr. Paul Kienel P. O. Box 4097 Whittier, California 90607 (800)

(800) 423-4655

X

Moral Majority
Dr. Jerry Falwell
499 South Capitol Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20003

(202) 484-7511

Rev. Lamarr Mooneyham Tri-City Baptist Church 4023 Pope Road Durham, NC 27707

(919) 493-7596

Rev. Greg Dixon 2635 S. East Street Indianapolis, IN 46241

(317) 787-3231

Mr. Stewart Gaines State Executive Director 1736 Merryvale Road Birmingham, AL 35216

(205) 823-4700/979-6125

Rev. Bill Monroe 2308 S. Irby Street Florence, SC 29501

(803) 662-0453

Rev. Don Jones
P. O. Box 21382
Billings, MT 59102

(406) 652-2808

Rev. Jerry Prevo 6229 Camrose Anchorage, AK 99504

(907) 333-4812

Rev. Jim Vineyard 517 N. W. 23rd Oklahoma City, OK 73427

(405) 943-3326

Rev. Fred Mason P. O. Box 18625 Austin, TX 78760

(512) 451-8930

Christian Voice
Gary Jarmin
418 C Street, N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20002

(202) 544-5202

A. Continued

xx V	National Christian Action Coalition William Billings 5515 Cherokee #306 Alexandria, Virginia 22312	(703)	543-1300
	Michigan for Biblical Morality Rev. Donald Segar 2020 Packard Road Ypsilanti, Missouri 48111	(313)	485-3527
类	Religious Roundtable Mr. Edward McAteer 1500 Wilson Blvd., Suite 501 Arlington, Virginia 22209	(703)	525-3795
X	Coalitions for America Mrs. Connaught Marshner 721 Second Street, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20002	(202)	546-3000
X	Conservative Caucus Miss Susan Phillips 450 Maple Avenue, Suite 309 Vienna, Virginia 22180	(703)	281-6782
	National Taxpayers Legal Fund Jule Herbert, Jr. 711 Maryland Avenue, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20002	(202)	543-1300
X	Eagle Forum Mrs. Phyllis Schlafly 316 Pennsylvania Avenue, S.E. Suite 203 Washington, D.C. 20003	(202)	544-0353
X	Taxpayers Educational Lobby Dan Alexander P. O. Box 160224 Mobile, Alabama 36615	(205)	471-2101
/	Catholic Knights of America Bill Eimers 3525 Hampton Avenue St. Louis, Missouri 63139		
,/	Christian Action Council Doug Badger National Press Building 422 C Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20002	(202)	544-1720

A. Continued

American Conservative Union
Don Todd
316 Pennsylvania Avenue, S.W.
Washington, DC 20003

(202) 546-6555

Parents Rights
Mea Duggan
12571 Northwinds Drive
St. Louis, MO 63141

Christian Family Renewal Dr. Murray Norris

- B. The following would support any tax credit bill.
 - * Christian Schools International Dr. Michael T. Ruiter 3350 E Paris Avenue, S.E. Grand Rapids, MI 49508
 - * National Catholic Education Association Rt. Rev. Msgr. John F. Meyers 1 Dupont Circle Washington, D.C.



Citizens for Educational Freedom
Mrs. Marilyn Lundy
854 Washington Building
15th and New York Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005

Bernie Bauer 1139 Western Avenue South Bend, IN 46625 (219) 282-1211

James Condit
305 Dixie Terminal Bldg.
Cincinnati, OH 45202 (513) 579-1100

Dan Daugherty 1625 Oregon Avenue Philadelphia, PA 19102 (215) 462-8970

Kirby Ducote
P. O. Box 53244
New Orleans, LA 70153 (504) 522-7469

Dr. Kenneth O'Loane 331 Senica Parkway Orchester, NY 14613 (716) 458-5872

Imogene Treichel
333 Griggs-Midway
St. Paul, MN 55104 (612)645-0373

Association for Public Justice James W. Skillen P. O. Box 56348 Washington, D.C. 20011

Institute for The Study of Private Schools Donald A. Ericson 1015 Gayley Avenue, Suite 1150 Los Angeles, CA 90024

National Association for The Legal Support of Alternative Schools P. O. Box 2823 Sante Fe, NM 87501

National Association of Catholic School Parents

B. Continued

National Association for Parents Rights in Education Frank Brown Box 1806

Chicago, IL 60690

(312) 333-2019

Ohio Coalition for Education Alternatives Mrs. Licia Vorys 61 E. Mithoff Columbus, Ohio 43206

South Carolina Independent School Association Fred Wagner 1636 Horseshoe Drive Columbia, SC 20204

* National Society of Hebrew Day Schools Rabbi Bernard Goldenburg 229 Park Avenue, South New York, NY 10003

Agudath Israel of America Rabbi Morris Sherer Five Beekman Street New York, NY 20005

National Association of Neighborhood Schools Bill D'Onofrio 1800 W. 8th Street Wilmington, Delaware 19805 (302) 658-1856

California LITE Doris Engerle P. O. Box 1633 Huntington Beach, CA 92647

(714) 846-7236

California Association of Private School Organizations Dr. Joe McElliott 926 J Street, Suite 1100 Sacramento, CA 95814 (916) 443-4851

(**) Unlikely to be very active one way or another

(*) Member of CAPE Council for American Private Education

- C. The following are groups that are very protective of Packwood Moynihan Bill.
 - * United States Catholic Conference Rev. Thomas G. Gallagher 1312 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC
- * (**) National Association of Independent Schools Mr. John C. Esty, Jr. 18 Treemont Boston, MA 02108
- * (**) Seventh-Day Adventist Board of Education Mr. Fred S. Stephan 6840 Eastern Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20012

(**) Unlikely to be very active one way or another

(*) Member of CAPE Council for American Private Education

D. The following are sympathetic foundations.

The Heritage Foundation Dr. Onalee McGraw 513 C Street, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20002

Learn, Incorporated The Education Foundation Robert Baldwin 10369 B Democracy Lane Fairfax, Virginia 22030

385-5826

Catholic League for Religious and Civil Rights Michael Schwartz, Executive Director 1100 W. Wells Street Milwaukee, WI 53233 (414

(414) 289-0170

Education Voucher Institute Robert Lytle 26211 Central Park Blvd. Suite 324 Southfield, MI 48076

Sequoia Institute Robert Hawkins 1822 21st Street, Suite 200 Sacramento, CA 95814

Education Freedom Foundation Dan McGarvy 12571 Northwinds Drive St. Louis, MO 63141

Council for Educational Freedom in America Mr. Robert Marlowe 2810 Walters Lane Forrestville, MD

Catholic Central Bureau Harvey Johnson 3835 Westminster Place St. Louis, MO 63108

The Freeman Institute 3740 W. 1987 South Salt Lake City, UT 84109

(801) 973-1776

most prominent friends of the ?

3 addyt - wort prom

we could least appeared to wester

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

Black religious leaders and/or educators called in by Mrs. Ben Partin (too late for our tuition tax credit meeting).

Jay Parker Virginia Lincoln Institute Black leader and Christian who works with Colson in prison ministry

Walter Williams George Mason University Economics Department

Professor who is interested in helping blacks get off dole

St. George Cross 3509 Kings Point Rd. Randallstown, Md. 21133

Rev. Anthony Gee, Sr. Baptist church in Alexandria

Jim Earls
Emmaus Road Baptist Church
3000 Berkley Avenue
Chesapeak, VA 23325 (804) 545-3400
(recommended by Partins' pastor)

Rev. Charles Nims Religious Round TAble

Pastor Lou Baldwin 10100 Logan Dr. Potomac Maryland 20854 983-8971

Lynwood Davis 13403 Taylor Ct. Ft. Washington, Md. 20022 292-9073

Tony Sowell (by Bob Thoburn)

Preacher Brown in Baltimore (well known radio personality)

From the desk of:

CONNAUGHT COYNE MARSHNER

Editor, Family Protection Report

Date _____

LIST B

This dist is of Catholic press.

It includes diocesaus newspapers around the country, probably all q which will be supporters of tuition tax credits.

Protestant press list coming hopefully tomorrow.

FREE CONGRESS RESEARCH AND EDUCATION FOUNDATION INC.
4 LIBRARY COURT, S.E., CAPITOL HILL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20003 — (202) 546-3004

1	1	157	-
	16	151	4

Consolata P.O. Box C Somerset, NJ 08873	Contact 123-15 14 Ave. College Point, NY 11356	Contemplative Review Beckley Hill Barre, VT 05647	Continuum St. Xavier College Chicago, IL 60655
The Cord	Counseling and Values	The Critic	Cross Curents
St. Bonaventure University	1607 New Hampshire Ave., NW	180 N. Wabash Ave.	103 Van Houten Fields
St. Bonaventure, NY 14778	Washington, DC 20009	Chicago, IL 60601	W. Nyack, NY 10994
Crossroads Radio	CRUX of Prayer	CRUX of the News	Damien-Dutton Cal
1089 Elm Street	75 Champlain St.	75 Champlain St.	616 Bedford Ave.
W. Springfield, MA 01089	Albany , NY 12204	Albany, NY 12204	BelImore, NY 11710
Daystar	The Deaf Blind Weekly	Desert Call	Diakonia
172 Foster St.	154 E. 23rd St.	Star Route 1	Fordham University
Brighton, MA 02135	New York, NY 10010	Sedona, AZ 86336	Bronx, NY 10458
Catholic Banner	Catholic Bulletin	Catholic Chronicle	Arizona Catholic Lifetime
P.O. Box 818	244 Dayton Ave.	P.O. Box 1866	64 W. Ochoa St.
Charleston, SC 29401	St. Paul, MN 55102	Toledo, OH 43603	Tucson, AZ 85701
Arlinton Catholic Herald	The Beacon	Bishop's Bulletin	Bolletino
200 N. Glebe Rd#614	Box A	423 N. Duluth Ave.	1801 Van Ness Ave.
Arlington, VA 22203	Pequannock, NJ 07440	Sioux Falls, SD 57104	San Francisco, CA 94109
Byzantine Catholic World	The Catholic Advance	The Catholic Comentator	Catholic Communicator 202 Morningside Dr., SE Albuquerque, NM 87108
3643 Perrysville Ave.	424 N. Broadway	P.O. Box 14746	
Pittsburgh, PA 15214	Wichita, KS 67202	Baton Rouge, LA 70808	
Catholic Exponent 320 Ohio One Bldg. 25 E. Boardman St. Youngstown, OH 44503	The Advocate 37 Evergreen Pl. E. Orange, NK 07018	The Catholic Herald 5890 Newman Ct. Sacramento, CA 95819	Catholic Herald Citizen P.O. Box 736 Milwaukee, WI 53201
Catholic Herald Citizen Madison Edition P.O. Box 1176 Madison, WI 53701	Catholic Herald Citizen Superior Edition 1512 N. 12th St. Superior, WI 54880	The Priest 200 Noll Plaza Huntington, IN 46740	Queen of All Hearts 40 South Saxon Avenue Bay Shore, NY 11/06
Spirituality Today	Christopher Radio Program	Crossroads	WAY-of st. Francis
Aquinas Institute	12 East 48th Street	1089 Elm Street	109 Golden Gate Avenue
Dubuque, TA 52001	New York, NY 10017	West Springfield, MA 01089	San Francisco, CA 94102
Worship St. John's Abbey Collegeville, MN 56321	Encuentro 1089 Elm Street West Springfield, MA 01089	Sacred Heart Program 3900 Westminster Place St. Louis, MO 63108	Catholic University Drama Dept. Catholic University of America Washington, DC 20064

Centro Video 285 Oblate Drive San Antonio, TX 78216	Christopher TV Series 12 East 48th Street New York, NY 10017	Father Peyton's Family Theater Productions 7201 Sunset Boulevard Hollywood, CA 90046	Franciscan Communications Center 1229 South Santee Street Los Angeles, CA 90015
Mary Productions "Airtime"	Paulist Productions	Sacred Heart Program-TV	UNDA-USA
Mary Productions Guild	PO Box 1057	3900 Westminster Place	3015 Fourth Street NE
58 Lenison Avenue Belford, NJ 07718	Pacific Palisades, CA 90272	St. Louis, MO 63108	Washington, DC 20017
Belloldy No 07718	:	·	
CCF	OFB	O'Hara Institute	Anchorage-Chancery Office
222 North 17th Street-Suite 907		PO Box M	PO Box 2239
Philadelphia, PA 19103	New York, NY 10022	Des Moines, IA 50312	Anchorage, AK 99510
Communications Office	Communications Office	Communications Office	Catholic Communications
155 E. Superior Street	425 Summit Street	222 North 17th Street	Northwest
Chicago, IL 60611	Joliet, IL 60435	Philadelphia, PA 19103	1511 3rd Avenue
			Seattle, WA 98101
Delaware Valley Catholic	International Mission	NCOI	National Catholic Register
Office for TV and Radio	Radio Association	1312 Massachusetts Avenue, NW	1901 Avenue of the Stars-#1511
222 N. 17th Street	St. Paul's Abbey	Washington, DC 20005	Los Angeles, CA 90067
Philadelphia, PA 19103	Newton, NJ 07860		
The National Catholic Reporter	North Country Catholic	The Observer	The Observer
P.O. Box 281	P.O. Box 326	P.O. Box 2079	921 W. State St.
Kansas City, MO 64141	Ogdensburg, NY 13669	Monterey, CA 93940	Rockford, IL 61103
Service Marketon			18/1
One Voice P.O. Box 10822	our Northland Diocese	our Sunday visitor	Outlook
Birmingham, AL 35202	310 S. Ash Crookston, MN 56716	200 Noll Plaza Huntington, IN 46750	215 W. 4th Street Duluth, MN 55806
	crosscon, in Surio	nuncringcon, in 40,50	Edition, No 33606
PADRES	The Pittsburgh Catholic	Polish American Journal	Proclaimer
3112 W. Ashby	110 Third Ave.	413 Cedar Ave.	P.O. Box 2824
San Antonio, TX 78228	Pittsburgh, PA 15222	Scranton, PA 18505	Kalamazoo, MI 49003
Providence Visitor	The Record	St. Joseph's-Blatt	
184 Broad Street.	433 S. 5th St.	St. Benedict, OR 97373	St. Louis Review 462 N. Taylor Ave.
Providence, RI 02903	Louisville, KY 40202	The same same same same same same same sam	St. Louis, MO 63108
AVACAN AND A			
Shlakh-The Way	The Sooner Catholic	The Southern Cross	The Southern Cross
805 N. Franklin St. Philadelphia, PA 19123	P.O. Box 32180 Oklahoma City, OK 73132	P.O. Box 81869	601 E. 6th St.
rurraderburd, su 19123	SALMIONIC CITY, ON 13132	San Diego, CA 92138	Waynesboro, GA 30830
Southwest Kansas Register	Steubenville Register	Tablet	The Globe
P.O. 1317	419 S. 4th St.	1 Hanson Pl.	1821 Jackson St.
Dodge City, KS 67801	Steubenville, OH 43951	Brooklyn, NY 11243	Sioux City, IA 51105

Good News Visitor, of Northwest Florida 209 S. Calhoun St. Tallahassee, FL 32301	The Guardian P.O. Box 7417 Little Rock, AR 72217	Hawaii Catholic Herald 1184 Bishop St. Honolulu, HI 96813	Hlas Naroda 2657-59 S. Lawndale Ave. Chicago, IL 60623
Horizons	Idaho Register	Impact	Inland Register
1900 Carlton Road	P.O. Box 2835	1234 Massachusetts Ave., NW	P.O. Box 48
Parma, OH 44134	Boise, IA 83701	Washington, DC 20005	Spokane, WA 99210
20200 SD-2111			SANGER AND SERVE
Inside Passage	The Intermtn. Catholic Register	Jednota	Joliet Catholic Explorer
419 6th St.	P.O. Box 2489	Jednota and Rosedale Ave.	425 Summit St.
Juneau, AK 99801	Salt Lake City, UT 84110	Middletown, PA 17057	Joliet, IL 60435
Lafayette Sunday Visitor	Lake Shore Visitor	The Long Island Catholic	The Messenger
P.O. Box 1603	2-M Commerce Bldg.	P.O. Box 700	224 W. Washington ST.
Lafayette, IN 47902	Erie, PA 16512	Hempstead, NY 11551	Belleville, IL 62222
The Messenger	The Michigan Catholic	The Mirror	The Monitor
1044 Scott St.	644 Selden St.	M.P.O. Box 847	441 Church St.
Covington , KY 41012	Detroit, MI 48201	Springfield, MO 65801	San Francisco, CA 94114
	222227, 112 34222	apringition, in occur	Tanoibeo, an Julia
The Monitor	The Morning Star	Narod Polski	Nasa Nada
139 N. Warren St.	P.O. Box 3223	984 Milwaukee Ave.	1414 W. 119th St.
Trenton, NJ 08607	Lafayette, LA 70502	Chicago, IL 60622	Crown Point, IN 46307
			Market and a second
The Catholic Weekly	The Catholic Witness	Central California Register	Central Washington Catholic
1628 Lambden Road	PO Box 2555	1550 N. Fresno St. PO Box 1668	PO Box 505
Flint, MI 48501	Harrisburg, PA 17105	Fresno, CA 93717	Yakima, WA 98907
The Challenge	The Chicago Catholic	Church Today	Church World
PO Box 505 Jefferson Station	155 East Superior Street	PO Box 7417	Industry Road
Detroit, MI 48214	Chicago, IL 60611	Alexandria, LA 71306	Brunswick, ME 04011
Acceptable and the second	Annual An		
Clarion Herald	Common Sense	The Compass	The Courier
523 Natchez Street	1325 Jefferson Avenue	PO Box 909	PO Box 588
New Orleans, LA 70130	Memphis, TN 38104	Green Bay, WI 54305	Winona, MN 55987
Courier-Journal	The Criterion	Darbininkas	Denver Catholic Parinter
67 Chestnut Street	PO Box 174	341 Highland Boulevard	Denver Catholic Register PO Box 1620
Rochester, NY 14604	Indianapolis, IN 46206	Brooklyn, NY 11207	Denver, CO 80 201
	Name of the second	8	
The Dialog	Diocese of Orange Bulletin	Draugas	The Eastern Kansas Register
1925 Delaware Avenue	440 South Batavia	4545 West 63d Street	2220 Central
Wilmington, DE 19899	Orange, CA 92668	Chicago, IL 60629	Kansas City, KS 66110

Eastern Montana Catholic Register 725 Third Avenue North Great Falls, MT 59403	Lastern Oklahoma Catholic Register Box 520 Tulsa, OK 74101	National Communications office Newsletter 237 N. Michigan Street South Bend, IN 46601	El Visitante Dominical Oblates of Mary Immaculate PO Box 96 San Antonio, TX 78291
The Evangelist 39 Philip Street	The Florida Catholic 620 North Magnolia Avenue	Gary Sunday Visitor PO Box M-356	News/Views 1307 S. Wabash Ave.
Albany, NY 12207	Orlando, FL 32802	Gary, IN 46401	Chicago, IL 60605
The Catholic Key	Catholic Messenger 407 Brady Street	Catholic Mirror 200 Jewett Building	Catholic Missourian
PO Box 1037 Kansas City, MO 64141	Davenport, IA 52805	Des Moines, IA 50309	Jefferson City, MO 65101
The Catholic News 68 West Broad Street	Catholic Northwest Progress 907 Terry Avenue	Catholic Observer 57 Observer Street	The Catholic Post
Mt. Vernon, NY 10552	Seattle, WA 98104	Springfield, MA 01101	Peoria, IL 61656
Catholic Review 320 Cathedral Street	Catholic Sentinel 2816 East Burnside Street	The Catholic Spirit 161 Edgington lane	Catholic Standard 1711 N Street NW
Baltimore, MD 21203	Portland, OR 97214	Wheeling, WV 26003	Washington, DC 20036
Catholic Standard and Times 222 North 17th Street	Catholic Star Herald 1845 Haddon Avenue	Catholic Telegraph 326 West 7th Street	Catholic Times
Philadelphia, PA 19103	Camden, NJ 08103	Cincinnati, OH 45202	Columbus, OH 43216
Catholic Virginian	The Catholic Voice	The Catholic Voice	0.41112- 0-0
14 North Laurel Street Richmond, VA 23220	6060 Northwest Radial Omaha, NE 68104	2918 Lakeshore Avenue Oakland, CA 94610	PO Box 349 Mobile, AL 36601
			HODITE, AL SOUL
The Catholic Weekly	Catholic Charismatic Paulist Press	CCH Echoes PO Box 481	Catholic Forester Magazine
Saginaw, MI 48605	545 Island Road Ramsey, NJ 07446	Alton, IL 62002	305 West Madison Street Chicago, IL 60606
Catholic Golden Age Newsletter Scranton Life Building	Catholic Historical Review Catholic University of America	The Catholic Journalist	C.K. of A. Journal 217 East 8th Street
Scranton, PA 18503	Washington, DC 20064	Rockville Centre, NY 11570	Cincinnati, OH 45202
C.L. of C. Index 2770 East Main Street	Catholic Lawyer	Catholic League Newsletter	Catholic Library World
Columbus, OH 43209	St. John's University Jamaica, NY 11432	1100 West Wells Street Milwaukee, WI 53233	461 West Lancaster Avenue Haverford, PA 19041
Catholic Life Magazine 35750 Moravian Drive	The Catholic Mind 106 West 56th Street	Catholic Near East Magazine 1011 First Avenue	Catholic Periodical and
Fraser, MI 48026	New York, NY 10019	New York, NY 10022	461 West Lancaster Avenue Haverford, PA 19041

Catholic Pharmacist 1012 Surrey Hills Drive St. Louis, MO 63117	Catholic Quote Valparaiso, NE 68065	Catholic Review 154 East 23d Street New York, NY 10010	Catholic Press Directory 119 North Park Avenu Rockville Centre, NY 11570
Catholic Rural Life 3801 Grand Avenue Des Moines, IA 50312	Catholic Trends 1312 Massachusetts Avenue NW Washington, DC 20005	Catholic University of America Law Review Washington, DC 20064	Catholic War Veteran 2 Massachusetts Avenue NW Washington, Dc 20001
Catholic Woman 1312 Massachusetts Avenue NW Washington, DC 20005	Catholic Woman's Journal 3835 Westminster Place St. Louis, MO 63108	Catholic Worker 36 East First Street New York, NY 10003	Catholic Workman 112 1/2 East Main New Prague, MN 56071
Charities USA 1346 Connecticut Avenue NW Washington, DC 20036	Chicago Studies PO Box 665 Mundelein, IL 60060	Alaskan Shepherd 1312 Peger Road Fairbanks, AK 99701	American Benedictine Review 2d and Division Streets Atchison, KS 66002
American Midland Naturalist Notre Dame, IN 46556	The Americas Box 34440 Washington, DC 20034	Amerikanski Slovenec 6117 St. Clair Avenue Cleveland, OH 44103	The Annunciation 2 Forest Avenue Cohoes, NY 12044
Anthonian Paterson, NJ 07509	Anthopological Quarterly 620 Michigan Avenue NE Washington, Dc 20064	Apostolate of Our Lady Carey, OH 43316	Apostolote of the Little Flower 906 Kentucky Av. PO Box 5280 San Antonio, TX 78201
Ateitis 7235 South Sacremento Avenue Chicago, IL 60629	Ave Maria 600 Doat Street Buffalo, NY 14211	Bells of St. Ann Belcourt, ND 58316	Benedictine Orient 2400 Maple Avenue Lisle, IL 60532
Benedictines Mt. St. Scholastica Atchison, KS 66002	Best Sellers University of Scranton Scranton, PA 18510	Better World Belford, NJ 07719	The Bible Today Liturgical Press Collegeville, MN 56321
Brothers' Newsletter Passionist Monastery PO Box 150 West Springfield, MA 01089	The Call Board 227 West 45th Street New York, NY 10036	Camillian: Journal of the Nat. Assn. of Catholic Chaplains 1312 Massachusetts Avenue NW Washington, Dc 20005	CHD Newsletter 1312 Massachusetts Avenue NW Washington, DC 20005
Catholic Action News PO Box 1750 Fargo, ND 58102	Catholic Aid News 49 West 9th Street St. Paul, MN 55102	Catholic Apostolate Newsletter 5424 Blue Mound Road Milwaukee, WI 53208	Catholic Biblical Quarterly Catholic University of America Washington, Dc 20064
The Catholic Cemetery 710 North River Road Des Plaines, IL 60016	Texas Catholic 3915 Lemmon Avenue Dallas, TX 75219	The Texas Catholic Herald 1700 San Jacinto Street Houston, TX 77002	Texas Catholic Herald- Austin Edition 1401 Washington Avenue Waco, TX 76702

Texas Latholic Herald-Beaumont PO Box 3944 Beaumont, TX 77704	Texas Concho Register 116 South Oakes San Angelo, TX 76901	Texas Gulf Coast Catholic PO Box 2584 Corpus Christi, TX 78403	The Tidings 1530 West 9th Street Los Angeles, CA 90015
Time and Eternity	Twin Circle	Upper Peninsula Catholic	The Voice
514 East Lawrence Street	1901 Avenue of the Stars-#1511		6201 Biscayne Boulevard
Springfield, IL 62703	Los Angeles, CA 90067	Marquette, MI 49855	Miami, FL 33138
Voice of the Southwest	The Wanderer	WestMont Word	West Liver Catholic
PO Box 68 Lumberton, NM 87547	201 Ohio Street St. Paul, MN 55107	PO Box 1729 Helena, MT 59601	Rapid City, SD 57709
West Texas Catholic	Western Michigan Catholic	Western New York	Wyoming Register
1800 North Spring	650 Burton SE	Catholic Visitor	PO Box Box 1308
Amarillo, TX 79107	Grand Rapids, MI 49507	100 South Elmwood Avenue Buffalo, NY 14202	Cheyenne, WY 82001
A.D. Correspondence	ADRIS Newsletter	AGAPE	× AIM
Notre Dame, IN 46556	Department of Theology	4012 Monterey	1800 West Winnemac Avenue
	Fordham University Bronx, NY 10458	Edina, MN 55416	Chicago, IL 60640
Second Spring	Serenity	The Serran	Sister Miriam Teresa League of
121 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102	601 Maiden Choice Lane Baltimore, MD 21228	22 West Monroe Street Chicago, IL 60603	Prayer Bulletin League Headquarters
an remotery on 31202	2422		Convent Station, NJ 07961
material ten mater	months a management		
Spirituality Today 1909 South Ashland Avenue	Squires Newsletter Columbus Plaza	Studies in the Spirituality of Jesuits	Sword 29 North Broadway
Chicago, IL 60608	New Haven, CT 06507	3700 West Pine Boulevard St. Louis, MO 63108	Joliet, IL 60435
The American Catholic Who's Who	Thomas More Book Club	The Catholic Almanac	Catholic Book Club
1312 Massachusetts Avenue NW Washington, DC 20005	180 North Wabash Avenue Chicago, IL 60601	200 Noll Plaza Huntington, IN 46750	New York, NY 10019
mashingcon, be 20003	chicago, in outer	nuncington, in 40,50	New Total, MI Tuois
Communio-International	News and Views	U.S. Catholic	Waif's Messenger
Catholic Review Gonzaga University	2900 Westminster Pl. St. Louis, MO 63108	221 West Madison Street Chicago, IL 60606	Chicago, IL 60690
Spokane, WA 99258		and an annual	and day of the court
Western Catholic Union Record	Worldwide Family Spirit	Worship Times 7291 Coronado Drive-No. 3	The Official Catholic Directory
906 W.C.U. Building Quincy, IL 62301	233-26 Mariano Street Woodland Hills, CA 91364	San Jose, CA 95129	P. J. Kennedy and Sons 866 Third Avenue
Account to the contract	A STATE OF STATE	The state of the s	New York, NY 10022
School Guide	Spirit	The Union UNIREA	Visions
68 West Broad Street Mt. Vernon, NY 10552	Seton Hall University South Orange, NJ 08824	4309 Olcott Avenue East Chicago, IN 46312	200 Noll Plaza Huntington, IN 46750

Institute Journal 50 Oak Street San Francisco, CA 94102	Catholic Digest Book Club Catholic Digest Magazine PO Box 43090 St. Paul, MN 55164	Herald Book Club 1434 West 51st Street Chicago, IL 60609	NC News Service 1312 Massachusetts Avenue NW Washington, DC 20005
Religious News Service 43 West 57th Street New York, NY 10019	pastern Rite Info. Service 2208 West Chicago Avenue Chicago, IL 60633	America 106 West 56th Street New York, NY 10019	Catechist 2451 East River Road Dayton, OH 45439
Catholic Digest PO Box 43090 St. Paul, MN 55164	Columban Mission St. Columbans, NE 68056	Crusader's Almanac Franciscan Monastery 1400 Quincy Street NE Washington, DC 20017	Marriage and Family Living St. Meinrad, IN 47577
My Daily Visitor Noll Plaza Huntington, IN 46750	Parish Family Digest Noll Plaza Huntington, IN 46750	Jesus to the Communist World The Voice of the Martyrs P.O. Box 11 Fladoc, CA 91209	Christian Anti-Communist Crusade P.O. Box 890 Long Beach, CA 90801
N.C.C. Due Process of Law Fund Div. of Church and Society 475 Riverside Drive New York, NY 10027	Theological Studies Georgetown University 37th & O Streets, NW Washington, DC 20057	Theology Digest 3534 Lindell Blvd. St. Louis, MO 63108	The Theresian News 5326 E. Pershing Ave. Scottsdale, AZ 85254
The Thomist 487 Michigan Ave., NE Washington, DC 20017	≱hought Fordham University Press-Box Le Bronx, NY 10458	Today's Catholic Teacher 2451 E. River Rd#200 Dayton, OH 45439	Today's Parish P.O. Box 180 West Wystic, CT 06340
Topic 151 Thompson Street New York, NY 10012	Trinity Missions P.O. Box 30 Silver Spring, MD 20910	Ultreya Magazine 4500 W. Davis Street Dallas, TX 75211	L'Union 1 Social Street Woonsocket, RI 02895
Verona Fathers Missions 2104 St. Michael's St. Cincinnati, OH 45205	Venture 200 Noll Plaza Huntington, IN 46750	Vox Regis Alumni Newsletter 711 Knox Road East Aurora, NY 14052	Wheeling College Chronicle 316 Washington Ave. Wheeling, WV 26003
Word of God 2187 Victory Blvd. Staten Island, NY 10314	Working for Boys Box A Danvers, MA 01923	Worldmission 366 5th Avenue New York, NY 10001	Xaverian Missions Newsletter 101 Summer Street Holliston, MA 01746
Your Edmundite Missions Newsletter 1428 Broad Street Selma, AL 36701	The Salvatorian Newsletter Society of The Divine Savior Salvatorian Center New Holstein, WI 53062	Sandal Prints 1820 Mt. Elliott Ave. Detroit, MI 48107	The School Sister Notre Dame of the Lake Mequon, WI 53092
Science Studies St. Bonaventure Univ. St. Bonaventure, NY 14778	Scripture in Church P.O. Box 9 Northport, NY 11768	Share 1312 Massachusetts Ave., NW Washington, Dc 20005	Share P.O. Box 589 Plaquemine, LA 70764

(

(

The Shepherd's Call 901 Thirteenth St., NW Alburquerque, NM 87103	The Sign Monastery Place Union City, NJ 07087	Silent Advocate St. Rita School for Deaf 1720 Glendale-Milford Road Cincinnati, OH 45215	Sistersharing 1962 Shenandoan Los Angeles, CA 90034
SC News 4400 Churchman Ave. Louisville, KY 40215	Sisters Today St. John's Abbey Collegeville, MN 56321	Social Justice Review 3835 Westminster Pl. St. Louis, MO 63108	Social Thought 1346 Connecticut Ave., NW Washington, DC 20036
Sodalis Polonia St. Mary's College Orchard Lake, MI 48033	Sons of Mary Missionary Society 567 Salem End Road Framingham, MA 01701	Sophia 719 Washington Street Steubenville, OH 43952	Soul Ave Maria Institute Washington, NJ 07882
Spirit and Life 8300 Morganford Road St. Louis, MO 63123	Spiritual Book News Notre Dame, IN 46556	Spiritual Life 2131 Lincoln Road, NE Washington, DC 20002	Pastoral Life Route 224 Canfield, OH 44406
Pastoral Music 1029 Vermont Ave., NW Washington, DC 20005	Paulist Pathers News 1865 Broadway New York, NY 10023	Perpetual Help world 294 W. 150th Street Bronx, NY 10451	Philosophy Today Carthagena Station Celina, OH 45822
Pilgrim Jesuit Fathers Auriesville, NY 12016	The Pope Speaks Our Sunday Visitor, Inc. 200 Noll Plaza Huntington, IN 46750	Priatel Dietok 205 Madison Street Passaic, NJ 07055	The Priest 200 Noll Plaza Huntington, IN 46750
Probe 1307 S. Wabash Ave. Chicago, IL 60605	Program Supplement Columbus Plaza New Haven, CT 06507	Professional Placement Newsnotes W. 17th Street New York, NY 10011	The Quarterly 2021 H Street, NW Washington, DC 20006
Reign of the Sacred Heart Hales Corners, WI 53130	Religion Teacher's Journal W. Mystic, CT 06388	Religious Book Review 125 Mineola Ave. Roslyn Heights, NY 11577	Religious Life 4200 N. Austin Ave. Chicago, IL 60634
Religious Media Today 432 Park Ave., S New York, NY 10016	Renascence Marquette University Milwaukee, WI 53233	Respect Life Report 1312 Massachusetts Ave., NW Washington, DC 20005	Review for Religious 3601 Lindell Blvd#428 St. Louis, MO 63108
Review of Politics Box B Notre Dame, IN 46556	Review of Social Economy 25 E. Jackson Blvd. Chicago, IL 60604	Roses and Gold from Our Lady of the Ozarks 1740 Grand Ave. Carthage, MO 64836	Roze Maryi Eden Hill Stockbridge, MA 01262
Sacred Music 548 Lafond Ave. St. Paul, MN 55103	St. Anthony Messenger 1615 Republic Street Cincinnati, OH 45210	St. Anthony's Newsletter Mt. St. Francis, IN 47146	St. Joseph's Advocate Mill Hill Missionaries Albany, NY 12203

PRINTED IN U.S.A.

Salesian Missions 148 Main Street New Rochelle, NY 10802	Salesian Bulletin 148 Main St. New Rochell, NY 10802	Missionaries of Africa Report 1622 21st Street, NW Washington, DC 20036	Mount Loretto Review 108 Bedell Street Staten Island, NY 10309
My Daily Visitor 200 Noll Plaza	NAWR Trends 1307 S. Wabash Ave.	National Catholic Forester 35 E. Wacker Drive	Commonweal 232 Madison Ave.
Huntington, IN 46750	Chicago, IL 60605	Chicago, IL 60601	New York, NY 10016
National Jesuit News St. Joseph's College	Network Quarterly 1029 Vermont Ave., NW-#650	New Catholic World 1865 Broadway	New Covenant P.O. Box 8617-Main St. Station
Philadelphia, PA 19131	Washington, DC 20005	New York, NY 10023	Ann Arbor, MI 4810/
New Scholasticism Notre Dame, IN 46556	Laiskai Lietuviams 2345 W. 56th St.	Laivas 4545 W. 63rd St.	The Newsletter 1234 Massachusetts Ave., NW
	Chicago, IL 60636	Chicago, IL 60629	Washington, DC 20005
Newsletter of the Bureau of	North American Voice of Fatima	Notre Dame Magazine	Nova et Vetera
Catholic Indian Missions 2021 H Street, NW	1023 Swann Road	Notre Dame University Notre Dame, IN 46556	37 Evergreen Pl.
Washington, DC 20006	Youngstown, NY 14174	Notre Dame, IN 46556	E. Orange, NJ 07018
Nursing Sisters Today	Oblate World and Voice of Hope	On Comm	Origins
310 Prospect Park W. Brooklyn, NY 11215	350 Jamaica Way Boston, MA 02130	1511 Third Ave. Seattle, WA 98101	1312 Massachusetts Ave., NW Washington, DC 20005
			YVq.
Our Lady of the Snows 15 S. 59th Street	Our Lady's Digest Box 777	Our Lady's Missionary Topsfield Road	Pacer 500 17th Ave.
Belleville, IL 62223	Twin Lakes, WI 53181	Ipswich, MA 01938	Seattle, WA 98124
Padres' Trail	Paraclete	Parish Visitor	Passionist Orbit
St. Michael's Mission St. Michael, AZ 86511	P.O. Box 2000 Wheaton, MD 20902	25 Elm Street Oneonta, NY 13820	5700 N. Harlem Ave. Chicago, IL 60631
Marriage and Family Living	Mary Magazine & Aylesford News	Maryknoll Magazine	Master's Work
Abbey Press St. Meinrad, IN 47577	Westmont , IL 60559	Maryknoll, NY 10545	Techny, IL 60082
Mature Catholic	Media and Values	Media-Mix	Mediatrix
1100 W. Wells Street Milwaukee, WI 53233	1962 S. Shenandoah Los Angeles, CA 90034	221 W. Madison St. Chicago, IL 60606	6301 12th Ave. Brooklyn, NY 11219
Medical Mission News	Family	Men of Malvern	Mercy Profile
10 W. 17th St. New York, NY 10011	50 St. Paul's Ave. Boston, MA 02130	Malvern, PA 19355	23v3 Grandview Ave. Cincinnati, OH 45206

The Messenger 16010 Detroit Ave. Lakewood, OH 44107	MHS Review 232 S. Home ave. Pittsburgh, PA 15202	Piesiecznik Franciszkanski Franciscan Printery Pulaski, WI 54162	Moigration Today 209 Flagg Place Staten Island, NY 10304
The Miraculous Medal 475 E. Chelten Ave.	Mission 366 Fifth Ave.	Mission 1663 Bristol Pke.	The Mission Helper 1001 W. Joppa Road
Philadelphia, PA 19144	New York, NY 10001	Cornwell Heights, PA 19020	Baltimore, MD 21204
Missionhurst 4651 N. 25th St.	Mission Intercom	Modern Liturgy	The Modern Schoolman
Arlington, VA 22207	1302 18th St., NW-#702 Washington, DC 20036	Resource Publications 7291 Coronado Drive San Jose, CA 95129	3700 W. Pine Blvd. St. Louis, MO 63108
Momentum	Mother Cabrini Messenger	MSC Spotlite	Mwangaza
One DuPont Circle-Suite 350 Washington, DC 20036	Mother Cabrini Contact Ctr. Des Plaines, IL 60016	305 Lake Street Aurora, IL 6050/	172 Foster Street Brighton, MA 02135
In-Formation	Institute Journal	Integrity	International Review of
1234 Massachusetts Ave., NW Washington, DC 20005	50 Oak Street San Francisco, CA 94102	6243 Fairfield Ave. Chicago, IL 60629	Natural Pamily Planning St. John's University Collegeville, MN 56321
It's Our World 800 Allegheny Ave.	The Jesuit 29 E. 83rd St.	The Jesuit 1607 Marquette Bldg.	Jesuit Blackrobe
Pittsburgh, PA 15233	New York, NY 10028	New Orleans, LA 70112	3601 W. Fond du Lac Ave. Milwaukee, WI 53216
The Columbian	The Josephite Harvest	The Jurist	Katolicky Sokol
188 W. Randolph St. Chicago, IL 60601	1130 N. Calvert St. Baltimore, MD 21202	Catholic Univ. of Am. Washinton, DC 20064	205 Madison St. Passaic, NJ 07055
Kinship	Keeping in Touch-KIT	Knights of St. John	Kolping Banner
P.O. Box 23072 Cincinnati, OH 45223	1820 Mt. Elliott Ave. Detroit, MI 48207	6517 Charles Ave. Cleveland, OH 44129	Cambria Heights, NY 11411
Fellowship of Catholic	Filipino Catholic Newsmagazine		Law Briefs
Scholars Newsletter St. John's University Jamaica, NY 11439	Los Angeles, CA 90012	Montgomery, AL 36108	1312 Massachusetts Ave., NW Washington, DC 20005
Leaves 23715 Ann Arbor Trail	Letter from St. Paul Newsletter 955 Lake Drive	Liguorian l Liguori Road	Linacre Quarterly 850 Elm Grove Road
Dearborn Heights, MI 48127	St. Paul, MN 55120	Liguori, MO 63057	Elm Grove, WI 53122
Listening	Little Bronzed Angel	Little Flower Magazine	Liturgy
Washington, DC 20017	St. Paul's Indian Mission Marty, SD 53761	1125 S. Walker Oklahoma City, OK 73126	810 Rhode Island Ave., NE Washington, DC 20018

Catholic Hungarian's Sunday 1739 Mahoning Avenue Youngstown, OH 44509	International Philosophical Review Fordham University Bronx, NY 10458	Jesuit Bulletin 4511 W. Pine Blvd. St. Louis, MO 63108	Director (Radio-TV) 419 6th Street Juneau, AK 99801
El Visitante de Puerto Rico Box 1967	Zeal St. Elizabeth Mission Society of the Sisters of St. Francis Allegany, NY 14706	Mr. Louis Aguirres P.O. Box 9077 Houma, LA 70360	Rev. Robert L. Anderson S.J. 400 Government Street Mobile, AL 36601
San Juan, PR			
Rev. Cormac Antram P.O. Box 39 Tohatchi, NM 87325	Mrs. Janna Avalon Mississippi Today Box 2130	Mr. Fred Avila 3534 Vista Road Pasadena, TX 77504	Rev. Robert L. Anderson S.J. 400 Government Street Mobile, AL 36601 ev. William Ayres Director CTN 1345 Admiral lane Uniiondale, NY 11553 Rev. Hugh Behan
10	Jackson, MS 39205		1345 Admiral lane Uniiondale, NY 11553
Rev. John W. Barrett Catholic Free Press 49 Elm Street Worcester, MA 01609	Mr. James Bastis Box 80428 San Diego, CA 92138	Msgr. Hugh M. Beahan 267 Sheldon Avenue, SB Grand Rapids, M1 49502	Rev. Hugh Behan Box 1107 Jefferson City, MO 65102
Ms. Patricia Benton 64 W. Ochoa Street Tucson, AZ 85701	Rev. Bernard M. Berger West Nebraska Register P.O. Box 608 Grand Island, NE 68801	Ms. Joan Bernet 226 Summit Avenue St. Paul, MN 55102	Most Rev. John M. Bilock 54 Riverview Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15214
Ms. Rosemary Borgert St. Cloud Visitor R10 St. Germain Street Box 1068 St. Cloud, MN 56301	Msgr. Frank Brady 1212 Morningside Avenue Sioux City, IA 51106	Rev. Noel C. Burtenshaw Georgia Bulletin 756 W. Peachtree Street, NW Atlanta, GA 30308	Mr. Gerald Butterfield 217 S. Adams Tallahassee, FL 32301
Rev. Donald R. Campion JESCOM 1717 Mass. Aven., NW-#402 Washington, DC 20005	Mrs. Edythe Capreol P.O. Box 3948 Beaumont, TX 77704	Ms. Geraldine Carrigan Catholic Crosswinds 1001 N. Grand Avenue Pueblo, CO 81003	Mr. Gerald Butterfield 217 S. Adams Tallahassee, FL 32301 Rev. Thomas Chalina 5390 W. 220th Street Fairview Park, OH 44126
Ms. Maria I. Chediak de Toll San Jose 602-Apt. 4 Santurce, PR 00909	Msgr. James F. Church 1121 K Street Sacramento, CA 95814	Msgr. Eugene V. Clark 1011 First Avenue New York, NY 10022	Msgr. Paul W. Clunan 203 S. White Station Road Memphis, TN 38117
Mr. Ronald Coleman 2249 S. Brentwood Blvd. St. Louis, MO 63144	Rev. Philip Colibrato Box 940 Douglas, WY 82633	Mr. Jay Cormier Concern 153 Ash Street Manchester, NH 03105	S
Rev. Desmond Daly P.O. Box 5465 Sun City Center, FL 33570	Rev. James D. Dawson Southern Nebraska Register Box 80328 Lincoln, NE 68501	Mr. Frank DeRosa Box C Brooklyn, NY 11202	Ms. Ann DeZell Box 949 Winona, MN 55987 Rev. Thomas Dolinay
Rev. Paul Dehner 3810 W. Jefferson Road Rokomo, IN 46901	Msgr. Louis J. Delahoyde 423 N. Doluth Avenue Sioux Falls, SD 57104	Rev. Michael J. Dempsey Director CTN 1712 10th Avenue Brooklyn, NY 11215	Rev. Thomas Dolinay Eastern Catholic Life 101 Market Street Passaic, NJ 07055

The Living Light Our Sunday Visitor, Inc. 200 Noll Plaza Huntington, IN 46750	Love/Life/Death Issues St. John's University Collegeville, MN 56321	Marian Helpers Bulletin Stockbridge, MA 01261	Marquette Today 1212 W. Wisconsin Ave. Milwaukee, WI 53233
Dimensions 119 N. Park Ave. Rockville Centre, NY 11570	Divine Love P.O. Box 24 Fresno, CA 93707	Divine Word Messenger Bay St. Louis, MS 39520	Divine Word Missionaries Techny, IL 60082
Bcumenical Trends Graymoor Ecumenical Inst. Garrison, NY 10524	The Ecumenist Paulist Press 1865 Broadway New York, NY 10013	Educating in Faith 335 Broadway New York, NY 10013	Emmanuel 194 E. 76th Street New York, NY 10021
Emmaus Letter 1312 Massachusetts Ave., NW Washington, DC 20005	Encounter 200 Lake St. Boston, MA 02135	Envoy Office of Public Relations Catholic Univ. of America Washington, DC 20064	Rucharist 194 E. 76th St. New York, NY 10021
Extension 35 E. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60605	Community 343 S. Dearborn St. Chicago, IL 60604	Family Friend P.O. Box 11563 Milwaukee, WI 53211	Fatima Findings 22 100 E. 20th St. 22 Baltimore, MD 21218 23
Christian Life Communicator 3721 Westminster Pl. St. Louis, MO 63108	Christian Renewal News 890 Hillcrest Dr. Pomona , CA 91768	Franciscan Herald 1434 W. 51st St. Chicago, IL 60609	FMA Focus 276 W. Lincoln Ave. Mt. Vernon , NY 10550
Franciscan Studies St. Bonaventure, NY 14778	Fraternal Leader 305 W. 6th St. Erie, PA 16512	Fund Raising Forum 119 N. Park Ave. Rockville Centre, NY 11570	Glenmary's Shepherd P.O. Box 46404 Cincinnati, OH 45246
Good Shepherd 205 Madison St. Passaic, NJ 07055	Grain and Fire P.O. Box 25 Plaucheville, LA 71360	Harmony 8300 Morganford Road St. Louis, MO 63123	Holy Name Newsletter P.O. Box 4033 Rocky Mount, NC 27801
Homiletic and Pastoral Review 86 Riverside Dr. New York, NY 10024	Hospital Progress 1438 S. Grand Blvd. St. Louis, MO 63104	I.C. Good News P.O. Box 24811 Philadelphia, PA 19130	Immaculata 8000 39th Ave. Renosha, WI 53142
Classical Folia College of Holy Cross Worcestor, MA 01610	Christopher News Notes 12 E. 48th St. New York, NY 10017	Classical Bulletin 221 N. Grand Blvd. St. Louis, MO 63103	Communique 5 1307 S. Wabash Ave. 6 Chicago, IL 60605 7 7
The Claverite 1821 Orleans Ave. New Orleans, LA 70116	Columbia One Columbus Plaza New Haven, CT 06507	Consecrated Life 4200 N. Austin Ave. Chicago, IL 60634	Communio-International Catholic Review Gonzaga University Spokane, WA 99202

	Box 2030 Wilmington, DE 19899	202 W. Mitchell Avenue Gaylord, MI 49735	St. Cecilia's Rectory 23 Grove Street Adamas, NY 13605	440 S. Batavia Street Orange, CA 92668
å	Most Rev. Pierre Du Maine CTN 443 Church Street San Francisco, CA 94114	Rev. Donald Eder 2555 Wieneke Road Saginaw, MI 48603	.ev. Donald L. Eder 300 W. Ottawa Street Lansing, MI 48933	Mr. Bob Edward 811 Cathedral Place Richmond, VA 23220
	San Flancisco, CA 94114			
	Rev. Donald J. Eichinger 1400 Chancery Drive New Ulm, MN 56073	Rev. Michael Farano 465 State Street Albany, NY 12206	Mrs. Reggie Finney 2900 Lakeshore Avenue Oakland, CA 94610	Mr. Thomas M. Finney 7887 Walmsley Avenue New Orleans, LA 70215
	Mr. John J. Foley 1424 Baldwin Street Corpus Christi, TX 78404	Msgr. John L. Fos 1700 San Jacinto Street Houston, TX 77022	Rev. Maurice 1. Fox 1721 Rhode Island Ave, NW Washington, DC 20036	Rev. Robert E. Freeman 1201 Leopard Street Corpus Christi, TX 78401
	Sr. M. Irene Fugazy Director CTN	Rev. James J. Gardiner NFCC Graymoor	Mrs. Jo Ann Gasper The Right Woman 410 Pirst Street, SE	Rev. John Geaney C.S.P. 320 Cathedral Street Baltimore, MD 21201
	Seminary Avenue Yonkers, NY 10704	Garrison, NY 10524	Washington, DC 20003	
	Rev. Vincent J. Giese The Harmonizer P.O. Box 390	Msgr. Charles Giglio Box 709 Camden, NJ 08101	Rev. Joseph P. Gilgallon Catholic Light 300 Wyoming Avenue	Rev. Victor Goertz 1401 Washington Avenue Waco, TX 76702
	Ft. Wayne, IN 46801		Box 708 Scranton, PA 18503	
	Mr. Steven J. Gorski CTN 1520 West Ninth Street	Rev. Richard Greene Glenn Norwood Box 3223	Rev. Nicholas V. Grieco 238 Jewett Avenue Bridgeport, CT 06606	Catholic Actor's Guild of America, Inc. Piccadilly Botel
	Los Angeles, CA 90015	Lafayette, LA 70502		227 45th Street New York, NY 10036
	Rev. Steven Halbach 1825 Riverside Drive Green Bay, WI 54301	Mr. Ed Hallack 4168 Willow Grove Road Dallas, TX 75220	Rev. Daniel S. Hamilton Box 700	Msgr. George Hancock 4003 Frederica Street
	oreen part he stoot	DULLES, IN 13220	Rockville Centre, NY 11551	Owensboro, KY 42301
0 8	Rev. James P. Hanley 197 E. Gay Street Columbus, OH 43217	Director Catholic Television Network	Mr. John Bayes 191 Joralemon Street Brooklyn, NY 11201	Rev. Joseph B. Hayes Sacred Heart Church 815 High Street
		468 Beacon Street Boston, MA 02115		Klameth Falls, OR 97601
٠.	Mr. Lewis E. Heifner 1821 Jackson Street	Rev. Richard Hemenway Box 9077	Rev. Francis Henricksen Box 939	Mr. Brian Highfill 7887 Walmsley Avenue
	Sioux City, IA 51105	Houma, LA 70360	Davenport, IA 52805	New Orleans, LA 70125
-	Mr. Charles E. Hinds	Rev. John F. Hogan	Cr Mary Booking	Sr Tonne M Bull-out O. R.
3	Executive Director	494 Slocum Road, N. Dartmouth, MA 02747	Sr. Mary Hopkins Box 1175 Helena, MT 59601	Sr. Janet M. Hudspeth O.P. 2910 Grand Avenue Box 1816
	One North Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606			Des Moines, IA 50306

(Radio-TV) 1649 Kingsley Avenue Orange Park, FL 32073	Box 4273 Fresno, CA 93744	701 Lawrenceville Road Trenton, NJ 08648	mr. James Jennings 400 E. Monroe Street Phoenix, AZ 85004
Mr. Daniel J. Kane Jr.	Rev. James E. King	Sr. Shirley Koritnik	Sr. Patricia Kowalski
426 E. 5th Street	19 Dartmouth Street, W	2220 Central	100 N. 62nd Street
Cincinnati, OH 45202	Newton, MA 02165	Kansas City, KS	Omaha, NE 68132
Rev. Richard Kramer	Rev. Henry Kriegel	Mr. Tony Kuick	Mr. Michell M. Kuntscher
126 N. Church Street	Box 4047	Box 66	Box 2279
Rockford, IL 61101	Erie, PA 16512	Green Bay, WI 54305	Brownsville, TX 78520
Mr. J. Jerome Lackamp Catholic Universe Bulletin 1027 Superior Avenue Cleveland, OH 44114	Mr. Steve Landregan Box 19507 Dallas, TX 75219	Rev. Carles J. Latus 1150 Buffalo Road Rochester, NY 14624	Msgr. Robert F. Lavin The Catholic Sun 240 E. Onondaga Street Syracuse, NY 13202
Rev. Gerald Lefebvre	Mr. John Lencyk	Rev. Ronald P. Lengwin	Rev. Vincent E. Lewellis
P.O. Drawer 14747	225 Elm Street	111 Boulevard of the Allies	202 N. 17th Street
Baton Rouge, LA 70808	Youngstown, OH 44503	Pittsburgh, PA 15222	Allentown, PA 18105
The Living Light An Interdisciplinary Review of Christian Education 1312 Massachusetts Avenue NW Washington, DC 20005	Sr. Mary Luke	Mr. William Maher	Rev. Richard Mangini
	4063 N. 64th Street	Box 2329	2900 Lakeshore Aenue
	Milwaukee, WI 53216	Kansas City, KS 66110	Oakland, CA 94610
Msgr. James Marshall 422 Washington Street Box 969 Steubenville, OH 43952	Mr. Ricardo C. Martinez 1300 Army-Navy Drive-#929 Arlington, VA 22202	Msgr. Francis A. Marzen 1184 Bishop Street Honolulu, HI 96813	Msgr. Leroy T. Mathiesen Box 5644 Amariilo, TX 79107
Rev. Scott McCarthy	Ms. Ellen McCloskey	Msgr. B. Francis McDevitt	Msgr. W. H. McDougall
126 High Street	200 N. Glebe Road	617 Louisiana Street	331 E.S. Temple Street
Santa Cruz, CA 95060	Arlington, VA 22203	Little Rock, AR 72201	Salt Lake City, UT 84111
Rev. Francis T. McFarland 55 Chapel Street Newton, MA 02160	Rev. Dale McFarlane 725 3rd Avenue, N. Box 2107 Great Falls, MT 59403	Rev. Joseph B. McGoldrick O.S.A. Box 865 Maitland, FL 32751	Rev. Lawrence J. McGovern Box 4237 Stockton, CA 95204
Sr. Roberta McGrath	Rev. Maurice McInerney	Mr. Clarence F. McKay	Rev. Louis McKernan
201 Hickory Street	29 W. Kiowa Street	510 Ocean Avenue	Pouch 100
Norwich, CT 06360	Colorado Springs, CO 80902	Portland, ME 04103	North Pole, AK 99705
Rev. Robert McKillip The Times-Review Box 937 La Crosse, WI 54601	Rev. Thomas J. Meersman 1327 E.S. Second Street Salt Lake City, UT 84102	Rev. Thomas Meger Chancellor P.O. Box 1211 Reno, NV 89504	Msgr. Raymond Menard Northwestern Kansas Register Box 958 Salina, KS 67401

and Advocate of the Blind St. Joseph's Home PO Box 288 Jersey City, NJ 07303	161 Glenbrook Road Stamford, CT 06902	Box 2079 Monterey, CA 93940	P.O. Box 394 Georgetown, SC 2944u
Rev. Richard G. Mohr 5312 W. Main Street P.O. Box 896 Belleville, IL 62223	Rev. David F. Monahan Box 32180 Oklahoma City, OK 73123	Rev. John F. Moore The Anchor 410 Highland Avenue Fall River, MA 02722	Rev. John F. Morales P.O. Box M474 Gary, IN 46401
Sr. Emile Morgan McDaniel Bldg. Springfield, MO 65806	Rev. John H. Morgan 1305 W. Market Street Louisville, KY 40203	Mr. Dan Morris 1023 Riverside Avenue P.O. Box 48 Spokane, WA 99210	Rev. Martin Muller Box 6147 Birmingham, AL 35209
Rev. James T. Murphy Box 19312 Sacramento, CA 95819	Rev. Arthur A. Musch 424 N. Broadway Wichita, KS 67202	Rev. Edmund S. Nadolny Catholic Transcript 785 Asylum Avenue Harford, CT 06105	Mr. Richard J. Nare Box 268 Covington, KY 41012
Mr. Al Nassif	Rev. Msgr. Robert E. Nesslin	Mr. Michael Newman	Rev. Jose P. Nickse
Box 7417	100 S. Elmwood Drive	Box 81869	6200 NE 4th Court
Alexandria, LA 71301	Baffalo, NY 14202	San Diego, CA 92138	Miami, FL 33138
Rev. Gerald Noesen	Mr. Francis Nolan	Ms. Ana Colomar O'Brien	Rev. Joseph M. O'Brien
St. Joseph Church	6301 Biscayne Blvd.	1319 F Street, NW-#925	4140 Lindell Blvd.
Red Lake Falls, MN 56750	Miami, PL 33138	Washington, DC 20004	St. Louis, MO 63108
Msgr. Edward O'Donnell	Mr. Brian T. Olszewki	Rev. Vincent L. Ouellette	Rev. John J. Owens Dakota Catholic Action Box 128 Wilton, ND 58579
462 N. Taylor Avenue	Box 678	Box 548	
St. Louis, MO 63108	Rapid City, SD 57709	Marquette, MI 49855	
Sr. Mary Ethel Paulus	Rev. Richard L. Paynic	Rev. John Penebsky	Mr. Joseph Pollard
Box 1750	Box 15	441 Church Street	1531 W. 9th Street
Pargo, ND 58102	Springfield, IL 62705	San Francisco, CA 94114	Los Angeles, CA 90015
Rev. James Poole S.J. Box 988 Nome, AK 99801	Rev. Ronald Popkivchak 519 Union Avenue Bridgeport, PA 19405	Mr. John Quigley Catholic Accent Box 850 Greenburg, PA 15601	Ms. Beverly Raynor Box 1037 Kansas City, MO 64141
Mr. Daniel B. Rebant	Rev. Leonard Reisz	Rev. Leo Remington	Mr. Jim wichards
215 N. Westnedge Avenue	1001 W. 7th Street	2838 E. Burnside Street	2544 Parkwood Avenue
Kalamazoo, MI 49005	Owensboro, RY 42301	Portland, OR 97214	Toledo, OH 43610
Rev. David L. Riflle	Sr. Maureen Rodgers O.P.	Msgr. Edward V. Rosack	Rev. Michael Russo
6003 Overland	305 Michigan Avenue	624 Park Road	31 Mulberry Street
Boise, ID 83705	Detroit, MI 48226	Ambridge, PA 15003	Newark, NJ 07102

	The Pilot 49 Franklin Street Boston, MA 02110	Maryknoll Media Relations Maryknoll Fathers Walsh Bldg. Maryknoll, NY 10545	mr. mark saucier Box 417 Jefferson City, MO 65101	Rev. Msgr. Philip Saylor Catholic Register 126C Logan Blvd. Hollidaysburg, PA 16648
	Mr. Charles J. Schisla 136 W. Georgia Street Indianapolis, IN 46225	San Francisco, CA 94102	Rev. Cyril Schweinberg 1089 Elm Street, W Springfield, MA 01089	Rev. Victor Seidel Box 230 Wheeling, WV 26003
5				
В	Ronnie G. Shaeffer 4800 Union Deposit Road Harrisburg, PA 17105	Dr. Maury R. Sheridan Melbourne House 1511 3rd Avenue Seattle, WA 98101	Rev. Robert J. Shields Box 567 Zillah, WA 98953	Rev. Alfred J. Sienkiewicz 385 Scofieldtown Road Stamford, CT 06903
10	Rev. William K. Skeehan 1324 Madison Blvd. Bartlesville, OK 74003	Mr. Andrew Sparke P.O. Box 838 El Paso, TX 79945	Mr. Donald St. Dennis 215 W. Street Duluth, MN 55806	Mrs. Eillen Stanton 2501 San Pedro, NE-Suite E Albuquerque, NM 87110
8				
	Rev. Paul W. Stauder Tele-Vue 1 Productions Old St. Henry's Church	Rev. David W. Stinebricher 622 Washington Street Ogdensburg, NY 12669	Rev. Joseph Stranc St. John's Center Grimball Point Road	Rev. Joseph T. Sullivan Vermont Catholic Tribune 351 North Avenue
Ī	525 East Broadway East St. Louis, IL 62201		Savannah, GA 31406	Burlington, VT 05401
111	Mr. Joseph A. Sweat The Tennessee Register 2400 21st Avenue, S.	Msgr. Jaroslav Swyschk The New Star 2208 W. Chicago Avenue	Msgr. Walter J. Tappe 398 10th Street Santa Rosa, CA 95401	Msgr. Norbert C. Temaat Box 849 Dodge City, KS 67801
	Nashville, TN 37212	Chicago, IL 60622		
	Sr. Elizabeth Thoman National Sisters Comm. Services	Mr. Jerry Thomas 4801 W. Freeway Ft. Worth, TX 76107	Rev. Michael Treacey P.O. Box 367 Biloxi, MS 39533	Mr. Frank Trudo Box I'829 San Angelo, TX 76902
	1962 S. Shenandoah Street Los Angeles, CA 90034			, and the second second
	Rev. Stephen Umhoefer High Pint Road Route 2	Rev. Robert Urban 1512 N. 12th Street Superior, WI 54880	Msgr. Richard F. Vaughan 4122 Bonham Street Amarillo, TX 79110	Rev. Joseph G. Vetter North Carolina Catholic 300 Cardinal Gibbons Drive
Ξ	Madison, WI 53711			Raleigh, NC 27606
	Rev. Jean F. Vogler The Message P.O. Box 4169	Rev. William Vollor Sacred Heart Church Route 1, Box 618	Rev. Brian Wallace Today's Catholic P.O. Box 12429	Mr. Brian L. Wallin One Cathedral Square Providence, RI 02903
-	Evansville, IN 47711	Pass Christian, MS 39571	San Antonio, TX 78212	
(i)	Rev. Paul L. Weis The Witness 1229 Mt. Loretta Avenue	Msgr. David P. Welch Box 1570 Sprinfield, MA 01101	Mr. Harry Wiebler 1524 E. Moorehead Street Charlotte, NC 28207	Rev. Eugene Witowski 1206 Throckmorton Street Ft. Worth, TX 76102
4.2	Dubuque, IA 52001			
51	Rev. C. B. Woodrich 200 Josephine Street Denver, CO 80206	Rev. William L. Young 10330 Hillcroft Houston, TX 77096	Mrs. Argelia C. de Lazo 1915 Kalorama Road-14014 Washington, DC 20009	R R

PRINTED IN U.S.A.