Ronald Reagan Presidential Library Digital Library Collections

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual collections.

WHORM Subject File Code: CM010 Case file Number(s): 595096-End

To see more digitized collections visit: https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digital-library

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library inventories visit: https://reaganlibrary.gov/document-collection

Contact a reference archivist at: reagan.library@nara.gov

Citation Guidelines: https://reaganlibrary.gov/citing

National Archives Catalogue: https://catalog.archives.gov/

4

WHITE HOUSE STAFFING MEMORANDUM -

Cm	010
	THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN

SUBJECT: H.R. 44	45 UND	ETECTAE	BLE FI	REARMS ACT OF 1988		
		ACTION	I FYI		ACTION	FYI
VICE PRESIDENT	/			HOOLEY		
DUBERSTEIN				KRANOWITZ	b	
POWELL	, r			MASENG		
WRIGHT - OMB				RANGE		
OGLESBY			0	RISQUE		
BAUER				RYAN		
CRIPPEN				SPRINKEL		
CULVAHOUSE		0		TUCK		
DAWSON				TUTTLE		
DONATELLI						
FITZWATER						
HOBBS						

REMARKS:

Please provide any comments/recommendations directly to my office by c.o.b. on Wednesday 11/09. Thanks.

RESPONSE:



EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET WASHINGTON, D.C. 20503

NOV 7 1988

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: Enrolled Bill H.R. 4445 - Undetectable Firearms Act

of 1988

Sponsors - Rep. Hughes (D) New Jersey and nine others

Last Day for Action

November 15, 1988 - Tuesday

Purpose

Prohibits the manufacture, importation, or sale of certain firearms that may not be detectable by metal detectors or other security devices.

Agency Recommendations

Office of Management and Budget	Approval
Department of Transportation Department of Justice Department of the Treasury Department of Defense	Approval No objection No objection No objection (Informally)
Central Intelligence Agency	No objection (Informally)

Discussion

The enrolled bill, which passed both Houses by voice vote, responds to the problem of firearms -- such as guns made largely of plastic -- that may not be detectable by metal detectors or other security devices. During congressional hearings on this legislation, considerable concern was expressed about the threat of such firearms, because they could avoid detection at security checkpoints (e.g., in airports, courthouses, and prisons).

H.R. 4445 would make it a Federal criminal offense, subject to up to five years imprisonment and a fine, to manufacture, import, sell, ship, deliver, possess, transfer, or receive any firearm that: (1) is not as detectable (after removal of grips, stocks, and magazines) as the "Security Exemplar" -- which is defined in the enrolled bill and described below -- by walk-through metal detectors; or (2) contains a major component which, when subjected to x-ray examination by machines of the kind normally used at airports, does not generate an accurate image of the component.

The "Security Exemplar" is defined to be an object, fabricated at the direction of the Secretary of the Treasury, that is made of 3.7 ounces of "material type 17-4 PH stainless steel in a shape resembling a handgun" and that is suitable for testing and calibrating metal detectors. Treasury would be required to promulgate regulations 12 months after enactment of the enrolled bill, and at appropriate times thereafter, to reflect advances in detection technology (e.g., to "legalize" firearms that contain less metal than the Security Exemplar, if such firearms can be detected).

Other provisions of the enrolled bill would:

- o Exempt licensed firearms manufacturers and their agents from the provisions of the enrolled bill for the purpose of testing firearms to determine if the restrictions of the enrolled bill apply to them;
- o Require Treasury to permit the conditional importation of firearms by licensed manufacturers or importers for the purpose of testing to determine whether unconditional importation would violate the provisions of the enrolled bill;
- o Exempt from the coverage of the enrolled bill any firearm that has been certified by the Defense Department or the Central Intelligence Agency, after consultation with the Federal Aviation Administration and the Treasury Department, as "necessary for military or intelligence applications" and that is manufactured and sold exclusively to military or intelligence agencies of the United States;
- o Require the Transportation Department to conduct research and development as may be necessary to improve the effectiveness of airport x-ray systems;
- o Require the Departments of the Treasury, Justice, and Transportation to complete studies within six months of enactment to identify state-of-the-art equipment capable of distinguishing the Security Exemplar from other metal objects;
- o Require the studies described above to contain "a schedule providing for the installation of such equipment at the earliest practicable time" at security checkpoints operated by the agency conducting the study and mandate the installation of such equipment "in accordance with each schedule;" and
- o "Sunset" the provisions of the enrolled bill ten years after its date of enactment.

The provisions of the enrolled bill would take effect 30 days after its enactment. Its provisions would not apply, however, to any firearm manufactured in, imported into, or possessed in the United States prior to the date of enactment of H.R. 4445.

Administration Position to Date

In May 1988, this Office transmitted a Statement of Administration Policy (SAP) to Congress on H.R. 4445 in anticipation of consideration of the bill by the House. The SAP stated that the Administration had no objection to House passage of the bill but would work in the Senate to conform it to a similar draft bill of the Department of Justice, the "Undetectable Firearms Act of 1988," which was considered to be a better proposal from a technical point-of-view (e.g., in its use of the Security Exemplar as the standard of detectability). As enrolled, H.R. 4445 is similar to Justice's undetectable firearms proposal.

Conclusion and Recommendation

In its enrolled bill views letter, the Department of Transportation advises that H.R. 4445 represents a reasonable compromise reached after much deliberation on a difficult subject, and that it "should prove helpful in reducing the potential threat of undetectable weapons being introduced into our Nation's air transportation system." We agree and join Transportation in recommending approval of the enrolled bill.

Joseph R. Wright, Jr.

Acting Director

Enclosures

see, 60446155 CM010

UNCLASSIFIED NSC/S PROFILE

RECORD ID: 8807942 RECEIVED: 08 NOV 88 07

TO: DAWSON, R

FROM: STEVENS

DOC DATE: 09 NOV 88

SOURCE REF:

KEYWORDS: ENROLLED BILLS

WH REFERRAL

PERSONS:

SUBJECT: HR-4445 / UNDETECTABLE FIREARMS ACT OF 1988

ACTION: PERITO SGD MEMO

DUE DATE: 11 NOV 88 STATUS: C

STAFF OFFICER: FARRAR

LOGREF:

FILES: WH

NSCIF:

CODES:

DOCUMENT DISTRIBUTION

FOR ACTION

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR INFO

COBB FARRAR

COMMENTS: DISPATCHED BY ______ BY HAND W/ATTCH OPENED BY: NSTAC CLOSED BY: NSCLG DOC 3 OF 3

UNCLASSIFIED

RECORD ID: 8807942 ACTION DATA SUMMARY REPORT

DOC ACTION OFFICER

CAO ASSIGNED ACTION REQUIRED

001 FARRAR

002 STEVENS

003

Z 88110808 PREPARE MEMO FOR DAWSON

Z 88110908 FOR SIGNATURE

X 88110917 PERITO SGD MEMO

National Security Council The White House

APK

		System #	7942
		Package #	APK NO
38 NOV 9 A 9: 0		DOCLOG .	APK NO
	SEQUENCE TO	HAS SEEN	DISPOSITION
Bob Perito	(RmP	A
Marybel Batjer			
Paul Stevens	2		
John Negroponte			
Colin Powell		-	
Paul Stevens			
Situation Room			
West Wing Desk	3	APK 11/9	
NSC Secretariat	4	_	N
	1		
= Information A = Action	= Retain	D = Dispatch N	= No further Action
d: VP Dubers	ein O	ther	
COMMENTS	Should be s	een by:	
			(Date/Time)

WHITE HOUSE STAFFING MEMORANDUM

DATE:11/07/88	ACTION/CONCURI	RENCE/CO	OMMENT DUE BY:	C.O.B. Wednesday	11/09
SUBJECT: H.R. 4445	UNDETECTAR	BLE FI	REARMS ACT OF	1988	-
	ACTION	I FYI		ACTION	I FYI
VICE PRESIDENT			HOOLEY		
DUBERSTEIN			KRANOWITZ	b	
POWELL			MASENG		
WRIGHT - OMB			RANGE		
OGLESBY		0	RISQUE		
BAUER			RYAN		
CRIPPEN			SPRINKEL		
CULVAHOUSE	0		TUCK		
DAWSON			TUTTLE		
DONATELLI					
FITZWATER -				_ , ,	
HOBBS					
EMADKS.					

Please provide any comments/recommendations directly to my office by c.o.b. on Wednesday 11/09. Thanks.

RESPONSE:

The NSC staff has no objection to H.R. 4445 -- Undetectable Firearms Act of 1988.

November 9, 1988

Executive Secretary

Rhett Dawson Ext. 2702



EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET WASHINGTON, D.C. 20503

NOV 7 1988

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: Enrolled Bill H.R. 4445 - Undetectable Firearms Act

of 1988

Sponsors - Rep. Hughes (D) New Jersey and nine others

Last Day for Action

November 15, 1988 - Tuesday

Purpose

Prohibits the manufacture, importation, or sale of certain firearms that may not be detectable by metal detectors or other security devices.

Agency Recommendations

Office of Management and Budget	Approval
Department of Transportation	Approval
Department of Justice	No objection
Department of the Treasury	No objection
Department of Defense	No objection (Informally)
Central Intelligence Agency	No objection (Informally)

Discussion

The enrolled bill, which passed both Houses by voice vote, responds to the problem of firearms -- such as guns made largely of plastic -- that may not be detectable by metal detectors or other security devices. During congressional hearings on this legislation, considerable concern was expressed about the threat of such firearms, because they could avoid detection at security checkpoints (e.g., in airports, courthouses, and prisons).

H.R. 4445 would make it a Federal criminal offense, subject to up to five years imprisonment and a fine, to manufacture, import, sell, ship, deliver, possess, transfer, or receive any firearm that: (1) is not as detectable (after removal of grips, stocks, and magazines) as the "Security Exemplar" -- which is defined in the enrolled bill and described below -- by walk-through metal detectors; or (2) contains a major component which, when subjected to x-ray examination by machines of the kind normally used at airports, does not generate an accurate image of the component.

The "Security Exemplar" is defined to be an object, fabricated at the direction of the Secretary of the Treasury, that is made of 3.7 ounces of "material type 17-4 PH stainless steel in a shape resembling a handgun" and that is suitable for testing and calibrating metal detectors. Treasury would be required to promulgate regulations 12 months after enactment of the enrolled bill, and at appropriate times thereafter, to reflect advances in detection technology (e.g., to "legalize" firearms that contain less metal than the Security Exemplar, if such firearms can be detected).

Other provisions of the enrolled bill would:

- Exempt licensed firearms manufacturers and their agents from the provisions of the enrolled bill for the purpose of testing firearms to determine if the restrictions of the enrolled bill apply to them;
- o Require Treasury to permit the conditional importation of firearms by licensed manufacturers or importers for the purpose of testing to determine whether unconditional importation would violate the provisions of the enrolled bill;
- o Exempt from the coverage of the enrolled bill any firearm that has been certified by the Defense Department or the Central Intelligence Agency, after consultation with the Federal Aviation Administration and the Treasury Department, as "necessary for military or intelligence applications" and that is manufactured and sold exclusively to military or intelligence agencies of the United States;
- o Require the Transportation Department to conduct research and development as may be necessary to improve the effectiveness of airport x-ray systems;
- Require the Departments of the Treasury, Justice, and Transportation to complete studies within six months of enactment to identify state-of-the-art equipment capable of distinguishing the Security Exemplar from other metal objects;
- o Require the studies described above to contain "a schedule providing for the installation of such equipment at the earliest practicable time" at security checkpoints operated by the agency conducting the study and mandate the installation of such equipment "in accordance with each schedule;" and
- o "Sunset" the provisions of the enrolled bill ten years after its date of enactment.

The provisions of the enrolled bill would take effect 30 days after its enactment. Its provisions would not apply, however, to any firearm manufactured in, imported into, or possessed in the United States prior to the date of enactment of H.R. 4445.

Administration Position to Date

In May 1988, this Office transmitted a Statement of Administration Policy (SAP) to Congress on H.R. 4445 in anticipation of consideration of the bill by the House. The SAP stated that the Administration had no objection to House passage of the bill but would work in the Senate to conform it to a similar draft bill of the Department of Justice, the "Undetectable Firearms Act of 1988," which was considered to be a better proposal from a technical point-of-view (e.g., in its use of the Security Exemplar as the standard of detectability). As enrolled, H.R. 4445 is similar to Justice's undetectable firearms proposal.

Conclusion and Recommendation

In its enrolled bill views letter, the Department of Transportation advises that H.R. 4445 represents a reasonable compromise reached after much deliberation on a difficult subject, and that it "should prove helpful in reducing the potential threat of undetectable weapons being introduced into our Nation's air transportation system." We agree and join Transportation in recommending approval of the enrolled bill.

Joseph R. Wright, Jr

Acting Director

Enclosures

TIME STAMP

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT STAFFING DOCUMENT

38 NOV 8 27 30	SYSTEM	LOG NUMBER: 7942
ACTION OFFICER: Prepare Memo For Powell/Neg Prepare Memo For Dawson/De		DUE: 3:00 PM 9 NOV re Memo Stevens to Peterson / Murr opriate Action
CONCURRENCES/COMMENTS*	PHONE* to action offic	er at ext 3622
FYI	FYI Grimes Heiser Henhoeffer Jameson Kelly, B Kelly, J Kimberling Leach Ledsky Levin Lewis Linhard Lowenkron Mahley McCue Melby Menan Miskel Onate	Paal Pastorino Perina Popadiuk Porter Rodman Rostow Saunders Snider Tahir-Kheli Tice Tillman Tobey Wiant Wiant Postorial Wiant Postorial Postorial
INFORMATION Stevens Powell (advance	Perito Negroponte	Secretariat (advance)
COMMENTS		

WHITE HOUSE CORRESPONDENCE TRACKING WORKSHEET

□ O · OUTGOING □ H · INTERNAL □ I · INCOMING			dis	
Date Correspondence Received (YY/MM/DD)				
Name of Correspondent: Rhett	B. Dawson		and the second	
☐ MI Mail Report	User Codes: (A)		(B)	_ (C)
Il Daniele III.	rdetecta be	10 En	arms a	0
Subject: H K, 4443 W	merciae	e qui	uns u	
0 1988				
			4.50	
ROUTE TO:	AC	TION	DISI	POSITION
Office/Agency (Staff Name)	Action Code	Tracking Date YY/MM/DD	Type of Response	Completion Date Code YY/MM/DD
Cupoll.	ORIGINATOR	88/11/08		C 88111.08
	Referral Note:	PMB.	memoto	Rhet Daws
cuat 35	P	8811108)	C 88,11,08
	Referral Note:			
	Referral Note:			
Page 1803 Production	Referral Note:		***************************************	1 1
	Referral Note:			
ACTION CODES			DISPOSITION CODES:	
ACTION CODES: A - Appropriate Action C - Comment/Recommendation D - Draft Response F - Furnish Fact Sheet to be used as Enclosure	I - Info Copy Only/No Ad R - Direct Reply w/Copy S - For Signature X - Interim Reply	ction Necessary	A - Answered B - Non-Special Refe	C - Completed erral S - Suspended
		White the State of	Type of Response = Code = Completion Date =	"A"
Comments:		1.30		

Keep this worksheet attached to the original incoming letter.

Send all routing updates to Central Reference (Room 75, OEOB).

Always return completed correspondence record to Central Files.

Refer questions about the correspondence tracking system to Central Reference, ext. 2590.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

November 8, 1988

MEMORANDUM FOR RHETT B. DAWSON

ASSISTANT TO THE PRESIDENT FOR OPERATIONS

FROM:

PATRICIA MACK BRYAN MB

ASSOCIATE COUNSEL TO THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT:

H.R. 4445 -- Undetectable Firearms Act of 1988

Pursuant to your staffing memorandum of November 7, 1988, Counsel's Office has reviewed the above-referenced enrolled bill. Counsel's Office has no legal objection to Presidential approval of that bill.

Thank you for bringing this matter to our attention.

WHITE HOUSE STAFFING MEMORANDUM

DATE: 11/07/88	ACTION/CONCURRENCE/CO	MMENT DUE BY:	C.O.B. Wednesday 11/09
SUBJECT: H.R. 4445	UNDETECTABLE FI	REARMS ACT OF	1988
	ACTION FYI		ACTION FYI
VICE PRESIDENT		HOOLEY	
DUBERSTEIN		KRANOWITZ	
POWELL		MASENG	
WRIGHT - OMB		RANGE	
OGLESBY		RISQUE	
BAUER		RYAN	
CRIPPEN		SPRINKEL	
CULVAHOUSE		TUCK	
DAWSON		TUTTLE	
DONATELLI			
FITZWATER			
HOBBS		-	0 0

REMARKS:

Please provide any comments/recommendations directly to my office by c.o.b. on Wednesday 11/09. Thanks.

RESPONSE:



EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET WASHINGTON, D.C. 20503

NOV 7 1988

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: Enrolled Bill H.R. 4445 - Undetectable Firearms Act

of 1988

Sponsors - Rep. Hughes (D) New Jersey and nine others

Last Day for Action

November 15, 1988 - Tuesday

Purpose

Prohibits the manufacture, importation, or sale of certain firearms that may not be detectable by metal detectors or other security devices.

Agency Recommendations

Office of Management and Budget	Approval
Department of Transportation	Approval
Department of Justice	No objection
Department of the Treasury	No objection
Department of Defense	No objection (Informally)
Central Intelligence Agency	No objection (Informally)

Discussion

The enrolled bill, which passed both Houses by voice vote, responds to the problem of firearms -- such as guns made largely of plastic -- that may not be detectable by metal detectors or other security devices. During congressional hearings on this legislation, considerable concern was expressed about the threat of such firearms, because they could avoid detection at security checkpoints (e.g., in airports, courthouses, and prisons).

H.R. 4445 would make it a Federal criminal offense, subject to up to five years imprisonment and a fine, to manufacture, import, sell, ship, deliver, possess, transfer, or receive any firearm that: (1) is not as detectable (after removal of grips, stocks, and magazines) as the "Security Exemplar" -- which is defined in the enrolled bill and described below -- by walk-through metal detectors; or (2) contains a major component which, when subjected to x-ray examination by machines of the kind normally used at airports, does not generate an accurate image of the component.

The "Security Exemplar" is defined to be an object, fabricated at the direction of the Secretary of the Treasury, that is made of 3.7 ounces of "material type 17-4 PH stainless steel in a shape resembling a handgun" and that is suitable for testing and calibrating metal detectors. Treasury would be required to promulgate regulations 12 months after enactment of the enrolled bill, and at appropriate times thereafter, to reflect advances in detection technology (e.g., to "legalize" firearms that contain less metal than the Security Exemplar, if such firearms can be detected).

Other provisions of the enrolled bill would:

- o Exempt licensed firearms manufacturers and their agents from the provisions of the enrolled bill for the purpose of testing firearms to determine if the restrictions of the enrolled bill apply to them;
- o Require Treasury to permit the conditional importation of firearms by licensed manufacturers or importers for the purpose of testing to determine whether unconditional importation would violate the provisions of the enrolled bill;
- o Exempt from the coverage of the enrolled bill any firearm that has been certified by the Defense Department or the Central Intelligence Agency, after consultation with the Federal Aviation Administration and the Treasury Department, as "necessary for military or intelligence applications" and that is manufactured and sold exclusively to military or intelligence agencies of the United States;
- o Require the Transportation Department to conduct research and development as may be necessary to improve the effectiveness of airport x-ray systems;
- o Require the Departments of the Treasury, Justice, and Transportation to complete studies within six months of enactment to identify state-of-the-art equipment capable of distinguishing the Security Exemplar from other metal objects;
- o Require the studies described above to contain "a schedule providing for the installation of such equipment at the earliest practicable time" at security checkpoints operated by the agency conducting the study and mandate the installation of such equipment "in accordance with each schedule;" and
- o "Sunset" the provisions of the enrolled bill ten years after its date of enactment.

The provisions of the enrolled bill would take effect 30 days after its enactment. Its provisions would not apply, however, to any firearm manufactured in, imported into, or possessed in the United States prior to the date of enactment of H.R. 4445.

Administration Position to Date

In May 1988, this Office transmitted a Statement of Administration Policy (SAP) to Congress on H.R. 4445 in anticipation of consideration of the bill by the House. The SAP stated that the Administration had no objection to House passage of the bill but would work in the Senate to conform it to a similar draft bill of the Department of Justice, the "Undetectable Firearms Act of 1988," which was considered to be a better proposal from a technical point-of-view (e.g., in its use of the Security Exemplar as the standard of detectability). As enrolled, H.R. 4445 is similar to Justice's undetectable firearms proposal.

Conclusion and Recommendation

In its enrolled bill views letter, the Department of Transportation advises that H.R. 4445 represents a reasonable compromise reached after much deliberation on a difficult subject, and that it "should prove helpful in reducing the potential threat of undetectable weapons being introduced into our Nation's air transportation system." We agree and join Transportation in recommending approval of the enrolled bill.

Joseph R. Wright, Jr.

Acting Director

Enclosures

One Hundredth Congress of the United States of Americ

Carring :

AT THE SECOND SESSION

Begun and held at the City of Washington on Monday, the twenty-fifth day of January, one thousand nine hundred and eighty-eight

An Act

To amend title 18, United States Code, to prohibit certain firearms especially useful

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Undetectable Firearms Act of

SEC. 2. UNDETECTABLE FIREARMS.

(a) Prohibitions.—Section 922 of title 18, United States Code, is

amended by adding at the end the following:

"(p)(1) It shall be unlawful for any person to manufacture, import, sell, ship, deliver, possess, transfer, or receive any firearm—

"(A) that, after removal of grips, stocks, and magazines, is not as detectable as the Security Exemplar, by walk-through metal detectors calibrated and operated to detect the Security Exemplar; or

"(B) any major component of which, when subjected to inspection by the types of x-ray machines commonly used at airports, does not generate an image that accurately depicts the shape of the component. Barium sulfate or other compounds may be used in the fabrication of the component.

"(2) For purposes of this subsection—
"(A) the term 'firearm' does not include the frame or receiver

of any such weapon;

"(B) the term 'major component' means, with respect to a firearm, the barrel, the slide or cylinder, or the frame or receiver of the firearm; and "(C) the term 'Security Exemplar' means an object, to be fabricated at the direction of the Secretary, that is—

'(i) constructed of, during the 12-month period beginning on the date of the enactment of this subsection, 3.7 ounces of material type 17-4 PH stainless steel in a shape resem-

bling a handgun; and

"(ii) suitable for testing and calibrating metal detectors:

Provided, however, That at the close of such 12-month period, and at appropriate times thereafter the Secretary shall promultation and the secretary shall be se and at appropriate times thereafter the Secretary snail promulgate regulations to permit the manufacture, importation, sale, shipment, delivery, possession, transfer, or receipt of firearms previously prohibited under this subparagraph that are as detectable as a 'Security Exemplar' which contains 3.7 ounces of material type 17–4 PH stainless steel, in a shape resembling a handgun, or such lesser amount as is detectable in view of advances in state-of-the-art developments in weapons detection

"(3) Under such rules and regulations as the Secretary shall prescribe, this subsection shall not apply to the manufacture, posses-

H.R. 4445-2

Curre

sion, transfer, receipt, shipment, or delivery of a firearm by a licensed manufacturer or any person acting pursuant to a contract with a licensed manufacturer, for the purpose of examining and testing such firearm to determine whether paragraph (1) applies to such firearm. The Secretary shall ensure that rules and regulations adopted pursuant to this paragraph do not impair the manufacture

of prototype firearms or the development of new technology.

"(4) The Secretary shall permit the conditional importation of a firearm by a licensed importer or licensed manufacturer, for examination and testing to determine whether or not the unconditional importation of such firearm would violate this subsection.

(5) This subsection shall not apply to any firearm which

"(A) has been certified by the Secretary of Defense or the Director of Central Intelligence, after consultation with the Secretary and the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration, as necessary for military or intelligence applications; and

"(B) is manufactured for and sold exclusively to military or

intelligence agencies of the United States.

"(6) This subsection shall not apply with respect to any firearm manufactured in, imported into, or possessed in the United States before the date of the enactment of the Undetectable Firearms Act

(b) PENALTY.—Section 924 of title 18, United States Code, is

(1) in subsection (a)(1), by striking "or (c)" and inserting in lieu thereof ", (c), or (f)"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

"(f) In the case of a person who knowingly violates section 922(p), such person shall be fined under this title, or imprisoned not more than 5 years, or both.

(c) Conforming Amendments.—Section 925 of title 18, United

States Code, is amended-

(1) in subsection (a), by inserting after "chapter" the follow-, except for provisions relating to firearms subject to the prohibitions of section 922(p),"; and
(2) by adding at the end the following:

"(f) The Secretary shall not authorize, under subsection (d), the importation of any firearm the importation of which is prohibited by

section 922(p).

(d) Research and Development of Improved Airport Security Systems.—The Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration shall conduct such research and development as may be necessary to improve the effectiveness of airport security metal detectors and airport security x-ray systems in detecting firearms that, during the 10-year period beginning on the effective date of this Act, are subject to the prohibitions of section 922(p) of title 18, United States Code.

(e) STUDIES TO IDENTIFY EQUIPMENT CAPABLE OF DISTINGUISHING SECURITY EXEMPLAR FROM OTHER METAL OBJECTS LIKELY TO BE CARRIED ON ONE'S PERSON.—The Attorney General, the Secretary of the Treasury, and the Secretary of Transportation shall each conduct studies to identify available state-of-the-art equipment capable of detecting the Security Exemplar (as defined in section 922(p)(2)(C) of title 18, United States Code) and distinguishing the Security Exemplar from innocuous metal objects likely to be carried on one's person. Such studies shall be completed within 6 months after the

H.R.4445-3

date of the enactment of this Act and shall include a schedule providing for the installation of such equipment at the earliest practicable time at security checkpoints maintained or regulated by the agency conducting the study. Such equipment shall be installed in accordance with each schedule. In addition, such studies may include recommendations, where appropriate, concerning the use of secondary security equipment and procedures to enhance detection capability at security checkpoints.

(f) Effective Date and Sunset Provision.—

(1) Effective Date and Sunset Provision.—

(1) Effective Date and the amendments made by this Act shall take effect on the 30th day beginning after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) 10-YEAR SUNSET.—Effective 10 years after the effective date

of this Act-

(A) subsection (p) of section 922 of title 18, United States Code, is hereby repealed;

(B) subsection (f) of section 924 of such title is hereby repealed;

(C) subsection (f) of section 925 of such title is hereby repealed;

(D) section 924(a)(1) of such title is amended by striking ", (c), or (f)" and inserting in lieu thereof "or (c)"; and (E) section 925(a) of such title is amended by striking

", except for provisions relating to firearms subject to the prohibitions of section 922(p),".

Speaker of the House of Representatives.

Vice President of the United States and President of the Senate.



JRB:JEP:CHC:bM s. 49; H.R. 4332 U.S. Department of Justice

Office of Legislative and Intergovernmental Affairs

Office of the Assistant Attorney General

Washington, D.C. 20530

March 19, 1986

Honorable Peter W. Rodino, Jr. Chairman Committee on the Judiciary House of Representatives Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Chairman:

The Department of Justice has deferred to the Department of the Treasury as to the substantive portions of S. 49, which passed the Senate on July 9, 1985, and H.R. 4332, the Federal Firearms Law Reform Act of 1986, which was ordered reported by your Committee on March 11, 1986. However, I would like to address one particular section in the House bill which directly affects the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) and poses serious problems.

Section 14 of H.R. 4332 makes it unlawful for a licensed dealer or other persons to sell or transfer a handgun to an individual who is not licensed unless the transferor promptly sends by registered or certified mail a copy of the documentation of transfer to the chief law enforcement officer of the transferee's place of residence and to the FBI. The FBI is then required to examine "Federal official records which may reveal any circumstances making illegal the receipt or possession of a handgun by the transferee" and report such information to the chief law enforcement officer of the transferee's residence.

Our primary concerns with this language are as follows:

1) The proposed examination of Federal records is based on an individual's name and other identifiers (date of birth, place of birth, etc.). Those persons with a criminal record who are prohibited from purchasing a handgun are the ones most likely to obtain false identification documents to support a new name. As to felons using a false identity, the name check will not reveal any information regarding the purchaser's criminal activity which might make him ineligible to own a handgun. Even if the purchaser uses his true name, it may not be possible to determine with certainty whether or not a criminal record belongs to the purchaser or another person with the same name. There are

cc: Criminal; FBI

Tun 24,88 note from Cary Corpland the David Mc Intush Honorable Peter W. Rodino, Jr.

many people with identical names and similar identifiers who have criminal records. It is possible that the FBI might identify a half dozen individuals with criminal records whose name is the same as the purchaser; further, even if we identify only one record in an individual's name, that does not mean that the record belongs to the purchaser. The outcome of the name check may be that persons with no previous contact with the criminal justice system will be further investigated merely because they wish to purchase a handgun while those with a disqualifying criminal record will perhaps be able to escape closer scrutiny.

- 2) The bill would require the FBI to check all "Federal official records which may reveal any circumstances making illegal the receipt or possession of a handgun by the transferee..." Currently, the FBI estimates that the cost of a name check of its Identification Division criminal record system is about \$7. However, the broad language of the bill would not only require a name check of the Identification Division system, but of the FBI's central records system as well. I understand that the National Rifle Association is estimating that from 2 to 4 million handguns are purchased each year. The cost of the name check of just the FBI Identification records could be as much as 30 million dollars a year. However, the bill does not provide any additional funding for the FBI to cover its costs.
- 3) The bill would conflict with State laws governing criminal record checks currently performed in connection with firearms purchases. Pursuant to Public Law 92-544, the FBI is permitted to exchange identification records with officials of state and local governments for licensing and employment purposes if authorized by state law and approved by the Attorney General. Public Law 99-180 (the Department of Justice Appropriation Act for 1986) authorizes the FBI to collect fees to process fingerprint identification records for noncriminal justice employment and licensing purposes and credit up to \$13,500,000 of such fees to its appropriation to be used for salaries and other expenses incurred in providing this service. The states have enacted various types of laws which mandate a criminal history record check based on fingerprints for different licensing and employment purposes, i.e., to license teachers, child care workers, real estate brokers, etc. Twenty-one states including the District of Columbia and three territories now require a criminal history record check for individuals in connection with handgun purchases based on their fingerprints. The fingerprints are submitted to the State Identification Bureau or other similar central state authority. If the central state authority finds anything in its records which disqualifies the purchaser, it will deny the purchaser's license. Otherwise, the fingerprints will be submitted to the FBI for processing. The FBI charges \$14 for this service. (The states may have additional charges). The FBI's fees are funneled back into its budget to cover costs. For Fiscal Year 1985, the FBI processed 864,085 fingerprints for noncriminal justice licensing and employment purposes and collected \$9,577,969 in fees.

Honorable Peter W. Rodino, Jr.

If the Congress considers it important to require a check of handgun purchasers, we believe that such legislation should take advantage of current procedures. In doing so, the Congress would avoid placing any additional burdens on the 21 states which now have laws and procedures in place which are intended to keep handguns out of the hands of criminals. Further, by mandating a criminal history check based on fingerprints, the FBI would be able to charge for its service. The cost of doing a name check as mandated by H.R. 4332 could not be recovered by fees; therefore, the Bureau's budget would have to be enhanced. Most importantly, by requiring a fingerprint check, law enforcement will know beyond a doubt that the criminal record in fact belongs to the purchaser.

To further illustrate the benefits of a fingerprint check, I am attaching a news article from The Star-Ledger (Newark, New Jersey), dated February 23, 1986. As reported in this article, an Edward Morris, who was wanted for forgery and grand theft in Tallahassee, Florida, had been hired by a Newark bank using the name of Kenneth Taylor. Taylor's fingerprints were routinely taken by the bank and submitted to the FBI Identification Division for processing. The results led to Taylor's arrest just in time as he was found to have in his possession \$5,000 in cash, a cashier's check in the amount of \$57,000, and a new car valued at \$13,000. Taylor was charged with bank fraud and embezzlement. A name check would have been worthless in this case.

If the Committee wishes further information on this issue, representatives of the Department are available to discuss current fingerprint processing procedures and to suggest legislative language which would address the concerns identified above.

Sincerely,

Mohn R. Bolton

Assistant Attorney General

D-350 (Rev. 5-8-61)

Mount Capping to Space Below

(Indicate page, name of newspaper, city and state)
THE STAR-LEDGER
Newski, NJ / p. 26

Date: Edition: 2/23/86

Bank job print check de nets embezzle suspect

By AL FRANK

His pockets were stuffed with \$5,000 in cash and a new car was parked at the curb when federal agents arrived at a Newark bank to arrest 20year-old Kenneth Taylor, a spokesman for the FBI said yesterday.

Fingerprints Taylor submitted last month when he got a job at the First Fidelity Bank on Broad Street disclosed he was wanted for forgery in Florida, said FSI Agent Michael McDonnell.

Calling the bank on Friday to inquire about his whereabouts, agents were told Taylor, of Newark, had quit his job on Thursday but just happened to be in the office cleaning out his desk in the mortgage department where he had worked as a clerk since Jan. 13.

McDonnell said <u>Taylor</u> had gotten the job under the name of Edward Morris and submitted his fingerprints as part of routine employment procedures.

When the prints were checked at the FBI's identification laboratory in Washington, D.C., however, authorities found they matched those of Taylor, who was wanted in Tallahassee for forsery and grand theft.

gery and grand theft.

After his arrest on a federal warrant for unlawful flight to avoid prose-

cution, Taylor was searched.

In addition to the \$5,000, agents also found a cashier's check in the amount of \$57,000, McDonnell said. The car, he continued, was purchased for \$13,000 three days ago.

Taylor was then charged with

bank fraud and embezzlement.

McDonnell said authorities believ, all the money came from the bank and are working with bank auditors to detarmine if more was stolen.

"It will take us a little while to figure out how much is involved." McDonnell said. "It could very well be more."

more.

Taylor, of Graceville, Fla. is believed to have spent time in California "so we have to see what he did out there." McDonnell said.

After appearing before U.S. Magistrate G. Donald Haneke in federal court in Newark, Taylor was ordered held at the Metropolitan Corrections Center in New York in lieu of \$75,000 heil.

Authorities in Florida said Taylor was wanted by the Leon County Sheriff's Office for forging his ex-roommate's name on at least \$5,000 worth of checks drawn from the Florida Federal Savings and Loss of Tallahassee.

Dick Simpson, a spokesman for the department, said Taylor stole the roommate's checkbook when he moved in October.

Taylor also used one of the checks to open an account at another Tallahassee bank and later asked the bank ac wire him money in New Orleans, Simpson said.

He said the state's attorney will file papers to extradite Taylor to face forgery charges.



U.S. Department of Justice

Office of Legislative Affairs

Office of the Assistant Attorney General

Washington, D.C. 20530

6/24/88

To: David Medntosh 456-2421

From: Cary Copelard
DOJ 633-3951

4 pages excluding

WHITE HOUSE CORRESPONDENCE TRACKING WORKSHEET

O - OUTGOING				- Chickey
☐ H · INTERNAL		0.00	n di ama ili	Mark the state of
Date Correspondence Received (YY/MM/DD)		α	lega Isria	
Name of Correspondent:	nc.	lick	Biographic Control	
□ MI Mail Report User	Codes: (A)_	1 0	(B) (B)	(C)
Subject: Ourn (Se	sponse	-/ alny	ormal 1	Staffine
he: 7 days wa	eting of	ario de	for ha	ndarin
- puchaser "	Porade	y ane	Sament	.11 0
ROUTE TO:	AC	TION	DIS	POSITION
NOTE 10:				
Office/Agency (Staff Name)	Action Code	Tracking Date YY/MM/DD	Type of Response	Completion Date Code YY/MM/DD
ev Holland	ORIGINATOR	88,09,30		C 8910109
P. 1 2-00	Referral Note:	yn Ps	013	
CO HI 35	D	88,09,30	NAN	C 8901109
	Referral Note:	- see	comme	nts
	1463 - * -	<u> </u>		
	Referral Note:			
	<u>-</u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>
	Referral Note:			
AND THE PERSON OF THE PERSON O	applicate the second			
	Referral Note:			
ACTION CODES:	Helde	(2) (5)	DISPOSITION CODES:	
A - Appropriate Action I -	Info Copy Only/No Act	tion Necessary	A - Answered B - Non-Special Refe	C - Completed
D - Draft Response S - F	For Signature nterim Reply		B - Non-Special Nete	Tal 3 - Suspended
to be used as Enclosure	r green outs and a co		FOR OUTGOING CORP	
			Code = Completion Date =	"A"
Comments: No Auguer	ackon	neces	tam -	1 overtakes
In levents			1	
10000000	Walt to the state of		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	

Keep this worksheet attached to the original incoming letter. Send all routing updates to Central Reference (Room 75, OEOB). Always return completed correspondence record to Central Files. Refer questions about the correspondence tracking system to Central Reference, ext. 2590.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

September 30, 1988

MEMORANDUM FOR A. B. CULVAHOUSE

MARLIN FITZWATER ALAN KRANOWITZ DAN CRIPPEN

FROM:

JOHN C. TUCK

SUBJECT:

Levin Response/Informal Staffing

As you will note in the attached correspondence, Senator Levin is seeking the Administration's position on the "Brady Amendment." A draft response has been prepared by OMB staff.

Please provide this office with your views and comments on the OMB draft as soon as possible.

cc: Ken Duberstein

M. B. Oglesby Rhett Dawson

United States Senate

WASHINGTON, DC 20510

September 20, 1988

The Honorable James C. Miller III Office of Management and Budget Executive Office Building Washington, DC 20503

Dear Jim:

My staff called the White House to find out the President's position on the "Brady Amendment," the proposal to provide a sevenday waiting period for handgun purchases in order to allow a background check on the purchaser. They were referred to OMB for an answer.

The President has made public statements in favor of the general idea of a waiting period. I would appreciate your letting me know the President's position on "Brady Amendment" itself.

Thanks for your help.

Sincerely,

Carl Levin

CL/dr

DRAFT

Honorable Carl Levin United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Carl:

Thank you for your letter of September 20, 1988, in which you requested a statement of the President's position on the "Brady Amendment," a proposal to require a seven-day waiting period for handgun purchases in order to allow a background check on the purchaser.

Although the President favors a seven-day waiting period for handgun purchases, he believes that any such requirement should be imposed at the State level, not by the Federal Government.

Please let me know whenever we may be of assistance.

Sincerely yours,

James C. Miller III Director

cc: LRD Official File

LRD Chron

DO Records (OMB Control Number #028991)

DO Chron

Mr. Wright

Mr. Melcher

Mrs. Crawford

Mr. Raul

Mr. Jones

Mr. Jukes

Mr. Kalder

Ms. Wilson

Mr. Hannon

Legislative Affairs

Room 7220

DRAFT

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

October 5, 1988

MEMORANDUM FOR JIM MILLER

DAN CRIPPEN

ALAN KRANOWITZ

FROM:

M. B. OGLESBY

SUBJECT:

BRADY BILL

Senator Roth is attaching an amendment to this bill (H.R. 3757) that will enhance the disability compensation to Jim Brady. We support this amendment and this bill.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

1988 SEP 30 PM 9: 00

September 30, 1988

FG00611

MEMORANDUM FOR A. B. CULVAHOUSE

MARLIN FITZWATER ALAN KRANOWITZ DAN CRIPPEN

FROM:

JOHN C. TUCK

SUBJECT:

Levin Response/Informal Staffing

As you will note in the attached correspondence, Senator Levin is seeking the Administration's position on the "Brady Amendment." A draft response has been prepared by OMB staff.

Please provide this office with your views and comments on the OMB draft as soon as possible.

cc: Ken Duberstein
M. B. Oglesby
Rhett Dawson

CARL LEVIN

United States Senate

WASHINGTON, DC 20510

September 20, 1988

The Honorable James C. Miller III Office of Management and Budget Executive Office Building Washington, DC 20503

Dear Jim:

My staff called the White House to find out the President's position on the "Brady Amendment," the proposal to provide a sevenday waiting period for handgun purchases in order to allow a background check on the purchaser. They were referred to OMB for an answer.

The President has made public statements in favor of the general idea of a waiting period. I would appreciate your letting me know the President's position on "Brady Amendment" itself.

Thanks for your help.

Sincerely,

Carl Levin

CL/dr

DRAFT

Honorable Carl Levin United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Carl:

Thank you for your letter of September 20, 1988, in which you requested a statement of the President's position on the "Brady Amendment," a proposal to require a seven-day waiting period for handgun purchases in order to allow a background check on the purchaser.

Although the President favors a seven-day waiting period for handgun purchases, he believes that any such requirement should be imposed at the State level, not by the Federal Government.

Please let me know whenever we may be of assistance.

Sincerely yours,

James C. Miller III Director

cc: LRD Official File

LRD Chron

DO Records (OMB Control Number #028991)

DO Chron

Mr. Wright

Mr. Melcher

Mrs. Crawford

Mr. Raul

Mr. Jones

Mr. Jukes

Mr. Kalder

Ms. Wilson

Mr. Hannon

Legislative Affairs

Room 7220

DRAFT



U.S. National Archives & Records Administration

Current Status Details for CTRH RECID: 612798CU MAIN SUBCODE: CM019

Current Status	Pulled
User Name	dbarrie
Status Date	2009-12-11
Case Number	
Notes	Transferred to CM010

Change Status	Close Window
Change Status	Close Window

Review Status History

No.	Status	Date	User	Case Number	Notes	
1	Pulled	2009-12-11	dbarrie		Transferred to CM010	

" Sq

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

September 30, 1988



MEMORANDUM FOR A. B. CULVAHOUSE

MARLIN FITZWATER

ALAN KRANOWITZ

DAN CRIPPEN

FROM:

JOHN C. TUCK

SUBJECT:

Levin Response/Informal Staffing

As you will note in the attached correspondence, Senator Levin is seeking the Administration's position on the "Brady Amendment." A draft response has been prepared by OMB staff.

Please provide this office with your views and comments on the OMB draft as soon as possible.

cc: Ken Duberstein

M. B. Oglesby Rhett Dawson

THE WHITE HOUSE WASHINGTON

John 2 concur with the
draft — but someone
needs to check with
a higher authority.

Aux

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

September 30, 1988

MEMORANDUM FOR A. B. CULVAHOUSE

MARLIN FITZWATER ALAN KRANOWITZ DAN CRIPPEN

FROM:

JOHN C. TUCK

SUBJECT:

Levin Response/Informal Staffing

As you will note in the attached correspondence, Senator Levin is seeking the Administration's position on the "Brady Amendment." A draft response has been prepared by OMB staff.

Please provide this office with your views and comments on the OMB draft as soon as possible.

cc: Ken Duberstein

M. B. Oglesby Rhett Dawson

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

September 30, 1988

MEMORANDUM FOR A. B. CULVAHOUSE

MARLIN FITZWATER ALAN KRANOWITZ DAN CRIPPEN

FROM:

JOHN C. TUCK

SUBJECT:

Levin Response/Informal Staffing

As you will note in the attached correspondence, Senator Levin is seeking the Administration's position on the "Brady Amendment." A draft response has been prepared by OMB staff.

Please provide this office with your views and comments on the OMB draft as soon as possible.

cc: Ken Duberstein
M. B. Oglesby
Rhett Dawson

United States Senate

WASHINGTON, DC 20510

September 20, 1988

The Honorable James C. Miller III Office of Management and Budget Executive Office Building Washington, DC 20503

Dear Jim:

My staff called the White House to find out the President's position on the "Brady Amendment," the proposal to provide a sevenday waiting period for handgun purchases in order to allow a background check on the purchaser. They were referred to OMB for an answer.

The President has made public statements in favor of the general idea of a waiting period. I would appreciate your letting me know the President's position on "Brady Amendment" itself.

Thanks for your help.

Sincerely,

Carl Levin

CL/dr

DRAFT

Honorable Carl Levin United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Carl:

Thank you for your letter of September 20, 1988, in which you requested a statement of the President's position on the "Brady Amendment," a proposal to require a seven-day waiting period for handgun purchases in order to allow a background check on the purchaser.

Although the President favors a seven-day waiting period for handgun purchases, he believes that any such requirement should be imposed at the State level, not by the Federal Government.

Please let me know whenever we may be of assistance.

Sincerely yours,

James C. Miller III
Director

cc: LRD Official File

LRD Chron

DO Records (OMB Control Number #028991)

DO Chron

Mr. Wright

Mr. Melcher

Mrs. Crawford

Mr. Raul

Mr. Jones

Mr. Jukes

Mr. Kalder

Ms. Wilson

Mr. Hannon

Legislative Affairs

Room 7220

DRAFT