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DEAR MR PRESIDENT:

I AM PLEASED TO LEARN THAT SANDRA O'CONNOR MAY BE YOUR NOMinee FOR THE VACANCY ON THE SUPREME COURT.

HAVING BEEN BROUGHT UP IN A FAMILY WITH EXTENSIVE JUDICIAL BACKGROUND, I RECOGNIZE THE IMPORTANCE OF YOUR NOMINATION TO THE HIGH COURT. MY FATHER SERVED AS A MEMBER OF THE ARIZONA SUPREME COURT AND ALSO AS ARIZONA'S ATTORNEY GENERAL.

I HAVE KNOWN SANDRA O'CONNOR SINCE THE EARLY 1960'S WHEN WE WORKED TOGETHER IN STATE GOVERNMENT. I WAS THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT TO THE GOVERNOR OF ARIZONA AND JUDGE O'CONNOR SERVED AS A DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL. SHE HAS A SPLENDID BACKGROUND IN THE JUDICIARY, SERVING AS A TRIAL JUDGE AND ON THE STATE BOARD OF APPEALS. SHE HAS A STERLING REPUTATION AND WOULD BRING TO THE COURT A COMBINATION OF COMMON SENSE AND FAIRNESS.

OUR NATION WOULD BE GREATLY SERVED WITH THE ADDITION OF JUDGE SANDRA O'CONNOR TO THE SUPREME COURT. I SUPPORT HER NOMINATION AND URGE YOU TO DO LIKewise.

SINCERELY

DENNIS DECONCINI, UNITED STATES SENATOR
101 NORTH 1 AVE SUITE 1634
PHOENIX AZ 85003
174 6 EST
NNNN
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RECID  810702310
FNAME  SANDRA
LNAME  Q'CONNOR
SEX    F
PRIORITY 1
STATUS  A
RECY    RHODES, JOHN    REP AZ
        GOLDWATER, BARRY SR SEN AZ
APPT    ASSOC JUSTICE SUPREME COURT OF US    JUD PAS
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DRAFT
The President today announced his intention to nominate Judge Sandra Day O'Connor to be an Associate Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States, filling the vacancy created by the resignation of Justice Potter Stewart. Judge O'Connor presently serves on the Arizona Court of Appeals. The President will forward to the Senate his nomination of Judge O'Connor upon completion of the required background check by the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

Judge O'Connor, age 51, earned both her undergraduate and law degrees at Stanford University. She received her B.A. magna cum laude in 1950, and her LL.B. with high honors in 1952. She was a member of the Board of Editors of the Stanford Law Review and a member of the Order of the Coif. Judge O'Connor was admitted to the Bar of the State of California in the year of her graduation and to the Bar of the State of Arizona in 1957.

Judge O'Connor practiced law in Phoenix, Arizona, for a number of years. She served as Assistant Attorney General of Arizona from 1965 to 1969 and served in the Arizona State Senate from 1969 to 1975.

In 1974, Judge O'Connor was elected to the Superior Court for Maricopa County, Arizona. She served on that court until she was appointed to the Arizona Court of Appeals in 1979.

Judge O'Connor is married to John Hay O'Connor III. They have three children.
Marital Status: Married

John Jay O'Connor, III
3 children

Education:
1950
1952
Stanford University
A.B. degree

Bar:
1952
1957
California
Arizona

Experience:
1952 - 1953
Deputy County Attorney
San Mateo County, Ca.

1954 - 1957
Civilian Attorney
Quartermaster Market Center
Frankfurt/Main, W. Germany

1958 - 1960
Private practice
Maryvale, Arizona

1961 - 1964
Homemaker & Childcare

1965 - 1969
Assistant Attorney General
State of Arizona

1969 - 1975
State Senator
Arizona State Senate

1975 - 1979
Judge
Maricopa County Superior Court

1979 - present
Judge
Arizona Court of Appeals

Office:
101 W. Jefferson
Phoenix, Arizona 85003
602 255-4828

Home:
3651 E. Denton Lane
Paradise Valley, Arizona 85253
602 955-6653

Ethnic Group: Caucasian

Salary: $88,700
President Reagan has expressed privately and publicly the importance of future U.S. Supreme Court candidates' positions on the life issues. This was later reflected in the Republican party platform. For this reason, please request the President to withhold the final decision on Judge Sandra O'Connor's appointment until our documentation arrives by Federal Express sent 7-6-81.

While in the Arizona State Senate, Judge O'Connor co-sponsored the 1973 Family Planning Bill allowing birth control measures, including surgical procedures, made available to minors without parental consent.

She voted against Arizona House Memorial 2002 in the Senate Judiciary Committee, even after a rape exception clause had been added to the life of the mother.

Sandra O'Connor voted against the Memorial again in the Senate Majority Caucus.

Sandra O'Connor cast one of the nine votes against the 1974 Senate bill which would bar abortion in Tucson University Hospital except to save the life of the mother. In addition to her pro-abortion voting record, Judge O'Connor's pro-ERA position in 1972 and 1974 has aroused the opposition of pro-family organizations. It is vital that the Administration be apprised of Sandra O'Connor's record.

Carolyn Gerster, M.D., Vice President in Charge of International Affairs, National Right to Life Committee

529 14 St NW Ste 341
Washington DC 20045
MEMORANDUM

DATE: July 7, 1981

TO: Jim Baker

FROM: Clayton Yeutter

I note from the news media that Sandra O'Connor is apparently receiving strong consideration for the forthcoming U.S. Supreme Court vacancy. I just wanted to tell you that I believe this would be an absolutely outstanding appointment.

I first met Sandra during the presidential campaign of 1972. I was tremendously impressed by her, and asked others in the Arizona campaign if they shared that assessment. Everyone with whom I talked did so, and many said then already that Sandra would very likely some day be named to the U.S. Supreme Court.

I have not talked with Sandra O'Connor in nine years, Jim, but if she has the same composite of characteristics today that she had then (and I assume she is even more impressive today with nine additional years of judicial, political and other experiences) she just has to be a brilliant appointment. She could very well turn out to be one of the finest Supreme Court Justices of all time.
PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

The President
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. President:

I am attaching a list of objections to the nomination of Sandra O'Conner that were sent to me by various people.

I felt that you should have this list for your use in such discussions as you may have with Senators and interested citizens.

With best personal regards,

Respectfully,

Strom Thurmond
Chairman

ST: je}p

Enclosure
SANDRA O'CONNOR

1. During 1970 she supported an abortion on demand bill in the Committee on the Judiciary of the Arizona Senate and in the Arizona Senate Republican Caucus.

2. In 1972 she introduced in the Arizona Senate the Equal Rights Amendment ratification resolution.

3. In 1973 she was the prime sponsor in the Arizona Senate of S. 1190, a bill to allow abortion information to be sent to minors without parental consent.

4. In 1974 she voted in the Arizona Senate against a resolution petitioning the United States Congress for passage of the Human Life Amendment.

5. In 1977, at the request of Bella Abzug, she served as keynote speaker at the Arizona state convention of the United Nations' International Women's Year.

6. The July 2, 1981 issue of the Phoenix Gazette stated

   "In 1974, O'Conner sponsored a measure to submit the Equal Rights Amendment to an advisory referendum but it died in committee. That same year she was one of 9 Senators to oppose a bill which would have outlawed abortions in Tucson's University Hospital unless the mother's life was in danger."

7. Women Today, which publishes a directory of feminist organizations, lauded her in a feature article.

8. She is reported to be an intimate friend of Mary Crisp and other Republicans generally identified with the liberal wing of the Republican Party.

9. She is believed to have gone on record against tuition tax relief.

10. She may have publicly espoused stringent gun control.

11. As a judge of an inferior court of a state bench she is viewed by many as inadequately experienced for the position of Justice of the United States Supreme Court.
TODAY'S WASHINGTON POST BADLY MISQUOTES ME AND JUXTAPOSES SEVERAL OF MY COMMENTS MADE TO ANOTHER REPORTER. OUR POSITION HAS NOT CHANGED ANY SINCE THE NOMINATION WAS MADE. WE WILL NEED FURTHER INFORMATION CONCERNING JUDGE O'CONNOR AND THE ABORTION QUESTION BEFORE WE ARE ABLE TO MAKE A DECISION ON WHETHER TO SUPPORT OR OPPOSE. WE WOULD WELCOME THE OPPORTUNITY FOR FURTHER DISCUSSION AND WOULD GLADLY RECEIVE FURTHER INFORMATION PERTAINING TO THE CONCERNS WE HAVE RAISED ABOUT THE JUDGE.

CAL THOMAS
VICE PRESIDENT FOR COMMUNICATIONS
THE MORAL MAJORITY
500 ALLEGHANY AVE
LYNCHBURG VA 24501
4553
16 July 1981

Dear LaMont:

Thank you for your recent message regarding the President's appointment of Judge Sandra O'Connor to be an Associate Justice to the Supreme Court.

The President chose Judge O'Connor because of her distinguished judicial career after careful evaluation and an investigation conducted by the Attorney General. The President personally met and interviewed Judge O'Connor and is convinced she shares his views on many issues. Please know that I will always be most interested in your comments and thoughts.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,

EDWIN MEENE III
Counsellor to the President

A. LaMont Smith, D.P.A.
1019 East 57th Avenue
Spokane, WA 99203

cc: Ed Meese

EM:ET:gjc
EM-25A
Mr. Edwin Meese, III  
 Attorney to the President  
 The White House  
 Washington, D.C. 20530

Re: Judge Sandra D. O'Connor.

Dear Ed:

I was the Executive Officer of the California Board of Corrections when you were working for Frank Coakley and representing the District Attorney's Association in Sacramento.

I later retired from the faculty of the UC School of Criminology, Berkeley, and became Deputy Director of the Arizona Department of Corrections. As you can see from the attached copy of my letter to Senator Slade Gorton, I had considerable contact with Judge O'Connor. I hope my observations will help counteract those of the representatives in our State of the national organizations opposing confirmation.

Sincerely,

1019 E. 57th Ave  
 Spokane, Wa 99203
Senator Slade Gorton  
770 U.S. Court House  
920 West Riverside  
Spokane, Wa 99203  

July 9, 1981  
Spokane, Washington.

Re: Judge Sandra D. O'Connor

Dear Senator Gorton:

I may well be your only constituent that has had both a professional and social association with Judge O'Connor.

I was Deputy Director of the Arizona Department of Corrections from June 1969 until March 1977. In this capacity I had the responsibility for presentation to members of the Legislature proposals recommended for enactment. I appeared on numerous occasions during those years before committees of which Judge O'Connor, then a member of the Arizona Senate, was a member.

In addition to advocacy of measures supported by departmental policy, it was also necessary for statements to be made in opposition to some bills or the draft under consideration. I always found her queries to be pertinent and fair giving evidence that she had not only studied the related material but many times had initiated additional investigations having a bearing on the matter under consideration. She was always concerned on the long range, total impact, of enactments. She was one of the very few lawyers in the Senate and her expertise in achieving the precise legal terminology required to achieve legislative objectives was recognized by her peers.

As you know, she was selected as majority leader which a real tribute and recognition of her skill at bringing together opposing points of view into workable solutions. I have been in conferences she convened in her office for that purpose and moderated with efficient, tactful skill. The results, I should add, were not always in accord with Department of Corrections wishes but there was never any feeling but that a fair hearing had been achieved.

John O'Connor and I were members of the same Rotary Club and from this connection we met at various social functions and attended barbecues at their Phoenix home.

In my opinion, her legislative experience is far more valuable in her job ahead than a few additional years on a higher State or Federal Court. I certainly hope that you will support and work for her confirmation as a Justice of the Supreme Court both on her professional qualifications and demonstrated ability. She will render signal service to our country during her appointment.

Sincerely yours,

A. La Mont Smith

CC: Edwin Meese III

1019 E. 57th Ave  
Spokane, Wa 99203
16 July 1981

Dear Mr. Youker:

Thank you for your recent message regarding the President's appointment of Judge Sandra O'Connor to be an Associate Justice to the Supreme Court.

The President chose Judge O'Connor because of her distinguished judicial career after careful evaluation and an investigation conducted by the Attorney General. The President personally met and interviewed Judge O'Connor and is convinced she shares his views on many issues. Please know that I will always be most interested in your comments and thoughts.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,

EDWIN MEENE III
Councilor to the President

Mr. Robert B. Youker
5825 Rockmere Drive
Washington, D.C. 20016

cc: Ed Meese

EM: ET: gjc
EM-25A
ED MEESE

WHITE HOUSE

 REGARDING THE O'CONNOR SUPREME COURT NOMINATION I DEEPLY RESENT A MINORITY GROUP TRYING TO IMPOSE THEIR RELIGIOUS BELIEFS AND THEIR SINGLE ISSUE POLITICS ON THE MAJORITY.

THE AMERICAN PEOPLE ELECTED RONALD REAGAN PRESIDENT - NOT JESSE HELMS! I RESENT THE ATTEMPT TO EQUATE RIGHT TO LIFE WITH CONSERVATISM AND I ESPECIALLY RESENT THE NEWS MEDIA GIVING THEIR NATIONAL PUBLICITY TO A SMALL MINORITY.

ROBERT B. YOUNGER
MANAGEMENT PLANNING AND CONTROL SYSTEMS

5825 ROCKMERE DRIVE, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20016 Telephone (301) 320-5806

7/8/81
Dear Betty:

Thank you for your recent message regarding the President's appointment of Judge Sandra O'Connor to be an Associate Justice to the Supreme Court.

The President chose Judge O'Connor because of her distinguished judicial career after careful evaluation and an investigation conducted by the Attorney General. The President personally met and interviewed Judge O'Connor and is convinced she shares his views on many issues. Please know that I will always be most interested in your comments and thoughts.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,

EDWIN MESEE III
Counsellor to the President

Miss Betty J. Bensen
1811 Glenwood Avenue
Joliet, IL 60435

cc: Ed Meese
EM:ET:gjc
EM-25A
July 7, 1981

Dear Ed, Dear Betty,

I want to ask you to tell President Reagan that I think his appointment of a woman to the Supreme Court is excellent, brilliant, and this just reinforces my belief that he is "my kind of President."

Sincerely,

[Signatures and dates]
16 July 1981

Dear Mr. Levin:

Thank you for your recent message regarding the President's appointment of Judge Sandra O'Connor to be an Associate Justice to the Supreme Court.

The President chose Judge O'Connor because of her distinguished judicial career after careful evaluation and an investigation conducted by the Attorney General. The President personally met and interviewed Judge O'Connor and is convinced she shares his views on many issues. Please know that I will always be most interested in your comments and thoughts.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,

EDWIN MEESE III
Counsellor to the President

Mr. Ephraim Levin
6517 North Tenth Street
Philadelphia, PA 19126

cc: Ed Meese

EM:ET:gjc
EM-25A
July 8, 1981

Dear Mr. Meese:

The nomination of Mrs. O'Connor to the Supreme Court was certainly in the best interests of the country. Calling Dr. Falwell to explain the nomination was certainly not. If you keep acting or making policy to suit the morality and politics of any group, Mr. Meese, the administration will get mired in a swamp of moralistic jingoism.

I am including my phone number, Sir, in this letter in case President Reagan wishes to explain any policy that he thinks might offend my sensibilities. And I am not being facetious.

With kind regards, I am,

Your most obedient servant,

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Ephraim Levi
6517 N. 16th St.
Philadelphia, PA 19131

215-548-0396
16 July 1981

Dear Paul:

Thank you for your recent message regarding the President's appointment of Judge Sandra O'Connor to be an Associate Justice to the Supreme Court.

The President chose Judge O'Connor because of her distinguished judicial career after careful evaluation and an investigation conducted by the Attorney General. The President personally met and interviewed Judge O'Connor and is convinced she shares his views on many issues. Please know that I will always be most interested in your comments and thoughts.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,

EDWIN MEEKS III
Counsellor to the President

Mr. Paul W. Cane
Vice President
Bechtel Power Corporation
50 Beale Street
San Francisco, CA 94105

cc: Ed Meese

EM:ET:gjc
EM-25A
July 8, 1981

Mr. Edwin Meese, III
Counsellor to the President
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Ed:

This is just a note of great support for the President's appointment of Mrs. O'Connor to the Supreme Court. The decision simply could not have been better.

Sincerely,

Paul W. Cane

PWC/11

cc: Herbert G. Klein
Editor-in-Chief
Copley Newspapers
16 July 1981

Dear Mrs. Pais:

Thank you for your recent message regarding the President's appointment of Judge Sandra O'Connor to be an Associate Justice to the Supreme Court.

The President chose Judge O'Connor because of her distinguished judicial career after careful evaluation and an investigation conducted by the Attorney General. The President personally met and interviewed Judge O'Connor and is convinced she shares his views on many issues. Please know that I will always be most interested in your comments and thoughts.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,

EDWIN MEESSE III
Counsellor to the President

Mrs. Claudette R. Pais
1115 14th Street
Santa Monica, CA 90403

cc: Ed Meese

EM:ET:gjc
EM-25A
July 7, 1981

Mr. Edwin Meese, III
Counselor To The President,
The White House
6800 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.,
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. Meese:

Although, I am not an advocate of the ERA, or, any other feminist groups, I feel elated however, and must express my sincere 'Congratulations' to President Reagan and to you.

Naming Arizona Judge Sandra O'Connor--to succeed retiring Justice Potter Stewart is by far--an excellent decision on your part. Positively this will be an historic appointment made by any President of These United States. Certainly, one to be of unique quality to being devoted to the public good and welfare.

Mrs. O'Connor's background is of high caliber and ratings. Her judicial record and performance speaks for itself. Well deserving of an appointment to the U.S. Supreme Court.

Again, may I assure you of my deepest loyalty and say, we are indeed fortunate to have men such as you two in Washington.

Most Respectfully,

Mrs. Claudette R. Pais
By James Wrightson
Bee Capitol Bureau

Two potential GOP gubernatorial candidates have split on Interior Secretary James Watt's controversial plans to permit drilling along scenic stretches of the Central and Northern California Coast.

San Diego Mayor Pete Wilson and Attorney General George Deukmejian disagree on whether oil and gas leasing, exploration and drilling should be expanded, while a third likely candidate, Lt. Gov. Mike Curb, declined to take a position.

The matter broke into the open last week when The Bee reported that Bill Roberts, working for Republican candidates who are seeking public office in 1982, warned President Reagan that Watt's proposal may hurt Republican candidates' chances in 1982. Roberts and California GOP Chairman Tirso del Junco asked Watt to defer any decision on expanded oil leasing until after the 1982 elections.

Thursday, the Interior Department announced that Watt is delaying a decision on the politically sensitive issue, which has split the Reagan administration.

Roberts, a key strategist in Deukmejian's campaign, said he has not discussed the matter with Deukmejian and Deukmejian had nothing to do with his memo to the White House.

However, Deukmejian conceded that expanded oil drilling off the California coast may be an issue in the GOP gubernatorial primary.

"It will a subject of discussion," Deukmejian said. "But to say it will be a major issue is premature. The interior department could decide not to sell the oil leases; then there would be no controversy." Deukmejian says if the drilling can be done in a safe manner and without damaging the coast line, and if the yield is sufficient, he would not oppose it.

San Diego Mayor Pete Wilson, on the other hand, says some of the leases being considered off the northern and central California coast line are not worth the economic risk involved in oil exploration. He says geological surveys indicate a low potential yield.

"Different tracts are involved," he said. "One is off . . . San Diego; others, off the central and Northern California coast, from Santa Cruz County to Marin County, are similar in that they offer relatively little in the way of yield.

"I would measure the potential yield of any field against the risk of onshore damage." Deukmejian said.

The offshore San Diego oil lease was withdrawn by former Secretary of the Interior Cecil Andrus, but there is speculation Watt may include it in his proposal to expand leasing and drilling. Wilson says neither the tract off San Diego nor the central and Northern California offshore lands should be offered to oil companies.

Curb said that as one of three members of the State Lands Commission it would be inappropriate to comment on Watt's proposals until he has a chance to study the matter further.

Another member of the State Lands Commission, and a potential Democratic candidate for governor, State Controller Kenneth Cory, told The Bee: "I'm against Watt's expanding oil leasing off the California coast because he can't run what the department has already leased. I'm willing to speak out against incompetent government actions and feel that others should as well."

Los Angeles Mayor Tom Bradley, another potential Democratic candidate for governor, has written to Watt telling him, "Additional sales and an accelerated schedule for pending sales are unjustified given the consensus of the industry's comments that changes are not necessary in the scheduling of sales of oil leases in the lower 48 states."
Dear Mrs. Daniels:

Thank you for your recent letter regarding the President's appointment of Judge Sandra O'Connor to be an Associate Justice to the Supreme Court.

The President chose Judge O'Connor because of her distinguished judicial career after careful evaluation and an investigation conducted by the Attorney General. The President personally met and interviewed Judge O'Connor and is convinced she shares his views on social issues. You will find, after careful review, that some misleading statements have been made about her distinguished career. Please know that I will always be most interested in your comments and thoughts.

Sincerely,

EDWIN MELEE III
Counsellor to the President

Mrs. Shasta Daniels
Route 2, Box 688
Robeline, LA 71469

cc: Ed Meese

EM:EWT:gjc
EM-25
MR ED WISE
CARE ANN HIGGINS
THE WHITE HOUSE 1600 PENNSYLVANIA AVE
WASHINGTON DC 20500

OPPOSE SANDRA O'CONNOR SUPPORT A STRONG PRO FAMILY NOMINEE
SHASTA DANIELS
ROUTE 2 BOX 688
ROBELINE LA 71469

10:20 EST
MGM COMP
16 July 1981

Dear Miss Kniep:

Thank you for your recent letter regarding the President's appointment of Judge Sandra O'Connor to be an Associate Justice to the Supreme Court.

The President chose Judge O'Connor because of her distinguished judicial career after careful evaluation and an investigation conducted by the Attorney General. The President personally met and interviewed Judge O'Connor and is convinced she shares his views on social issues. You will find, after careful review, that some misleading statements have been made about her distinguished career. Please know that I will always be most interested in your comments and thoughts.

Sincerely,

EDWIN MEESSE III
Counsellor to the President

Miss L. Kniep
Byron, NE 68325

cc: Ed Meese

EM: EWT: gjc
EM: 25
Mr. Ed Meuse  
Mr. Lynn Nofziger

Dear Sirs,

I am writing in regards to the nomination of SANDRA J. O'CONNOR. I understand she is Pro-Abortion, and Pro-ERA, which I thought Pres. Reagan was opposed to and elected you. We can't support this kind of person, and OPPOSE her nomination!!

Sincerely
Linda Kniep
Byron, Ne.
16 July 1981

Dear Miss Eldridge:

Thank you for your recent letter regarding the President's appointment of Judge Sandra O'Connor to be an Associate Justice to the Supreme Court.

The President chose Judge O'Connor because of her distinguished judicial career after careful evaluation and an investigation conducted by the Attorney General. The President personally met and interviewed Judge O'Connor and is convinced she shares his views on social issues. You will find, after careful review, that some misleading statements have been made about her distinguished career. Please know that I will always be most interested in your comments and thoughts.

Sincerely,

EDWIN MEENE III
Counsellor to the President

Miss Joanne Eldridge
President
Crisis Center of Stoneham
Post Office Box 3
Stoneham, MA 02180

cc: Ed Meese

EM: EWT: gjc
EM-25
Edwin Meese III  
Counsellor to the President  
White House  
Penn. Ave.  
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Mr. Meese:

We at this center, as well as many others, were upset with Mr. Reagan's consideration for Supreme Court Justice. Mr. Reagan, in the past, has upheld the value of life at the moment of conception, therefore, it is quite puzzeling to us why he has considered this choice.

I do not believe that compromise is ever, or should ever be a consideration when we are weighing out qualification as opposed to destruction of the unborn. There are many well qualified people that will do the job well and restore this Godly nation (once was) to the principals of its foundation.

However, I am not content to believe that we are now to judge the book by its cover. After attending WASHINGTON FOR JESUS and believing that God has placed Mr. Reagan in the position he is in, and also seeing his boldness in the past at any cost, I want to believe that there is more to this issue than meets the eye.

I am hoping to hear, if it be the will of God, that after she is sworn in, that she will come out and shock this nation and tell them she has changed and is pro-life. I guess I am praying that Mr. Reagan now, is being wise as a serpent and yet gentle as a dove.

In His Love,

Joanne Eldridge, President  
Crisis Center of Stoneham  

JE/81
16 July 1981

Dear Dr. Clarke:

Thank you for your recent letter regarding the President's appointment of Judge Sandra O'Connor to be an Associate Justice to the Supreme Court.

The President chose Judge O'Connor because of her distinguished judicial career after careful evaluation and an investigation conducted by the Attorney General. The President personally met and interviewed Judge O'Connor and is convinced she shares his views on social issues. You will find, after careful review, that some misleading statements have been made about her distinguished career. Please know that I will always be most interested in your comments and thoughts.

Sincerely,

EDWIN MEEEE III
Counsellor to the President

Dr. J. Clarke
Post Office Box 1584
Boone, NC 28607

cc: Ed Meese

EM:EWT:gjo
EM-25
Mr. Edwin Meese,
Chief of Staff,
The White House,
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Meese,

I would be most grateful if you would convey to the President my deepest sense of shock and bitter disappointment concerning his choice of Mrs. O'Connor.

I worked without pay and without recognition to help get President Reagan into the White House. Two of the things which motivated me were his anti-abortion and anti ERA positions.

I believe that I was also very helpful in getting Senator East elected, and I have been, for a number of years, of help to Senator Helms. For these services I have never sought recognition or compensation. It was enough that at last conservatives had won a great victory.

But now I see that victory slipping away, with so many non-conservatives appointed to key positions, the latest being Mrs O'Connor.

I cannot see how Mrs. O'Connor's voting record as a legislator, that is to say pro ERA and pro abortion, lines up with the Republican Party platform on these matters. The old adage "Actions speak louder than words" would seem to apply in Mrs O'Connors case.

While Mrs O'Connor may be well qualified judicially, in my opinion she falls far short in the areas mentioned above. The President must surely be aware that his decision to nominate Mrs O'Connor has caused the gravest unrest in conservative circles?

Yours sincerely,

DR. J. CLARKE.
16 July 1981

Dear Mrs. Rohrer:

Thank you for your recent letter regarding the President's appointment of Judge Sandra O'Connor to be an Associate Justice to the Supreme Court.

The President chose Judge O'Connor because of her distinguished judicial career after careful evaluation and an investigation conducted by the Attorney General. The President personally met and interviewed Judge O'Connor and is convinced she shares his views on social issues. You will find, after careful review, that some misleading statements have been made about her distinguished career. Please know that I will always be most interested in your comments and thoughts.

Sincerely,

EDWIN MEESE III
Counsellor to the President

Mrs. Philip L. Rohrer
1807 Rosemont Boulevard
Dayton, OH 45420

cc: Ed Meese

EM:EWT:gjc
EM-25
Mr. Ed Meese
White House
Washington D.C. 20500
Attention: Mr. Tom DeCair

Dear Mr. DeCair,

I would like to express my deep concern for the possible appointment of Sandra O'Connor as Supreme Court Justice. Certainly with the consensus of the country and the conservative move, it would be a grave to appoint someone such as Mrs. O'Connor who does not hold to this consensus.

Sincerely,

Anne Rohrer