Ronald Reagan Presidential Library Digital Library Collections

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual collections.

Collection: Presidential Handwriting File:

Presidential Speeches

Folder Title: Folder 384 (08/22/1985 to 09/02/1985)

Box: 20

To see more digitized collections visit: https://www.reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digitized-textual-material

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library Inventories, visit: https://www.reaganlibrary.gov/archives/white-house-inventories

Contact a reference archivist at: reagan.library@nara.gov

Citation Guidelines: https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/research-support/citation-guide

National Archives Catalogue: https://catalog.archives.gov/

Last Updated: 01/30/2025

275986 J SP983

(ROHRABACHER)

AUGUST 22, 1985

FUNDRAISER FOR CALIFORNIA REPUBLICAN PARTY

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

THANK YOU. GOVERNOR DEUKMEJIAN,
MEMBERS OF CONGRESS CHAIRMAN ANTONOVICH
LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. SPECIAL THANKS TO
MARGARET BROCK FOR ALL SHE'S DONE TO MAKE
THIS THE SUCCESSFUL DINNER THAT IT IS.
CALIFORNIA IS ALWAYS IN THE FOREFRONT OF NEW
TRENDS. PERHAPS THIS IDEA OF A BEFORE
DINNER SPEECH WILL CATCH ON.

I AM HAPPY TO SEE MY FRIEND JOHN GAVIN,
OUR AMBASSADOR TO MEXICO. JACK, AS YOU
KNOW, HAS DONE SUPERLATIVE WORK. I THINK
HE'S ONE OF THE BEST AMBASSADORS THIS
COUNTRY HAS EVER HAD. AND WE ARE ENORMOUSLY
PLEASED THAT HE IS GOING TO STAY ON IN HIS
POST AND CONTINUE HIS GREAT WORK.

BACK WITH OLD FRIENDS AND IN CALIFORNIA.

THIS IS THE FIRST TIME I'VE BEEN WITH MANY
OF YOU SINCE SPENDING A LITTLE TIME IN
BETHESDA. NANCY AND I WANT YOU TO KNOW HOW
MUCH YOUR PRAYERS AND WELL WISHES MEANT TO
US. WE SAT TOGETHER AND READ MANY OF THE
GET WELL CARDS YOU SENT AND KNOWING YOU WERE
WITH US IN SPIRIT WAS THE BEST MEDICINE OF
ALL.

SOME OF THOSE CARDS WERE MEMORABLE.

ONE PERSON WROTE, DEAR MR. PRESIDENT, I WAS VERY CONCERNED TO HEAR THAT THE DOCTORS TOOK TWO FEET OUT OF YOUR INNER WORKINGS.

HOW DID THOSE TWO FEET GET IN THERE?

THIS WAS MY SECOND SERIOUS VISIT TO THE

HOSPITAL SINCE GETTING TO WASHINGTON.

I'M AMAZED THAT PEOPLE ARE SURPRISED THAT
SOMEONE WITH IRISH BLOOD DOESN'T LET SUCH
THINGS GET HIM DOWN. BUT " FATHR" TOLD

ME" OPTIMOSY - LOOKING - TRICHT SD THARACRISTK

THERE IS A STORY -- NOW YOU KNOW
I'D HAVE A STORY FOR YOU --

[SHRINE OF LOURDES STORY]

SERIOUSLY THOUGH, I'M FEELING FINE AND WHEN WE GET BACK TO WASHINGTON, IT'S FULL STEAM AHEAD. IF WE ARE GOING TO SUCCEED I'LL NEED YOUR HELP. CAN I COUNT ON YOU? I WAS HOPING YOU'D SAY THAT.

WE'VE BEEN THROUGH MANY POLITICAL

BATTLES TOGETHER. I'D LIKE FO ASK ALL OF
YOU WHO WERE ACTIVE IN MY FIRST CAMPALON FOR
GOVERNOR TO STAND UP RIGHT THERE AT YOUR
TABLES. WOULD YOU JOIN ME IN GIVING ALL OF
THESE VETERAN REPUBLICANS A BIG HAND?

MIKE ANTONOVICH AND PAT NOLAN WERE JUST KIDS BACK IN THOSE DAYS WALKING PRECINCTS FOR YOUTH FOR REAGAN. THEY CALLED THAT GANG, "THE BROWN IS OUT TO LUNCH BUNCH."

NOW THOSE SAME YOUNG PEOPLE ARE ASSUMING
LEADERSHIP POSITIONS IN STATE GOVERNMENT AND
A FEW EVEN HOLD POSITIONS OF RESPONSIBILITY
IN OUR ADMINISTRATION IN WASHINGTON.

PERHAPS THE MOST HEARTENING DEVELOPMENT
IN RECENT YEARS IS THAT TODAY'S CROP OF
YOUNG PEOPLE IS TURNING TO OUR PARTY AS
NEVER BEFORE. WASN'T IT NICE TO SEE ALL
THOSE YOUNG FACES, FILLED WITH ENERGY AND
IDEALISM AT OUR RALLIES ALL ACROSS AMERICA?
YOUNG VOTERS WILL CONTINUE SUPPORTING US AS
LONG AS WE OFFER THE WAY TO A BETTER FUTURE
AS LONG AS WE ARE THE CAN-DO PARTY WITH AN
AGENDA FOR AN OPPORTUNITY-FILLED TOMORROW
THE PARTY WITH A POSITIVE STRATEGY FOR
FREEDOM AND GROWTH.

TODAY THE REPUBLICAN PARTY IS THE PARTY OF THE OPEN DOOR. HERE IN CALIFORNIA, AS IN OTHER STATES, WE ARE REACHING OUT TO ASIANS/HISPANICS, AND BLACKS, AND IT IS MAKING A DIFFERENCE.

I KNOW, FOR EXAMPLE, THAT A BIG FACTOR IN
BOB DORNAN'S TIGHT RACE FOR CONGRESS WAS HIS
RECEIVING ALMOST 50 PERCENT OF THE HISPANIC
VOTE.

INROADS ARE BEING MADE IN THE ASIAN

COMMUNITY. THE OTHER PARTY LOOKS AT THESE

PEOPLE AND OTHERS AS ETHNIC GROUPS. WE LOOK

AT THEM AS AMERICANS. MORE AND MORE

DEMOCRATS ARE REALIZING THAT THEY HAVE MORE

IN COMMON WITH OUR GOALS THAN THOSE TARGETED

BY THE LEADERSHIP OF THEIR OWN PARTY.

TODAY WE ARE THE MAJOR POLITICAL FORCE
FOR CHANGE IN AMERICA. THAT'S EVIDENT BY
THE TERRIFIC JOB THAT GOVERNOR DEUKMEJIAN IS
DOING HERE IN CALIFORNIA. I HOPE ALL OF YOU
WILL DO YOUR BEST TO RE-ELECT HIM NEXT YEAR
AND TO SEND HIM A LEGISLATURE THAT HE CAN
WORK WITH. AND WHILE YOU'RE AT IT, WHY NOT
SEND ANOTHER REPUBLICAN FROM CALIFORNIA TO
THE UNITED STATES SENATE? I HEAR THERE ARE
QUITE A FEW PEOPLE INTERESTED IN THE JOB.

THERE IS NOTHING WRONG WITH THAT AND I'M SURE PRACTICALLY ANY ONE OF THEM WOULD BE AN IMPROVEMENT BUT MAY I MAKE A SUGGESTION? TWENTY YEARS AGO, OUR PARTY IN CALIFORNIA GAVE A PRICELESS GIFT TO REPUBLICANS EVERYWHERE - THE 11TH COMMANDMENT)-THOU SHALT NOT SPEAK ILL OF ANOTHER REPUBLICAN. HAVE A SPIRITED PRIMARY BUT DON'T CAMPAIGN AGAINST EACH OTHER. CAMPAIGN AGAINST THE INCUMBENT AND THEN STAND UNITED BEHIND OUR PARTY'S CHOICE. SEND US ANOTHER REPUBLICAN SENATOR. BY BEING HERE TONIGHT, YOU'RE CONTRIBUTING TO A REGISTRATION DRIVE THAT WILL GIVE GOVERNOR DEUKMEJIAN THE LEVERAGE HE NEEDS UP IN SACRAMENTO TO HELP US BUILD A NEW COALITION FOR FREEDOM AND OPPORTUNITY. THE OTHER SIDE WOULD LIKE TO BELIEVE OUR VICTORY LAST NOVEMBER WAS DUE TO SOMETHING OTHER I JUST HOPE THEY KEEP BELIEVING THAT.

THERE'S A CHANGE HAPPENING IN AMERICA.

REALIGNMENT IS REAL. WHAT THE AMERICAN

PEOPLE SEE IS THAT THE OTHER PARTY JUST

KEEPS GOING IN CIRCLES. OF COURSE, THAT'S

WHAT HAPPENS IF EVERY TIME THERE'S A

DECISION TO MAKE, YOU KEEP LEANING TO THE

LEFT.

IN CONTRAST, WE'RE GOING FORWARD,
WITH CONFIDENCE AND WITH GUSTO, AND WE'RE
NOT ABOUT TO STOP TILL WE GET THE JOB DONE.

I KNOW THAT SOME OF THE SAME PEOPLE WHO
THOUGHT WE'D BE CO-OPTED BY THE PERMANENT
GOVERNMENT WHEN WE GOT TO WASHINGTON A
LITTLE OVER 4-1/2 YEARS AGO, NOW THINK THAT
OUR SECOND TERM IS GOING TO BE LITTLE MORE
THAN A HOLDING ACTION. WELL, LET ME CLEAR
THAT UP. YES, WE'RE PROUD OF WHAT WE'VE
ACCOMPLISHED SO FAR BUT WE'VE GOT AN AGENDA
AND TO BORROW A PHRASE FROM THE CAMPAIGN.
YOU AIN'T SEEN NOTHING YET.

THIS FALL, WE ARE GOING TO CAMPAIGN FOR THE MOST EXTENSIVE TAX OVERHAUL THIS COUNTRY HAS HAD SINCE THE 1920'S. JIT WILL BRING DOWN THE RATES AND CLOSE THE LOOPHOLES. IT WILL BE FAIR. ONCE IN PLACE, IT WILL ENERGIZE OUR ECONOMY SPURRING INVESTMENT AND ENTERPRISE. PEOPLE WILL HAVE AN INCENTIVE TO CHANNEL THEIR RESOURCES INTO JOB-CREATING BUSINESS AND COMMERCIAL INVESTMENT RATHER THAN NONPRODUCTIVE SHELTERS AND TAX DODGES, WE ARE GOING TO TAKE OUR CASE DIRECTLY TO THE PEOPLE. IT'S A CHOICE BETWEEN THE SPECIAL INTEREST AND THE GENERAL INTEREST. THIS IS A BIG ONE, AND WITH YOUR HELP, WE'RE GOING TO WIN IT FOR AMERICA.

LOOKING TO THE FUTURE, WE ARE MOVING FORWARD WITH RESEARCH ON A PROJECT THAT OFFERS US A WAY OUT OF THE NUCLEAR DILEMMA THAT HAS CONFOUNDED MANKIND FOR FOUR DECADES.

THE STRATEGIC DEFENSE INITIATIVE RESEARCH
PROGRAM OFFERS US THE HOPE OF PROTECTING
OURSELVES AND OUR ALLIES FROM A NUCLEAR
BALLISTIC MISSILE ATTACK. THIS WILL PERMIT
US TO SHIFT OUR FOCUS TO SAVING LIVES RATHER
THAN AVENGING THEM. WE SEEK AN ANTI-NUCLEAR
SHIELD USING TECHNOLOGY TO MAKE US SAFER.
OUR SUCCESS WILL BE MEASURED BY THE NUMBER
OF PEOPLE WE CAN SAVE, NOT DESTROY.

WE KEEP HEARING FROM SOME SELF-DECLARED EXPERTS THAT OUR S.D.I. CONCEPT IS UNFEASIBLE AND A WASTE OF MONEY. WELL IF THAT'S TRUE, WHY ARE THE SOVIETS SO UPSET ABOUT IT? AS A MATTER OF FACT, WHY ARE THEY INVESTING SO MANY RUBLES OF THEIR OWN IN THE SAME TECHNOLOGIES?

ONE OF THE REASONS THE AMERICAN PEOPLE HAVE TURNED TO US IS THAT WE DO NOT WAIVER FROM OUR COMMITMENT TO DO WHAT IS NECESSARY TO KEEP OUR COUNTRY SAFE AND TO SECURE THE BLESSINGS OF LIBERTY FOR OUR CHILDREN AND OUR CHILDREN'S CHILDREN.

NOWHERE IS THIS CLEARER THAN IN CENTRAL

AMERICA. WE'VE TURNED AROUND A DESPERATE

SITUATION IN THESE LAST 4-1/2 YEARS. ONE OF

THE PROUDEST ACCOMPLISHMENTS OF THIS

ADMINISTRATION HAS HAPPENED SLOWLY AND

QUIETLY, WITH LITTLE RECOGNITION. WHEN WE

FIRST GOT TO WASHINGTON, THE QUESTION ON

EVERYONE'S LIPS WAS, WILL EL SALVADOR FALL

TO THE COMMUNISTS? TODAY THE QUESTION IS

WILL DEMOCRACY WIN IN NICARAGUA?

AND TOMORROW THE QUESTION WILL BE -
HOW SOON?

WE HAVE HELD FIRM. WE WILL CONTINUE TO DO WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE TO PROTECT OUR COUNTRY'S SECURITY AND HELP THE PEOPLE OF CENTRAL AMERICA BUILD FREE, PROSPEROUS, AND DEMOCRATIC COUNTRIES.

GRATEFUL. NANCY AND I HAVE SAID A FEW
PRAYERS OF THANKS IN THESE LAST FEW WEEKS.
BUT ALL OF US CAN BE THANKFUL THAT WE ARE
CITIZENS OF THIS BLESSED LAND. I WANT TO
THANK ALL OF YOU FOR WHAT YOU ARE DOING TO
KEEP IT THE GOOD AND DECENT LAND GOD
INTENDED HER TO BE. I'M SORRY WECAN'T SPEND
THE REST OF THE EVENING HERE BUT I
APPRECIATE THIS CHANCE TO BE WITH YOU.

JUST SEEING YOU HERE LIKE THIS MAKES ME SURE
WE WILL PRESERVE THIS LAST BEST HOPE OF MAN
ON EARTH.

THANK YOU AND GOD BLESS YOU.

The President has seen____

(Gilder/BE/PJB) 3436625C August 29, 1985 55 930 5:30 p.m.

PRESIDENTIAL RADIO TALK: FOOTWEAR IMPORTS
SATURDAY, AUGUST 31, 1985

My fellow Americans: Last Wednesday, I notified Congress of my decision not to impose either quotas or tariffs on foreign shoe imports into this country. I'd like to spend a few moments talking with you about that decision, because the case of shoe imports illustrates why so-called "protectionism" is almost always self-destructive, doing more harm than good even to those it is supposed to be helping.

Advocates of protectionism often ignore its huge, hidden costs — costs that far outweigh any temporary benefits. For instance, The Council of Economic Advisers estimated that the quotas on shoe imports that I turned down would have cost the American consumer nearly \$3 billion. And there are other costs.

The property quotas, it would have entitled our trading partners to another \$2 billion in compensation — or they almost certainly would have retaliated, slapping quotas or tariffs on the products we sell to them. That would mean an immediate and significant loss of American jobs, and a dangerous step down the road to a trade war. Also, if our trading partners can't sell their products here, they can't afford to buy our exports — and that means at a more lost jobs for Americans.

Protectionism almost always ends up making the protected industry not stronger, but weaker, and less able to compete against foreign imports. Between 1977 and 1981, U.S. footwear manufacturers received protection from foreign imports, but at

the end of that time, they were more vulnerable to foreign competition than before. In fast, Instead of "protectionism," is would be more accurate to call it "destructionism." As we have to call it "destruc

Peccently, The balance of trade has become a very emotional issue, with some claiming that our trade deficit has cost us millions of jobs. As a recult, Congress is awash in bills calling for trade sanctions and retaliation. bear this out. In 1980 we had a trade surplus and about 99 million Americans had jobs. Today we have a trade deficit, and almost 107 million Americans are working. In ther words, Despite a growing trade deficit, we've gained over 7-1/2 million new jobs since 1980. Our free, open, and growing economy has put more Americans to work in 1985 than ever before in our history. -In fact, We've created for more jobs in the last 3 years than both Europe and Japan combined. The surest way to destroy those jobs and throw Americans out of work is to start a trade war. forget that one of the first victims of a protectionist trade war will be America's farmers, who have it tough enough already.

In the paper the other day at said, "Protectionist fervor on the Hill is stronger than it has been since the 1930s . . ."

Some of us remember the 1930s when the most destructive trade bill in history, the Smoot-Hawley Tariff Act, helped plunge this Nation, and the world, into a decade of depression and despair that helped presipitate and the protection are ignoring the clear lessons of history.

From now on, I myoing to call these and bill what they are depression makers. If the ghost of Smoot-Hawley rears its ugly head in Congress; if Congress crafts a depression-making bill, I'll fight it. Whether it's tax, tegislation or trade legislation or farm legislation that comes across my desk, my primary consideration will be the conds with the politics of the moment but whether it is in the long run economic interest of the United States. That will be our guiding star. And any tax hike or spending bill or protectionist legislation that doesn't meet the test of whether it advances BF OPPOSED.

America's prosperity will control of the specific opposition.

America is getting stronger, not weaker. Our 23-percent tax rate cuts have given us 2-1/2 years of economic expansion, a dramatic increase in after-tax personal income and the most dramatic drop in poverty in added.

America forward with growth, not retreat into the failed policies of the past, whether they be protectionism or higher taxes. Let's go forward with America's fair share tax plan, by cutting income tax rates again and building opportunity. On Monday we'll be crichrating America's working men and women. We've created over 7 million jobs in the last 4-1/2 years. On this Labor Day, 1995, let's challenge ourselves to create

10 million more in the next 4. To do that we're going to have to which be courageous, hopeful, hard working, and proud -- F guess that pretty well sums up what it means to be an American. But there is one quality I left out: Prich, faith in the loving God who has so blessed out the analysis will continue to guide us on the optimistic course we have set. Enjoy your Labor Day holiday.

Until next week then, thanks for listening and God bless you.

DRAFT WITH CHANGES AFTER RR

FINAL VENSION

(Noonan/Reagan) SC 984 August 30, 1985 3:30 p.m.

PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: SANTA-CALI-GON DAYS CELEBRATION

INDEPENDENCE, MISSOURI MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 2, 1985

Governor Ashcroft, Mayor Potts and distinguished guests, thank you very much. It's wonderful to be in Independence. I'm especially pleased to be here for the 13th annual Santa-Cali-Gon Days celebration.

I was born in the Midwest some time back. Not that long ago. There's no truth to the rumor I waved goodbye to the Donner Party. But the trails we remember today were still being used up until around the turn of the century, and when I was born, stories of the pioneers and what they went through were still close to our experience. The spirit that won the West is still, in my opinion, very much with us in this country -- and that's good; we have great challenges ahead of us, and we're going to need it.

Now this is the first time I've really been out on the stump since I was in the hospital, and I missed it. I even miss hecklers. (Oh there you are. Hi, how are you?)

I am very happy to be in Truman Country on this Labor Day.

I want to talk about tax reform, and I wanted to be here with you working men and women who work hard to support yourselves and your families with your weekly paychecks. You're always there when your neighbors need help, when the community needs help.

It's the working men and women of America who pay the taxes, foot the bills, and make the sacrifices that keep this country going.

And I'm here to talk to you about a long-overdue change in our tax laws -- a change aimed at benefitting you.

Now, tax reform has its enemies, especially among the people who have a vested interest in the status quo -- "status quo", that's a Latin name for the mess our tax structure is in. Those vested interests just hate it when we talk about reform, and they loved it when they thought I was laid up and out of action.

Well...I'm back, and rarin' to go, up for the battle -- that has only just begun. In fact, when I think of all the good people who've pleaded with the Federal Government for years to clean up our tax structure, I'm reminded of a recent movie. And in the spirit of "Rambo", let me tell you: we're gonna win this time.

I've been thinking about ways to sum up exactly how disgusted I am by our current tax structure. And I read a little story Harry Truman once told when he rejected a bit of bad policy. He vetoed a bill, and said, "I intended to veto it all along. In fact, I feel like the blacksmith on the Missouri jury." The judge asked if he was prejudiced against the defendant. He said, "Oh no, Judge, I think we ought to give the bum a fair trial first and then string him up."

Well, let me tell you why we ought to take our current tax system out and string it up. It's been tried and found unfair, unworkable, and unproductive. It is a system that yields great amounts of revenues, but even greater amounts of discontent, disorder and disobedience.

Our current tax system is anti-family: it gives a measly little deduction of just over a thousand dollars for each dependent. Our current system is an assault on personal improvement and effort: it taxes you at such rapidly increasing rates when you work overtime that the harder you work, the smaller the share of your income you take home.

Our current tax law is anti-growth: it discourages enterprise, and it discourages productive investment. Our current tax law is unfair: it clobbers people who don't have a team of legal advisors and accountants to look after their interests. It's supposed to be quote, progressive -- meaning the highest earners are supposed to pay a greater percentage of their earnings than, say, the typical middle-class family. But does it work out that way?

Recently the Treasury Department completed a study on the taxes paid by those in the top brackets. It was not a pretty sight. True, nearly half paid the heavy tax, but a sizeable number took advantage of the so-called loopholes and tax shelters.

In the year 1983 there were 260,000 persons who had incomes from all sources of a quarter of a million dollars a year or more. Almost 30,000 of them paid virtually nothing at all.

There were 28,000 people who made a million dollars or more in 1983. More than 900 of them didn't pay a dime in taxes and 3,000 of them paid less than 5 percent of their million dollar plus incomes.

Treasury added it all up. And they found that 17,000 taxpayers whose income was anywhere from a quarter million dollars a year to millions of dollars a year -- paid taxes of less than \$6,272 apiece. Now it so happens \$6,272 is just about the tax paid by a family of four with two workers and an income of \$45,000 a year. Now undoubtedly some of these high income people had legitimate losses from bad investments, legal decisions in lawsuits, etc. But for a great many it was simply the opportunities provided in the present tax law with all its complexities, its shelters to hide in, loopholes to get lost in, the legal scams that are worked by people who don't want to pay their fair share. And the middle class gets stuck paying most of the bills.

We all agree that the current system is bad. But we've never quite reformed it. Why? One reason is a good healthy skepticism on the part of our people. They have heard too many promises by too many politicians about how their lives are going to be made better. They have been hurt too many times by elected officials who promised better and delivered worse.

Maybe another reason we haven't changed the tax structure is that in a democracy like ours, it's hard for us to get worked up and united over something unless it's truly dramatic like a sensational murder. Well our tax is not a sensational murder — it's more like a daily mugging and we've learned to live with it.

But another and maybe the biggest reason we haven't changed the tax structure is that change has been resisted at every point -- and is being resisted today -- by vested interests,

those who profit from the status quo. Organizations that enjoy special tax advantages -- special interests and various professionals who are doing just fine, thank you, under the present unfair system.

There's a whole slew of people and lobbying groups who share a kind of Self Righteous Self Interest. They're well-represented in Washington, and they're not dumb. They never say, "We're against tax reform because we're in fat city." Their favorite word is "but." They say, "I like it, but...we can't lose State and local tax deductions." They say, "I like it, but...I don't like the capital gains part." Well all I can say to the "I-like-it-butts" is: our tax reform bill isn't for the special interests, it's for the general interest.

And I'm here to declare to the special interests something they already know, and something they hope you won't find out:

Our fair share tax program is a good deal for the American people and a big step toward economic power for people who've been denied power for generations.

We have just received a report from Congress, from the House of Representatives, which is controlled as you know by the Democratic Party. The House Select Committee on Children, Youth and Families has called our tax reform plan the most "pro-family" of all the tax proposals before Congress. They say it is fair to low-income working families, fair to large families, single-parent families and average-income families. That sounds like a pretty fair appraisal and it comes from the Democrat majority -- not my own party.

Under our plan middle-class earners will be helped by an increase in the personal exemption from the present \$1,040 a year to a more reasonable \$2,000. Take a family of four, that means \$8,000 is untaxed because of exemptions, and another \$4,000 is untaxed because of the standard deduction, which we are raising to \$4,000. So in all, that family of four will find the first \$12,000 it takes in won't be taxed at all.

We're going to keep the itemized deductions that speak directly to how Americans live their lives -- the mortgage interest deduction on the house you live in, and a deduction on charitable contributions and medical expenses. But silly or unproductive tax shelters will be eliminated in our tax reform.

Another reason for tax reform. Even as we clean up the current system, we will be lowering rates for the vast majority of Americans. Lower rates will mean more money stays with you, in your hands. More money for savings, more money for investment and economic growth. For each added dollar you earn up to \$29,000 of taxable income you get to keep 85 cents. Above \$29,000 and up to \$70,000 you keep 75 cents out of each dollar and above \$70,000 you keep 65 cents of every additional dollar.

The highest percentage of tax cut goes to those who earn \$20,000 a year or less. They get a cut of 18 percent. The next biggest cut goes to those who earn \$20,000 to \$50,000. They get a tax cut of 7 percent. The lowest tax cut goes to those who make \$50,000 or more -- they get a cut of just less than 6 percent.

We think this is quite an improvement over the present complicated 14 tax brackets. Still it retains, as you can see, some of the progressive feature(s) of the present system.

But all this means an America bursting with economic opportunity, an America rolling out new jobs the way we used to roll Model T's off the assembly line. And all of that means a better chance for our kids, and a first chance for those who've been denied economic power for much too long.

If our fair share tax plan didn't bear within it the promise of more justice, more equity for every American, I would never support it. A few moments back I mentioned American's skepticism about politicians. When I did I have a hunch you said to yourself -- "look who's talking."

It's true I've been in public office for more than a dozen years now with roughly 3 years and 4 months to go -- the Lord willing.

Since the Constitution limits a President to only two terms there are no more elections for me, and, therefore, no need for political considerations in any decision I'm called on to make. Like you I'll be living with everything we do in these next few years here in Washington. That's why I want tax reform for all of us.

Will you write your Congresswoman or Congressman? Will you write your Senators? Please tell them one thing. Tell them to Go For It. If you do, I promise you: We're going to win this time.

Thank you, God bless you. And may I just add quickly here. As I said, I've been thinking a lot about Harry Truman. I remember when he took a step toward targeting tax reductions to help the poor and those in lower income groups. I'm proud to be talking about this good deal in the home of the father of the Fair Deal. I just figure we're taking another step toward financial independence in Independence. I think Harry would be pleased.

Thank you all.

(Noonan/PJB)
August 28, 1985
4:00 p.m.

PRESIDENTIAL REMARKS: SANTA-CALI-GON DAYS CELEBRATION INDEPENDENCE, MISSOURI MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 2, 1985

Thank you very much. It's wonderful to be in Independence.

I'm especially pleased to be here for the 13th annual

Santa-Cali-Gon Days celebration.

I was born in the Midwest some time back. Not that long ago. There's no truth to the rumor I waved goodbye to the Donner Party. But the trails we remember today were still being used up until around the turn of the century, and when I was born the stockes takes of the pioneers and what they went through were still close to our experience. The spirit that won the West is still, in my opinion, very much with us in this country — and that's here, because we have great challenges ahead of us, and we're going to need it.

Now this is the first time I've really been out on the stump since I was in the hospital, and I missed it. I miss my hecklers. (Oh there you are. Hi, how are you?)

Day. I want to talk about tax reform, and I wanted to be here with the working men and women of America. You work hard from living, you support yourselves and your families with your weekly paychecks, and you're always there when your neighbors need help, when the community needs help, when the library needs a fundraiser. It's the working men and women of America who pay the taxes, who foot the bills, who make the sacrifices that keep this country going. And I'm here to talk to you about a

long-overdue change in our tax laws -- a change♠ aimed at benefitting you.

Now, tax reform has its enemies, especially among the people status Quo - THATS A LATIN NAME FOR who have a vested interest in the status Quo The just have it when have a vested interest in the status Quo The just have it when we talk about reform, and they loved it when has reating THEY THOUGHT I WAS LAID UP FOUT OF ACTION,

THAT and the battle has only just begun. In fact, when I think of all the good people who've pleaded with the Federal Government for years to clean up our tax structure, I'm reminded of a recent movie. And in the spirit of "Rambo", let me tell you: We're gonna win this time.

disgusted I am by our current tax structure. And I read a little story Harry Truman once told when he rejected a bit of bad policy. One day he vetoed a bill, and he said, "I intended to veto it all along. In fact, I feel like the blacksmith on the Missouri jury. The judge asked if he was prejudiced against the defendant. 'Oh no, Judge,' he said 'I think we ought to give him a fair trial. Then I think we ought to give him a fair trial. Then I think we still take Ither than the said of the said

Well, let me tell you why we ought to take our current tax system out and string it up. TRIED + FOUND unproductive. It is a system that yields great amounts of revenues, but even greater amounts of discontent, disorder and disobedience.

Our current tax system is anti-family: it gives a measly little deduction of just over a thousand dollars for each dependent. Our current system is an assault on personal improvement and effort: it taxes you at such rapidly increasing rates when you work overtime that the harder you work, the smaller the share of your income you take home.

Our current tax law is anti-growth: it discourages enterprise, and it discourages productive investment. Our current tax law is unfair: it clobbers people who don't have the county of the county of

Recently the Treasury Department completed a study on the THOSE IN THE TOP BRACKETS.

taxes paid by the They got the facts and gave us the

picture. It was not a pretty sight. TRUE THE MAJORITY PAD THE HEAVY TAX, BUT A SIZEAGE NUMBER TOOK AD VANTAGE OF THE SO CALLED LOOP HOLES + TAX SHELTERS. In the year 1983 there were 260,000 persons who had incomes from all sources of a quarter of a million dollars a year or more. Less than half paid 20 percent or more of this income in taxes. The rest? A lot of them paid a pittance. Almost 30,000 of them paid virtually nothing at all. Let me ask you: do you think that's fair?

There were 28,000 people who made a million dollars or more in 1983. More than 900 of them didn't pay a dime in taxes. Do .

You hink that's Fair? There were 3,000 of them who paid less than 5 percent of De you think that's Fair?

Treasury added it all up. And they found that

17,000 taxpayers whose income was anywhere from a quarter million

dollars a year to millions and millions of dollars a year -
17,000 of these people paid taxes of less than \$6,272 apiece. New 17 50

HAPPENS

WITH AN INCOME

TYPICAL FEORLE HAD LEGIMMATE LOSSES FROM BAD INVESTMENTS, LEGAL DECISIONS IN LAWSUITS, ETC.

BUT FOR A GREATMANY IT WAS SIMPLY THE OPPORTUNITYS PROVIDED IN THE PRESENT TAX LAW.

ALL STORM LOSSES FROM BAD INVESTMENTS, LEGAL DECISIONS IN THE PRESENT TAX LAW.

BUT FOR A GREATMANY IT WAS SIMPLY THE OPPORTUNITYS PROVIDED IN THE PRESENT TAX LAW.

ALL STORM LOSSES FROM BAD INVESTMENTS, LEGAL DECISIONS IN THE PRESENT TAX LAW.

BUT FOR A GREATMANY IT WAS SIMPLY THE OPPORTUNITYS PROVIDED IN THE PRESENT TAX LAW.

ALL STORM LOSSES THE OPPORTUNITY PROVIDED IN THE PRESENT TAX LAW.

BUT FOR A GREATMANY IT WAS SIMPLY THE OPPORTUNITY PROVIDED IN THE PRESENT TAX LAW.

ALL STORM LOSSES THE OPPORTUNITY PROVIDED IN THE PRESENT TAX LAW.

BUT FOR A GREATMANY IT WAS SIMPLY THE OPPORTUNITY PROVIDED IN THE PRESENT TAX LAW.

ALL STORM LOSSES THE OPPORTUNITY PROVIDED IN THE PRESENT TAX LAW.

BUT FOR A GREATMANY IT WAS SIMPLY THE OPPORTUNITY PROVIDED IN THE PRESENT TAX LAW.

ALL STORM LOSSES THE OPPORTUNITY PROVIDED IN THE PRESENT TAX LAW.

BUT FOR A GREATMANY IT WAS SIMPLY THE OPPORTUNITY PROVIDED IN THE PRESENT TAX LAW.

AND THE PROVIDED IN THE PROVIDED IN

We all agree that the current system is bad. But we've never quite reformed it. Why? One reason is good. There is, built the the immerican temperament, a good healthy skepticism for the PARY of our People. They Have Heard too Many Promises 134 Too Many Politicians Promise to mate their lines botter, and About How their Lives ARE Going to Be Made Better. Apreled they not and listed patiently and think: How they gooms hurt me new? And this isn't unreasonable. The American people have been too Many times by elected officials who promised better and delivered worse.

in a specific democracy like ours it's hard for us to unitebover

SOMETHING UNLESS IT'S TRULY DRAHATIC LIKE A SENSATIONAL MURDER. WELL OUT TAX

anything but the most dramatic circumstances. And our current

15 Not A Sensational Murder—it's more like a

daily mugging ANDWe've learned to live with it.

But the reason we haven't changed the tax structure is that change has been resisted at every point -- and is being resisted today -- by the vested interests, the people who profit

advantages -- special interests, various professionals who are

THE PRESENT UNFAIR

doing just fine, thank you, under system. that is einking the

middle class. There's a whole slew of people and lobbying groups
who share a kind of Self Righteous Self Interest. They're all

well-represented in Washington, and they're not dumb. They never,
say, "We're against tax reform because we're in fat city". They

say, "I like it, but...we can't lose State and local

deductibility." (a), "I like it, but...I don't like the capital

gains part." As all I can say to the "Ilikeitbutts" is: our

tax reform bill isn't for the special interests, it's for the

general interest.

And I'm here to declare to the special interests what they already know, and what they hope you won't find out: Our fair share tax program is a good deal for the American people and a big step toward economic power for people who've been denied power for generations.

We have just received a report from Congress, from the of REPRESENTATIVES.

House, which is controlled as you know by the Democratic Party.

The House Select Committee on Children, Youth and Families has called our tax reform plan the most "pro-family" of the proposals before Congress. They say it is fair to low-income working families, fair to large families, single-parent families, and average-income families. That sounds like a pretty fair appraisal to the cones from the DEM. MAJORITY -NOT MY OWN PARTY.

Under our plan the middle class will be helped by the THE PRESENT 1040

The Honorable Ronald Wilson Reagan President of the United States

Anset 1-1.6

TO 29,000 YOU GETTO KEEP 854.

ABOVE 29,000 YOU GETTO KEEP 854.

ABOVE 29,000 YOU GETTO KEEP 854.

ABOVE 29,000 YOU KEEP

75¢ OUT OF EACH DOWAR & ABOVE 70,000

YOU KEEP 65¢ OF EVERY ADDITIONAL DOWAR.

THE HIGHEST PERCENTAGE OF TAX CUT IS IN

THE LOWER 15% TAX BRACKET , THE NEXT

HIGHEST IN THE 25% BRACKET , AND THE

LOWEST IN THE TOP 35% BRACKET .

WE THINK THIS IS QUITE AN IMPROVEMENT

OUER THE PRESENT COMPLICATED 14 TAX

13 RACKETS. STILL IT RETAINS, AS YOU CAN

SEE, SOME OF THE PROGRESSIVE FEATURE OF

THE PRESENT SYSTEM.

* - Put in pascentige grigues. Transvery has them.

President of the United States Donasert 2-P.6 benethern & soul streems med & americans skapticism almit the politicians in general. denied a such to beech med W and doed - glewing at biod my talking. It's true d'ine bren in presió affers for more than a dozen years more grant was A Divid To the Property of Property of the Pro SWITH roughly 3 months to go - the Lord willing. The Constitution Day Thought for your Since the Constitution limits a President to oney two terms there are no more elections for me and Therefore no need ton the political Considerations in any decision I'm colled on to make. Sike you I've be leving with yet every thing me der im These mext feur years here in Wash. That's why I want try reform for all of us.

The Honorable Ronald Wilson Reagan

of four, that means \$8,000 is untaxed because of exemptions, and another \$4,000 is untaxed because of the standard deduction, which we are raising to \$4,000. So in all, that family of four will find the first \$12,000 it takes in won't be taxed at all.

We're going to keep the itemized deductions that speak directly to how Americans live their lives -- the mortgage interest deduction on the house you live in, and a deduction on charitable contributions and medical expenses. But the silly and unproductive tax shelters from windmill forms to chinchilla ranches they're out they're some.

the current system we will be lowering rates for the vast majority of Americans. Lower rates will mean more money stays with you, in your hands. More money for savings, more money for investment and economic growth. The transfer expanding of the assembly line. And what loes all that mean? It means a better chance for wear kids, and a first chance for those who've been denied economic power for much too long.

I spoke before of the healthy skepticism of the American people, and I respect it, but I tell you today: If our fair share tax plan didn't bear within it the promise of more justice, more equity for every American I would never support it. You can view me as a politician and that's fine with me and all I'll add is that I've reached the height of American politics and there

Insert 2-P.6

are no more campaigns for me, no more offices to be won. A second term President is about the only animal in the political jungle who can afford to do nothing but look out for the interests of the American people. And that's what I'm doing.

Congressman? Will you write your Congresswoman or Congressman? Will you write your Senators? Please tell them one thing. Tell them to Go For It. If you do, I promise you: We're going To going To

Thank you, God bless you. And may I just add quickly here.

As I said, I've been thinking a lot about Harry Truman. I remember when he took a step toward targeting tax reductions to help the poor and those in lower income groups. I'm proud to letak about this good deal in the home of the father of the Fair Deal. I just figure we're taking another step toward financial independence in Independence. I think here be pleased.

Thank you all.