Ronald Reagan Presidential Library Digital Library Collections

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual collections.

WHORM Subject File Code: FG006-01 ID Numbers: 028010-028215 Box:

To see more digitized collections visit: https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digital-library

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library inventories visit: https://reaganlibrary.gov/document-collection

Contact a reference archivist at: reagan.library@nara.gov

Citation Guidelines: https://reaganlibrary.gov/citing

National Archives Catalogue: https://catalog.archives.gov/

June 5, 1981

028010 FG006-01 FA STO51 RA001 FG018-14

Dear Scott:

Thank you for your letter of May 20, and for the information you forwarded. My duties as Ethnic Liaison do not include items such as historic preservation, but I am nonetheless interested in the topic as it applies to Micronesia.

I have sent much of the information to the appropriate policy office here, in the hope that it will be of some help.

Thank you for writing and for your good wishes on my behalf.

Sincerely.

Jack Burgess
Special Assistant to the President
for Public Liaison

Mr. Scott Russell
Historic Preservation Officer
Trust Territory of the Pacific
Islands
Saipan, CM 96950

JB:c - 7

The termination of federal support and historical

TRUST TERRITOR OF THE PACIFIC ISLANDS



OFFICE OF THE G MISSIONER
SAIPAN, C 96950

CABLE ADDRESS

May 20, 1981

Serial: 5430 File: 0.5

Mr. Jack Burgess
Deputy Director
Office of Public Liaison
The White House
Washington, D.C.

Dear Jack:

Congratulations on your recent appointment as Deputy Director. I read in the newspaper that in addition to your other duties, you will serve as liaison to the ethnic communities. It is in this vein that I write you now.

As you may know, I have been working with the Trust Territory Historic Preservation program for the past four years. Our primary goal has been to develop a program of cultural and historic preservation that is relevant and meaningful to the Micronesian people. I believe we have accomplished this goal.

We are now concerned with ensuring that the programs which have been so laboriously established survive the termination process. The most serious threat, of course, is the complete cut-off of federal support. We estimate it will take two to three years to get the programs firmly established and operating on local resources.

I am enclosing a case report which suumarizes the anticipated effects termination will have on the local programs. I would like to stress that we definitely do not want to foster continued dependence on federal support. We only wish to ensure this meaningful program is given a chance to grow and benefit the people of Micronesia.

I am not really sure if this matter falls within your bailiwick, but if it does, I would appreciate any assistance

or advice you can provide. I wish you success in your new position.

Sincerely,

Scott Russell Historic Preservation Officer

enclosure

PRELIMINARY CASE REPORT

TERMINATION OF DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR ADMINISTRATION,

TRUST TERRITORY OF THE PACIFIC ISLANDS

PRELIMINARY CASE REPORT: TERMINAT! IF DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR ADMINISTRATION, TRUST TERRITORY OF THE PACIFIC ISLANDS.

(1) Involvement with the proposed undertaking.

The Department of the Interior, through its Office of Territorial Affairs, oversees the administration of the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands. This administration was assigned to the United States under a Trusteeship Agreement with the United Nations after World War II.

The Trusteeship is scheduled to be terminated in 1981, at which time the Department will relinquish all administrative authority. Although the Department does not have discretion in this undertaking, it is taking actions preparatory to termination which make compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act appropriate. The actual nature of the termination, and the post-termination relationship between the U.S. and the new governments of Micronesia (except that of the Northern Mariana Islands) is being negotiated by the President's Personal Representative. These negotiations are not under the control of the Department, but they do constrain the Department in its actions.

(2) Status of the Project.

Termination is scheduled to occur in 1981. The functions of the existing Trust Territory Government are being systematically dismantled and transferred to the new Micronesian governments.

The Northern Mariana Islands have elected to become part of the American Commonwealth, and will be a "state" for purposes of the National Historic Preservation Act; they will not be further discussed in this report.

Compacts of Free Association are being negotiated with the Federated States of Micronesia and the Republic of Belau. The Marshall Islands have completed their negotiations. These negotiations are not under the control of the Department.

(3) Status of NEPA compliance.

The President's Personal Representative is currently preparing an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) on termination action. A statement of work for the EIS appears as Appendix A.

(4) Description of the undertaking.

Upon termination of the Trusteeship, U.S. jurisdiction over Micronesia will end. Existing U.S. programs will be terminated with certain specific exceptions.

(5) Properties affected.

Twenty six (26)* properties are currently listed in the National Register of Historic Places within the Federated States, Belau and the Marshall Islands. Fourteen (14)* properties have been determined eligible for inclusion. One hundred and fifty (150)** properties have been recorded by professional and other surveys that are eligible for inclusion in the opinion of the State Historic Preservation Officer. At least three hundred (300)** additional properties are estimated to exist that would be determined eligible but have not yet been discovered.

- * These totals are low because of the Trust Territory's policy to nominate only those properties it plans to develop immediately, and to request determinations of eligibility only on properties to be affected by construction projects.
- ** These totals exclude archeological sites eligible soley under 36 CFR Part 1202.6(d).

(6) Adverse effects.

All the criteria of Adverse Effect (36 CFR Part 800.3(b) may apply in varying degrees to various properties, for the following reasons:

A. The present historic preservation program in the Trust Territory

will end, because the Trust Tern very will cease to be a "state" for purposes of the National Historic Preservation Act. Grants from the Historic Preservation Fund will cease, and any positive support given to historic preservation will be completely at the discretion of the new Micronesian Governments. While these governments have indicated their support in various ways (see attached Appendix C), the Department cannot guarantee that support will continue at the present level, in terms of either funding or professional standards. As a result, the Department cannot guarantee that land-use planning will give appropriate attention to historic properties, or that such properties will not be allowed to deteriorate and be destroyed.

B. Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act will no longer apply to Micronesia, although certain U.S. agencies (especially Defense agencies) will continue to undertake activities there under terms of the Compact of Free Association. Thus the Department cannot guarantee that any protection will be afforded to historic properties threatened by U.S. agency actions.

Additionally, there will be a loss of informal control now exercised in the review of non-federal land-use projects. Non-federal projects can be expected to increase in numbers after termination, and correspondingly increase the damage done to historic properties.

- (7) Written views of the State Historic Preservation Officer
- (8) Written Views of others.

See attached Appendix C

See attached Appendix B

(9) Description and analysis of alternatives that would avoid the adverse effects.

Don't terminate the Trusteeship. This alternative is not politically feasible.

- (10) Description and analysis of alternatives that would mitigate the adverse effects.
 - A. Allow the new entities to continue participating in the Historic Preservation Fund Program after termination. This alternative would require an amendment to the National Historic Preservation Act. This alternative would allow for a continuation of the program at the current level of funding and professional quality. It would, however, continue to force the Micronesian programs to address sometimes irrelevant national priorities, and would place them in direct competition with the larger state programs for annual grants. Communications with HCRS and the amount of paperwork associated with the current program would also remain problems.
 - B. Make arrangements to have the U.S. provide the Micronesian programs with an agreed upon sum of money for an agreed upon number of years, to be used for historic preservation activities. These funds would have "no strings" attached and could be used by the programs to engage in any preservation activities deemed appropriate. This approach would allow the local programs to use the funds for projects they see as valuable, and would also allow for them to avoid preparing the complicated and sometimes confusing paperwork required by HCRS. Additionally, Micronesian programs would not be forced to compete with other states for annual grant funds. However, providing grant funds without controls or required professional supervision and accountability, could result in activities damaging to historic properties. With no professional oversight, inappropriate or improperly supervised projects could be undertaken resulting in damage to historic properties. There would also be no guarantee that the grants would be used for historic preservation activities at all.
 - C. Make arrangements to have the U.S. provide the Micronesian programs with an agreed upon sum of money for an agreed upon number of years, with some form of professional supervision built in. This could be accomplished by requiring the Micronesian programs to submit to HCRS a simplified annual work plan, listing projects and outlining basic

program direction, and a straight forward end-of-the-year progress report, in order to be eligible to receive the annual payments. This approach would allow for professional supervision by HCRS without requiring the Micronesian programs to participate fully as "states". It would still allow the Micronesian programs to develop their programs based on local priorities, but would offer a greateer degree of professional review. It would also insure grants were expended on preservation related activities.

E. Require U.S. agencies to follow Section 106 procedures when undertaking projects in Miconesia. This would include agency responsibility for identification of properties (through professional level surveys), avoiding unnecessaryily damaging identified properties, and for developing and executing appropriate mitigation measures. This alternative would afford historic properties basic protection from federally assisted land-use activities, but would not provide funds or technical assistance for other important program functions.

(11) Cost of the Undertaking.

This information not available.

A P P E N D I X A



THE PRESIDENT'S PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE FOR MICRONESIAN STATUS NEGOTIATIONS WASHINGTON, D.C. 20240

We have reached a point in the Micronesian political status negotiations at which the proposed post-trusteeship status which will exist between the United States and the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands political entities of Palau, the Marshall Islands and the Federated States of Micronesia has been substantially defined in a draft Compact of Free Association. It is the objective of this Administration to terminate the trusteeship in 1981 and we are actively pursuing this goal.

The Compact will be submitted for approval by both Houses of the Congress. If they approve the Compact it will become public law. Therefore, in conformity with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the regulations of the Council on Environmental Quality this office will direct the preparation of a legislative Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I have scheduled a "scoping session" for August 28th in Room 1107 at the Department of State 2201 C Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., to receive the public's views on the Compact EIS. A copy of the Federal Register notice for this session is attached.

We are aware of your interest in this subject and solicit your attendance at the scoping session since it is our intent to assimilate all viewpoints into the final product which will be forwarded to Congress as part of the legislative proposal.

Singgrely yours,

Peter R. Rosenblatt

Ambassador

Enclosures:

Preparation of an Environmenta impact Statement for the Compact of Free Association and its Related Agreements

Statement of Work

I. Background and Scope

The President, with the approval of both Houses of
Congress, proposes concluding a Compact of Free Association
between the Government of the United States and the Governments
of Palau, the Marshall Islands and the Federated States of
Micronesia (consisting of Yap, Truk, Ponape and Kosrae).
These three areas are now separately administered entities
within the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands (TTPI). If
the Compact is approved by Congress and by the respective
peoples of the TTPI in plebiscite, the Government of the
United States intends to proceed in a manner consistent with
the United Nations Charter and the Trusteeship Agreement to
terminate the trusteeship of the TTPI.

There are a number of subsidiary agreements associated with the Compact. These subsidiary agreements will be Executive Agreements and will not be submitted to Congress for its approval; however, they will be submitted to Congress as part of the Compact legislative proposal. These agreements will be related to communications, law enforcement and

extradition, Northern Marshall I ands radiation claims, capital infrastructure projects in Palau, United States Federal services and programs (FAA, CAB, Weather, Postal, - Emergency Assistance, Health and Education), turnover of U.S. Government property, and will provide an entirely new political status and a modified basis for cooperation between the United States and the freely associated states (FAS). The Compact embodies a new political relationship known as "free association," a concept without precise precedent either in international practice or in United States Constitutional law. In brief, the Compact envisions the termination by the United States of its authority and responsibility as administering authority over the United Nations strategic trust known as the TTPI. The Compact provides that the emerging Micronesian governments shall enjoy authority and responsibility over their internal and foreign affiars. This foreign affairs and domestic authority will be limited; however, by the United States' retention of full authority and responsibility for security and defense matters.

II. Work Statement

The contractor should prepare a legislative EIS consisting of a draft EIS which will be included in the formal transmittal of the legislative proposal to Congress and will be

considered the "detailed state ont" as defined by NEPA and the CEQ regulations. Since this is a legislative EIS, the contractor will not be responsible for preparation of a final EIS.

The EIS should include the following major components:

- a) the purpose of the proposed action;
- b) a description of the proposed Federal action:

execution of a Compact of Free Association and its subsidiary agreements and the alternatives to the proposed Federal action, e.g., no action, non-termination of the trusteeship (Office for Micronesian Status Negotiations (OMSN) representatives will assist the contractor in preparing this section);

- c) a description of the environment involved including land and offshore areas in Palau, the Marshall Islands and the Federated States of Micronesia;
- d) a discussion of present environmental protection in Palau, the Marshall Islands and the Federated States Micronesia, including landuse plans, policies and controls (U.S. and

TTPI laws and regulation as well as those of Palau, the Marshall Islands and the Federated States of Micronesia);

- e) a discussion of how the Compact will affect environmental protection in the FAS, including the relationship of the proposed action to present land-use plans, policies and controls:
- f) the potential environmental effects which cannot be avoided should the Compact be implemented including, but not limited to, any irreversible or irretrievable commitments of resources;
- g) the potential environmental impacts of the subsidiary agreements;
- h) list of preparers; and
- i) appendices (any documentation necessary to support points made in the body of the EIS itself.

Description of the Envir ent. The key elements of the environment, which will be affected by the Compact of Free Association and its subsidiary agreements and the potential environmental consequences which appear to justify the greatest concern are unknown; however, the following elements have possible environmental consequences and should be further evaluated. The OMSN will coordinate interdepartmental assistance to the contractor in defining other environmental impacts which will require assessment.

- -- The economic and social dislocation of persons employed by the TTPI Government which will result from dissolution of this organization.
- The continued operation of the Kwajalein Missile Range in the Marshall Islands.
- -- The securing of military land rights in Palau including:
 - -- Access and anchorage rights in Malakal Harbor and rights to adjacent lands;

- -- Joint use of Airai and Anguar Airfields;
- -- Rights to exclusive use of 2,000 acres of Babelthaup Island and non-exclusive use of an adjoining 30,000 acres.
- Continued United States operation of a small Coast Guard Station at Yap.
- -- The Government of the United States in the conduct of any of its activities under the Compact and its subsidiary agreements will be required to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for their activities. The U.S. Government will be obliged to comply with standards substantively similar to those required by the laws of the United States enumerated in Article VI of Title One of the Compact.
- -- The impact of the United States' turning over to the Governments of the FAS control of management of their own environment and biospheres.

- -- The impact of the umption by the governments of the FAS's of the responsibility for land maintenance and sanitary practices.
- -- The impact of the termination of most Federal program support to Micronesia in the post-trusteeship period.
- -- The impact of termination of the present United States funded environmental programs in Micronesia (e.g., historical preservation).
- -- The impact of the immigration provisions,
 Article IV of Title One of the Compact.
- --- Grant assistance proposed under Title

 Two of the Compact is front-end loaded,

 requiring the dedication of a specified

 percentage of these amounts annually to

 developmental activities and shall be

 adjusted yearly for inflation.

-- The impact of assum; on by the governments of the FAS of responsibility for their foreign affairs relating to marine resources matters, including the harvesting, conservation, exploration or exploitation of living and non-living resources from the seabed or subsoil.

Physical and Natural Environment. Attached as TABs B and C are a series of fact sheets which describe the current status of the negotiations, the governmental organization of the TTPI and its physical and natural environment.

Preparation of the DEIS will primarily be based upon a survey and analysis of existing material (available in Washington, D.C.) including gaps in data. However, it may be necessary to supplement the data through visits to the prospective FAS and the Trust Territory Headquarters on Saipan. Resource materials and points of contact will be provided by OMSN.

Given the anticipated timing of Congressional consideration of the Compact of Free Association and its subsidiary agreements, it will be necessary that the draft EIS be prepared and circulated by February 15, 1981. Since several weeks will be necessary for review and printing of the draft EIS before circulation it should be available to the OMSN by January 15, 1981. The draft and necessary appendices should be delivered to OMSN in camera-perfect state and ten additional copies for review by OMSN.

III. Security Requirements

The work to be performed under this contract may involve access to material, equipment and/or information classified Secret.

It will be mandatory that any facilities of the contractor utilized for the performance of services meet those requirements set forth in the Department of Defense Industrial Security Manual for Safeguarding Classified Security Information, and that all personnel who handle data or have access thereto have the required security clearances. Contractor's Security Officer shall be responsible for all security aspects


of the work performed under the contract and shall be subject to verification at any time by OMSN upon request.

Contractors are requested to submit a Visit Authorization Request from their security offices, listing personnel cleared for access up to and including secret.

IV. Evaluation

- 1. The contractor will be awarded to the firm whose proposal, technical capabilities, price and other factors considered are determined by the OMSN and the Departments of State, Defense and Interior to best meet the criteria for award.
- 2. Proposals will be evaluated in accordance with the following criteria:
 - (a) The qualifications and technical capability of the offeror's personnel as measured by the experience and relevant expertise of the personnel who will perform the environmental impact statement.
 - (b) The degree of originality and creativity the offeror will apply to the problem as demonstrated by the proposal.

- (c) The soundness of the off r's approach to conducting the relevant environmental study and analyses.
- (d) The organization, clarity and conciseness of the overall proposal.
- (e) The offeror's expertise and successful performance in prior related environmental studies and analyses.



DEPARTMENT OF STATE

ISSUED

BUREAU OF OCEANS AND INTERNATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL AND SCIENTIFIC AFFAIRS

Environmental Impact Statement

AGENCY: Department of State

ACTION: Notice of Intent to Prepare an

Environmental Impact Statement

SUMMARY: The Department, in conjunction with the Office for Micronesian Status Negotiations, plans to prepare a draft environmental impact statement (DEIS) for the Compact of Free Association and its subsidiary Agreements. The President, with the approval of both Houses of Congress, proposes concluding a Compact of Free Association between the Government of the United States and the Governmentsof Palau, the Marshall Islands and the Federated States of Micronesia (consisting of Yap, Truk, Ponape and Kosrae). These three areas are now separately administered entities within the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands (TTPI). The Compact embodies a new political relationship known as "free association," a concept without precise

precedent either in international practice or in United States Constitutional law. In brief, the Compact envisions the termination by the United States of its authority and responsibility as Administering Authority over the United Nations strategic trust known as the TTPI. The DEIS will review the provisions of the Compact, assess alternatives to the proposed action and address the environmental effects of implementing the Compact and such alternatives.

A public meeting will be held at the Department of State, Room 1107, 21st and C Streets, N.W., on August 28, 1980 from 10:00-12:00 A.M. to discuss the scope of the DEIS. This meeting will be chaired by Ambassador Peter Rosenblatt, the President's Personal Representative for Micronesian Status Negotiations.

Copies of the DEIS will be made available for agency and public comment upon publication.

Requests for copies of the DEIS and summaries of the public meeting should be addressed to:

Lt. Col. Albert V. Short
Office for Micronesian Status Negotiations
Main Interior Building, Suite 3356
Washington, D.C. 20240 (202/343-9143) or

Irene F. Dybalski
Office of Environment and Health
Room 7820
Department of State
Washington, D.C. 20520 (202/632-9267)

Donald R. King

Director

Office of Environment & Health



PREMIBLE

WE, THE PEOPLE OF MICRONESIA, exercising our inherent sovereignty, do hereby establish this Constitution of the Federated States of Micronesia.

With this Constitution, we affirm our common wish to live together in peace and harmony, to preserve the heritage of the pest, and to protect the promise of the future.

To make one nation of many islands, we respect the diversity of our cultures. Our differences enrich us. The seas bring us together, they do not separate us. Cur islands sustain us, our island nation enlarges us and makes us stronger.

Our ancestors, who made their hores on these islands, displaced no other people. We, who remain, wish no other home than this. Having known war, we hope for peace. Having been divided, we wish unity. Having been ruled, we seek freedom.

Micronesia began in the days when man explored seas in rafts and canoes. The Micronesian nation is born in an age when men voyage among stars; our world itself is an island. We extend to all nations what we seek from each: peace, friendship, cooperation, and love in our common humanity. With this Constitution we, who have been the wards of other nations, become the proud guardian of our own islands, now and forever.

1D# 028131 F6006-01

WHITE HOUSE CORRESPONDENCE TRACKING WORKSHEET

□ H INTERNAL □ I INCOMING □ Date Correspondence Received (YY/MM/DD) 8/1061// Name of Correspondent:	- Ciamo U. Rorr	b On			
italie of correspondent.		10	— trydyfanti dlawawo		
☐ Mi Mail Report	Jser Codes: (A) _		(B)	(C)	
Subject fruite Mr. fried and driner in falls Co	buch, Virgin		July 198		
•				4	
ROUTE TO:	TO: ACTION		DISPOSITION		
Office/Agency (Staff Name)	Action Code	Tracking Date YY/MM/DD	Type of Response	Completion Date Code YY/MM/DD	
LA Frie	ORIGINATOR	8/106112	MF	A 81,07,23	
	Referral Note:				
		1 1			
	Referral Note:				
	-	· 1 1			
	Referral Note:	7 1			
	Referral Note:	-1-1			
	neierar Note.	1 1			
	Referral Note:				
ACTION CODES: A - Appropriate Action C - Comment/Recommendation D - Draft Response	I - Info Copy Only/No Ar R - Direct Reply w/Copy S - For Signature	ction Necessary	DISPOSITION CODES: A - Answered B - Non-Special Refer	C - Completed ral S - Suspended	
F - Furnish Fact Sheet to be used as Enclosure	X - Interim Reply	- ·	FOR OUTGOING CORRI Type of Response = Code = Completion Date =	Initials of Signer	
Comments:					

Keep this worksheet attached to the original incoming letter. Send all routing updates to Central Reference (Room 75, OEOB). Always return completed correspondence record to Central Files. Refer questions about the correspondence tracking system to Central Reference, ext. 2590.

RECORDS MANAGEMENT ONLY

CLASSIFICATION SECTION

No. of Additional Correspondents: Media:	Individual Codes:	
Prime Subject Code: <u>F6 006</u> -01	Secondary Subject Codes: Ph DOZ	
•		
	·	
	PRESIDENTIAL REPLY	
Code Date	Comment	Form
Oute Date	<u> </u>	101111
	Time	P.
c	Time:	<u>F-</u>
DSP	Time:	Media:
1		
OLONATURE COREC.		
SIGNATURE CODES: CPn - Presidential Correspondence	MEDIA CODES:	
n - 0 - Unknown	B - Box/package	
n - 1 - Ronald Wilson Reagan	C - Copy D - Official document	
n - 2 - Ronald Reagan n - 3 - Ron	G - Message	
n - 4 - Dutch	H - Handcarried	
n - 5 - Ron Reagan	L - Letter M- Mailgram	
n - 6 - Ronald n - 7 - Ronnie	O - Memo	
n - / - Ronnie	P - Photo	
CLn - First Lady's Correspondence	R - Report	
n - 1 - Nancy Reagan	S · Sealed T · Telegram	•
n - 2 - Nancy	V - Telephone	
n - 3 - Mrs. Ronald Reagan	X - Miscellaneous	
CBn - Presidential & First Lady's Correspondent		
n - 1 - Ronald Reagan - Nancy Reagan		
n - 2 - Ron - Nancy		

Dear Bill:

Priscilla and I wanted to thank you again for a delightful evening this past Tuesday.

We thoroughly enjoyed the reception and dinner and appreciate your having us as your guests. You should be pleased at the success of the event, and again, we were happy to be a part of such a fine occasion.

With warm thanks and cordial regard, I am

Sincerely,

Max L. Friedersdorf Assistant to the President

The Honorable William Meth United States Senate Washington, D. C. 20510

MLF:FRD:fd

WILLIAM V. ROTH, JR. UNITED STATES SENATOR WASHINGTON, D. C.

June 10, 1981

n28131

Dear Max:

I need your help!

On July 21st my campaign committee will be holding our most important fundraiser of 1981. The affair will be a reception and dinner at 6663 Van Winkle Drive in Falls Church, Virginia, and will begin at 6:30 p.m. The contribution per person will be \$1,000.

I would very much appreciate your being my guest at this affair. Your attendance would be an enormous help in making this fundraiser a success. I know how busy your schedule is, but I am sure our guests would appreciate whatever time you can spare to meet and talk with them.

You will be receiving a formal invitation in a week or two, but I wanted to let you know about the event as far in advance as possible. I very much hope that you might be able to stop by our fundraiser.

Please let my office know if you will be able to join us on July 21.

Sincerely,

William V. Roth, Jr.

U.S. Senate

The Honorable Max L. Friedersdorf Assistant to the President for Legislative Affairs The White House Washington, D.C. 20500

PAID FOR AND AUTHORIZED BY ROTH SENATE COMMITTEE

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

4630 -G006-01

028208 4610

FG002-37

FG012-10

JL003-01 NDO16 00172

HEUNZ

April 29, 1981

Dear Dr. Heimlich:

DANIEL

Thanks for your very interesting letter of April 20th and Baker, SEN. House the Edumed posters. I have taken the liberty of sending a Thomas, Lowell copy of your letter to Dr. Ruge, the President's personal New York Times physician, so that he may answer the more scientific questions; and I am returning herewith the Heimlich Maneuver Report.

The one recollection of the incident that may be of interest to you is that I was too timid on my first attempt and the second time when I really let him have it - out popped the peanut.

I am very sorry that my schedule cannot include a visit to the Capitol April 30th, because I would appreciate meeting you.

I'm very sure Ronald Reagan is grateful for your fine work and research.

Many thanks.

Sincerely,

MICHAEL K. DEAVER Assistant to the President Deputy Chief of Staff

INTERNATIONAL PLATFORM ASSOCIATION

* GROYGE WASH NG TON UNIVERSITY

Dr. Henry J. Heimlich, M.D.

Xavier University

Professor of Advanced Clinical Sciences

Cincinnati, Ohio 45207

News article romcessing

Heimlich dated April

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON April 24, 1981

Dear Doctor Heimlich:

Mr. Michael Deaver has requested that I respond to a portion of your April 20, 1981 letter sent to him.

Many of the White House staff, as well as Secret Service agents, are trained in the Heimlich Maneuver and consider it a great contribution. I have been keenly aware of it because about ten years ago a friend of mine died from a "restaurant coronary."

The Heimlich Chest Drainage Valve was not used at George Washington University Hospital. My policy is not to discuss particulars and I also believe the Hospital staff has handled the information superbly so I prefer not to give further details.

Thank you very much for the instructional posters. We will make good use of them.

With best wishes,


Sincerely,

Daniel Ruge, M.D.

Physician to the President

Henry J. Heimlich, M.D. Professor of Advanced Clinical Sciences Xavier University Cincinnati, Ohio 45207 THE WHITE HOUSE WASHINGTON

Snike
Y you Think
This letter is OK
send it.





HENRY J. HEIMLICH, M.D. Professor of Advanced Clinical Sciences

April 20, 1981

Mr. Michael K. Deaver Assistant to the President The White House Executive Office Building Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. Deaver:

It was gratifying to read the very interesting article about you in The New York Times (Sunday, April 19, 1981) and to learn that you had saved President Reagan from choking to death by using the Heimlich Maneuver. You are to be especially complimented since I had invented the Maneuver less than two years before you performed it. May I ask you to be kind enough to fill out the enclosed scientific form, from which we carry on our computerized study of the several thousand lives saved by the Heimlich Maneuver.

In the fall of 1976, I taught the Maneuver to the White House staff, during President Ford's term. I would be pleased to do so again but, since I have never actually used the Maneuver to save a life, you can probably do it better than I!

It would be of great interest to me to know whether I had a part in saving President Reagan's life twice. The Heimlich Chest Drainage Valve is available in all emergency departments, as well as in the armed forces, for saving the life of a person who has been shot in the chest. I wonder if it was used in the President's case? For your interest, a Reader's Digest reprint, describing how the Heimlich Valve saved hundreds of lives in the Vietnam conflict, as it also did in Israel, is enclosed.

On April 30, I will be participating with Lowell Thomas in presenting Senator Howard H. Baker, Jr. with the International Platform Association's Annual Daniel Webster Award. Should you be present at the Capitol, at the reception from 4 to 6 p.m., I would appreciate meeting you.

In order to enable the President and others in the White House to be saved from cheking in the future, I am enclosing Heimlich Maneuver instructional posters that can be placed in various dining rooms and one for the safety of your own home.

With best wishes.

hcerely

Henry J. Heimlich, M.D.

HJH/ph

HEIMLICH MANEUVER REI Location of Rescue: Home	PORT		Date of R	escue 22 2	07,147
ocation of Rescue: Home	Restaurant	Institut	ion(Other (desci	ribe/-///Pk
Symptoms: Could the victim speak? Could the victim breathe? Did the victim turn blue? Other color? (specify) Please describe appearance				o unconscio	
Technique of performing the Heimlich Was the victim sitting? How many times was the Maneuver ap Was victim pregnant? Object causing choking? Size and shape.	Stan plied? Tu	onths.	_ Self-applicat f possible, drav	tion? w or describe	
Other methods used: (Please finger-in-through back slapped How many times? Comments	oat		held upside do gave mouth-to other (specify)	own o-mouth	
Was object forcefully expelled or remove Any aftereffects of Heimlich Maneuver Drowning (describe)	?				
Where did rescuer learn of Maneuver? (Magazine Medical Journal _ Name of publication or program Other source (specify) Metheral Sektim & G	Paniple De Harland	hlet	Ne TVNe TIDEN Res	wspaper School _ /////	Tic
Name:A{ddress:A{	ge:				Age:
Occupation:Did victim realize what was happening	0		thoughts and		
		Signature)		
PLEASE RETURN TO: (This information will be used for research purposes.)	ELET HALL XAVIER UN	NIVERSITY	M.D. 45207 (Refer	ence #)

9 June 1981

028215 1160 FGDOS-OI FGD14 ODOM, GEN Krechman, Paul

Dear Ed:

Ursula and I want to thank you for providing our family and guests such excellent seats at the "Spirit of America" celebration on Saturday avening. We certainly enjoyed the show, and want you to know your generosity was very much appreciated.

With kindest regards,

Sincerely,

EDWIN MEESE III Counsellor to the President

Major General Edmund R. Thompson Assistant Chief of Staff for Intelligence United States Army The Pentagon Washington, D.C. 20301

EM: mam

9

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

4 June 1981

MEMO FOR ED

FROM: MARILEE

Concerning "The Spirit of America" on Saturday night.

Attached is a map of how to get there.

When you get there, proceed to the overhang area of the building next to the parking lot. It is marked "ADMIN OFFICE". Just inside the doors to the right is the "Capital Club". There will be a buffet reception from 6:00 - 8:00 p.m. You will be escorted to your seats at 10 minutes to 8.

· · · ·

EDWIN MEESE

SOCIAL ENGAGEMENTS

Week	of:	1	June	_	6	June
						-

age	No.	•	

ACPT RGRT

TIME

DATE/TIME	GROUP	PLACE	A	CTION .
6:00 p.m. 8:00 p.m.	"Spirit of America" an evening of entertainment Reception Dinner Military ceremony/bands/ live military tatoo By: General Thompson Assistant Chief of Staff for Intelligence M/M	Capital Center Laurel, Maryland accepted by phone 6/1/8/com B/M	ACPTTIME	RGRT
			ACPT	RGRT_

6- Recep 8. Dinner Stevens gravited M/M for General Odom one of ententainment, "Sprint of America Where reception? military ceremony bands - live military tatoo

MINI

Juss

Social Secretary to Engraved invite to Arthur. Saturday 6 June 1981

EDWIN MEESE

SOCIAL ENGAGEMENTS

Week of: 1 June - 6 June

Page No.:

DATE/TIME	GROUP	PLACE		ACTION	
6:00 p.m. 8:00 p.m.	"Spirit of America" an evening of entertainment Reception Dinner Military ceremony/bands/ live military tatoo	Capital Center Laurel, Maryland	des.	ACPTRGRT	_
	By: General Thompson Assistant Chief of Staff for Intelligence			invite	\
	M/M 		1 	ACPTRGRT	
				TIME	

ACPT RGRT

TIME

12 Nanstein 697-0957 Capital Ctr. pling lot onerbang@ ent Admin Ofe door CC to vight 6-7:50