Ronald Reagan Presidential Library Digital Library Collections

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual collections.

Collection: Dolan, Anthony R.: Files
Folder Title: Vice Presidential Remarks: National
Rifle Association – 04/25/1986 (2)
Box: 42

To see more digitized collections visit: https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digital-library

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library inventories visit: https://reaganlibrary.gov/document-collection

Contact a reference archivist at: reagan.library@nara.gov

Citation Guidelines: https://reaganlibrary.gov/citing

National Archives Catalogue: https://catalog.archives.gov/

(Dolan)
April 23, 1986
7:00 p.m.

VICE PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: NATIONAL RIFLE ASSOCIATION FRIDAY, APRIL 25, 1986

I want to say how delighted I am to be here. I always enjoy being in New Orleans with its international flavor; in fact, on the way down I was thinking how very French New Orleans still is and I mentioned this to the pilot of Air Force Two. And he said, "we'll find out, sir, when we enter their air space."

But, you know, that's the difference between the Vice

President and some European governments -- they can say no to the

President. Well, I've been telling people for a long time and

the events of last week proved it, saying no to Ronald Reagan

just plain doesn't make sense.

In any case, I think you can see I've come down here today determined not to duck any of the important issues. And, by the way, I'm using that word against the advice of my staff -- they said never mention the way "duck" in front of the N.R.A.

To be serious, ladies and gentlemen, I know it's traditional for political figures to come to your convention, make all the right noises -- maybe a thumping speech about gun control -- and tell you exactly what they think you want to hear.

So, let's get something straight at the outset. I'm not here today to pound the podium or thump the campaign tub; but I am delighted to restate as Vice President, something this Administration has been saying for years, something our President has been saying and something I've put on the record many times since I was a Texas congressmen. To make an important point

about a critical public issue and to make it as vividly and emphatically as I know how. Whatever the good intentions, depriving Americans of their constitutional right to keep and bear arms is wrong, wrong, wrong. We must never forget that making it tougher for the law-abiding only makes it easier for the lawbreaker, disarming honest citizens only helps hardened criminals, and society isn't safer when good citizens are defenseless.

Now, let's face it; if we started talking about every attempt by the liberals over the past two decades to pass harsh gun control legislation we could be here most of the day. Every one of these measures the liberals were pushing for back in the 60's and 70's had the three hallmarks of liberal legislation: they were sweeping and all-inclusive; they required Draconian enforcement by the Federal Government, and they were guaranteed to have exactly the opposite of their intended effect -- to be counterproductive, to backfire.

And I'm not just talking about the issue of gun control.

Because, on all the major issues, the liberal ideologues of the 60's and 70's never stopped telling us they had just the right panaceas. Did we want to help the poor and expand the economy? They had the easy answers -- just tax and tax and spend and spend -- don't worry about deficits, inflation, or interest rates; prosperity is just another billion dollar program away.

And we know what happened. By the late 70's and early 80's every one of us was waking up to news about skyrocketing inflation, crippling interest rates, punitive taxation, climbing

unemployment, rising poverty rates, declining productivity, shrinking savings, and an economy that was deader in the water than a Libyan gunboat. That was the mess Ronald Reagan inherited in 1980; that was what he was left to deal with after years of liberal mismanagement and economic snake oil.

And foreign policy? Were we worried about war and peace?

American security? The fate of freedom? That one was easy for the liberals too. The American people were contributing to international tensions, by having an inordinate fear of communism, by misunderstanding the Soviets, what we had to do was reduce the defense budget, cease provoking the Soviets, and Blame America First. And once again the liberals wrought chaos: planes that couldn't fly, ships that couldn't leave port, rapid deployment force, that were neither rapid, deployable or much a force — an invasion of Afghanistan, the Iranian hostages, and Soviet-backed Communist expansionism everywhere from Nicaragua to Angola to Cambodia. Foreign policy, too, was another mess Ronald was allowed and had to deal with themes to years of liberal domination in American politics.

or crime? The liberals knew what was wrong. The felons and hardened criminals were even more misunderstood than the Soviets, society was to blame, we had to be more sympathetic; we had to we had to be more sympathetic; we had to we had to be more sympathetic; we had to be more s

Here too, was another massive failure Ronald Reagan had to deal with when he came into office.

And so it went -- the economy, foreign policy, crime -other issues like prayer in the schools -- the liberals had it
all their own way during so much of the 60's and 70's. And,
believe me, Ronald Reagan, myself, and other members of the
Administration had a bird's eye view of what liberal policies had
done to America when we had to address all these problems in
1981.

But you know there is one thing we will be forever grateful for; one problem we didn't have to deal with. Because on one issue the liberals didn't get what they wanted. All of their fevered, unceasing attempts to deny Americans their constitutional right to keep and bear arms came to naught. And they came to naught because of one single group of honest, vigorous citizens -- everyday Americans who understood the danger of intrusive Government, who were unafraid to stand up to Washington, who showed all of America how to use grass roots politics to thwart the liberal agenda.

even now when you mention to liberals in Washington three simple letters "N" "R" "A" -- it's like asking a French critic his opinion of German opera. They get foamy around the mouth; they look for a rug to chew on. But, members of the N.R.A., never forget: just when everything was going their way, it was you who stopped the liberals cold. They wanted to pass dragonian unwise, which

page 5 pun

d 5 2.1

legislation; you didn't let them; it was a great political it was a great political victory for you; and the American people.

You know, a lot of people have just plain forgotten how sweeping the proposed gun control legislation was in those days. I can remember when I was serving as a Congressman in the late 60's and the District of Columbia passed a harsh new law requiring registration of every kind of firearm. And there I was, a member of the National Rifle Association down at the police station registering the two or three rifles I owned. And I remember asking the policemen in charge how effective he thought the law would be. "Oh, about 40 percent," he said. But besides the lack of effectiveness, he also made the other point the N.R.A. has been making right along: it was only the honest citizen not the criminal who was down at the station house signing the proper forms and applies his years. The Committee of the proper forms and applies his years.

And, you see, here's a perfect illustration of what the liberal mind and liberal legislation were all about: it get the Government doing everything but its essential and constitutional duties. And it's here I want to say something about one of the great myths about the N.R.A.

Some of your critics like to claim: you're a single issue, special interest group and that claim just doesn't bear up under scrutiny. Even if you don't talk about all the safety and

N.R.A., It's important to remember that throughout the gun control controversy the N.R.A. has been reminding us that the liberal passion for gun control was just further evidence of a

much larger problem: the refusal to come to terms with the career criminals who haunted our streets, who ran the syndicates who sought to undermine our social deven as they thumbed their moses at the forces of law enforcement. It's to your credit that you didn't just criticize draconian attempts to control firearms, but that you pointed to the much larger problem: a criminal justice system that wasn't coming to terms with one of the most pressing social evils in America. And by that I mean: those corrupt members of our society who consciously chose to earn their living by victimizing their fellow-citizens.

Now, ladies and gentlemen, the recent statistics on crime speak for themselves. When they first started going down a few years ago, some people were trying to explain them away by suggesting that it was only a function of demographics, that it merely reflected the fact that the number of those in the crime-prone age was actually shrinking. Well, the truth is that correlation just doesn't hold. For two reasons: first, because back in the 70's the number in some of those crime-prone groups actually declined slightly even while violent crime was skyrocketing; and, second, in recent years even while crime has decreased the number in some crime-prone groups was declining.

So let's be clear: crime is coming down for clearly understood reasons: all across this Nation, the American people have been demanding what the N.R.A. was calling for a long time ago. It's time to return to certain traditional values and beliefs, values and beliefs that say simply: right and wrong

matters, the individual is responsible for his actions and society has a right to protect itself from those who would prey on the innocent.

Governments of led I am proud to say by this Administration are appointing tougher judges who are putting career criminals in Jail for longer and longer periods of time.

So, I am here to tell you today -- it's no accident the crime rate is coming down and staying down; it's no accident that drug prosecutions are increasing or that organized crime prosecutions have quadrupled since 1981 -- it's all the result of tough new crime laws, tough new prosecutions, tough new judges but most of all will at the very top of our national Government to punish the guilty, to protect the innocent and make America will at the very safe and secure again.

a

matters, the individual is responsible for his actions and society has a right to protect itself from those who would prey on the innocent.

Crime is coming down because local State and Federal

Governments -- led I am proud to say by this Administration -
are appointing tougher judges who are putting career criminals in

jail for longer and longer periods of time.

So, I am here to tell you today -- it's no accident the crime rate is coming down and staying down; it's no accident that drug prosecutions are increasing or that organized crime prosecutions have quadrupled since 1981 -- it's all the result of tough new crime laws, tough new prosecutions, tough new judges but most of all a will at the very top of our national Government to punish the guilty, to protect the innocent and make America safe and secure again.

Now appointing judges, getting the criminal code revised including tough new mandatory sentences, setting up that Florida drug task force I headed, and then establishing 11 more around the country, adding more than a thousand new Federal investigators and prosecutors, improving Federal cooperation with local and State law enforcement -- these were just some of the things that we were able to accomplish with the support of the American people and especially with help of groups like the N.R.A. So, ladies and gentlemen, I come here today not just to say we're starting to win the war against crime, but to extend to you my personal thanks -- and the President's personal thanks -- for the tremendous assistance the members of the National Rifle

Association have given this Administration and all of law enforcement in the way on cuito.

And it's precisely because you do have such a record of succession a much broader range of issues than your critics like to admit it's precisely because you are one of the most effective public action groups in America that I come here today.

Because we need your help -- I'm unashamed to say it -- the President, the Administration, all of us need your help. In a very short time, the House of Representatives will be facing a crucial vote, one that is as important to America's security as the war against crime bjust talked about.

Let me take a moment now and explain.

A few months ago, a Presidential task force I headed completed its work. Its subject matter was one I've been personally concerned with for many years, especially since my days as head of the C.I.A. And in the past few years, and especially the past few weeks, it's matter all of the world has had to address. I am speaking, of course about the threat and the reality of terrorism.

Now I don't intend to speak at length today about Colonel
Qadhafi. But in case anyone here doesn't know: Colonel Qadhafi
is the leader of Libya. More exactly, he is a crackbrained distributed
bully who thinks he can go around killing innocent people -especially Americans -- with impunity. Now Colonel Qadhafi and
his fellow terrorists were, I believe, recently and at least
temporarily disabused of this dangerous notion when they received
wake-up call from a squadron of F-IIIs. Let me assure each of

he said:

you here today; in fact, let me assure the entire world; if the Colonel and his murderous clones do not stop stop killing innocent people, the United States will not hesitate to again extend to them such courtesies we should them a manage

Enough said on that subject. What I do want to draw your attention to is a very important point the President made the other night, a point our commission on terrorism made most emphatically. And that was the existence of an internative terrorist network. More specifically, the President was talking about the crisis in Nicaragua, where a Sandinista regime is attempting to turn their nation into a totalitarian state and a beachhead for Soviet aggression on the North American continent. - The President discussed the connections of this Sandinista regime with the Libyans and international terrorism. Here's what inscit A

So my fellow members of the N.R.A. -- we've got a bill in Congress that would help the freedom fighters who are tying to return democracy to Nicaragua and end this terrorist threat at our borders. The House of Representatives voted that bill down by only a narrow margin; I think with your support and the support of groups like yours we can get that bill up for consideration again soon and then passed. Together I believe we can stop the threat of - gras/by a Libya on our doorstep.

So I'm here today to ask you to help President Reagan to help the freedom fighters, the freedom fighter who seek to bring the promises of freedom and democracy again to their land.

THE SANDINISTAS HAVE PROVIDED REFUGE FOR ALL SORTS OF INTERNATIONAL TERRORISTS. MEMBERS OF THE ITALIAN GOVERNMENT HAVE OPENLY CHARGED THAT NICARAGUA IS HARBORING SOME OF ITALY'S WORST TERRORISTS. AND WE HAVE EVIDENCE THAT IN ADDITION TO ITALY'S RED BRIGADES, OTHER ELEMENTS OF THE WORLD'S MOST VICIOUS TERRORIST GROUPS --WEST GERMANY'S BAADER-MEINHOFF GANG, THE BASQUE E.T.A., THE P.L.O., AND THE TUPAMAROS -- HAVE FOUND A HAVEN IN NICARAGUA. THEY HAVE ACTIVELY SUPPORTED THE SALVADORAN REBELS WHO HAVE FREQUENTLY USED TERROR, INCLUDING THE KILLING OF FOUR MARINES IN A CAFE LAST SUMMER --AND THESE ARE THE SAME REBELS WHO CELEBRATED THE CHALLENGER EXPLOSION AND SAID OUR ASTRONAUTS WERE WAR CRIMINALS AND DESERVED WHAT THEY GOT.

THAT PICTURE MAKING THE ROUNDS SHOWING DANIEL ORTEGA STANDING WITH MU'AMMAR QADHAFI AND RAISING HIS FIST IN A GESTURE OF SOLIDARITY IS VERY MUCH TO THE POINT.

I HOPE EVERY MEMBER OF CONGRESS WILL REFLECT ON THE FACT THAT THE SANDINISTAS HAVE BEEN TRAINING, SUPPORTING, AND DIRECTING AS WELL AS SHELTERING TERRORISTS; AND, IN THIS SENSE THEY ARE TRYING TO BUILD A LIBYA ON OUR DOORSTEP. AND IT'S THE CONTRAS, THE FREEDOM FIGHTERS, WHO ARE STOPPING THEM.

SO YOU SEE IT GOES BACK TO WHAT RICHARD WEAVER HAS SAID AND WHAT HERITAGE IS ALL ABOUT: IDEAS DO HAVE CONSEQUENCES, RHETORIC IS POLICY, WORDS ARE ACTIONS.

AND JUST IN CASE THE MEANING OF LAST WEEK'S EVENTS ARE STILL UNCLEAR TO THOSE WHO WOULD TERRORIZE AND MURDER AMERICANS, LET ME EXPLAIN ONCE MORE.

VICE PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: NATIONAL RIFLE ASSOCIATION FRIDAY, APRIL 25, 1986

I want to say how delighted I am to be here. I always enjoy being in New Orleans with its international flavor; in fact, on the way down I was thinking how very French New Orleans still is and I mentioned this to the pilot of Air Force Two. And he said, "we'll find out, sir, when we enter their air space."

But, you know, that's the difference between the Vice

President and some European governments -- they can say no to the

President. Well, I've been telling people for a long time and

the events of last week proved it, saying no to Ronald Reagan

just plain doesn't make sense.

In any case, I think you can see I've come down here today determined not to duck any of the important issues. And, by the way, I'm using that word against the advice of my staff -- they said never mention the word "duck" in front of the N.R.A.

To be serious, ladies and gentlemen, I know it's traditional for political figures to come to your convention, make all the right noises -- maybe a thumping speech about gun control -- and tell you exactly what they think you want to hear.

So, let's get something straight at the outset. I'm not here today to pound the podium or thump the campaign tub; but I am delighted to restate as Vice President, something this Administration has been saying for years, something our President has been saying and something I've put on the record many times since I was a Texas congressmen. To make an important point

about a critical public issue and to make it as vividly and emphatically as I know how. Despite all the best intentions in the world, depriving Americans of their constitutional right to keep and bear arms is still wrong, wrong, wrong. We need to learn that making it tougher for the law-abiding only makes it easier for the lawbreaker, disarming honest citizens only helps hardened criminals and society isn't safer when good citizens are defenseless.

Now let's face it; if we started talking about every attempt by the liberals over the past two decades to pass harsh gun control legislation we could be here most of the day. Every one of these measures the liberals were pushing for back in the 60's and 70's had the three hallmarks of liberal legislation; they were sweeping they required Draconian enforcement by the Federal Government, and they were guaranteed to have exactly the opposite of their intended effect — to backfire, to be counterproductive.

And I'm not just talking about the issue of gun control.

Because, on all the major issues, the liberal ideologues of the 60's and 70's never stopped telling us they had just the right panaceas. Did we want to help the poor and expand the economy?

They had the easy answers -- just tax and tax and spend and spend -- don't worry about deficits, inflation, or interest rates; prosperity is just another billion dollar program away.

And we know what happened. By the late 70's and early 80's every one of us was waking up to news about skyrocketing inflation, crippling interest rates, punitive taxation, climbing unemployment, rising poverty rates, declining productivity,

shrinking savings, and an economy that was deader in the water than a Libyan gunboat. That was the mess Ronald Reagan inherited in 1980; that was what he was left to deal with after years of liberal mismanagement and economic snake oil.

And foreign policy? Were we worried about war and peace?

American security? The fate of freedom? That one was easy for the liberals too. The American people were contributing to international tensions, by having an inordinate fear of communism, by misunderstanding the Soviets; what we had to do was reduce the defense budget, cease provoking the Soviets, and Blame America First. And once again the liberals wrought chaos: planes that couldn't fly, ships that couldn't leave port, rapid deployment forces that were neither rapid, deployable or much a force -- an invasion of Afghanistan, the Iranian hostages, and Soviet-backed Communist expansionism everywhere from Nicaragua to Angola, to Cambodia. And there was another mess Ronald Reagan inherited and had to deal with thanks to all the years of liberal domination of American politics.

Or crime? The liberals knew what was wrong. The felons and hardened criminals were even more misunderstood than the Soviets, society was to blame, we had to be more sympathetic, couldn't we had to work out a kind of detente with career criminals? So they gave us their best medicine: lenient judges, lighter sentences, and vision of perfect justice even as they established policy that set loose on our streets one of the worst collections of thugs and murderers in this Nation's history. And this was another massive failure Ronald Reagan inherited and had to deal with while

he care into office.

And so it went -- the economy, foreign policy, crime -other issues like prayer in the schools -- the liberals had it
all their own way during so much of the 60's and 70's. And,
believe me, Ronald Reagan, myself, and other members of the
Administration address property all these problems in 1981 had a bird's
eye view of what liberal policies had done to America the we had

But you know there is one thing we will be forever grateful for; one problem we didn't have to deal with. Because on one issue the liberals didn't get what they wanted. All of their fevered, unceasing attempts to deny Americans their constitutional right to keep and bear arms came to naught. And they came to naught because of one single group of honest, vigorous citizens — everyday Americans who understood the danger of intrusive Government — who were unafraid to stand up to Washington, who showed all of America how to use grass roots politics to thwart the liberal agenda.

even now when you mention to liberals in Washington these three simple letters "N" "R" "A" -- it's like asking a French critic his opinion of German opera. They get all foamy around the mouth; They look for the nearest rug to chew on. But, members of the N.R.A., Gust when everything was going their way, it was you who stopped the liberals cold. They wanted to pass draconian was you drove 'em crazy and I say it was a great political victory, a victory for the American people.

You know a lot of people have just plain forgotten how sweeping the proposed legislation was in those days. I can

the District of Columbia passed a harsh new law requiring registration of every kind of firearm. And there I was, a member of the National Rifle Association down at the police station registering the two or three rifles I owned. And I remember asking the policemen in charge how effective he thought the law would be. Oh, about 40 percent he said. But besides the lack of effectiveness he also made the other point the N.R.A. has been making right along: it was only the honest citizens not the criminal who was down at the station house registering their

And, you see there's a perfect illustration of what the liberal mind and liberal legislation was all about: it's get Government doing everything but its essential and constitutional duties. And yet there's also something here that speaks to one of the great myths about the N.R.A. and gives an honest portrait of what the N.R.A. is all about.

special interest group and that claim just doesn't bear up under scrutiny. Even if you don't talk about all the safety and training programs the N.R.A. is involved in, it's important to remember that right along the N.R.A. has been reminding us that the liberal passion for gun control was just further evidence of a much larger problem: the refusal to come to terms with the career criminals who haunted our streets or run the syndicates, and regularly thumbed their noses at the forces of law

enforcement. The N.R.A. didn't just criticize draconian attempt 9

to control firearms: if pointed to the much larger problem: a criminal justice system that wasn't coming to terms with one of the most pressing social evils in America; those corrupt members of our society who consciously chose to earn their living by victimizing their fellow-citizens.

Now, ladies and gentlemen, the criminal statistics speak for themselves. When they first started going down a few years ago, some people were trying to explain them away by suggesting that it was only a function of demographics, that it merely reflected the fact that the number of those in the crime prone age was actually shrinking. Well, the truth is that correlation doesn't hold for two reasons: First, because back in the 70's the number in some rime prone groups declined slightly even while violent crime went skyrocketing; and second because in recent years the number in some crime prone groups had actually increased while during this period crime was declining.

So let's be clear: crime is coming down for clearly understood reasons: all across this Nation, the American people have been demanding what the N.R.A. was calling for a long time ago that It's time to return to certain traditional value and beliefs, values and beliefs that say simply: right and wrong matters, the individual is responsible for his actions and society has a right to protect itself from those who would prey on the innocent.

Crime is coming down because local State and Federal Governments -- led I am proud to say they are led by this

Administration -- are appointing tougher judges who are putting career criminals in jail for longer and longer periods of time.

I am here to tell you today -- it's no accident the crime rate is coming down and staying down; its no accident that organized crime prosecutions have quadrupled since 1980; it's no accident that drug prosecutions are increasing -- it's all the result of tough new crime laws, tough new prosecutions, tough new judges but most of all a will at the very top of our national Government to punish the guilty, to protect the innocent and make America the safe and secure land it was destined to be.

VICE PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: NATIONAL RIFLE ASSOCIATION FRIDAY, APRIL 25, 1986

I want to say how delighted I am to be here. I always enjoy being in New Orleans with its international flavor; in fact, on the way down I was thinking how very French New Orleans still is and I mentioned this to the pilot of Air Force Two. And he said, "we'll find out, sir, when we enter their air space."

But, you know, that's the difference between the Vice

President and some European governments -- they can say no to the President. Well, I've been saying for a long time and the events of last week proved saying no to Ronald Reagan just plain doesn't make sense.

In any case, I think you can see I've come down here today determined not to duck any of the important issues. And, by the way, I'm using that word against the advice of my staff -- they said never mention the word "duck" in front of the N.R.A.

To be serious, ladies and gentlemen, I know it's traditional for political figures to come to your convention, make all the right noises -- maybe a thumping speech about gun control and tell you exactly what they think you want to hear.

So, let's get something straight at the outset. I'm not here today to pound the podium or thump the campaign tub; but I am delighted to restate as Vice President, something this Administration has been saying and something our President has been saying for years and something I've put on the record many times since I was a Texas congressmen. To make this point about

an important public issue like arm control, and to make it as vividly and emphatically as I know how depriving America of their constitutional right to keep and bear arms is wrong, wrong, wrong. We need to learn making it tougher for the law abiding only makes it easier for the lawbreaker, disarming honest citizens only helps hardened criminals society isn't safer when good citizens are defenseless.

Now let's face it; if we started talking about every attempt by the liberals over the past two decades to pass harsh gun control legislation we could be here most of the day. Every one of these measures the liberals were pushing for back in the 60's and 70's had the three key hallmarks of liberal legislation; they were sweeping, they required Draconian enforcement by the Federal Government and they were guaranteed to have exactly the opposite of their intended effect -- to backfire, to be counterproductive.

And I'm not just talking about the issue of gun control.

Because, on all the major issues, the liberal ideologues of the 60's and 70's never stopped telling us they had just the right panaceas. Did we want to help the poor and expand the economy? They had the easy answers, just tax and tax and spend and spend -- don't worry about deficits, inflation, or interest rates --prosperity is just another billion dollar program away.

And we know what happened. By the late 70's and early 80's every one of us was waking up to news about skyrocketing inflation, crippling interest rates, punitive taxation, climbing unemployment, rising poverty rates, declining productivity, shrinking savings, and an economy that was deader in the water

than a Libyan gunboat. That was the mess Ronald Reagan inherited in 1980; that was what he was left to deal with after years of liberal mismanagement and economic snake oil.

And foreign policy? Were we worried about war and peace, American security, the fate of freedom? That one was easy for the liberals too. The American people were contributing to international tensions, by having an inordinate fear of communism, by misunderstanding the Soviets; what we had to do was reduce the defense budget and cease provoking the Soviets and Blame America First. And once again the liberals wrought chaos: planes that couldn't fly, ships that couldn't leave port, rapid deployment forces that were neight repaid, doyable or much a force -- an invasion of Afghanistan, the Iranian hostages, and Soviet-backed Communist expansionism everywhere from Nicaragua to Angola to Cambodia. And there was another mess Ronald Reagan inherited and had to deal with thanks to all the years of liberal domination of American politics.

Or crime? The liberals knew what was wrong. The felons and hardened criminals were even more misunderstood than the Soviets, society was to blame, we had to be more sympathetic, couldn't we work out a kind of detente with career criminals? So they gave us their best medicine: lenient judges, lighter sentences, and a vision of perfect justice -- even as they established policy that set loose on our streets one of the worst collections of thugs and murderers in this Nation's history. And there was another failure Ronald Reagan inherited and had to deal with.

And so it went -- the economy, foreign policy, crime -other issues like prayer in the schools -- the liberals had it
all their own way during so much of the 60's and 70's. And
Ronald Reagan, myself, and other members of the Administration
addressing all these problems in 1981 had a bird's eye view of
what liberal policies had done to America.

But you know there is one thing we will be forever grateful for; one problem we didn't have to deal with. Because on one issue the liberals didn't get what they wanted. All of their fevered, unceasing attempts to deny Americans their constitutional right to keep and bear arms came to naught. And they came to naught because of one single group of honest, vigorous citizens -- everyday Americans who understood the danger of intrusive Government, who were unafraid to stand up to Washington, who showed all of America that how to use grass roots politics to thwart the liberal agenda.

even now when you mention to liberals in Washington those three simple letters "N" "R" "A" -- it's like asking a French critic his opinion of German opera. They get all foamy around the mouth. They look for the nearest rug to chew on. Fadies and gentlemen of the N.R.A., just when everything was going their way, it was you who stopped the liberals cold. You drove 'em crazy then and you're doing it now; and I say keep it up.

Yi'ctory the Amurican people

(Dolan) April 23, 1986 11:30 a.m.

VICE PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: NATIONAL RIFLE ASSOCIATION FRIDAY, APRIL 25, 1986

I want to say how delighted I am to be here. I greatly enjoy being in New Orleans with its international flavor; In fact, on the way down I was thinking how very French New Orleans still is and I mentioned this to the pilot of Air Force Two. And he said, "We'll find out sir when we enter their air space."

But, you know, that's the difference between the Vice

President and some European governments -- they can say no to the

President. Well, as a few people in this country and the events

of last week proved, saying no to Ronald Reagan just plain

doesn't make sense.

In any case, I think you can see I've come down here today determined not to duck any of the important issues. And, by the way, I'm using that word against the advice of my staff -- they said never mention the word duck in front of the N.R.A.

To be serious, ladies and gentlemen, I know it's traditional all the (15th noise) for political figures to come to your convention, make a thumping speech about gun control and tell you exactly what they think you want to hear.

here today to pound the podium or thump the campaign tub; but I am delighted to be here and, as Vice President, to repeat on Samulty behalf of the Administration something the President has been saying for years and something I've put on the record many times since I was a Texas congressmen. To make this point about an important public issue control and to make it as vividly and

abiding makes it easier for the lawbreaker, society isn't safer when good citizens are defenseless, disarming honest citizens only helps hardened criminals, Souit until safer when good citizens are defenseless.

Now let's face it; if we started talking about every attempt by the liberals over the past two decades to pass Dracenian gun control legislation we could be here most of the day. Everyone of these measures the liberals were pushing for back in the 60's and 70's had the three key hallmarks of liberal legislation; they were sweeping, they required Draconian enforcement by the Federal Government and they were guaranteed to have exactly the opposite of their intended effect to backfire, to be counterproductive.

And I'm not just talking about the issue of gun control.

Because, on all the major issues, the liberal ideologues of the 60's and 70's never stopped telling us they had just the right panacea? Did we want to help the poor and expand the economy?

Why they had the easy answer — just have the Federal Government spend more money and don't worry about deficits, inflation, or interest rates — just tax and tax and spend and spend; prosperity is just another billion dollar program away.

every one of us was waking up in the morning to news about skyrocketing inflation, crippling interest rates, punitive taxation, climbing unemployment, rising poverty rates, declining productivity, shrinking savings, and an economy that was deader in the water than a Libyan gunboat. That was the mess Ronald

Reagan inherited in 1980; that was what he was left to deal with after years of liberal mismanagement and economic snake oil.

Or foreign policy? Were we worried about war and peace, That American security, the fate of freedom? We misunderstood the Sand liberals once again had all the answers. Soviets; the American people contributing to international tensions by having an inordinate fear of communism; what we had to do was Blame America first, reduce the defense budget and cease provoking the Soviets And once again the liberals wrought chaos: planes that couldn't fly, ships that could leave port, rapid deployment forces repaid, doyable or much that were neight an invasion of Afghanistan, the Iranian hostages, and Soviet-backed Communist expansionism everywhere from Nicaragua to And that was another mess Ronald Reagan Angola to Cambodia. inherited and had to deal with Or crime? The liberals knew what was wrong. Felons and

hardened criminals were even more misunderstood than the Soviets, society was to blame. So they gave us their best medicine:

lenient judges, lighter sentences, and a vision of perfect lighter sentences, and a vision of perfect justice -- even as they set loose on our streets one of the worst collections of thugs and murderers in this Nation's history. And the failure on crime was another mess Ronald Reagan inherited and had to deal with.

And so the it went -- the economy, foreign policy, crime -- other issues like prayer in the schools -- the liberals had it all their own way during so much of the 60's and 70's. And the Ronald Reagan and myself and the other members of the

address all these problems in 1981 had a bild's eye view of what liberal relines had done to Aurio.

But you know there is one thing we will be forever grateful for; one crisis we didn't have to deal with. Because on one issue the liberals didn't get what they wanted. All there wanted unceasing and fevered attempts to prevent Americans from

to naught. And they came to naught because of one group of honest citizens -- everyday American; who understood the danger of intrusive Government, who were unafraid to stand up to Washington, who showed all of America that how to use grass roots politics to thwart the liberal agenda.

even now when you mention to liberals those three simple letters
"N" "R" "A" -- it's like asking a French critic his opinion of
German opera. They look for the nearest rug to chew on. They
get all foamy around the mouth. Ladies and gentlemen of the
N.R.A., when everything was going their way, it was you who
stopped the liberals cold. You drove 'em crazy and you're doing
it still; and I salute you for he.

Say what that

I what to say how delighted I am to be here. I greatly enjoyed being in New Orleans with its international falvor. in fact on the way down I was thinking how very french New Orleans still is and I methieond this to the pilot of ari force Two. And he said: "we'll find out sir when we enter their air space."

But, you knwo, that's the idfference between the vice rpsdient and some Eruopean governmenets -- they can say no to the Presdient. Well, as a few people in this coutnry and the events of last week proved, saying No to Raondl regan just plain doesn't make sesne.

In any case, I think you can see i"ve come down here today determined not to duck any of the important issues. And, by the way, I'm using that word gainst the advice of my staff; -- they said never ention the wrod dcuk in front of the NRA.

To be serious, Ladies and geltnemn, I know it's tradtional for poltical figures to come to your convention, make a thumping speech about gun control and tell you exactly what they think you want to hear.

So let's get something straight at the outset. I'n not here today to pound the podium or thump the campaing tub; but I am delighted to be here and, as vice rpsdient, to reepat on bhealf of this administraton soemthing the Presdient has been say ing for years and something I've put ont her ecord many times since I was a Texas congressman. To make this point about an improtate public issue ctorrol and to make it as vividly and emphatially as I know how: -- making it tougher for the law abiding makes it easier for the lawbreaker, society isn't safer when good ctizens are defenseless, disarming hoenst citizensd only helps hardned criminals..

Now let's face it; if we started talking about every attempt by the liberals over teh past two decades to pass draconian gun control legilsation we could be here most of the day. Everyone of these meaures the lbieral s ere pushign for back in the 60s and 70s had the three key hallmarks of liberal legislation; they were sweeping, they requried draconain efnocement by the federal government and they were guaranteed to have excatly the opposite of their intedend effect, to backfire, to be counterproductive.

And I'm not just alking about the issue of gun control. BEcause, on all the majro issues, the liberal ideoalgues of the 60s and 70s never stopped telling us thye had jsut hte right paancea. Did we wnat to help the poor and expand the economy? Why they had the easy answer --jsut have the federal government spend moermoney - don't worry abut deficts inflation or interest rates -- jsudt tax and tax and spend and spend; prosperity is jsut another billion odlllar bporgam away..

And wee know what happened by the late 70s and eraly 80s every one of us was waking up int he morening to news about skyrocketing inflation, cirrpling inters rates, punitie taxation, climibing unemplotingment, rising property rates, declining productivy, shrinkg savings, and an economy that was deader in the water than a Libyan gunboat. That was the mess Roandl rEga inherite din 1980; that what was what he was left to deal with after years of liberal mismangement and economic snakeoil.

Or foreing policy? Were we Worried about war and peace, Amreican sercuity, the fate of freedom? That was easy;

the lbierals once again had all the answers. WE misudnrstood the Soviets d; the armeicna people contiributing to iteration1 tensions by having an inordinate fear of dommunism; what we had to do was Balme Amreica first, reduce the defense budget and cease provking the Soviets. And once again the lbierals wrought chaoas: planes that couln't fly, ships that could leave port Ra; id Deplogyment forces athat were nieght repaid, doyable or much a force, -- an ivnasion of AFghansitan, the irania hsotages and Svoietbacked communist expainionsm everywhere from Ncirugua to Angola to Camobida.

Adn that was naother mess Roa nd ERgan inherited and had to deal with.

Or crime? The lbierals knew what wa wrong.felons and hardned criinals were even more misunderstood than the Soivets, society was to blame. So they grave us their best medicine: leninet judges, lighter sentneces and a vision of perfect justice — even as they set loose onour streets one o the worst colelcitosn of thugs and murderes in this nation's hsitory. And the fialure on crime was another mess raondl gead hintherited and had to deal with./

And so on it went-- the conomy, foreing policy, crime,
-- other issue slike prayer in the scholls -- the liberals had it
all their own way during so much of the 60s and 70s. And Roandl
rEgan and myself and the other members fo the amdinistraton wiht
the help fo the armeican people had to address all these problesm
in 1981.

But you know there is one thing we will be freover grateful for; one criss we didn't have to deal wilth. BEcuase onn one issue the lbierals 'didn't get what they wanted. All o therei uncesaing and fevered attetps to prevent aremcians bfrom exercising their consitutional reight to keep and era arms came to ought. And tehy came to naught

because of one group up of hoenst citizens --everydayAmreican 'who udnerstodo the danger of intrusive govenrmenet who were dunafraid to stand up to washignton, who showed all fo amreica that how to use grss roots politics go thwart the liberal agenda..

even now when you mention to liberals those three simple letters "N" "R" "A" -- it's like asking a french critic his opinon of german opera. They look for the nearest rug to chew on. They get all foamy aroudn the mouth. Ladies and gelentelmen of the NRA, when everything was going theri way, it was you who stopped the lbierals cold. You drove'em crazxy and you're doing it still; and I salute you for it.

there was one issue when even during their hedya the liberal couldn't get what they wanted. They told us what was needed was lenient judges, lighter sentences and more the ivnasion of AFghasnitsan Balme Armeica firts. The Soivets raise taxes and spend even more for bigger ureacraices where nleectedmid lele

bureacrats could run our lvies and spend our paychecks. Helping the poor, expanding the economy. That was easy they told us; just get the federal governemnet to spend as much money During the hedya of lbieralism, they told us that federal spending was nothing to worry about, that deficits were of no concern, that higher and higer taxes fudning more and more wasteful programs would help the poor and bring economic progress b ring us economic progress anddeficit spednignwas nothing to worry about, Oh, liberal elgisaltion, countreproductive is thy name.

or as the be coutnrporductive surely as Like a great deal of the liberal agenda, almost all of these mearues were seeping, they called for draconian enforcement about the various attempts by

Eadies and gnetlemn, you know how I could go speak extensively about the legislative through a history of we have just livind through five enearly adecade of the most one of the omost idelogical eras in our hsitryo. ON the foreing policy issues, may of our public officals felt a compulsion to blame Armeic first. The result was a diastrous situation in Southeat Asia, setback alroudn the globe -- in Iran afghasnitan, in agolo and North afric everywhere Soviet expaisnon went on the marnc hand went uncahlelnged. On the dmoestic front, prospoing massive new spendin schemes became the road to sucess.

On every on of these fronts.

One organziation stood firm -- iearned the obbrium of the far left and some of those in the mled. you stood against and you are to congradulated. On your the liberals the lbieral did so much damage but in one place they met nothing but defeat and you of the NRA did it/. I salue you for it.²

tht doesn't mean the threat is voer.

t it was always balme Aremcia firts The liberal dream of

we don't diarm criminals by diarming the honest citizen; we don't make it tougher on the lawbreakr by making it tougher on the law abiding, we don't amek society safer by making our ctiziens defenslesse. leaving our citizens dfenseelss does n make socity safer, making ti toughr on the la abiding make sit easier on the lawbreakers and diarming honest citiens does mean disarming criminals. making it easir on lawbreakers for the lawabiding to defend thesemvels. ong record 've had a fairly long career in public life; and the record is clear. I don't have to do any tub thumping on these points. the record is there for all to see. you can check the record. and tell you what they think you want to hear about the issues like that.

Let me begin by saying today that I don't think I have to make any thumping speeches on these points. I think some of you knwo the press.

funraising.