Ronald Reagan Presidential Library Digital Library Collections

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual collections.

Collection: Kojelis, Linas: Files
Folder Title: Pre-Summit Soviet Human
Rights Seminar 12/03/1987 (2)
Box: OA 18284

To see more digitized collections visit: https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digital-library

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library inventories visit: https://reaganlibrary.gov/document-collection

Contact a reference archivist at: reagan.library@nara.gov

Citation Guidelines: https://reaganlibrary.gov/citing

National Archives Catalogue: https://catalog.archives.gov/

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

December 2, 1987

DROP-BY HUMAN RIGHTS EVENT

DATE: December 3, 1987 LOCATION: Room 450, OEOB TIME: 10:00 AM - 10:15 AM

FROM: COLIN L. POWELL()

I. PURPOSE

To reaffirm U.S. commitment to human rights everywhere and concern about the Soviet human rights situation in particular prior to next week's summit talks.

II. BACKGROUND

The seminar has been arranged to enable representatives of nine U.S.-based non-governmental organizations that monitor human rights in the USSR to hear your remarks and to discuss issues of concern with Administration officials. The organizations range from Amnesty International, which focuses on human rights issues across the board, to the Committee on International Abuse of Psychiatry. Spokesmen from each of the organizations will address the Administration panel, briefly detailing current progress or problems as they see them, and commenting on Administration policies. The floor will then be opened to questions to the Panel from the audience.

III. PARTICIPANTS

See Tab A

IV. PRESS PLAN

White House photographer, Open press.

V. SEQUENCE OF EVENTS

Several members of the Coalition of Divided Spouses will be waiting outside the holding room; they will present you with a photo album of their spouses and pose for a photograph. After the photo you proceed to Room 450 where you will make remarks provided by Speechwriters.

Attachments:

Tab A Participants

cc: Vice President
 Chief of Staff (2)

PARTICIPANTS:

The President

Photo Opportunity

Andrea Wine Galina Vileshina George Szostkowski Antoinette Bohonovsky Shoshana Riemer Elizabeth Condon

Remarks

Dais Participants:

John Whitehead, Deputy Secretary of State
Rozanne Ridgway, Asst. Secretary of State for European and
Canadian Affairs
Richard Schifter, Asst. Secretary of State for Humanitarian
Affairs
Fritz Ermarth, Special Assistant to the President and Senior
Director for European and Soviet Affairs, NSC,
Lisa Jameson, Director for European and Soviet Affairs, NSC

Organizations:

Amnesty International
Helsinki Watch
Joint Baltic American National Coommittee
Captive Nations Committee
National Conference on Soviet Jewry/ Union of Councils for Soviet
Jews
CREED
VISA
Divided Spouses Coalition
Commitee on International Abuse of Psychiatry and Psychiatrists

Ashley

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON



SCENARIO

DROP-BY HUMAN RIGHTS EVENT

THURSDAY, DECEMBER 3, 1987

450 OEOB

8:30 a.m. USSS sweep.

9:00 a.m. Press pre-set.

9:00 a.m. Guests begin arriving Pennsylvania Avenue Entrance and are escorted via elevator to 450 OEOB.

9:40 a.m. Press set-up.

9:45 a.m. Photo participants take their places in corner hallway outside 450 OEOB.

9:55 a.m. THE PRESIDENT departs The Oval Office en route 450 OEOB.

10:00 a.m. THE PRESIDENT arrives 450 OEOB corner hallway and participates in photo opportunity with Coalition of Divided Spouses members.*

Photo Participants

5

Andrea Wine Galina Vileshina George Szostkowski Antonette Bohonovsky Shoshana Riemer Elizabeth Condon

NOTE: Andrea Wine will present THE PRESIDENT with a photo album of their spouses.

OFFICIAL PHOTOGRAPHER ONLY

THE PRESIDENT concludes photo opportunity and proceeds into 450 OEOB anteroom.

Announcement (off-stage)

10:05 a.m. THE PRESIDENT proceeds on-stage and makes remarks.

OPEN PHOTO/WRITING POOL

Dais Participants

THE PRESIDENT

Mr. John Whitehead, Deputy Secretary of State

Amb. Rozanne Ridgeway, Assistant Secretary of State for European and Canadian Affairs

Amb. Richard Schifter, Assistant Secretary of State for Humanitarian Affairs
Mr. Fritz Ermarth, Special Assistant to the President, Senior Director for European and Soviet Affairs, NSC
Mrs. Lisa Jameson, Director for European and Soviet Affairs, NSC

10:15 a.m. THE PRESIDENT concludes remarks and departs 450 OEOB en route The Oval Office.

NOTE: Program continues.

10:20 a.m. THE PRESIDENT arrives The Oval Office.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

December 2, 1987

MEMORANDUM FOR REBECCA RANGE

FROM: LINAS KOJELIS

SUBJECT: Soviet Human Rights Seminar, Thursday, December 3,

10:00 a.m., Room 450

You are scheduled to welcome the participants to the Soviet Human Rights Issues Seminar, one of our pre-Summit events. The program is as follows:

9:58 a.m. Welcome -- Rebecca Range

10:00 a.m. Address -- The President

10:10 a.m. Opening Remarks -- John Whitehead, Deputy Secretary of State

Presentations by organizations concerned about Soviet human rights violations, including; Amnesty International, Freedom House and Christian, Jewish and ethnic groups.

General discussion

11:30 a.m. Seminar concludes

Attached are suggested remarks. You are scheduled to welcome the guests, stay for the President's remarks and depart with the President.

SUGGESTED REMARKS FOR HUMAN RIGHTS SEMINAR

Good morning, my name is Rebecca Range. I am the Director of the Office of Public Liaison. It is my pleasure to welcome you to the White House this morning. We are especially pleased that you have taken the time to be with us as we at the White House, along with our colleagues from the State Department, continue our work in preparation for the summit.

Of course, you are not strangers to any of us: we have worked with many of you on issues of our mutual concern for many years. Nonetheless, I know that (Dep.) Secretary Whitehead and the other distinguished panelists are especially eager to hear from you about the latest developments in the areas of your expertise and perhaps to receive guidance on appropriate U.S. responses to the ongoing Soviet public relations campaign on the issue of human rights and bilateral issues.

Our first speaker will be the President, who will be with us shortly. Thank you.

Rita

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

SCENARIO

DROP-BY HUMAN RIGHTS EVENT

THURSDAY, DECEMBER 3, 1987

450 OEOB

8:30 a.m. USSS sweep.

9:00 a.m. Press pre-set.

9:00 a.m. Guests begin arriving Pennsylvania Avenue Entrance and are escorted via elevator to 450 OFOB.

9:40 a.m. Press set-up.

9:45 a.m. Photo participants take their places in corner hallway outside 450 OEOB.

9:55 a.m. Rebecca Range makes welcoming remarks.

9:55 a.m. THE PRESIDENT departs The Oval Office en route 450 OEOB.

10:00 a.m. THE PRESIDENT arrives 450 OEOB corner hallway and participates in photo opportunity with Coalition of Divided Spouses members.

Photo Participants

Andrea Wine Galina Vileshina George Szostkowski Antonette Bohonovsky Shoshana Riemer Elizabeth Condon

NOTE: Andrea Wine will present THE PRESIDENT with a photo album of spouses.

OFFICIAL PHOTOGRAPHER ONLY

THE PRESIDENT concludes photo opportunity and proceeds into 450 OEOB anteroom.

Announcement (off-stage)

10:05 a.m. THE PRESIDENT proceeds on-stage and makes remarks.

OPEN PHOTO/WRITING POOL

Dais Participants

THE PRESIDENT

Mr. John Whitehead, Deputy Secretary of State

Miss Rozanne Ridgway, Assistant Secretary of State for European and Canadian Affairs

Mr. Richard Schifter, Assistant Secretary of State for Humanitarian Affairs

Mr. Fritz Ermarth, Special Assistant to the President, Senior Director for European and Soviet Affairs, NSC

Mrs. Lisa Jameson, Director for European and Soviet Affairs, NSC

10:15 a.m. THE PRESIDENT concludes remarks and departs 450 OEOB en route The Oval Office.

NOTE: Program continues.

10:20 a.m. THE PRESIDENT arrives The Oval Office.

Linas Kojelis

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

SCENARIO

DROP-BY HUMAN RIGHTS EVENT

THURSDAY, DECEMBER 3, 1987

450 OEOB

8:30 a.m. USSS sweep.

9:00 a.m. Press pre-set.

9:00 a.m. Guests begin arriving Pennsylvania Avenue Entrance and are escorted via elevator to 450 OEOB.

9:40 a.m. Press set-up.

9:45 a.m. Photo participants take their places in corner hallway outside 450 OEOB.

9:55 a.m. Rebecca Range makes welcoming remarks.

9:55 a.m. THE PRESIDENT departs The Oval Office en route 450 OEOB.

10:00 a.m. THE PRESIDENT arrives 450 OEOB corner hallway and participates in photo opportunity with Coalition of Divided Spouses members.

Photo Participants

Andrea Wine
Galina Vileshina
George Szostkowski
Antonette Bohonovsky
Shoshana Riemer
Elizabeth Condon

NOTE: Andrea Wine will present THE PRESIDENT with a photo album of spouses.

OFFICIAL PHOTOGRAPHER ONLY

THE PRESIDENT concludes photo opportunity and proceeds into 450 OEOB anteroom.

Announcement (off-stage)

10:05 a.m. THE PRESIDENT proceeds on-stage and makes remarks.

OPEN PHOTO/WRITING POOL

Dais Participants

THE PRESIDENT

Mr. John Whitehead, Deputy Secretary of State

Miss Rozanne Ridgway, Assistant Secretary of State for European and Canadian Affairs

Mr. Richard Schifter, Assistant Secretary of State for Humanitarian Affairs

Mr. Fritz Ermarth, Special Assistant to the President, Senior Director for European and Soviet Affairs, NSC

Mrs. Lisa Jameson, Director for European and Soviet Affairs, NSC

10:15 a.m. THE PRESIDENT concludes remarks and departs 450 OEOB en route The Oval Office.

NOTE: Program continues.

10:20 a.m. THE PRESIDENT arrives The Oval Office.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

December 2, 1987

MEMORANDUM FOR JIM KUHN

FROM: LINAS KOJELIS, x6573

SUBJECT: Photo-op with Divided Spouses Coalition, December 3,

10:00 a.m., outside Room 450

Jim, as I mentioned to you over the phone, we would greatly appreciate for the President to spend one minute with the Divided Spouses Coalition immediately preceding the Soviet human rights event tomorrow. The Coalition consists of U.S. citizens whose Soviet spouses have been denied permission to join them in the U.S. The Coalition also includes one person, George Szostkowski, who is engaged to a Soviet citizen who has not received permission to come to the U.S. for the wedding.

The delegation will be in the corner hallway of the OEOB, outside of 450 and will be set for a group shot. They will present the President with a photo album of their spouses.

Participants list attached.

DIVIDED SPOUSES COALITION

Andrea Wine (will present photo album)

Galina Vileshina

George Szostkowski

Antonette Bohonovsky

Elizabeth Condon

NOTE TO MARYBEL

FROM: LINASUK

RE: Soviet Human Rights Photo Op; Solidarity Endowment Dinner; Women/SDI event

- 1. We have decided that only divided spouses will have photo-op with President before the Soviet human rights event. Please excuse me for jerking you around on this one.
- 2. Solidarity Endowment Dinner sched prop will be on Long Range Scheduling today. Rebecca will strongly support. Rudy Perina from NSC also strongly supports. I hope you will pitch in.
- 3. Women/SDI event is now scheduled as post-Summit event, tentatively on 12/16.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

November 25, 1987

Dear Friend:

You are cordially invited to attend a White House seminar on U.S./Soviet Human Rights Issues. Administration participants at the seminar will include: Deputy Secretary of State John Whitehead; Assistant Secretary of State for European Affairs, Rozanne Ridgway; Senior Director for European Affairs (NSC), Fritz Ermarth; Assistant Secretary of State for Human Rights, Richard Schifter; and will conclude with an address by President Reagan. The program will begin at 9:30 a.m. on Thursday, December 3rd, 1987, in Room 450 of the Old Executive Office Building.

If you are able to attend, please call (202) 456-6411 by 6:00 p.m., Tuesday, December 1st, to confirm your participation. Please give your full legal name (as it appears on your driver's license or current passport), social security number, and date of birth.

Please arrive at 9:00 a.m. on Thursday at the Pennsylvania Avenue entrance of OEOB (17th Street and Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.). Remember to bring your photo identification (driver's license or current passport).

I look forward to your participation.

Linas Kojelis

Special Assistant to the President

for Public Liaison

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

Guests for Human Rights Seminar 12/3/87

- Amnesty International -- Joshua Rubenstein, President, N.E. region, Boston
- Helsinki Watch Committee -- Catherine Fitzpatrick, Research Director, NYC
- National Conference on Soviet Jewry -- Morris Abram, Chairman, NYC
- Divided Spouses Coalition -- Andrea Wine, Spokesperson, Cranberry, N.J.
- CREED -- Fr. Victor Potapov, Member, Board of Advisors, D.C.
- VISA -- Daniel Horodysky, Chairman, Perkeley, CA

 A Market

 Captive Nations Committee -- Amb. Lev Dobriansky, Initiator of the Captive Nations resolution; D.C.
- Joint Baltic American National Committee -- Ojars Kalnins, Public Relations Director of the American Latvian Association and representative of JBANC, D.C.
- Committee on International Abuse of Psychiatry and Psychiatrists
 -- Ms. Ellen Mercer, Director, Office of
 International Affairs, American Psychiatric
 Assoc., D.C.

Daniel Horodysky Co-chair (415) 540-VISA

VISA

PO Box 2361 Berkeley, California 94702

Human rights advocates of family visits between millions of related people in the USA and USSR

-VISA - Visits International for Soviets and Americans - BN3A -



VISA

PO Box 2361 Berkeley, California 94702 (415) 540-VISA



Linas Kojelis Special Assistant to the President Office of Public Liaison The White House

Dear Linas:

Greetings!

I assume the President once again will be giving a Human Rights Day speech on December 10th, and will be inviting activists as last year

I was invited and attended last year, and have a request for this year. My wife Tamara, Co-chair of **VISA**, and I would both like to attend. I would appreciate greatly if we had an invitation extended to both of us.

We plan to meet with some of our co-sponsors in Congress of the Family Visits Resolutions and members of the Administration.

As you may remember we initiated Resolutions in the US Congress (enclosed). The US Senate passed **Senate Concurrent Resolution 29** unanimously on July 29, and **House Concurrent Resolution 68** passed October 27th unanimously (405-0)

As the President so eloquently stated in his pre-Geneva Summit speech, November 14, 1985, "... the cause of peace would be well served if more individuals and families... could come to know each other in a personal way." and at another occasion; "Quiet diplomacy can help only if it is supported by strong public pressure, strong public diplomacy."

Hope all is well with you and yours. I take it your father had an enjoyable trip to Lithuania.

Best regards,

24

Daniel Horodysky Co-chair and Founder





Congressional Record

House Concurrent Resolution 68 Senate Concurrent Resolution 29

Expressing the sense of Congress regarding the inability of American citizens to maintain regular contact with relatives in the Soviet Union

Whereas millions of United States citizens, including members of national and ethnic groups such as Armenians, Byelorussians, Estonians, Germans, Jews, Latvians, Lithuanians, Poles, Russians, and Ukrainians, have relatives in the Soviet Union;

Whereas the Soviet Union, as a signatory of the 1975 Final Act of the Conference of Security and Cooperation in Europe, commonly known as the Helsinki Accords, committed itself to "favourably consider applications for travel with the purpose of allowing persons to enter or leave their territory temporarily, and on a regular basis if desired, in order to visit members of their families.":

Whereas in that same document the Soviet Union pledged that "applications for temporary visits to meet members of . . . families will be dealt with without distinction as to country of origin or destination . . .; cases of urgent necessity — such as serious illness or death — will be given priority treatment.";

Whereas the Soviet Union has ratified the United Nations Charter and signed other international human rights documents such as the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, documents which clearly protect the right to leave one's country and return thereto;

Whereas in anticipation of the Geneva Summit Conference of November 1985, President Reagan stated, "... the cause of peace would be served if more individuals and families... could come to know each other in a personal way.";

Whereas home visits would immeasurably aid our understanding of the Soviet people and improve relations with the Soviet Union, since family visitation is one of the most basic forms of cultural exchange;

Whereas it is not proper for governments to decide which relationships constitute close family ties for the purpose of determining which relatives should be allowed to visit each other;

Whereas the present policies of the Soviet Union make it virtually impossible for the millions of relatives in the two countries to exchange visits in their homes, and relatives

who have used other forms of communications, such as mail, telephone, telegraph, and gift parcels have experienced enormous difficulties:

Whereas because of restrictive Soviet policies, less than 1,000 of the many thousands of Americans who visited the Soviet Union in 1986 were allowed a private visa to stay with their relatives in their homes, and only about 1,500 Soviet citizens were allowed to visit their relatives in the United States;

Whereas many Americans who have been frustrated by the delay or denial in obtaining private visas to visit family members in their homes in the Soviet Union have resorted to joining package tours to the Soviet Union as a means of seeing their family members;

Whereas relatives should be able to comfort and assist each other in the event of medical emergencies such as those which resulted from the Chornobyl disaster, or when specialized medical treatment is not available in a particular country;

Whereas in the case of serious illness or death the victim's relatives should be guaranteed expeditious determination of their visa applications;

Whereas family visitation is an issue which transcends political differences, and governments which permit normal and regular family visitation demonstrate a commitment to basic values of decency and fairness which are shared by all mankind; and

Whereas at the Vienna Conference on Security and Cooperation in Europe Follow-up Meeting, the United States delegation enumerated the inappropriate restrictions placed by Soviet authorities on Soviet citizens who wish to travel abroad and on United States citizens who wish to visit family members in the Soviet Union: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the House of Representatives and the Senate,

That it is the sense of the Congress that --

- the promotion of unrestricted family visits between related people in the United States and the Soviet Union is an essential part of American policy toward the Soviet Union; and
- (2) the President, the Secretary of State, and other members of the administration should raise the issue of family visitation at all appropriate opportunities in discussion with the leadership of the Communist Party and the Government of the Soviet Union.

House Concurrent Resolution 68 introduced by Christopher H. Smith (R-NJ) on March 5, 1987.

Senate Concurrent Resolution 29 introduced by Dennis DeConcini (D-Ariz), Chuck Grassley (R-Iowa), Paul Simon (D-III), Bill Bradley (D-NJ), Al D'Amato (R-NY), Frank Lautenberg (D-NJ), and Pete Wilson (R-Calif) on March 11, 1987.

VISA (Visits International for Soviets and Americans) — Human Rights Advocates of visits between millions of related people of many national and ethnic groups in the USA and USSR. A Project of the World Without War Council of Northern California, an organization which, since 1958, links peace, freedom, and human rights concerns. VISA • PO Box 2361 • Berkeley CA 94702 • (415)540-VISA



Отчет Конгресса США

100и конгресс 1я сессия

Совместная Резолюция Конгресса 68 Совместная Резолюция Сената 29

Выражающая отношение Конгресса к невозможности для Американских граждан поддерживать регулярные контакты с их родственниками в Советском Союзе

Поскольку миллионы граждан Соединенных Штатов, включая представителей национальных и этнических групп, таких как Армяне, Белоруссы, Эстонцы, Немцы, Евреи, Латыши, Литовцы, Поляки, Русские и Украинцы, имеют родственников в Советском Союзе;

Поскольку Советский Союз, подписав в 1975 году окоичательный Акт Конференции по Безопасности и Сотрудничеству в Европе, общеизвестый под названием Хельсинские Соглашения, обязался «благожелательно рассматривать заявления о поездках с целью выезжать и въезжать в сграну временню, и регулярню, если они того пожелают, для посещения членов их семей":

Поскольку в том же документе Советский Союз обязался в том, что «заявления о временных визитах с целью встретиться с членами ... семьи будут рассматриваться без различий в отношении страны отправления или назначения ...; случаи срочной необходимости — такие как серьезная болезнь или смерть — будут рассматриваться в срочном порядке";

Поскольку Советский Союз принял Хартию Объединенных Наций и подписал другие международние документы о правах человека, такие как Международное Уложение о гражданских и политических правах, т.е. документы, явно констатирующие право покидать свою страну и возвращаться в нее;

Поскольку в предверии женевского совещания в верхах в ноябре 1985, Президент Реган заявил, что «дело мира выиграет, если большее число отдельных лиц и семей узнают друг друга лично.";

Поскольку частные визиты неизмеримо помогли бы нашему пониманию Советского народа и улучшению отношений с Советским Союзом, так как семейные визиты являются основной формой культурного обмена;

Поскольку не подобает правительствам решать, какая степень родства является достаточно близкой для того, чтобы разрешить родственникам посещать друг друга;

Поскольку современная политика Советского Союза делает практически невозможным для миллионов родственников в обеих странах обмениваться домашними визитами, и родственники, прибегающие к другим формам поддержания общения, таким как переписка, телефон, телеграф, и посылки, встречаются с огромными трудностями;

Поскольку советская политика накладывает ограничения,

меньше чем 1000 из многих тысяч американцев, которые посетили Советский Союз в 1986 году, получили разрешение посетить родственников у них дома, и только 1500 советских граждан получили разрешение посетить своих родственников в США;

Поскольку многие американцы, обескураженные задержкой или отказом в получении частной визы для посещения членов семьи по их месту жительства в Советском Союзе, стали прибегать к групповым туристическим поездкам по Советскому Союзу как к средству повидать своих родных;

Поскольку родственники должны иметь возможность помогать и поддерживать друг друга в критических обстоятельствах, таких как Чернобыльская катастрофа, или когда специализированная медицинская помошь недоступна в определенной стране;

Поскольку в случае серьезной болезни или смерти родственники должны иметь гарантию на немедленное получение визы;

Поскольку посещение родственников выходит за рамки различий в политических взглядах, и правительства, которые разрешают нормальные и регулярные семейные визиты, демонстрируют соблюдение важнейших принципов порядочности и справедливости, разделяемых всем человечеством: и

Поскольку на Венской конференции по безопасности и содружеству в Европе делегация Соединенных Штатов перечислила недопустимые ограничения, наложенные Советскими властями на Советских граждан, желающих путешествовать за границей, и на американских граждан, желающих посетить родственников в Советском Союзе; таким облазом,

Палата Представителей и Сенат Постановляют, что по мнению Конгресса —

- (1) поощрение неограниченных визитов между родственниками в Соединенных Штатах и в Советском Союзе является неотъемлемой частью Американской политики в отношении Советского Союза; и
- (2) Президент, Государственный Секретарь и другие члены администрации должны поднимать вопрос о семейных визитах при всех подходящих обстоятельствах в обсуждении с руководством Коммунистической партии и Правительства Советского Союза.



VISA

PO Box 2361 Berkeley, California 94702 (415) 540-VISA



Linas Kojelis Special Assistant to the President Office of Public Liaison The White House Washington, DC 20500

Dear Linas,

Enclosed are copies of request for signing ceremony to the President as per your suggestion.

I contacted Dorothy Taft, Congressman Chris Smith's Legislative Assistant, regarding the signing ceremony, in order that he may work on it from his end.

In addition to my wife and co-chair, and our children Anna and Sophia, may we suggest that the following be invited, if appropriate:

Congressman Chris Smith (R-NJ), member, Helsinki Commission, and member, House Foreign Affairs Committee, who originally liked the idea, introduced it, and steadfastly worked on it (and his most able and sympathetic Legislative Assistant, Dorothy Douglas Taft.)

Senator Dennis DeConcini (D-Ariz), co-chair Helsinki Commission, who introduced it in the Senate [along with a bi-partisan group, Grassley (R-Iowa), Simon (D-Illinois), Bradley (D-NJ), D'Amato (R-NY), Lautenberg (D-NJ), and Wilson (R-Calif).]

Bozhena Olshaniwsky, President, Americans for Human Rights in Ukraine (AHRU), who personally organized her organization to visit every Congressional office to urge co-sponsorship of the Resolutions. AHRU, 43 Midland Place, Newark, NJ 070106, (201) 373-9729 or days (201) 581-4357

Zinta Arums, Executive Director of the Joint Baltic American National Committee (JBANC), whose organization was instrumental in contacting Congressional offices. PO Box 432, Rockville, Maryland 20850, (301) 340-1954

Ginte Damusis, Lithuanian Information Center, whose involvement and advice to the effort was invaluable. 351 Highland Blvd., Brooklyn, NY 11207 (708) 647-2434. Also Rev. Casimir Pugevicius, of the Center.

Please keep in close touch!

Best regards,

1.11/1/2

Daniel Horodysky

November 11, 1987

Also enclosed is information for private visas to and from The Giant Gulag. Use them as masters for copies for yourself and others. One of our projects is to contact as many national and ethnic churches, newspapers, etc. in the USA to encourage people to apply for private visas, give them the instructions and applications, and to complain if they are denied. We will be working with JBANC, Lithuanian Info Center, AHRU, and many others to create a data base. We want to create a grassroots outrage to this basic problem.

The idea of family visits on the US agenda can lead to a Nobel Peace Prize nomination. It would make a great addition to the disarmament process. Family visits can lead to understanding and *trust* whereas the disarmament treaties are mere pieces of paper.

Decree of the USSR Council of Ministers: Temporary Entry and Departure

USSR Council of Ministers Decree No. 1064, issued August 28, 1986 and effective January 1, 1987. [Addenda to the Statute on Entry into the USSR and Departure from the USSR issued September 22, 1970 as Decree No. 801, USSR Council of Ministers]:

163. On introducing Addenda to the Statute on entry into the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics and departure from the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics. The USSR Council of Ministers decrees:

That the following addenda be added to the Statute on entry into the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, which was approved by the USSR Council of Ministers as Decree No. 801 (SP SSSR, 1970, No. 18, p. 139) on September 22, 1970: To add a new section, which reads as follows, after Point 19 of the Statute: Consideration of application for entry into the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics and for departure from the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics for personal reasons.

- 20. Soviet citizens, foreign citizens and stateless persons may enter the USSR and Depart the USSR irrespective of origin, social and property status, race or nationality, sex, education, language or religious attitudes.
- 21. Applications for entry into the USSR and departure from the USSR for personal reasons (reunification with family members, meeting with close relatives, contracting marriage, visiting gravely ill relatives, visiting graves of close relatives, solving questions of inheritance and other valid matters) are submitted as appropriate to diplomatic representatives or consular establishments of the USSR or to the organs of USSR internal affairs according to the place of residence of the citizen or stateless person.

The procedure for considering applications and registering entry into the USSR and departure from the USSR for personal reasons is established by this Statute, by other legislative documents of the USSR and by instructions published in accordance with them by the Ministry of Internal Affairs and, with respect to matters relating to the contraction of marriage, also by an instruction of the USSR Ministry of Justice on the procedure for registration in the USSR of marriages between Soviet citizens and stateless persons.

22. Persons 18 years of age and above may submit applications to invite citizens living abroad to the USSR and to go abroad for personal reasons.

Children under the age of 18 years of age may go abroad only at the request of their legal representatives and, as a rule, in their company.

23. Application for temporary departure from the USSR for personal reasons is considered upon presentation of appropriate documents.

The length of a temporary stay in the USSR and abroad for personal reasons is set in accordance with the reason for the journey. This time limit may be extended as appropriate by organs of internal affairs of the USSR and by the diplomatic representatives or consular establishments of the USSR upon agreement by authorities of the receiving state.

- 24 27. (concern emigration and other matters)
- 28. Application for temporary entry into the USSR and departure from the USSR for personal reasons is considered in as short a time as possible and, as a rule, in the course of a month, and if the trip is connected with a serious illness or death of a relative, in the space of 3 days.

Results of the consideration of the application, as well as the reasons for a refusal, will be communicated to the petitioner.

Permission for entry into the USSR and departure from the USSR is valid for a six-month period.

- 29. When applications for entry into the USSR and departure from the USSR for personal reasons are denied, subsequent applications on these same matters are considered, as a rule, no sooner than six months after the decision was taken to refuse the application, In this case the documents submitted earlier, if no changes have a vital bearing on consideration of the application are made, are either fully or partially taken into consideration.
- 30. Questions of entry into the USSR and departure from the USSR for personal reasons may also be regulated by bilateral treaties between the USSR and other states.

This Decree becomes legally valid on January 1, 1987.

N. Ryzhkov

Chairman, USSR Council of Ministers

M. Smirtyukhov

Administrator, USSR Council of Ministers

Informal Translation

VISA • Human rights advocates of family visits between millions of relatives in the USA and the USSR.
 VISA • PO Box 2361 • Berkeley, California 94702 • telephone (415) 540-VISA

PROCEDURE FOR OBTAINING VISITOR'S VISA FROM THE USSR TO THE USA

GENERAL INFORMATION:

In order to visit the USA, a person residing in the USSR must have an invitation, known as a "Vyzov" (Bызов, in Russian), from a person, usually a relative, in the USA. The Invitation or Vyzov is a notarized application form which is merely an invitation — it is not a visa. The Invitation must be submitted to a local OVIR (Office of Visas and Registration), or militia (police) office where there is no local OVIR. The Invitation must be submitted along with a passport and visa application.

A USSR Council of Ministers decree in effect January 1, 1987, states that "Application for temporary departure from the USSR for personal reasons is considered in as short a time as possible, and as a rule, in the course of a month, and if the trip is connected with a serious illness or death of a relative, in the space of 3 days."

INVITATION PROCEDURE

The following are necessary, although there may be local variations in requirements:

- 1. An Invitation application form may be obtained from a Soviet consulate in Washington, DC or San Francisco, California, or from VISA at the address below. Two (2) copies of a notarized Invitation for each applicant is necessary (except for children under 16 accompanying a parent.)
- 2. The Invitation should be written in Russian and English.
- 3. The Invitation must state the relationship between the sponsor and the person in the USSR, and mention the sponsor's ability and willingness to assume full support of the invited person, including housing and medical expenses.
- 4. The Invitation <u>must</u> be notarized by a local notary public in the USA. The notary public's signature and seal must then be certified by the local County Clerk.
- 5. The two (2) copies of the notarized Invitation application with attached local County Clerk certification must be sent to the Soviet Consular Division, 1825 Phelps Place NW, Washington, DC 20008 [telephone (202) 332-1513 or 332-0737] or Soviet Consulate, 2790 Green St., San Francisco, CA 94123 [telephone (415) 922-6642] for legalization with a check or money order for \$15.00 per Invitation application.
- 6. The Soviet Consulate will return one (1) copy of the legalized Invitation to you. Make a photocopy for your records and send the legalized Invitation directly to the invited person in the USSR. Registered air mail is recommended.

Note: The Soviet Consulate will <u>not</u> answer any questions as to the status of the application after it is made by the person in the USSR.

Applicants in the USSR may correspond with the US Embassy as may be necessary, in English or Russian, if they wish to inform the Embassy of the exact date and place that an Invitation application is made to OVIR, and/or is refused by OVIR. The address is Consular Section, US Embassy, Ulitsa Chaikovskogo 19/23, Moscow 123242, USSR [telephone 252-24-51]. Correspondence from the USA may be sent to Consular Section, US Embassy, APO New York, NY 09862.

If exit permission is granted for a temporary visit, the applicant need not notify the US Embassy in advance that a Soviet exit visa was received. The applicant has only to appear at the US Embassy any working day (Monday through Friday, other than US and Soviet holidays) with Soviet passport and visa, two photographs and address of US sponsor. A US visa is normally issued the same day to a qualified applicant.

If exit permission is denied, US citizens may make formal complaint to the US Congressional Helsinki Commission (CSCE), House Annex #2, Room 237, Washington, DC 20515 [telephone (202) 225-1901] and Assistant Secretary of State, Bureau of Human Rights & Humanitarian Affairs, US State Department, Washington, DC 20520 [telephone (202) 647-9454]. If permission is denied, please request a Family Visit Survey form from VISA to document your case.

ПРИГЛАШЕНИЕ В СОЕДИНЕННЫЕ ШТАТЫ АМЕРИКИ INVITATION TO VISIT THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

В связи с просьбой о выдаче паспорта для выезда моего нижеуказанного родственника из СССР для посещения меня в США, я ниже подписавшийся заявляю следующее: In connection with the petition for issuance of an USSR passport for my relative, whose name and address are given below, I, the undersigned, hereby certify as follows:

ДАНН	ΙЫΕ	KACA:	ЮЩІ	IEСЯ	ПРО	СИТЕ	ЛЯ
		CERNI					

- 1. Фамилия, имя и отчество Family name, first name, middle initial
- 2. Национальность и гражданство Nationality and citizenship
- 3. Адрес постоянного местожительства Permanent address

ДАННЫЕ КАСАЮЩИЕСЯ ПОСЕТИТЕЛЯ DATA CONCERNING VISITOR

- Фамилия, имя и отчество Family name, first name, father's first name
- 5. Степень родства ко мне Relationship to petitioner
- 6. Адрес постоянного местожительства Permanent address

Настоящим я под присягою обещаю и подтверждаю в присутствии Нотариуса, что я уплачу все расходы по путешествию в связи с приездом в США и отъездом обратно в СССР моего родственника (цы).

Фамилия. имя и отчество Relative's family name, first name and father's first name

I hereby certify under oath, before a Notary Public, that I will pay for all expenses incurred in connection with my above mentioned relative's trip to the USA and the return to the USSR.

Я также обещаю дать полное содержание и квартиру во время его (ее) пребывания в СППА

I also guarantee to provide him (her) with living quarters and full support during his (her) visit in the USA.

Почтительнейше прошу надлежащих властей СССР выдать заграничный паспорт моему родственнику (це) дабы он мог (она могла) посетить меня в США. I respectfully petition the proper authorities in the USSR to issue an USSR passport to my relative to enable him (her) to come to the United States.

	Подпись просителя Petitioner's signature	
Штат State		
Район County		
Mесто City	•	
Подписано под присягой в моем присутствии		Г
Sworn to and subscribed before me this	day of	

Hотариус Notary Public

PROCEDURE FOR OBTAINING VISITOR'S VISA FROM THE USA TO THE USSR

GENERAL INFORMATION:

In order to obtain a visa to visit the USSR, a person residing in the USA must receive an official permit from Soviet authorities. The person must complete an application which needs to be "verified and registered" by a Soviet Consulate. The application is then mailed to one's own relatives who turn it over to local Soviet authorities.

A USSR Council of Ministers decree effective January 1, 1987, states that "Application for temporary *departure* from the USSR for personal reasons is considered in as short a time as possible, and as a rule, in the course of a month, and if the trip is connected with a serious illness or death of a relative, in the space of 3 days." One assumes that temporary *entry* visas should take the same amount of time.

However, written instructions distributed by the Soviet Consulate in September 1987 state that a visitor's visa to the USSR may take more than 4 months to process. In the past, relatively few people obtained a visa to stay in a relative's home.

INVITATION PROCEDURE

The following are necessary:

- An application form and instructions may be obtained from a Soviet Consulate in Washington, DC, or San Francisco, California, any travel agent who handles Intourist, or from VISA at the address below.
- 2. The Invitation must be written in Russian (English portion is optional), in triplicate, and all questions must be answered.
- 3. Each person is required to fill out an application except children under 16 years of age who are included in the parent's application. Separate applications are required for visiting relatives in different republics or regions.
- 4. Black and white passport type photos with front view on a white background are preferred. Children under 16 years of age should appear in group photos with an adult. Dark or tinted glasses and machine photos are unacceptable.
- 5. Send completed application forms to the Soviet Consular Division, 1825 Phelps Place NW, Washington, DC 20008 [telephone (202) 332-1513 or 332-0737] or Soviet Consulate, 2790 Green St., San Francisco, CA 94123 [telephone (415) 922-6642] for processing, along with a check or money order for \$10.00 per application.
- 6. Send registered application to relatives in the USSR, who then submit it to their local authorities. The permit is valid for one year, and may not be extended.
- 7. In order to obtain your visa, you must present the permit and your passport (photocopy of passport acceptable) in person or by mail to a Soviet consulate, along with two passport type photos, a ten (\$10.00) dollar check or money order for each person/visa, and your exact travel plans. A visa will usually be issued in 7 to 14 days.

Note: <u>Keep photo copies of all documents</u>. <u>Registered mail is recommended</u>. The Soviet Consulate claims it will <u>not</u> answer any questions as to the status of the application while it is being considered by Soviet authorities.

US citizens may make formal complaints about denial of entry permission to the US Congressional Helsinki Commission (CSCE), House Annex #2, Room 237, Washington, DC 20515 [telephone (202) 225-1901] and Assistant Secretary of State, Bureau of Human Rights & Humanitarian Affairs, US State Department, Washington, DC 20520 [telephone (202) 647-9454]. If permission is denied, please request a Family Visit Survey form from VISA to document your case.

КОНСУЛЬСТВО (консульский о	отдел посольства) CCCP i
----------------------------	------------------	----------

CT	n	•	ш	٠
C I	ν		п	4

QUESTIONNAIRE

ВИЗОВАЯ АНКЕТА

Place for photograph

ATTENTION! Please type, or print using ballpoint pen. Incorrect information may cause denial of visa, denial of permission to cross the USSR border, or annulment of visa on the USSR territory. ВНИМАНИЕ! Писать четко, обязательно шариковой ручкой или на машинке. Неправильные данные могут повлечь за собой отказ в визе, в пересечении границы СССР или аннулирование визы на территории СССР.

I	Nationality			Национально	сть		
2	Present citizenship	Гражданство (если Вы нмели гражданство СССР, то когда и в связи с чем его утратили)					
3	Surname (in capital letters)			Фамилия			
4	First name, patronymic (names			Имя, отчест (имена) .	во		
5	(If changed, your surname, name (names) and patronymic before the change)			(Если изменяли, то Ваша фамилия, имя и отчество (имена) до изменения)			
6	Day, month, year o	of birth	7. Sex	Дата рожден	к ин		Пол
8	Object of journey to the USSR			Цель поездк в СССР	н		
9	USSR department, organizations prop to be visited	В какое учреждение					
10	Route of journey (points of destinat	Маршрут следовання (в пункты)					
11	Date of entry	12. Date of departure		Дата начала Дата окончання действия визы действия визы			
13	Passport N°			14. Категория, вид и кратность визы			
15		f the tourist group ание туристской группы					
16	Place of work or its address, teleph Mecто работы или адрес, номер теле	one number и учебы, должность,					
17		s, telephone number о местожительства,					
18	Place of birth (if when and where-t Место рождения	born in the USSR, o emigrated) (если Вы родились и когда эмигрировали)					
19	Number of previou Сколько раз были	us trips to the USSR		Date of the la Дата Вашей г	test trip последней поездки	1	
-		Surname Фамилия		, patronymic тво (имена)	Date of birth Дата рождени		ent address стожительства
	hildren under 16 ears travelling with						
	ou						
	ети до 16 лет, сле- ующие с вами		_			_	
21. F	Relatives in the		1				
U	USSR						
В	аши родственники						
В	CCCP						

I declare that the data given in the Questionnaire are correct

Я заявляю, что все данные, указанные в анкете, являются правильными

Date ______

Lata
Personal signature _____

Nureyev wants to dance in U.S.S.R.

ROME (AP) — Ballet star Rudolf Nureyev says he would like to dance again in the Soviet Union after living more than 25 years in the West.

In Milan for a performance of the Paris Opera ballet, Nureyev said he would like to see his mother and show his countrymen "how we dance the classics in the West."

"I can't say I am nostalgic in the sense of the Russians who cry when they are far from home. I have been living in the West for a long time and I have grown up as a man and an artist here," he was quoted as saying Tuesday.

Nureyev noted that the Soviet Union has invited another Russian exile dancer, Mikhail Baryshnikov of the American Ballet Theater, to dance in the Soviet Union.



Rudolf Nureyev

95th Congress

COMMITTEE PRINT

IMPLEMENTATION OF THE FINAL ACT OF THE CONFERENCE ON SECURITY AND COOPERATION IN EUROPE: FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

TWO YEARS AFTER HELSINKI

REPORT

TRANSMITTED TO THE

COMMITTEE ON INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS

U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

BY THE

COMMISSION ON SECURITY AND COOPERATION IN EUROPE



SEPTEMBER 23, 1977

Printed for the use of the Committee on International Relations

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
WASHINGTON: 1977

Contacts and regular meetings on the basis of family ties

The Final Act contains, in the human contacts section of Basket III, specific provisions meant to promote travel for the purposes of family visits:

The participating states will favorably consider applications for travel with the purpose of allowing persons to epter or leave their territory temporarily, and on a regular basis if desired, in order to visit members of their families.

Moreover, the Final Act states that these applications "will be dealt with without distinction as to the country of origin or destination..." The signatories pledged to prepare and issue the necessary travel documents "within reasonable time limits" and give priority treatment to urgent cases involving illness or death. As with applications for family reunification, the rights and obligations of the applicant and his family are not to be restricted.

The record of compliance with the family visit provisions of the Final Act is difficult to ascertain. Public knowledge of and attention to this portion of Basket III has been much less than that given to the family reunification provisions. As a result, the amount of information available for a statistical measurement of compliance is limited. Many individuals are reluctant to "go public" even by informing their own governments of efforts to arrange visits with their families. In spite of this reluctance, however, certain cases which have come to the attention of the Commission illustrate the problems which still exist.

Although the issuance of Soviet exit visas for private visits, including family visits, to the United States rose by 40 percent from 1,184

in 1975 to 1,654 in 1976, Soviet policy on family visits continued to be restrictive and far below the objectives set in the Final Act," Some families have continually been denied permission to visit relatives abroad, particularly when relatives in the West have left the U.S.S.R. "illegally." The world-renowned dancer, Rudolf Nureyev, who defected from the Soviet Union in 1961, has sought since that time to have his aged mother, sister, and niece visit him in the West. Despite repeated intercessions in the family's behalf by government officials from several nations, all efforts have failed. In May 1977, Nureyev, appearing before the Commission, said that his 73-year-old mother had told him, "Well, I guess I am too old now, and I probably will never see you."

Another similar case involves a U.S. citizen, Vladislav Krasnov who left the U.S.S.R. in 1962, and now teaches at Southern Methodist University in Texas. He invited his parents to visit him in 1971 and their application was rejected. Says Krasnov, "Encouraged by the Helsinki Accords of 1975 and by the talk of détente, I made another attempt and issued an invitation to my mother to visit us in Dallas during the summer of the Bicentennial year. In her letter of May 25, 1976, my mother informed me that her application was rejected by an unnamed 'lady boss' in the city of Perm with an oblique explanation that from 'our district' nobody has yet gone to 'such a country.' "Furthermore, Krasnov's mother, Ekaterina Ivanovna Krasnova, was reprimanded by a Ministry of Internal Assairs official for not "having brought your

son up properly."

Elvyra Skopas, an Illinois resident, in 1976, invited her son, whom she has not seen for 33 years, to visit her from Lithuania. He, too, received a rejection of his application for a temporary exit visa. Seventy-year-old Arvids Plakans of Riga, Latvia, has, every year since 1907, tried unsuccessfully to obtain permission to visit his three sisters living in the United States. The Commission has, in its files, documentation of over 300 cases involving Ukrainians and other Soviet citizens who, although invited by their U.S. relatives, have been unable to visit them here. Scores of letters have been received by the Commission from family members with all too familiar, tragic stories of planned reunions thwarted by the actions of the Soviet authorities. Most of these cases involve relatives in the United States. It should be noted that West German reports indicate an upturn in the number of visas issued to Soviets for visits to relatives from 860 in 1975 to 1,180 in 1976.

On the basis of these illustrative examples, it is clear that problems still persist in the area of Soviet compliance with the family visit provisions of the Final Act. Although the dimensions are not clear, the fact that some individuals in the Warsaw Pact nations are unable—2 years after the Helsinki summit—to visit with their families is un-

deniable and disturbing.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

SCHEDULE PROPOSAL November 20, 1987

TO: FREDERICK J. RYAN, JR., DIRECTOR OF

PRESIDENTIAL APPOINTMENTS AND SCHEDULING

FROM: REBECCA RANGE, DEPUTY ASSISTANT TO THE

PRESIDENT AND DIRECTOR OF THE OFFICE OF

PUBLIC LIAISON

REQUEST: Signing Ceremony for S. Con. Res. 29 and H.

Con. Res. 68

PURPOSE: To underscore the President's support of

unrestricted family visits between relatives

in the U.S. and U.S.S.R.

BACKGROUND: S. Con. Res. 29 and H. Con. Res. 68, which

state that promotion of unrestricted family visits between relatives in the U.S. and U.S.S.R. is an essential part of American policy, were passed unanimously. They call for the Administration to raise the issue of family visits between the millions of relatives of many national and ethnic groups in the U.S. and U.S.S.R. at every opportunity, such as the U.S./Soviet summit in December.

A brief signing ceremony would help publicize this issue which is of such great importance

to millions of Americans.

PREVIOUS

PARTICIPATION: None

DATE AND TIME: Before December 8 DURATION: 5 minutes

LOCATION: Oval Office

PARTICIPANTS: Original Congressional sponsors,

Daniel and Tamara Horodysky, Co-Chairs of Visits International for Soviets and

Americans (VISA)

OUTLINE OF EVENT: Guests enter Oval Office and photos are

taken. Brief remarks are exchanged,

the President signs the bill.

REMARKS REQUIRED: Talking points

MEDIA COVERAGE: To be determined

RECOMMENDED BY: Rebecca Range, NSC

PROJECT OFFICER: Linas Kojelis, X6573



VISA

PO Box 2361 Berkeley, California 94702 (415) 540-VISA



President Ronald Reagan The White House Washington, DC 20500

Dear Mr. President,

Senate Concurrent Resolution 29 and House Concurrent Resolution 68, which state that promotion of unrestricted family visits between relatives in the US and USSR is an essential part of American policy, were passed unanimously. They call on you and your Administration to raise the issue of family visits between the millions of relatives of many national and ethnic groups in the USA and USSR at every opportunity.

These Resolutions follow your lead when you rightfully stated in your pre-Geneva Summit speech of November 14, 1985,

"... the cause of peace would be well served if more individuals and families... could come to know each other in a personal way,"

and when you forcefully said,

"Quiet diplomacy can help only if it is supported by strong public pressure, strong public diplomacy."

Please schedule — in your pre-Summit agenda — a signing ceremony with the original Congressional sponsors, our organization which initiated these Resolutions, and other supporters.

Our hopes and prayers are with you. We have the honor to remain,

Respectfully yours,

November 11, 1987 Enclosures Copy to Office of Public Liaison Daniel and Tamara Horodysky Co-chairs, **VISA**

Please urge the President to place the family visits issue on the US-Soviet agenda — Senate Concurrent Resolution 29 unanimously passed July 29, 1987.

House Concurrent Resolution 68 unanimously passed (405-0) October 27, 1987



Congressional Record

100th CONGRESS FIRST SESSION

House Concurrent Resolution 68 Senate Concurrent Resolution 29

Expressing the sense of Congress regarding the inability of American citizens to maintain regular contact with relatives in the Soviet Union

- Whereas millions of United States citizens, including members of national and ethnic groups such as Armenians, Byelorussians, Estonians, Germans, Jews, Latvians, Lithuanians, Poles, Russians, and Ukrainians, have relatives in the Soviet Union;
- Whereas the Soviet Union, as a signatory of the 1975 Final Act of the Conference of Security and Cooperation in Europe, commonly known as the Helsinki Accords, committed itself to "favourably consider applications for travel with the purpose of allowing persons to enter or leave their territory temporarily, and on a regular basis if desired, in order to visit members of their families.";
- Whereas in that same document the Soviet Union pledged that "applications for temporary visits to meet members of ... families will be dealt with without distinction as to country of origin or destination ...; cases of urgent necessity such as serious illness or death will be given priority treatment.";
- Whereas the Soviet Union has ratified the United Nations
 Charter and signed other international human rights
 documents such as the International Covenant on Civil and
 Political Rights, documents which clearly protect the right
 to leave one's country and return thereto;
- Whereas in anticipation of the Geneva Summit Conference of November 1985, President Reagan stated, "... the cause of peace would be served if more individuals and families... could come to know each other in a personal way.";
- Whereas home visits would immeasurably aid our understanding of the Soviet people and improve relations with the Soviet Union, since family visitation is one of the most basic forms of cultural exchange;
- Whereas it is not proper for governments to decide which relationships constitute close family ties for the purpose of determining which relatives should be allowed to visit each other;
- Whereas the present policies of the Soviet Union make it virtually impossible for the millions of relatives in the two countries to exchange visits in their homes, and relatives

who have used other forms of communications, such as mail, telephone, telegraph, and gift parcels have experienced enormous difficulties;

- Whereas because of restrictive Soviet policies, less than 1,000 of the many thousands of Americans who visited the Soviet Union in 1986 were allowed a private visa to stay with their relatives in their homes, and only about 1,500 Soviet citizens were allowed to visit their relatives in the United States;
- Whereas many Americans who have been frustrated by the delay or denial in obtaining private visas to visit family members in their homes in the Soviet Union have resorted to joining package tours to the Soviet Union as a means of seeing their family members;
- Whereas relatives should be able to comfort and assist each other in the event of medical emergencies such as those which resulted from the Chornobyl disaster, or when specialized medical treatment is not available in a particular country;
- Whereas in the case of serious illness or death the victim's relatives should be guaranteed expeditious determination of their visa applications;
- Whereas family visitation is an issue which transcends political differences, and governments which permit normal and regular family visitation demonstrate a commitment to basic values of decency and fairness which are shared by all mankind; and
- Whereas at the Vienna Conference on Security and Cooperation in Europe Follow-up Meeting, the United States delegation enumerated the inappropriate restrictions placed by Soviet authorities on Soviet citizens who wish to travel abroad and on United States citizens who wish to visit family members in the Soviet Union: Now, therefore, be it Resolved by the House of Representatives and the Senate,

That it is the sense of the Congress that —

- the promotion of unrestricted family visits between related people in the United States and the Soviet Union is an essential part of American policy toward the Soviet Union; and
- (2) the President, the Secretary of State, and other members of the administration should raise the issue of family visitation at all appropriate opportunities in discussion with the leadership of the Communist Party and the Government of the Soviet Union.

House Concurrent Resolution 68 introduced by Christopher H. Smith (R-NJ) on March 5, 1987.

Senate Concurrent Resolution 29 introduced by Dennis DeConcini (D-Ariz), Chuck Grassley (R-Iowa), Paul Simon (D-Ill),
Bill Bradley (D-NJ), Al D'Amato (R-NY), Frank Lautenberg (D-NJ), and Pete Wilson (R-Calif) on March 11, 1987.

Congressional Record



United States of America

PROCEEDINGS AND DEBATES

of the 100^{th} congress. First session

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the provisions of clause 5, rule I. the Chair will now put the question on the motion to suspend the rules on which further proceedings were postponed.

The vote will be taken on House Concurrent Resolution 68.

SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARD-ING INABILITY OF AMERICAN CITIZENS TO MAINTAIN REGU-CONTACT WITH RELA-TIVES IN THE SOVIET UNION

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The pending business is the question of suspending the rules and agreeing to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res.

The Clerk read the title of the concurrent resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Pennsylvania [Mr. Yatron] that the House suspend the rules and agree to the concurrent resolution, H. Con. Res. 68, on which the yeas and nays are ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 405, nays 0, not voting 28, as follows:

[Roll No. 378]

YEAS 405

Ackerman Cardin Edwards (CA) Edwards (OK) Akaka Carper Alexander Carr Emerson Chandler Anderson English Chapman Erdreich Annunzio Chappell Espy Cheney Evans Applegate Fascell Archer Clarke Armey Clinger Fawell Coats Aspin Fazio Atkins Coble Feighan Coelho AuCoin Fields Badham Coleman (MO) Baker Coleman (TX) Flake Ballenger Collins Flippo Barnard Combest Bartlett Conte Foglietta Foley Ford (MI) Barton Cooper Bateman Coughlin Frank Bates Courter Beilenson Coyne Frenzel Bennett Craig Frost Bentley Crane Gallegly Bereuter Crockett Galio Berman Daniel Garcia Bevill Dannemeyer Gaydos Gejdenson Bilbray Darden Davis (IL) Bilirakus Bliley Davis (MI) Gibbons Boehlert de la Garza Gilman Boggs DeFazio Gingrich Boland DeLay Glickman Bonior Dellums Gonzalez Bonker Derrick Goodling Borski Gordon Bosco Dickinson Gradison Boucher Dicks Grandy Grant Gray (PA) Green DioGuardi Boulter Boxer Dixon Brennan Donnelly **Brooks** Dorgan (ND) Gregg Broomfield Dornan (CA) Guarini Brown (CO) Dowdy Gunderson Bruce Downey Hall (OH) Bryant **Dre**ier Hall (TX) Buechner Duncan Hamilton Bunning Durbin Hammerschmidt Burton Dwyer Hansen Bustamante Dymally Harris Hastert Dyson Byron Callahan Hatcher

Eckart

Hawkins

Campbell

Hefiev Heiner Herger Hertel Hiler Hochbrueckner Hopkins Horton Houghton Hover Hubbard Huckaby Hughes Hunter Hutto Hyde Treland Jacobs Jeffords Jenkins Johnson (CT) Johnson (SD) Jones (NC) Jones (TN) Jontz Kanjorski Kasich Kastenmeier Kennedy Kennelly Kildee Kleczka Kolbe Kolter Kostmayer LaFaice Lagomarsino Lancaster Lantos Latta Leach (IA) Leath (TX) Lehman (CA) Lehman (FL) Lent Levin (MI) Levine (CA) Lewis (FL) Lewis (GA) Lightfoot Lipinski Lloyd Lott Lowery (CA) LOWTY (WA) Luian Luken. Thomas Lukens, Donald Lungren Mack MacKay Madigan Manton Markey Marienee Martin (IL) Martin (NY) Martinez Matsui Mavroules Mazzoli McCandless McCloskey

Haves (II.)

McGrath Saxton Schaefer McHugh McMillan (NC) Scheuer McMillen (MD) Schneider Meyers Schroeder Mfume Schuette Mica Schumer Michel Sensenbrenner Miller (CA) Sharp Miller (OH) Shaw Miller (WA) Shavs Mineta Shumway Moakley Shuster Molinari Sikorski Mollohan Sisisky Montgomery Skaggs Mondy Skeen Moorhead Skelton Morella Slattery Morrison (CT) Slaughter (NY) Morrison (WA) Slaughter (VA) Mrazek Smith (FL) Murphy Smith (NE) Murths Smith (NJ) Smith (TX) Myers Smith, Denny Nagle Natcher (OR) Smith, Robert Nelson (NH) Snowe Nichols Nielson Solomon Nowak Sprzit St Germain Oberstar Staggers Obey Stallings Olin Stangeland Ortiz Stark Owens (NY) Stenholm Owens (UT) Stokes Oxley Packard Studds Parris Stump Pashayan Sundquist Patterson Sweeney Pelosi Swindall Penny Synar Pepper Tallon Perkins Tauke Petri Tauzun Pickett Taylor Pickle Thomas (GA) Porter Torres Price (IL) Towns Price (NC) Traficant Pursell Traxler Quillen Udall Upton Rahall Rangel Valentine Ravenel Vander Jagt Ray Vento Regula Visclosky Rhodes Volkmer Richardson Vucanovich Ridge Rinaldo Walker Watkins Ritter Roberts Waxman Robinson Weber Weiss Rodino Weldon Roe Rogers Whittaker Rose Whitten Wilson Rostenkowski Wise Roth Wolf Roukema Rowland (CT) Wolpe Wortley Rowland (GA) Wyden Roybal Russo Wylie Yates Sabo Yatron Saiki Young (PL) Savage Sawyer

H 9037

NOT VOTING-

Anthony Biaggi Brown (CA) Clay Conyers Daub Dingell Ford (TN) Gephardt Gray (IL)

McCollum

McCurdy

McDade

Holloway Kaptur Kemp Leland Livingston McEwen Panetta Roemer Schulze Smith (LA) Smith, Robert (OR) Solarz Spence Thomas (CA) Torriceili Williams Young (AK)

SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARD-ING INABILITY OF AMERICAN CITIZENS TO MAINTAIN REGU-LAR CONTACT WITH RELA-TIVES IN THE SOVIET UNION

Mr. YATRON. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and agree to the concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res.

68) expressing the sense of Congress regarding the inability of American citizens to maintain regular contact with relatives in the Soviet Union.

The Clerk read as follows:

H. CON. RES. 68

Whereas millions of United States citizens, including members of national and ethnic groups such as Armenians, Byelorussians, Estonians, Germans, Jews, Latvians, Lithuanians, Poles, Russians, and Ukrainians, have relatives in the Soviet Union;

Whereas the Soviet Union, as a signatory of the 1975 Final Act of the Conference on Security and Cooperation in Europe, commonly known as the Helsinki Accords, committed itself to "favourably consider applications for travel with the purpose of allowing persons to enter or leave their territory temporarily, and on a regular basis if desired, in order to visit members of their families".

Whereas in that same document the Soviet Union pledged that "applications for temporary visits to meet members of . . . families will be dealt with without distinction as to country of origin or destination . . .; cases of urgent necessity—such as serious illness or death—will be given priority treatment.":

Whereas the Soviet Union has ratified the United Nations Charter and signed other international human rights documents such as the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, documents which clearly protect the right to leave one's country and return thereto;

Whereas in anticipation of the Geneva Summit Conference of November 1985, President Reagan stated, "... the cause of peace would be served if more individuals and families ... could come to know each other in a personal way.";

Whereas home visits would immeasurably ald our understanding of the Soviet people and improve relations with the Soviet Union, since family visitation is one of the most basic forms of cultural exchange:

Whereas it is not proper for governments to decide which relationships constitute close family ties for the purpose of determining which relatives should be allowed to visit each other:

Whereas the present policies of the Soviet Union make it virtually impossible for the millions of relatives in the two countries to exchange visits in their homes, and relatives who have used other forms of communication, such as mail, telephone, telegraph, and gift parcels have experienced enormous difficulties;

Whereas because of restrictive Soviet policies, less than 1,000 of the many thousands of Americans who visited the Soviet Union in 1986 were allowed a private visa to stay with relatives in their homes; and only about 1,500 Soviet citizens were allowed to visit their relatives in the United States;

Whereas many Americans who have been frustrated by delay or denial in obtaining private visas to visit family members in their homes in the Soviet Union have resorted to joining package tours to the Soviet Union as a means of seeing their family members;

Whereas relatives should be able to comfort and assist each other in the event of medical emergencies such as those which resulted from the Chernobyl disaster, or when specialized medical treatment is not available in a particular country;

Whereas in the case of serious illness or death the victim's relatives should be guaranteed expeditious determination of their visa applications;

Whereas family visitation is an issue which transcends political differences, and

governments which permit normal and regular family visitation demonstrate a commitment to basic values of decency and fairness which are shared by all mankind; and

Whereas at the Vienna Conference on Security and Cooperation in Europe Follow-up Meeting, the United States delegation enumerated the inappropriate restrictions placed by Soviet authorities on Soviet citizens who wish to travel abroad and on United States citizens who wish to visit family members in the Soviet Union: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring. That it is the sense of the Congress that—

(1) the promotion of unrestricted family visits between related people of the United States and the Soviet Union is an essential part of American policy toward the Soviet Union; and

(2) the President, the Secretary of State, and other members of the administration should raise the issue of family visitation at all appropriate opportunities in discussions with the leadership of the Communist Party and the Government of the Soviet Union.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, a second is not required on this motion.

The gentleman from Pennsylvania [Mr. Yarron] will be recognized for 20 minutes and the gentleman from New York [Mr. Solomon] will be recognized for 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Pennsylvania [Mr. YATRON].

Mr. YATRON. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

(Mr. YATRON asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. YATRON. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of House Concurrent Resolution 68, regarding the inability of American citizens to maintain regular contact with relatives in the Soviet Union. The Foreign Affairs Committee approved this measure without dissent and it was warmly endorsed by the administration.

I want to commend the bill's sponsor, Congressman Chris Smith, for his outstanding leadership and tireless efforts to promote respect for human rights in the Soviet Union.

As chairman of the House Foreign Affairs Subcommittee on Human Rights and International Organizations, I have been closely monitoring recent developments in the Soviet Union with respect to human rights. While I welcome the positive gestures the Soviet Government has taken in certain human rights areas, the Kremlin is still far from meeting its international commitments under the Helsinki Final Act, the U.N. charter and other such covenants to which it is a signatory.

Family visitations and contacts is a universally recognized fundamental right. Unfortunately, the Soviet Union continues to make it virtually impossible for millions of relatives in our two countries to exchange visits. Soviet authorities also erect substantial obstacles to other forms of communication, such as mail, telephone, and telegraph.

Further, only a fraction of Soviet citizens were permitted to visit relatives in the United States, and a small portion of Americans visiting the Soviet Union in 1986 were allowed a private visa to stay with relatives in their homes.

House Concurrent Resolution 68 sends an important signal to Soviet authorities. By maintaining that unrestricted family contacts is an essential policy toward the Soviet Union, and by calling on the administration to raise this issue at all appropriate opportunities, House Concurrent Resolution 68 ensures that we will continue to pressure the Soviet Government to comply with its international human rights commitments.

Approval of House Concurrent Resolution 68 is certainly warranted by the present circumstances and deserves the support of every Member of this Chamber.

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, first I want to again thank the subcommittee chairman, the gentleman from Pennsylvania [Mr. YATRON], for helping to bring this legislation to the floor.

I rise in strong support of this resolution; and in doing so, I would like to salute its chief sponsor, Mr. Smith of New Jersey, for his continued yeoman service in bringing to the attention of the House, important issues of this kind. Mr Smith has been tireless in his efforts to hold the Soviets' feet to the fire—to hold them accountable to the commitments they made at Helsinki.

It is no accident, either, that the two issues that concern all of us the most, do involve families and freedom of religion. And these issues will be with us for as long as the Soviet authorities insist on maintaining the idolatry of the State. In the final analysis, there are only two forces that can compete with the State's claim to absolute loyalty: Fidelity to one's family, and faith in the God and creator of us all. Since 1917, the Soviet State has sustained the greatest assault on the family unit and the Judeo-Christian ethic that the world has ever witnessed.

Mr. Speaker, I will be yielding to Mr. Smith in just a moment so that he can explain his resolution in detail. Suffice for me to say that this resolution lays out the wretched history of the Soviet Union's despicable policy of breaking up families and preventing loved ones from maintaining contact with each other.

I cannot help but think of the "Capital to Capital" broadcast on "Nightline" a few nights ago in which the freely and openly elected representatives of the American people debated our supposed counterparts in the supreme Soviet. In truth, of course, we were debating the appointed flunkies of the ruling elites in the Communist Party Secretariat. But that is beside the point, anyway. When I raised the issue of family visitation and freedom

of movement, the Soviets responded by saying "We don't have an Iron Curtain. • • • There is no wall." If that is anything other than a hollow lie, there would not be a need for us to pass this resolution.

And so I urge that this resolution be passed unanimously. It makes an important statement, and it will keep reminding the Soviets of the solemn pledges they signed at Helsinki—pledges they have continued to break. Above all, the innocent people who suffer under these inhumane practices will know that we are standing with them.

Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may consume to the very distinguished gentleman from New Jersey, Mr. Chris Smith, the sponsor of this legislation. Again I thank him for bringing it to the attention of this Congress and the world.

Mr. SMITH of New Jersey. Mr. Speaker, I thank my good friend, the gentleman from New York, GERRY SOLOMON, for that very very powerful statement, and also commend the chairman of the Subcommittee on Human Rights and International Organizations, the gentleman from Pennsylvania, Gus YATRON, for his leadership on the Human Rights Committee. We have had a number of hearings this year from diverse areas and from around the globe, from Chile to Tibet. We have had human rights hearings that have really focused on what is truly occurring in the world, and the Soviet Union, of course, has been one of our prime focuses on the committee. Again I want to commend my good friend for his effective leadership on behalf of human rights. It is recognized on both sides of the aisle as well as in the world.

Mr. Speaker, the House has before it today a resolution which addresses the right of family members to maintain unfettered contact with their relatives. and is of importance to all who advocate human rights and, a deep and asiding concern to the thousands of families who are adversely affected by current Soviet policy. With 236 cosponsors, House Concurrent Resolution 68 enjoys broad, bipartisan support, has been given a very favorable recommendation by the administration, and an identical measure, introduced by Senator DEConcini, was approved in the Senate on July 29.

Throughout the years, Mr. Speaker, Congress, the administration, clergy, human rights organizations. others have emphasized the Soviet Union's disregard for basic human rights such as the right to practice one's religious beliefs, the right to emigrate and live in the country of one's own choosing, and the right to free political and civil expression. Keeping focus on these points is fundamental to our interaction and dealing with the Soviet Union and other countries of the world. Certainly, as a member of the Helsinki Commission, there are principles of the Helsinki ac-

cords that I have stressed repeatedly in meetings in this country and abroad with the Soviets, Romanians, Czechoslovakians, and others in the Warsaw Pact.

One aspect of the Helsinki accords which has not received adequate attention is the provision requiring the signatory nations to, in the specific language of the Helsinki accords, "favorably consider applications for travel with the purpose of allowing persons to enter or leave their territory temprarily, and on a regular basis if desired, in order to visit members of their families."

It is estimated that about 5 million Americans have familial ties in the U.S.S.R. For some the relatives are distant, but for many others the ties are -more immediate-a brother or sister, a mother or father, a grandparent. The number of individuals who have emigrated from the U.S.S.R. to the United States over the last 15 to 20 years alone is approximately 200,000. Almost without exception, emigres leave loved ones behind. Many of them are then denied permission to see each other. Mr. Speaker, I believe Andrei Sakharov summarized the issue well when he said simply, "It is the inalienable right of all human beings to see their families."

For those contemplating emigration from the Soviet Union, prohibiting the exercise of this "inalienable right" can be a deciding factor not to attempt emigration at all. It can have a chilling effect on the decision to emigrate. In effect, emigres who are not allowed to return for visits have been condemned to a form of "exile"—they are forced to choose between their adopted residence and their cherished family ties.

Mr. Speaker, I believe the issue of family visits is a human rights issue which the Soviets can and must live up to. And it behooves us to vigorously press the point, particularly in this era of so-called openness or glasnost.

Mr. Speaker, the evidence is clear that for those wishing to visit relatives in the Soviet Union, the process can be quite lengthy despite the new visa regulations which came into effect on January 1, 1987. As with any visitor to the U.S.S.R. individuals must submit the application for a visitors visa. Too often applicants have had denials, especially among the emigre community. The new Soviet regulations suggest that such applications should be reviewed within 1 month while visa requests for funerals, family illnesses, or other emergencies would be reviewed within 3 days. Because Soviet citizens are prohibited to have foreigners staying in their home withour permission, a private visa must be obtained prior to departure, should the visitor wish to stay with relatives. In 1986, less than 1,000 Americans were allowed private visas by Soviet officials.

The new regulations look good on paper but results have been disappointing. The cumbersome, bureaucratic delays and thousands of rejec-

tions are especially frustrating for Soviet citizens seeking the necessary exit visa to travel outside the U.S.S.R. As an example, for the past 11 years, Yelena Sofronitskava, an 87-year-old woman living in Moscow applied for and was denied an exit visa twice each year. She was unable to come to the United States to visit her daughter and grandchildren. Finally, after several rejections, her daughter was granted a tourist visa allowing her into the Soviet Union. Nonetheless, unable to obtain a private visa, the daughter was forced to stay in a nearby hotel instead of in her mother's home. Mr. Speaker, unfortunately there have been thousands of other similar sto-

Mr. Speaker, the President put the issue well in his pre-Geneva summit speech in 1985, " • • the cause of peace would be well served if more individuals and families * * * could come to know each other in a personal way." For some this has meant simply facilitating cultural and scientific exchanges. Mr. Speaker, I believe it would be mutually beneficial for the same emphasis to be placed on contacts among siblings, and other family exchanges. Isn't it ironic that the trend of the eighties has been one of closer person to person ties with Soviet citizens and yet there are parents and grandparents who are regularly and routine denied a personal exchange with their sons and daughters and grandchildren?

Mr. Speaker, in order for this aspect of the Helsinki Accords to be given the focus needed to engage the Soviets. I introduced a - resolution requesting that a coordinated and clear policy be stressed by the President, the Secretary of State and all administration negotiators and officials dealing with the Soviets. A few days later, Senator DECONCINI introduced the identical resolution. As noted in the executive comment requested for House Concurrent Resolution 68 there has been a "slight improvement in 1987 in Soviet performance on private travel and family visitation (but) it remains very difficult to exchange family visits. The administration welcomes this resolution and is mutually committed to working toward a resolution.

I am pleased to inform the House, Mr. Speaker, that the issue of family visits has been on the agenda for the ongoing Helsinki meeting now in progress in Vienna. The deliberators in Vienna have given consideration to a proposal dubbed WT24, which reiterates the commitments found in basket III of the accords. The proposal which is still under review calls upon the signatories to deal favorably and expeditiously with all applications for travel, particularly for humanitarian reasons.

I hope and trust that the House will overwhelmingly approve House Concurrent Resolution 68 The time has come to allow families to see each other without Big Brother objecting.

October 27, 1987

As Ambassador to the human contacts meeting held in Bern, Switzerland, last year, Michael Novak said, "We look forward to the day when ordinary families are no longer victimized by the ebb and flow of political consider-ations." The right to visit one's family is elemental and will be another test of Soviet commitment to human rights and glasnost.

□ 1540

Mr. Speaker. I yield back the balance of my time

Mr. SOLOMON, Mr. Speaker, I yield to another valuable member of the Committee on Foreign Affairs, the gentleman who has been foremost in the effort to hold, again, the Soviets' feet to the fire when it comes to human rights violations.

Mr. Speaker, he joined me on the program the other night, Capitol to Capitol, and asked some very penetrating questions of the Soviet politburo members that they could not answer because they could not defend the terrible human rights violations of the Soviet Union.

Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may consume to the gentleman from New York [Mr. GILMAN].

(Mr. GILMAN asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GILMAN. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from New York for his kind remarks and for yielding and I commend the gentleman from Pennsylvania [Mr. YATRON], the distinguished chairman of the Human Rights Subcommittee, and the gentleman from New York [Mr. Solomon], the committee's ranking member, for bringing this measure to the floor at this time.

I am pleased to rise in support of House Concurrent Resolution 68 which reaffirms the need for our Nation to do all that it can to assure that the basic and fundamental right of visiting one's loved ones will not be denied by the Soviet Government. In this country there are millions who have relatives in various parts of the Soviet Union. No government or person respecting the dignity of man could wish to keep mother from son or brother from sister.

In spite of the numerous international commitments of the Soviet Union to respect human rights, including family unification and emigration, only a small fraction of those wishing to see their relatives are permitted to do so. Many in the United States want to have a more productive relationship with the Soviet Union. Recent progress on arms control has been encouraging. But there can be no fundamental transformation in Soviet-American relations as long as the Soviet Government does not show a much greater appreciation of human rights.

This resolution appropriately urges the President and Secretary of State to continue to raise the issue of family

visitation with the Soviet authorizaties at all appropriate opportunities. Accordingly, I urge my colleagues to support this resolution to make it clear that the American Government and the American people are unanimous in supporting the human right to family visitations.

Mr. YATRON. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I want to take this opportunity to commend and thank my ranking minority member, the gentleman from New York [Mr. Solomon], for his cooperation and hard work in trying to forge a bipartisan foreign policy.

I also want to commend the sponsor of the resolution, Mr. Smith of New Jersey, for his leadership in this area and the hard work that he has put in on the subcommittee, and also our very good friend, the gentleman from New York [Mr. GILMAN], who has worked tirelessly in this area.

Mr. Speaker, I have no further requests for time and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, before yielding back the balance of my time. I yield myself such time as I may consume, and I yield once again to the sponsor of the resolution, the gentleman from New Jersey [Mr. SMITH].

Mr. SMITH of New Jersey. I thank the gentleman for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, I just want to alert the Members of the House that I will be asking for a recorded vote. This is an oft-neglected human rights issue and I think by having a recorded vote we bring additional attention to the family visitation issue. I certainly hope my colleagues would be amenable to that suggestion.

Mr. PORTER. I rise in support of House Concurrent Resolution 68 concerning unrestricted visits between people in the United States and their relatives in the Soviet Union. I want to commend my colleague, Mr. SMITH of New Jersey, for his leadership on this resolution and human rights issues in general. As a member of the Helsinki Commission, the Human Rights Subcommittee, and the Human Flights Caucus, his commitment to helping those in need is evident.

House Concurrent Resolution 68 touches upon a very important issue. Despite numerous international commitments by the Soviet Union, Soviet policies still prohibit U.S. citizens from visiting their relatives living in the U.S.S.R., and those policies also prevent those relatives from visiting the United States. For example, Maya Kabakov emigrated from the Soviet Union with her husband and son in 1978. For the past 3 years, she has attempted to get a visa for her mother to visit her and her family in the United States.

Maya's 69-year-old mother lives alone and is in poor health. Although she talks to her family on the phone, it is not the same as seeing them in person. I have written to Soviet and American authorities requesting a visitation visa for Mrs. Kabakov and will continue my efforts until her visa is granted.

Unfortunately, Mrs. Kabakov's plight is not an isolated one. Millions of American citizens have relatives in the Soviet Union. I encour-

age my colleagues to support House Concurrent Resolution 68 and send a strong message to the Soviet authorities: they must adopt a more favorable attitude toward Soviet citizens wishing to travel abroad.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, 1 rise in strong support of House Concurrent Resolution 68. expressing the sense of the Congress regarding the inability of American citizens to maintain regular contacts with their families in the Soviet Union, I commend our colleague and fellow member of the Helsinki Commission CHRIS SMITH for his leadership in sponsoring this resolution and his tireless efforts in promoting human rights issues.

in agreeing to the Helsinki Final Act, the 35 signatory states, including the Soviet Union, committed themselves to "favorably consider applications for travel with the purpose of allowing persons to enter or leave their territory temporarily, and on a regular basis if desired, in order to visit members of their families." Unfortunately, despite recent steps forward. the Soviet Union has not adhered to the family visits provision of the Final Act.

While the number of Soviet citizens allowed to visit the United States has more than doubled in recent months, many Soviet citizens still face considerable obstacles in attempting to get governmental permission to visit their family in the United States. As a result, many Soviet citizens, due to governmental restrictions, cannot visit their relatives who are ill or even dying. They are not able to share times of family remembrance of sorrow, or, for that matter, times of family joy and celebration. They are prevented from spending private moments or share reminiscences with brothers. sisters, sons or daughters, parents or cousins. Even when permission to travel for family visits is granted, rarely are entire families allowed to travel together. In essence, one part of the family is held hostage to ensure the traveler's return.

Considerable difficulties also exist for those Americans who wish to visit relatives in the Soviet Union. Many Americans have been frustrated by delay or denial in obtaining private visas to visit family members in their homes and have had to resort to joining package tours to see their relatives. These tours only stop in a limited number of Soviet cities. forcing the Soviet relatives to sometimes travel long distances to meet with their United States relatives. Thus they often act as a brake to normal family contact.

Mr. Speaker, I also welcome this resolution in my capacity as chairman of the Helsinki Commission and vice chairman of the U.S. delegation to the ongoing Vienna CSCE followup meeting. Passage of House Concurrent Resolution 68 will signal to the delegations at the Vienna meeting, including the Soviet delegation, the strong, bipartisan concern of the U.S. Congress on the family visits issue and strengthen the efforts of the West to include strong family visits and travel language in any concluding document that may ultimately be adopted at Vienna. While we welcome recent positive developments by the Soviet Government in the area of family visits, we must continue to emphasize the importance we attach to unrestricted family visits. This resolution, Mr. Speaker, reinforces our commitment to this end. I urge my colleagues to support passage of House Concurrent Resolution 68.



Отчет Конгресса США

100й конгресс

Совместная Резолюция Конгресса 68 Совместная Резолюция Сената 29

Выражающая отношение Конгресса к невозможности для Американских граждан поддерживать регулярные контакты с их родственниками в Советском Союзе

Поскольку миллионы граждан Соединенных Штатов, включая представителей национальных и этнических групп, таких как Армяне, Белоруссы, Эстонцы, Немцы, Евреи, Латыши, Литовцы, Поляки, Русские и Украинцы, имеют родственников в Советском Союзе;

Поскольку Советский Союз, подписав в 1975 году окоичательный Акт Конференции по Безопасности и Сотрудничеству в Европе, общеизвестый под названием Хельсинские Соглашения, обязался "благожелательно рассматривать заявления о поездках с целью выезжать и въезжать в страну временню, и регулярню, если они того пожелают, для посещения членов их семей";

Поскольку в том же документе Советский Союз обязался в том, что «заявления о временных визитах с целью встретиться с членами ... семьи будут рассматриваться без различий в отношении страны отправления или назначения ...; случаи срочной необходимости — такие как серьезная болезнь или смерть — будут рассматриваться в срочном порядке";

Поскольку Советский Союз принял Хартию Объединенных Наций и подписал другие международние документы о правах человека, такие как Международное Уложение о гражданских и политических правах, т.е. документы, явно констатирующие право покидать свою страну и возвращаться в нее;

Поскольку в предверии женевского совещания в верхах в ноябре 1985, Президент Реган заявил, что «дело мира выиграет, если большее число отдельных лиц и семей узнают друг друга лично.";

Поскольку частные визиты неизмеримо помогли бы нашему пониманию Советского народа и улучшению отношений с Советским Союзом, так как семейные визиты являются основной формой культурного обмена;

Поскольку не подобает правительствам решать, какая степень родства является достаточно близкой для того, чтобы разрешить родственникам посещать друг друга;

Поскольку современная политика Советского Союза делает практически невозможным для миллионов родственников в обеих странах обмениваться домашними визитами, и родственники, прибегающие к другим формам поддержания общения, таким как переписка, телефон, телеграф, и посылки, встречаются с огромными трудностями;

Поскольку советская политика накладывает

ограничения, меньше чем 1000 из многих тысяч американцев, которые посетили Советский Союз в 1986 году, получили разрешение посетить родственников у них дома, и только 1500 советских граждан получили разрешение посетить своих родственников в США;

Поскольку многие американцы, обескураженные задержкой или отказом в получении частной визы для посещения членов семьи по их месту жительства в Советском Союзе, стали прибегать к групповым туристическим поездкам по Советскому Союзу как к средству повидать своих родных;

Поскольку родственники должны иметь возможность помогать и поддерживать друг друга в критических обстоятельствах, таких как Чернобыльская катастрофа, или когда специализированная медицинская помощь недоступна в определенной стране;

Поскольку в случае серьезной болезни или смерти родственники должны иметь гарантию на немедленное получение визы;

Поскольку посещение родственников выходит за рамки различий в политических взглядах, и правительства, которые разрешают нормальные и регулярные семейные визиты, демонстрируют соблюдение важнейших принципов порядочности и справедливости, разделяемых всем человечеством; и

Поскольку на Венской конференции по безопасности и содружеству в Европе делегация Соединенных Штатов перечислила недопустимые ограничения, наложенные Советскими властями на Советских граждан, желающих путешествовать за границей, и на американских граждан, желающих посетить родственников в Советском Союзе; таким облазом,

Палата Представителей и Сенат Постановляют, что по мнению Конгресса—

- (1) поощрение неограниченных визитов между родственниками в Соединенных Штатах и в Советском Союзе является неотъемлемой частью Американской политики в отношении Советского Союза; и
- (2) Президент, Государственный Секретарь и другие члены администрации должны поднимать вопрос о семейных визитах при всех подходящих обстоятельствах в обсуждении с руководством Коммунистической партии и Правительства Советского Союза.

THE WHITE HOUSE WASHINGTON

Date: November 13, 1987

Lisa Jameson Room 368

LINAS KOJELIS

Special Assistant to the President

for Public Liaison

Room 196 OEOB, Ext. 6573

The attached is	s for your:
-----------------	-------------

	Information	X Review & Comment by COB Monday
	Direct Response	Appropriate Action
	Draft Letter	☐ Signature
	File	☐ Let's Discuss
П	Please Return By	

Comments:

Again, you have our full

Support - this is

an important usue to which

that State has not graven

sufficient attention of late.

Lisa

DRAFT

SCHEDULE PROPOSAL

November 13, 1987

TO:

FREDERICK J. RYAN, JR., DIRECTOR OF

PRESIDENTIAL APPOINTMENTS AND SCHEDULING

FROM:

REBECCA RANGE, DEPUTY ASSISTANT TO THE PRESIDENT AND DIRECTOR OF THE OFFICE OF

PUBLIC LIAISON

REQUEST:

Signing Ceremonv for S. Con. Res. 29 and H.

Con. Res. 68

PURPOSE:

To underscore the President's support of unrestricted family visits between relatives

in the U.S. and U.S.S.R.

BACKGROUND:

S. Con. Res. 29 and H. Con. Res. 68, which state that promotion of unrestricted family visits between relatives in the U.S. and U.S.S.R. is an essential part of American policy, were passed unanimously. They call for the Administration to raise the issue of family visits between the millions of relatives of many national and ethnic groups in the U.S. and U.S.S.R. at every opportunity, such as the U.S./Soviet summit in December.

A brief signing ceremony would help publicize this issue which is of such great importance

to millions of Americans.

PREVIOUS

PARTICIPATION:

None

DATE AND TIME: Before December 8 DURATION: 5 minutes

LOCATION:

Oval Office

PARTICIPANTS:

Original Congressional sponsors,

Daniel and Tamara Horodysky, Co-Chairs of Visits International for Soviets and

Americans (VISA)

OUTLINE OF EVENT:

Guests enter Oval Office and photos are taken. Brief remarks are exchanged,

the President signs the bill.

REMARKS REQUIRED:

Talking points

MEDIA COVERAGE:

To be determined

RECOMMENDED BY: PROJECT OFFICER: Rebecca Range, NSC Linas Kojelis, X6573



VISA

PO Box 2361 Berkeley, California 94702 (415) 540-VISA



President Ronald Reagan The White House Washington, DC 20500

Dear Mr. President,

Senate Concurrent Resolution 29 and House Concurrent Resolution 68, which state that promotion of unrestricted family visits between relatives in the US and USSR is an essential part of American policy, were passed unanimously. They call on you and your Administration to raise the issue of family visits between the millions of relatives of many national and ethnic groups in the USA and USSR at every opportunity.

These Resolutions follow your lead when you rightfully stated in your pre-Geneva Summit speech of November 14, 1985,

"... the cause of peace would be well served if more individuals and families... could come to know each other in a personal way,"

and when you forcefully said,

Quiet diplomacy can help only if it is supported by strong public pressure, strong public diplomacy."

Please schedule — in your pre-Summit agenda — a signing ceremony with the original Congressional sponsors, our organization which initiated these Resolutions, and other supporters.

Our hopes and prayers are with you. We have the honor to remain,

Respectfully yours,

November 11, 1987 Enclosures Copy to Office of Public Liaison Daniel and Tamara Horodysky Co-chairs, **VISA**

Please urge the President to place the family visits issue on the US-Soviet agenda — Senate Concurrent Resolution 29 unanimously passed July 29, 1987.

House Concurrent Resolution 68 unanimously passed (405-0) October 27, 1987



Congressional Record

100th CONGRESS
FIRST SESSION

House Concurrent Resolution 68 Senate Concurrent Resolution 29

Expressing the sense of Congress regarding the inability of American citizens to maintain regular contact with relatives in the Soviet Union

Whereas millions of United States citizens, including members of national and ethnic groups such as Armenians, Byelorussians, Estonians, Germans, Jews, Latvians, Lithuanians, Poles, Russians, and Ukrainians, have relatives in the Soviet Union:

Whereas the Soviet Union, as a signatory of the 1975 Final Act of the Conference of Security and Cooperation in Europe, commonly known as the Helsinki Accords, committed itself to "favourably consider applications for travel with the purpose of allowing persons to enter or leave their territory temporarily, and on a regular basis if desired, in order to visit members of their families.";

Whereas in that same document the Soviet Union pledged that "applications for temporary visits to meet members of ... families will be dealt with without distinction as to country of origin or destination ...; cases of urgent necessity — such as serious illness or death — will be given priority treatment.";

Whereas the Soviet Union has ratified the United Nations
Charter and signed other international human rights
documents such as the International Covenant on Civil and
Political Rights, documents which clearly protect the right
to leave one's country and return thereto;

Whereas in anticipation of the Geneva Summit Conference of November 1985, President Reagan stated, "... the cause of peace would be served if more individuals and families... "could come to know each other in a personal way.";

Whereas home visits would immeasurably aid our understanding of the Soviet people and improve relations with the Soviet Union, since family visitation is one of the most basic forms of cultural exchange;

Whereas it is not proper for governments to decide which relationships constitute close family ties for the purpose of determining which relatives should be allowed to visit each other;

Whereas the present policies of the Soviet Union make it virtually impossible for the millions of relatives in the two countries to exchange visits in their homes, and relatives

who have used other forms of communications, such as mail, telephone, telegraph, and gift parcels have experienced enormous difficulties;

Whereas because of restrictive Soviet policies, less than 1,000 of the many thousands of Americans who visited the Soviet Union in 1986 were allowed a private visa to stay with their relatives in their homes, and only about 1,500 Soviet citizens were allowed to visit their relatives in the United States:

Whereas many Americans who have been frustrated by the delay or denial in obtaining private visas to visit family members in their homes in the Soviet Union have resorted to joining package tours to the Soviet Union as a means of seeing their family members;

Whereas relatives should be able to comfort and assist each other in the event of medical emergencies such as those which resulted from the Chornobyl disaster, or when specialized medical treatment is not available in a particular country;

Whereas in the case of serious illness or death the victim's relatives should be guaranteed expeditious determination of their visa applications;

Whereas family visitation is an issue which transcends political differences, and governments which permit normal and regular family visitation demonstrate a commitment to basic values of decency and fairness which are shared by all mankind; and

Whereas at the Vienna Conference on Security and Cooperation in Europe Follow-up Meeting, the United States delegation enumerated the inappropriate restrictions placed by Soviet authorities on Soviet citizens who wish to travel abroad and on United States citizens who wish to visit family members in the Soviet Union: Now, therefore, be it Resolved by the House of Representatives and the Senate,

That it is the sense of the Congress that —

- the promotion of unrestricted family visits between related people in the United States and the Soviet Union is an essential part of American policy toward the Soviet Union; and
- (2) the President, the Secretary of State, and other members of the administration should raise the issue of family visitation at all appropriate opportunities in discussion with the leadership of the Communist Party and the Government of the Soviet Union.

House Concurrent Resolution 68 introduced by Christopher H. Smith (R-NJ) on March 5, 1987.

Senate Concurrent Resolution 29 introduced by Dennis DeConcini (D-Ariz), Chuck Grassley (R-Iowa), Paul Simon (D-III),
Bill Bradley (D-NJ), Al D'Amato (R-NY), Frank Lautenberg (D-NJ), and Pete Wilson (R-Calif) on March 11, 1987.

Shoshana Riemer

362-0923

D.C. contact for:
Spruses.

Vou only need to call her now.

MEMORANDUM OF CALL	Provious
10:	Previous editions usable
YOU WERE CALLED E	YOU WERE VISITED BY
OF (Organization)	Vileshina
PLEASE PHONE >	(30.5) 40% U2.50
WILL CALL AGAIN	111-7632
RETURNED YOUR CAL	L SWAITING TO SEE YOU WISHES AN APPOINTMENT
tom	anow
RECEIVED BY 63-110 NSN 7540-00-634-4018 ± U.S. GPO: 1986-181-246/40015	STANDARD FORM 63 (Rev. 8-81) Prescribed by GSA FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.6

MEMORANDUM OF CALL	Branch
VIJ	Previous editions usable
YOU WERE CALLED BY-	YOU WERE VISITED BY-
OF (Organization)	don
PLEASE PHONE >	FTS AUTOVON
WILL CALL AGAIN	11565
RETURNED YOUR CALL	IS WAITING TO SEE YOU WISHES AN APPOINTMENT
441-6	305432
RECEIVED BY	•
1) 0 0	ATE 19 THEY,
3-110 NSN 7540-00-634-4018 STAN \$\text{V.S. GPO: 1987-181-246/40025} Prescri	DARD FORM 63 (Rev. 8-81) bed by GSA (41 CFR) 10111.6

63-110 NSN 7540-00-634-4018	STANDARD FORM 63 (Rev. 8-81) Prescribed by GSA FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.6
	FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.6
MEMORANDUM OF CALL	Previous editions usable
TO:	
YOU WERE CALLED BY-	YOU WERE VISITED BY-
OF (Organization) 415	566-5353
PLEASE PHONE	FTS AUTOVON
WILL CALL AGAIN	IS WAITING TO SEE YOU
RETURNED YOUR CALL	WISHES AN APPOINTMENT
(She wi	11 callyon
on 7	uls.
RECEIVED BY	DATE
	the state of the s

Mould & Elizabeth Condon Vidor Farmonk 15 4 WW. O SANDLER WINE -Mt. W preeze muster in Moscons THE WHITE HOUSE WASHINGTON More com. 1498-419 20-226 VICTOR NOVIKOV ANOTHER STATES ats silins I'M Coon COMM

THE WHITE HOUSE WASHINGTON

beginning bureaucratic process. Days -> mouths



IPMWGW1 WSH1-009992A324 11/20/87

Telegram

ICS IPMWGWC WSH 01071 11-20 1252P EST ICS IPMWGW1 1-009873A324 11/20/87 ICS IPMMOZF MTN 03581 MOORESTOWN NJ 11-20 1245P EST MOZE ICS IPMWGWS 4-0144465324 11/20/87 ICS IPMMTZZ CSP 3054914252 FRB TDMT FT LAUDERDALE FL 38 11-20 1237F EST ICS IPMMOZZ FRITZ ERMARTH NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL ROOM 368 OLD EXECUTIVE BUILDING WASHINGTON DC 20506 URGE YOU GIVE POSITIVE ANSWER TO OUR REQUEST TO MEET WITH PRESIDENT REAGAN OR MRS REAGAN. WE ARE THE MOST DIRECT AMERICAN VICTIMS OF SOVIET IMMIGRATION POLICY. OUR HUSBANDS FATE DEPENDS ON PRESIDENT REAGANS PUBLIC SUPPORT

PHONE 305-491-4252

W.U. 1201-SF (R5-69)



Telegram

GALINA VILESHINA
ANDREA WINE
MICHELL CARDEL
DIVIDED SPOUSES COALITION

3051 SOUTH OCEAN BLVD AFT 707 BOCA RATON FL 33432

1238 EST

1251 EST

1256 EST

IPMWGW1 WSH

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

SCHEDULE PROPOSAL

November 16, 1987

TO:

FREDERICK J. RYAN, JR., DIRECTOR OF

PRESIDENTIAL SPPOINTMENTS AND SCHEDULING

FROM:

REBECCA RANGE, DEBUTY ASSISTANT TO THE PRESIDENT AND DIRECTOR OF THE OFFICE OF

PUBLIC LIAISON.

GRANT GREEN SPECIAL ASSISTANT TO THE

PRESIDENT AND EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

REOUEST:

To meet with members of the Divided Spouses

Coalition

PURPOSE:

To reaffirm the President's sympathy and support for unification of spouses of Americans living in the Soviet Union.

BACKGROUND:

Many of the members of the Divided Spouses

Coalition have been separated from

their spouses for many years. The Soviet Union has refused to issue exit visas for Soviets to join their American spouses. A brief Oval Office visit would help publicize this issue which is of such great importance

to many Americans and impress upon the

Soviets the importance the President places

on this issue.

PREVIOUS

PARTICIPATION:

None

DATE AND TIME:

Before December 8 DURATION: 15 minutes

LOCATION:

Roosevelt Room

PARTICIPANTS:

10 Members of the Divided Spouses Coalition

OUTLINE OF EVENT:

Guests enter Roosevelt Room and photos are taken. President makes brief remarks and

opens to general discussion.

REMARKS REQUIRED:

Talking points

MEDIA COVERAGE:

To be determined

RECOMMENDED BY:

Rebecca Range, NSC

PROJECT OFFICER:

Linas Kojelis, X6573

Friday, November 13, 1987

Terry Abdoo Press and Research Assistant Office of Public Liaison The White House Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Terry,

Thank you for speaking with me by phone this afternoon. I know your time is very valuable.

I am writing on behalf of the Divided Spouses Coalition. We are very interested in the possibility of a group meeting with President Reagan the First Lady prior to the upcoming Soviet-American summit.

We hope that by personally sharing our plight with the President and the First Lady, they will gain added insight into our problem and renew their conviction to help us be reunited with our spouses.

Though the Soviets have made a great deal of progress where human rights and emigration issues are concerned, myself and other Americans with Soviet spouses have not benefited. In the last eleven months only two couple have been reunited. So much for "glasnost".

Approximately seven people would like to attend the proposed meeting. I have enclosed a list with the names, addresses, and phone numbers of each individual, in addition to a short biography of each case.

We would ideally like to meet with the President and the First Lady on the week of November 30th, with December 3rd and 4th preferred dates. However, other dates could be arranged.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions.

Terry, it was a pleasure speaking with you and we genuinely appreciate your consideration of this request.

Michele Cardella

Michele Cardella 1363 7th Ave., #5

San Francisco, CA 94122

(415) 664-7278

DIVIDED SPOUSES COALITION

DIVIDED SPOUSES

Soviet Spouse

Yuri Balovlenkov U1. Smolenskaya Dom 12, Kv. 21 Moscow

Victor Moiseevich Faermark Srednye-Kalitnikovskaya 24, Kv.30 Moscow 109029

Galina Goltzman Michelson Prospect Vernadskogo 59, Kv. 156 Moscow

Pyatras Yuozo Pakenas Ul. Komunaru Street 13, Kv. 7 Vilnius, Lithuanian SSR

Leonid L'vovitch Sheiba 165 Moscovsky Prospect, Kv. 105 Leningrad 196070

American Spouse

Elena K. Balovlenkov 17 South Conkling St. Baltimore, MD 21224 (301) 342-2758 (home)

Andrea Wine 11D Rothwell Dr. Cranbury, NJ 08512 (609) 395-7660 (parents)

Anatoly Michelson 4524 Medalwood Circle, Apt. 3 Naples, FL 33999 (813) 566-1660 (home)

Galina Vileshina 3051 So. Ocean Blvd., Apt 707 Boca Raton, FL 33432 (305) 392-7916 (home)

A. Michele Cardella 1363 7th Ave, #5 San Francisco, CA 94122 (415) 664-7278 (home)

BLOCKED MARRIAGES

Soviet Fiance

Evgeniye Grigorishin Ul. Scherbakova 55A, Kv. 21 Kiev, Ukrainian SSR

Victor M. Novikov Ul. Novatorov Dom 36, Korpus 9, Kv. 54

American Fiance

Antonette (Toni) Bohonovsky 480 Park Ave. New York, NY 10022 (212) 758-3673 (home)

Elizabeth Condon 87 Newton Avenue Lynn, MA 01905 (617) 593-8061 (home)

There are approximately 15 additional separated couples.

SHORT BIOGRAPHIES OF SOVIET-AMERICAN DIVIDED SPOUSES AND BLOCKED MARRIAGE CASES

YURI BALOVLENKOV (ELENA BALOVLENKOV-Baltimore, Maryland)

Yuri and Elena were married on December 5, 1978. Yuri and Elena have two daughters, the youngest of whom has never seen her father. Soviet authorities seldom even allow the couple to talk by phone. Yuri has staged two prolonged hunger strikes.

VICTOR FAERMARK (ANDREA WINE-Cranbury, New Jersey)

Forty-five year old Victor first applied to emigrate in 1971. He has been refused for 16 years on the grounds of secrecy although he has not worked as a scientist since 1971 when he was 27 years old. Victor and Andrea were married on November 21, 1985 after a twelve year relationship. Since they were married, Victor has received three additional refusals.

YEVGENY GRIGORISHIN (ANTOINETTE BOHONOVSKY-New York, New York)

Soviet authorities blocked Yevgeny and Toni's planned weddings on March 15, 1986 and May 14, 1986. Yevgeny has been imprisoned for a 5 year term on trumped up charges stemming from their efforts to be married. Toni was also subjected to harassment, including a one week confinement to a hospital against her will for interrogation.

GALINA MICHELSON (ANATOLY MICHELSON-Naples, Florida)

Galina, 67, and daughter Olga, 38, have not seen Anatoly for over 30 years. He has never seen his grandson. Galina and Olga have applied for exit visas 24 times and have always been refused without explanation. Galina worries that they will die before they see each other again.

VICTOR NOVIKOV (ELIZABETH CONDON-Lynn, Massachusetts)

Soviet authorities blocked Victor and Elizabeth's planned October 23, 1979 wedding by the use of an anonymous and false letter. Since that time, Victor has been refused visas nine times to join Elizabeth, and Elizabeth has been refused visas ten times to return to Moscow to marry Victor, most recently in June of this year.

PYATRAS PAKENAS (GALINA VILESHINA-Boca Raton, Florida)

Pyatras first applied to emigrate in 1978. His request for an exit visa has been refused 17 times without any reason. Pyatras was recently hospitalized for two weeks with a heart problem. He is in need of bypass surgery. As a consequence of her separation from her husband, Galina too has had heart problems.

LEONID SHEIBA (MICHELE CARDELLA-San Francisco, California)

Leonid and Michele were married on August 13, 1985. Leonid has been refused a visa three times because it was "Against the Interest of the (Soviet) State". Soviet officials now claims there are security issues involved, though Leonid has never had exposure to security matters. Neither has received the others letters since January of 1987 and their phone calls are often disconnected.

DRAFT

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

purt Parge 18

SCHEDULE PROPOSAL

November 13, 1987

TO:

FREDERICK J. RYAN, JR., DIRECTOR OF

PRESIDENTIAL SPPOINTMENTS AND SCHEDULING

FROM:

REBECCA RANGE, DEPUTY ASSISTANT TO THE PRESIDENT AND DIRECTOR OF THE OFFICE OF

PUBLIC LIAISON

GRANT GREEN, SPECIAL ASSISTANT TO THE

PRESIDENT AND EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

REQUEST: To meet with members of the Divided Spouses

Coalition

PURPOSE: To reaffirm the President's sympathy and

support for unification of spouses of Americans living in the Soviet Union.

BACKGROUND: Many of the members of the Divided Spouses

Coalition have been separated from

their spouses for many years. The Soviet Union has refused to issue exit visas for Soviets to join their American spouses. A brief Oval Office visit would help publicize this issue which is of such great importance

to many Americans and impress upon the

Soviets the importance the President places

on this issue.

PREVIOUS

PARTICIPATION: None

DATE AND TIME: Before December 8 DURATION: 15 minutes

LOCATION: Roosevelt Room

PARTICIPANTS: 10 Members of the Divided Spouses Coalition

OUTLINE OF EVENT: Guests enter Roosevelt Room and photos are

taken. President makes brief remarks and

opens to general discussion.

REMARKS REQUIRED: Talking points

MEDIA COVERAGE: To be determined

RECOMMENDED BY: Rebecca Range, NSC

PROJECT OFFICER: Linas Kojelis, X6573

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

1. Keith Braun.
Detwoit.
(313) 256-7646

(1) \$13) 356-2592

Current President

ob Divided Sponsos

Coalition.

OF CALL	Previous editions usable
°LT	
YOU WERE CALLED BY-	YOU WERE VISITED BY-
Michelle	
of (organization)	Dovided Spans
PLEASE PHONE	FTS AUTOVON
415/664-78	278 La home
WILL CALL AGAIN	IS WAITING TO SEE YOU
RETURNED YOUR CALL	WISHES AN APPOINTMENT
MESSAGE	
(LEA Jameson)	
RECEIVED BY	DATE / TIME /

- George Make Cardella.

Als 566-5353 - Michelle Cardella - 5.F. - Andrea Wine - London - Allena Balalenkov - MD · Anatoly Michaelson - Florida - Coalina Villeshina -- two block Marraiges-Elizabeth Condon - (M.A.) (10Ni) Antoinete Bohonovsky - NYC Fruo weeks Kathlen Longe - State Dept. Tally - country
that whom clarge I
Americans forme

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

SCHEDULE PROPOSAL

November 16, 1987

TO:

FREDERICK J. RYAN, JR., DIRECTOR OF

PRESIDENTIAL SPPOINTMENTS AND SCHEDULING

FROM:

REBECCA RANGE, DEPUTY ASSISTANT TO THE PRESIDENT AND DIRECTOR OF THE OFFICE OF

PUBLIC LIAISON

GRANT GREEN, SPECIAL ASSISTANT TO THE

PRESIDENT AND EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

REQUEST:

To meet with members of the Divided Spouses

Coalition

PURPOSE:

To reaffirm the President's sympathy and support for unification of spouses of Americans living in the Soviet Union.

BACKGROUND:

Many of the members of the Divided Spouses Coalition have been separated from their spouses for many years. The Soviet Union has refused to issue exit visas for

Soviets to join their American spouses. A brief Oval Office visit would help publicize this issue which is of such great importance

to many Americans and impress upon the Soviets the importance the President places

on this issue.

PREVIOUS

PARTICIPATION:

None

DATE AND TIME:

Before December 8 DURATION: 15 minutes

LOCATION:

Roosevelt Room

PARTICIPANTS:

10 Members of the Divided Spouses Coalition

OUTLINE OF EVENT:

Guests enter Roosevelt Room and photos are taken. President makes brief remarks and

opens to general discussion.

REMARKS REQUIRED:

Talking points

MEDIA COVERAGE:

To be determined

RECOMMENDED BY:

Rebecca Range, NSC

PROJECT OFFICER:

Linas Kojelis, X6573

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

SCHEDULE PROPOSAL

November 16, 1987

TO:

FREDERICK J. RYAN, JR., DIRECTOR OF

PRESIDENTIAL APPOINTMENTS AND SCHEDULING

FROM:

REBECCA RANGE, DEBUTY ASSISTANT TO THE

PRESIDENT AND DIRECTOR OF THE OFFICE OF

PUBLIC LIAISON

GRANT GREEN SPECIAL ASSISTANT TO THE

PRESIDENT AND EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

To meet with members of the Divided Spouses REQUEST:

Coalition

PURPOSE: To reaffirm the President's sympathy and

> support for unification of spouses of Americans living in the Soviet Union.

Many of the members of the Divided Spouses BACKGROUND:

Coalition have been separated from

their spouses for many years. The Soviet Union has refused to issue exit visas for Soviets to join their American spouses. A brief Oval Office visit would help publicize this issue which is of such great importance

to many Americans and impress upon the

Soviets the importance the President places

on this issue.

PREVIOUS

PARTICIPATION: None

Before December 8 DURATION: 15 minutes DATE AND TIME:

Roosevelt Room LOCATION:

10 Members of the Divided Spouses Coalition PARTICIPANTS:

OUTLINE OF EVENT: Guests enter Roosevelt Room and photos are

taken. President makes brief remarks and

opens to general discussion.

REMARKS REQUIRED: Talking points

MEDIA COVERAGE: To be determined

RECOMMENDED BY: Rebecca Range, NSC

Linas Kojelis, X6573 PROJECT OFFICER:

8

SEE JO 5 14 351 5 34884

Published monthly by

RESEARCH CENTER FOR RELIGION AND HUMAN RIGHTS IN CLOSED SOCIETIES



475 RIVERSIDE DRIVE NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10027, USA

212-870-2481 or 2440

Blahoslav S. Hrubý
Executive Director and Editor

Wovember 9, 1987

President Ronald Reagan The White House Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. President:

On behalf of the Board of Directors of our Research Center for Religion and Human Rights in Closed Society I should like to express to you our deep appreciation and gratitude for your inspiring message addressed to us on the occasion of RCDA's 25th anniversary dinner in New York City.

The proceedings of that evening were broadcast by Voice of America, Radio Free Europe and Radio Liberty and thus, heard by millions of listeners particularly behind the Iron Curtain. For them your words meant enormous encouragement in their struggle for civil rights and religious freedom of which they are being deprived by Communist governments. This was confirmed by two former victims of Communism who were present at our celebration -- Soviet virtuoso Vladimir Feltsman and Cuban poet Armando Valladares, both of them our "cases" in the past years. They were testimony to the fact that we in the West can affect in a positive way the policies and methods of totalitarian regimes, if we persist in our efforts.

We shall pray for your leadership, wisdom and courage in this time when you will meet with Mr. Gorbachev to make major decisions of a great impact on the life of mankind, not only in terms of limitation of nuclear weapons but also in terms of human rights.

May God bless you and guide you in all your endeavors.

Thank you again for your thoughtful message.

Respectfully yours,

Rev. Blahoslav Hruby Executive Director THE WHITE HOUSE WASHINGTON

Date: 11-13-87

TO:	Li	sa James	ion
FROM:	LINAS KOJELIS Special Assistant to the President for Public Liaison Room 196 OEOB, Ext. 6573		
The attach	ed is	for your:	
		Information	Review & Comment
		Direct Response	Appropriate Action
		Draft Letter	☐ Signature
		File	☐ Let's Discuss
		Please Return By _	
Comments Frage Frage Huis A	ally loo af	endorse this in keeping il from STA tern ron (13 n# 8342)	vith a. With a. ATE received Nov.) LJameson

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

SCHEDULE PROPOSAL

November 13, 1987

TO:

FREDERICK J. RYAN, JR., DIRECTOR OF

PRESIDENTIAL APPOINTMENTS AND SCHEDULING

FROM:

REBECCA RANGE, DEPUTY ASSISTANT TO THE

PRESIDENT AND DIRECTOR OF THE OFFICE OF

PUBLIC LIAISON

GRANT GREEN, SPECIAL ASSISTANT TO THE

PRESIDENT AND THE EXECUTIVE SECRETARY FOR THE

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

REQUEST:

President to host pre-Summit lunch for U.S.

religious leaders

PURPOSE:

regretted

To discuss U.S. goals at U.S./Soviet summit, and to hear concerns of religious leaders on

arms control, human and religious rights

BACKGROUND:

Religious and human rights leaders have met with the President before both of the previous meetings with the Soviets. The meeting would provide the President with a good opportunity to be informed about human rights cases and to listen to the religious communities concerns and requests before the Summit. It would also provide a striking contrast between the U.S. and Soviet Union as

regards church/state relations.

The luncheon would be preceded by a briefing on U.S. goals at the Summit by senior administration officials in the Roosevelt

Room.

PREVIOUS
PARTICIPATION:

October 1987

DATE:

November 23-December 4 DURATION: 1 hr.

LOCATION:

Cabinet Room

PARTICIPANTS:

25 religious leaders, representing Catholic, Protestant, Baptist, Jewish, Orthodox and

other major denominations and faiths

OUTLINE OF EVENT:

President enters Cabinet Room welcomes guests, eats lunch, makes remarks, leads

general discussion

REMARKS REQUIRED: 10 minute remarks

MEDIA COVERAGE: Pool for President's remarks only

RECOMMENDED BY: Rebecca Range, NSC

PROJECT OFFICER: Linas Kojelis, x6573