

Ronald Reagan Presidential Library

Digital Library Collections

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual collections.

Collection: White House Office of Records Management:
Presidential Handwriting File, 1981-1989 (COPY SET)

Series II: Presidential Records

Folder Title: Folder 49 (08/20/1982-08/26/1982)

Box: 4

To see more digitized collections visit:

<https://www.reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digitized-textual-material>

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library Inventories, visit:

<https://www.reaganlibrary.gov/archives/white-house-inventories>

Contact a reference archivist at: **reagan.library@nara.gov**

Citation Guidelines: <https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/research-support/citation-guide>

National Archives Catalogue: <https://catalog.archives.gov/>

Last Updated: 06/20/2025

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

August 20, 1982

Dear Robert:

I appreciate your taking the time and trouble to wire me regarding the tax bill and giving me a chance to respond.

There has been a continued drum beat in the press and much lobbying by various special interest groups all of which has created some false perceptions about this tax proposal. In the first place, we didn't set out to raise people's taxes when we submitted our 1983 budget to the Congress. The simple fact is, the Congress refused to consider further spending cuts unless we agreed to increase government revenues. Their first proposals would have had us eliminate next year's income tax cut and the indexing of income tax brackets.

We instead sought to improve compliance and one-third of the present tax bill is not new tax but simply the collection of tax that is now not being paid by those who practice tax evasion. Almost half is the shutting off of unintended tax advantages a few were able to take by way of technical loopholes in the tax laws. Much of this was in parts of our tax reduction of last year that were added by Congress and which had not been part of our original proposal.

Last, but most important, this is not really a tax increase at all. It is an adjustment of our tax cut which includes further cuts next year.

Over the next three years this so-called \$99 billion increase will be more than matched by a \$435 billion tax reduction for a net savings to the taxpayer of \$336 billion. In addition, there will be spending cuts in the three years of \$3 for every \$1 of the \$99 billion in the tax measure.

I hope this will be of some reassurance to you.

Sincerely,

Ronald Reagan

Robert De Coe
146 Brown Road
Mayville, Michigan 48744

R DE COE
146 BROWN RD
MAYVILLE MI 48744



1-065778S228 08/16/82 ICS IPMBNGZ CSP WXS
5178436588 MGM TDBN MAYVILLE MI 85 08-16 0656P EST

PRESIDENT REAGAN
WHITE HOUSE DC 20500

DEAR PRESIDENT REAGAN:

CONGRATULATIONS ON YOUR REPUBLICAN IDIOLOGY. OR SHOULD I SAY REAGAN
ECONOMICS TAX BILL. I AM VERY PLEASED TO SEE YOU ARE GOING TO SEE TO
IT THAT THE LITTLE WAITRESS OR WAITER MAKING \$2.00 PER HOUR IS
FINALLY GOING TO PAY THEIR FAIR SHARE. NOW IF YOU WILL KINDLY BE AS
DILLIGENT WHEN IT COMES TO THE OIL DEPRECIATION LOOPHOLES I MIGHT BE
ABLE TO STOP LAUGHING AT YOU.

SINCERELY YOURS,
ROBERT DE COE
MAYVILLE, MICHIGAN

18:55 EST

MGMCCMP

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

August 20, 1982

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Pogge:

I appreciate your taking the time and trouble to wire me regarding the tax bill and giving me a chance to respond.

There has been a continued drum beat in the press and much lobbying by various special interest groups all of which has created some false perceptions about this tax proposal. In the first place, we didn't set out to raise people's taxes when we submitted our 1983 budget to the Congress. The simple fact is, the Congress refused to consider further spending cuts unless we agreed to increase government revenues. Their first proposals would have had us eliminate next year's income tax cut and the indexing of income tax brackets.

We instead sought to improve compliance and one-third of the present tax bill is not new tax but simply the collection of tax that is now not being paid by those who practice tax evasion. Almost half is the shutting off of unintended tax advantages a few were able to take by way of technical loopholes in the tax laws. Much of this was in parts of our tax reduction of last year that were added by Congress and which had not been part of our original proposal.

Last, but most important, this is not really a tax increase at all. It is an adjustment of our tax cut which includes further cuts next year.

Over the next three years this so-called \$99 billion increase will be more than matched by a \$435 billion tax reduction for a net savings to the taxpayer of \$336 billion. In addition, there will be spending cuts in the three years of \$3 for every \$1 of the \$99 billion in the tax measure.

I hope this will be of some reassurance to you.

Sincerely,

Ronald Reagan

Mr. and Mrs. Franklin W. Pogge
6719 North Hickory
Kansas City, Missouri 64118

Can

1982 AUG 16 PM 9 37
WHB125(2029)(4-070377S228)PD 08/16/82 2006
ICS IPMBNGZ CSP
8164363517 POM TDBN KANSAS CITY MO 78 08-16 0806P EST
PMS PRESIDENT RONALD REAGAN
WHITE HOUSE DC

MR PRESIDENT,
WE HAVE SUPPORTED YOUR ADMINISTRATION, WE REALIZE THE PROBLEMS THAT
WOULD BE INCURRED FOR US TO BRING OUR COUNTRY INTO FISCAL
RESPONSIBILITY. WE CANNOT SUPPORT THE TAX "REFORM" BILL NOW UNDER
CONSIDERATION BY THE HOUSE AND SENATE. WE SERIOUSLY QUESTION THE
CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE MANNER IN WHICH THE BILL WAS DEVELOPED. TAX
LEVIES MUST COME FROM THE HOUSE. WE WOULD LIKE FOR YOU TO SERIOUSLY
RECONSIDER YOUR ADMINISTRATIONS POSITION AND SERIOUSLY EXERCISING
YOUR RIGHT OF VETO.

MR AND MRS FRANKLIN W POGGE
6719 NORTH HICKORY
KANSAS CITY MO 64118
NNNN

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

August 20, 1982

Dear Mr. and Mrs. McCabe:

I appreciate your taking the time and trouble to wire me regarding the tax bill and giving me a chance to respond.

There has been a continued drum beat in the press and much lobbying by various special interest groups all of which has created some false perceptions about this tax proposal. In the first place, we didn't set out to raise people's taxes when we submitted our 1983 budget to the Congress. The simple fact is, the Congress refused to consider further spending cuts unless we agreed to increase government revenues. Their first proposals would have had us eliminate next year's income tax cut and the indexing of income tax brackets.

We instead sought to improve compliance and one-third of the present tax bill is not new tax but simply the collection of tax that is now not being paid by those who practice tax evasion. Almost half is the shutting off of unintended tax advantages a few were able to take by way of technical loopholes in the tax laws. Much of this was in parts of our tax reduction of last year that were added by Congress and which had not been part of our original proposal.

Last, but most important, this is not really a tax increase at all. It is an adjustment of our tax cut which includes further cuts next year.

Over the next three years this so-called \$99 billion increase will be more than matched by a \$435 billion tax reduction for a net savings to the taxpayer of \$336 billion. In addition, there will be spending cuts in the three years of \$3 for every \$1 of the \$99 billion in the tax measure.

I hope this will be of some reassurance to you.

Sincerely,

Ronald Reagan

Mr. and Mrs. Herb McCabe
Route 2, Box 147A
Gooding, Idaho 83330

White House
Washington

WHC110(2015)(4-069589S228)PD 08/16/82 1982 AUG 16 PM 9 18
ICS IPMRNCZ CSP

2089345837 POM TDRN GOODING ID 13 08-16 0754P EST

PMS PRESIDENT RONALD REAGAN

WHITE HOUSE DC

YOU PROMISED US A CUT IN GOVERNMENT SPENDING. A SUBSTITUTE IS NOT
ACCEPTABLE.

HERB AND SANDY MCCABE

ROUTE 2 BOX 147A

GOODING ID 83330

NNNN

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

August 20, 1982

Dear Mr. Elomquist:

I appreciate your taking the time and trouble to wire me regarding the tax bill and giving me a chance to respond.

There has been a continued drum beat in the press and much lobbying by various special interest groups all of which has created some false perceptions about this tax proposal. In the first place, we didn't set out to raise people's taxes when we submitted our 1983 budget to the Congress. The simple fact is, the Congress refused to consider further spending cuts unless we agreed to increase government revenues. Their first proposals would have had us eliminate next year's income tax cut and the indexing of income tax brackets.

We instead sought to improve compliance and one-third of the present tax bill is not new tax but simply the collection of tax that is now not being paid by those who practice tax evasion. Almost half is the shutting off of unintended tax advantages a few were able to take by way of technical loopholes in the tax laws. Much of this was in parts of our tax reduction of last year that were added by Congress and which had not been part of our original proposal.

Last, but most important, this is not really a tax increase at all. It is an adjustment of our tax cut which includes further cuts next year.

Over the next three years this so-called \$99 billion increase will be more than matched by a \$435 billion tax reduction for a net savings to the taxpayer of \$336 billion. In addition, there will be spending cuts in the three years of \$3 for every \$1 of the \$99 billion in the tax measure.

I hope this will be of some reassurance to you.

Sincerely,

Ronald Reagan

Mr. Bill Elomquist
10322 Green Creek Drive
Houston, Texas 77070

WHC001(0001)(4-0001875229)PD 08/17/82 0002

1982 AUG 17 AM 6 56

ICS IPMBNGZ CSP

7134698339 TDBN HOUSTON TX 33 08-17 1202A EST

PMS PRESIDENT RONALD REAGAN RPT DLY MGM, DLR, DLR

WHITE HOUSE DC

MR PRESIDENT: SORRY WE CAN NOT SUPPORT YOUR CURRENT TAX BILL WE BACK
REPRESENTATIVE KEMP BALANCE THE BUDGET REALISTICALLY BY BRING
EXPENDITURES IN LINE WITH THE INCOME NOT WITH INCOME TO MATCH
EXPENDITURES.

BILL ELOMQUIST

10322 GREEN CREEK DR

HOUSTON TX 77070

NNNN

099549
FI010-02

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

August 20, 1982

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Diamonds:

I appreciate your taking the time and trouble to wire me regarding the tax bill and giving me a chance to respond.

There has been a continued drum beat in the press and much lobbying by various special interest groups all of which has created some false perceptions about this tax proposal. In the first place, we didn't set out to raise people's taxes when we submitted our 1983 budget to the Congress. The simple fact is, the Congress refused to consider further spending cuts unless we agreed to increase government revenues. Their first proposals would have had us eliminate next year's income tax cut and the indexing of income tax brackets.

We instead sought to improve compliance and one-third of the present tax bill is not new tax but simply the collection of tax that is now not being paid by those who practice tax evasion. Almost half is the shutting off of unintended tax advantages a few were able to take by way of technical loopholes in the tax laws. Much of this was in parts of our tax reduction of last year that were added by Congress and which had not been part of our original proposal.

Last, but most important, this is not really a tax increase at all. It is an adjustment of our tax cut which includes further cuts next year.

Over the next three years this so-called \$99 billion increase will be more than matched by a \$435 billion tax reduction for a net savings to the taxpayer of \$336 billion. In addition, there will be spending cuts in the three years of \$3 for every \$1 of the \$99 billion in the tax measure.

I hope this will be of some reassurance to you.

Sincerely,

Ronald Reagan

Mr. and Mrs. Arthur Diamonds
2726 Erskine Boulevard
South Bend, Indiana 46614

WHB077(1946)(4-068061S228)PD 08/16/82 1937 AUG 16 PM 8 49

ICS IPMBNGZ CSP

2192884127 POM TDBN SOUTHBEND IN 18 08-16 0737P EST

PMS PRESIDENT RONALD REAGAN

WHITE HOUSE DC

WE BACKED YOU 100 PERCENT UP TO NOW BUT WE PART COMPANY HERE. CUT
SPENDING. DON'T RAISE TAXES.

ARTHUR, DAGNY & ERIC DIAMONDS

2726 ERSKINE BLVD

SOUTHBEND IN 46614

NNNN

(A)

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

August 20, 1982

(Santa Barbara)
(Circled)

people's

Dear Robert:

I appreciate your taking the time and trouble to wire me regarding the tax bill and giving me a chance to respond.

There has been a continued drum beat in the press and much lobbying by various special interest groups all of which has created some false perceptions about this tax proposal. In the first place, we didn't set out to raise taxes when we submitted our 1983 budget to the Congress. The simple fact is, the Congress refused to consider further spending cuts unless we agreed to increase government revenues. Their first proposals would have had us eliminate next year's income tax cut and the indexing of income tax brackets. ✓

We instead sought to improve compliance and one-third of the present tax bill is not new tax but simply the collection of tax that is now not being paid by those who practice tax evasion. Almost half is the shutting off of unintended tax advantages a few were able to take by way of technical loopholes in the tax laws. Much of this was in parts of our tax reduction of last year that were added ~~on~~ by Congress and which had not been part of our original proposal. ✓

Last ~~of~~ but most important, this is not really a tax increase at all. It is an adjustment of our tax cut which includes further cuts next year. ✓

Page Two

A

Over the next 3 years this so-called \$99 billion increase will be more than matched by a \$435 billion tax reduction for a net savings to the taxpayer of \$336 billion. In addition, there will be spending cuts in the 3 years of \$3 for every one of the \$99 billion in the tax measure.

I hope this will be of some reassurance to you.

Sincerely,

Robert De Coe
146 Brown Rd
Mayville, MI 48744

(A)

August 21, 1982

Mr. and Mrs. Franklin W. Pogge
6719 North Hickory
Kansas City, MO 64118

Herb and Sandy McCabe
Route 2, Box 147A
Gooding, Idaho 83330

Bill Elomquist.
10322 Green Creek Drive
Houston, Texas 77070

Arthur, Dagny & Eric Diamonds
2726 Erskine Blvd.
Southbend, Indiana 46614

Do all
these

W.
notation
"Santa Barbara"

Sara/San

Lend item (A)

to these
people, too.

DD

Make this an answer to each of the attached wires.

Dear —

I appreciate your ~~taking~~ ^{taking} the time & trouble to ~~wire~~ ^{wire} me regarding the tax bill and giving me a chance to respond.

~~I realize~~ There has been a continued drum beat in the press and much lobbying by various special interest groups all of which has created some false perceptions about this tax proposal. In the first place we didn't set out to raise taxes when we submitted our 1983 budget ~~bill~~ to the Congress. The simple fact is, the Congress refused to consider further spending cuts unless we agreed to ~~increase~~ ^{increase} govt. revenues. Their first proposals would have had us eliminate next years income tax cut and the indexing of income tax brackets, ~~which may have been out to~~

We instead sought to improve compliance and one third of the present tax bill is not new tax but simply the collection of tax that is now not being paid by those who practice tax evasion. Almost half is the shutting off of unintended tax advantages a few were able to take by way of technical loopholes in the tax laws.

Much of this was in fact of our tax reduction of last year that were added on by Congress and which had not been part of our original proposal.

Last — but most important, this is not really a tax increase at all. It is an adjustment to our tax cut which includes further cuts next year. Over the next 3 years this ~~so called~~ ^{99 bil.} increase ~~will be more than matched~~ ^{will be more than matched} ~~with the reduction of 335 bil. over & above the tax increase.~~ By a "435 bil. Tax reduction for a net savings to the taxpayer of 336 bil. In addition there will be spending cuts in the 3 yrs. of 3 for each of the 99 bil. in the tax measure.

I hope this will be of some reassurance to you.

Samuel R. N.

End
case
File

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

August 20², 1982

Dear Mr. Jakubczyk:

I'm sorry to be so late in replying to your letter of July 29. It has only just reached my desk.

I share your feelings and your sense of frustration about how slowly the wheels of government turn. Last year I met with leaders of a number of "Right to Life" organizations at the White House. I told them there were at least three proposals before various Congressional committees and that they should get together in support of one. So far they haven't but there is some movement in the Congress. I have a feeling we may have to wait until after the November election for them to get serious about it.

You mentioned not hearing any rumbles from me. May I say the press picks and chooses what it wants to report. Just recently I addressed a national convention of the Knights of Columbus in Philadelphia. There were 10,000 present and the subject of abortion was very much part of my speech. In fact, my remarks on that subject drew a standing ovation. Those words were not on the evening TV news and I doubt they reached Arizona by way of the news wires.

But rest assured I am dedicated to this cause,

Sincerely,

Mr. John J. Jakubczyk
10 E. Mitchell Drive
Phoenix, AR. 85012

41
Pro - HLA
JOHN J. JAKUBCZYK

ATTORNEY AT LAW
10 EAST MITCHELL DRIVE
PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85012
(602) 234-3912

July 29, 1982

RCH
President Ronald Reagan
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

Re: Pro-Life Legislation

Dear Mr. President:

I was very happy to see the video tape played at the National Right to Life Convention on July 15, 1982 wherein you stated your committment to the Pro-Life Cause and that you would work to see Pro-Life legislation passed.

Well, it's been two weeks and I have not heard any rumblings in the press; nor have I heard anything public stated by you or your office. Meanwhile, children continue to die and very few are trying to stop it.

How do I, as an attorney and as a teacher, explain the concept of equal protection under the law when the child in the womb is subject to death with impunity? What do I tell those who ask me why I am not at the Abortion Chambers literally trying to prevent what is about to take place?


You saw the pictures from California. I saw them too -- and it sickens me, Mr. President, to think that we all continue to live and work and play as if it were only an exercise of the mind. But is it not -- REAL PEOPLE ARE BEING KILLED.

Perhaps this letter expresses frustration, but I've been in the movement for over seven years. I have seen what abortion can do to families, friends, the women themselves; and still, all we do is talk about changing laws. Meanwhile, the children are dying.

President Ronald Reagan
July 29, 1982
Page Two

If those pictures from California were given the media time that John Hinkley was given, or the My Lai Massacre in Vietnam, more people would know the American Holocaust and maybe then we could stop this abomination.

You are remembered in my prayers.

Yours in Life,

John J. Jakubczyk

JJJ/jav

cc: George Will
Philip Dreisbach, M.D.

099550
WE003

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

August 20, 1982

Dear Mr. Jakubczyk:

I'm sorry to be so late in replying to your letter of July 29. It has only just reached my desk.

I share your feelings and your sense of frustration about how slowly the wheels of government turn. Last year I met with leaders of a number of "Right to Life" organizations at the White House. I told them there were at least three proposals before various Congressional committees and that they should get together in support of one. So far they haven't, but there is some movement in the Congress. I have a feeling we may have to wait until after the November election for them to get serious about it.

You mentioned not hearing any rumbles from me. May I say the press picks and chooses what it wants to report. Just recently I addressed a national convention of the Knights of Columbus in Hartford. There were 10,000 present and the subject of abortion was very much part of my speech. In fact, my remarks on that subject drew a standing ovation. Those words were not on the evening TV news and I doubt they reached Arizona by way of the news wires.

But rest assured I am dedicated to this cause.

Sincerely,

Ronald Reagan

Mr. John J. Jakubczyk
10 E. Mitchell Drive
Phoenix, Arizona 85012

099551
WE

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

August 20, 1982

Dear Mrs. Foyt:

I'm sorry to be so late in answering your letter of July 7, but it has only just reached my desk.

I wish I could tell you there is some instant answer to the economic problems besetting us, but I can't. However, it is my strong belief that we are on the right track and the economy is turning up.

I hope and pray by the time you receive this your own situation is improved and that you are, or soon will be, united with your husband.

Mrs. Foyt, your sentence with regard to my not being able to understand the real world touched a tender nerve. I grew up in poverty, although in a small mid-western town you didn't think of yourself as poor. Maybe because the government didn't come around and tell you you were poor. But I do understand very well what you were saying. I've been making speeches for about 30 years on the fact that the forgotten men and women in America were those people who went to work, paid their bills, sent their kids to school and made this country run.

You said you'd pray for me and I'm grateful. I have a great faith in prayer and I intend to pray for you.

Sincerely,

Ronald Reagan

Mrs. Gail E. Foyt
2104 Entrada Drive
Dayton, Ohio 45431

*execute in
Wash. D.C.*

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

(Santa Barbara)

OK/RW

August ~~28~~²⁷, 1982

Dear Mrs. Foyt:

I'm sorry to be so late in answering your letter of July 7, but it has only just reached my desk.

I wish I could tell you there is some instant answer to the economic problems besetting us, but I can't. However, it is my strong belief that we are on the right track and the economy is turning up.

I hope and pray by the time you receive this your own situation is improved and that you are, or soon will be, united with your husband.

Mrs. Foyt, your sentence with regard to my not being able to understand the real world touched a tender nerve. I grew up in poverty. Although in a small mid-western town you didn't think of yourself as poor. Maybe because the government didn't come around and tell you you were poor. But I do understand very well what you were saying. I've been making speeches for about 30 years on the fact that the forgotten men and women in America were those people who went to work, paid their bills, sent their kids to school and made this country run.

You said you'd pray for me and I'm grateful. I have a great faith in prayer and I intend to pray for you.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Gail E. Foyt
2104 Entrada Drive
Dayton, OH. 45431

OK

07/07/82

President Ronald Reagan

Washington D.C.

Mr. President

I want you to know that I voted for you. I feel that you are basically a caring man who is doing a very demanding job. I do feel that like many very wealthy individuals you have been removed from the real world and nothing I could write here would rectify that.

But, Mr. President, tonight I am alone. My husband is in another state. He is a hardworking, ambitious man who aggressively sought a better job. That better job turned up in Florida. We live in Dayton, Ohio, so on April 3rd we put our house up for sale. My husband left for his new job on April 27. So here I am in a house that won't sell. Dayton is a depressed city. We produce automobiles primarily. The banks certainly aren't helping my situation.

I love my husband. I am young and healthy and I work. I can function without my husband - indefinitely I suppose. But he's in Florida without a soul who gives a damn about him. What is the point of working and trying to get ahead? So I can live without someone I care about? Should we not try so hard?

I'm sorry - you aren't dear Abby. You are the one who has the best chance of making a difference. Or is it truly hopeless?

I am not religious but I will pray for you. Try occasionally to think of people like me - not rich, not poor - worth nothing except to each other.

Respectfully,

Gail E. Foyt

2104 Entrada Drive
Dayton, Ohio 45431

07/07/82

President Ronald Reagan
Washington, D.C.

Mr. President:

I want you to know that I voted for you. I feel that you are basically a caring man who is doing a very demanding job. I do feel that like many very wealthy individuals you have been removed from the real world and nothing I could write here would rectify that.

But, Mr. President, tonight I am alone. My husband is in another state. He is a hardworking, ambitious man who aggressively sought a better job. That better job turned up in Florida. We live in Dayton, Ohio, so on April 3rd we put our house up for sale. My husband left for his new job on April 27th. So here I am in a house that won't sell. Dayton is

a depressed city. We produce automobiles primarily. The banks certainly aren't helping my situation.

I love my husband. I am young, healthy and I work. I can function without my husband - indefinitely I suppose. But he's in Florida without a soul who gives a damn about him. What is the point of working and trying to get ahead? So I can live without someone I care about? Should we not try so hard?

I'm sorry - you aren't dear Abby. You are the one who has the best chance of making a difference. Or is it truly hopeless?

I am not religious but I will pray for you. Try occasionally to think of people like me - not rich, not poor - worth nothing except to each other.

Respectfully
Gail E. Fryt

2104 Entrada Dr., Dayton, Ohio 45431

091216
FI010-02

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

August 20, 1982

Dear Mark:

I'm sorry to be so late in replying to your wire of July 28 but it has taken all this time for it to get through the bureaucracy and reach my desk.

You probably know that we did succeed in removing the "entertainment expense" item from the Tax Bill. We made some other changes for the better also even though we didn't get all we wanted.

Actually the entire tax package was the price we had to pay to get further reductions in government spending. Since we got \$3 of spending cuts for each \$1 of tax I don't think the price was too high. Also, with our tax cut program of last year still in place there will be a net reduction of taxes over the next three years of \$335 billion.

Thanks for your wire and your support.

Sincerely,

Ronald Reagan

Mr. Mark Goodson
Goodson-Todman Productions
6430 Sunset Boulevard
Los Angeles, California 90028

TV Producer

18

1982 JUL 28 PM 6 45

19 WHC037(1723)(4-051190S209)PD 07/28/82 1723 1982 JUL 28 PM 6 45

ICS IPMRNCZ CSP

2134644300 POM TDRN LOS ANGELES CA 56 07-28 0523P EST.

PMS PRESIDENT RONALD REAGAN RPT DLY MGM

091216

WHITE HOUSE DC

DEAR MR. PRESIDENT:

AS ONE OF YOUR STRONG SUPPORTERS IN THE LAST ELECTION, I ASK THAT YOU
LEND YOUR INFLUENCE TO DEFEATING THE PROPOSED SECTION OF THE NEW TAX
BILL WHICH WOULD SEVERELY LIMIT ENTERTAINMENT EXPENSES. THESE
PROPOSED RESTRICTIONS WOULD BE A DAMAGING BLOW TO AN INDUSTRY WHICH
IS ALREADY FACING VERY ROUGH TIMES.

THANK YOU.

MARK GOODSON

GOODSON-TODMAN PRODUCTIONS

6430 SUNSET BLVD

LOS ANGELES CA 90028

NNNN

execute in
Wash DC
OHP

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

(Santa Barbara)

Kraft

August 20, 1982

Dear Mark:

I'm sorry to be so late in replying to your wire of July 28 but it has taken all this time for it to get through the bureaucracy and reach my desk.

You probably know that we did succeed in removing the "entertainment expense" item from the Tax Bill. We made some other changes for the better also even though we didn't get all we wanted.

Actually the entire tax package was the price we had to pay to get further reductions in government spending. Since we got \$3% of spending cuts for each \$1% of tax I don't think the price was too high. Also, with our tax cut program of last year still in place there will be a net reduction of taxes over the next three years of \$335 billion.

Thanks for your wire and your support.

Sincerely,

OK

Mr. Mark Goodson

Goodson-Todman Productions
6430 Sunset Boulevard
Los Angeles, CA. 90028

Todman?

To Mr. Mark Goodson - Goodson-Todman Productions
6430 Sunset Blvd. L.A. Calif. 90028

Dear Mark

I'm sorry to be so late in replying to your wire of July 28 but it has taken all this time for it to get through the bureaucracy & reach my desk.

You probably know that we did succeed in removing the "entertainment expense" item from the Tax bill. We made some other changes for the better also even though we didn't get all we wanted.

Actually the entire tax package was the price we had to pay to get further reductions in govt. spending. Since we got 3¢ of spending cuts for each \$1 of tax I don't ^{think} the price was too high. Also with our tax cut program of last year still in place there will be a net reduction of taxes over the next 3 yrs. of \$35 bil.

Thanks for your wire & your support
Sincerely R R

To Mrs. Gail E. Foyt 2104 Enticade Dr. Dayton Ohio 45431

Dear Mrs. Foyt

I'm sorry to be so late in answering your letter of July 7 but it has only just reached my desk.

I wish I could tell you there is some instant answer to the economic problems besetting us but I can't. However it is my strong belief that we are on the right track and the economy is turning up.

I hope & pray by the time you receive this your own situation is improved and that you are or soon will be united with your husband.

Mrs. Foyt your sentence with regard to my not being able to understand the real world touched a tender nerve. I grew up in poverty, although in a small mid-western town you didn't think of ~~it~~ yourself as poor. Maybe because the govt. didn't come around and
(over)

tell you, you were poor. But I do understand
very well what you were saying ~~about~~ I've been
making speeches for about 30 yrs. on the fact that the
forgotten men & women in America were those people
who went to work, paid their bills, sent their kids
to school & made this country run.

You said you'd pray for me and I'm grateful.
I have a great faith in prayer and I intend to
pray for you. Sincerely
RR

To Mr. John J. Jakubczyk 10 E. Mitchell Dr.
Phoenix Ariz. 85012

Dear Mr. Jakubczyk

I'm sorry to be so late in replying to your letter
of July 29. It has only just reached my desk.

I share your feelings and your sense of frustration
about how slowly the wheels of govt. turn. ~~Some~~ Last
year I met with leaders of a number of "Right To Life"
organizations at the White House. I told them there
were at least three proposals before various Congressional
committees and that they should get together in support
of one. So far they haven't but there is some
movement in the Congress. I have a feeling we may
have to wait until after the November election for
them to get serious about it.

You mentioned not hearing ~~for~~ any rumble from me.
May I say the press picks & chooses what it wants to
report. Just recently I addressed a national convention
of the Knights of Columbus in Phil. There were 10,000
present and the subject of abortion was very much a
part of my speech - In fact my remarks on that subject
drew a standing ovation. Those words were not on the
evening TV news & I doubt they reached Ariz. by
way of the news wires.

But rest assured I am dedicated to this cause,

Sincerely
RR

End
Case
File

075487

PR007-02

HANDWRITING FILE

Aug 25 '82

MEMORANDUM

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

MEMORANDUM TO KATHY OSBORNE

FROM: MICHELE DAVIS *Michele*

DATE: AUGUST 25, 1982

RE: PRESIDENTIAL LETTER TO FORMER GOVERNOR TOM McCALL


Kathy, I've attached two news clips regarding former Republican Governor of Oregon Tom McCall's fight with cancer.

I'm passing them along to you in case the President would want to send him a note.

Thanks for your help.

*I had already
phoned him
RR*

McCall's spirits high despite news



Staff photo by BOB ELLIS

FEELING BETTER — Former Gov. Tom McCall spent recuperation hours in the sun at his West Hills home Friday after his release from the hospital.

By ANN SULLIVAN
of The Oregonian staff

The slow-growing cancer for which former Gov. Tom McCall was operated on in 1973 has spread to bony areas of his spine, ribs and the base of his skull, one of his physicians said Friday.

But Dr. David R. Rosencrantz, a urologist, termed McCall's condition "good" and said McCall was experiencing no pain other than from his arthritis and a knee injury. He also pointed out the former governor and television commentator had a "terrific spirit, as usual."

McCall, 69, who left Good Samaritan Hospital & Medical Center Friday for home, appeared thin and tired, but had lost none of his joviality.

"He has hopes I'll be around for a while," McCall said of Rosencrantz.

McCall said he had been a little "shocked" Thursday to find out about the spreading of the cancer and as a result spent a restless night.

"I may be headed for Valhalla, but like a bat out of hell," he added. "This thing has such an ominous connotation, and I've got to sort it out. But I feel I'm going to feel better by going home. I'm going to start to be very optimistic."

Rosencrantz said the cancer's spread was a surprise. It was discovered after his physicians, taking advantage of McCall's hospitalization Tuesday for dizzy spells, subjected him to bone scans that were confirmed by CAT scans. A CAT scan is a sophisticated X-ray diagnostic method using a computer.

The labyrinthitis, a disturbance of the inner ear, was caused by a mild infection, he said, and has been treated with anti-nausea medication and other drugs.

The cancer spread is common in prostate cancer, Rosencrantz said, but pointed out that the spread is not as drastic as it would be if there were problems in vital organs. McCall will be given doses of the hormone estrogen, he said. Hormone treatments are frequently given in cases of men with prostate cancer and women with breast cancer.

Rosencrantz said he was encouraging McCall to "slow down, but that's like chasing the wind."

OREGONIAN 8/8/82

8/10/82

Oregon **Journal opinion**

Tom McCall's contributions

Typically, Tom McCall assessed his situation forthrightly, his sense of humor piercing the grimness.

He left the hospital where the spread of cancer had been discerned wondering whether he was "headed for Valhalla like a bat out of hell."

Well, Valhalla can wait. We can use, for some time yet, the wisdom and insight of the man who just may rank as Oregon's greatest governor.

But even while anticipating further contributions, when looking back upon the career of Tom McCall, one is struck by the fact that few persons could attain the certain knowledge that their being here has made a better life for those who follow.

If just one part of the McCall record were to be singled out as the cornerstone of his work, it would be that he led the way to improving the quality of life.

Perhaps the time was right for a major environmental thrust during his governorship, but if so he certainly was the right man in the right place. He became a national, indeed international, statesman for conservation, making Oregon the proving ground for widespread clean-up.

His environmental program for Oregon covered the field — cans and bottles, restoration of dying riv-

ers, clean air, sound land-use planning.

The conservation program also extended to energy when again the McCall style of leadership guided the state through, first, a power crisis, then a gasoline shortage.

That the environmental cause was most closely associated with him was underscored after he left office when he was selected chairman of the Rene Dubos Center for Human Environments.

But any one of a number of other achievements would have distinguished the career of other governors. Just consider the massive governmental reorganization that he guided, or the far-sighted metropolitan legislation to improve the lot of the state's cities, or the various experiments in taxation, or social programs for the disadvantaged.

But we are no match for McCall in telling his "Oregon Story."

So, what is it about this man that is so different, that brought such success in so many areas? While he was well liked and respected by his colleagues in news work, few, if any, saw the greatness that was to come when he entered politics.

But he had a flair for the dramatic, a deep sense of commitment, sought out talent for his administra-

tion regardless of political consideration, could grasp at least the essence of a broad range of issues, then served as cheerleader as well as captain of the team he assembled. He could get attention, and he could persuade.

When he took office, the climate was hardly ideal for sweeping reform. Not until the present economic problems had the financial condition of state government been worse. He became governor facing a severe budget deficit.

His era, 1967-1975, also was the time of turmoil as disillusioned young people protested the Vietnam war, then society in general. The McCall leadership managed to shine through it all. And if his political leadership is over, his statesmanship is not.

It can truly be said, Tom McCall, whenever you do find your Valhalla, that the world genuinely is a better place because you were part of it.

End
case
File

Santa Barbara

August 27, 1982

096881

ND 016

Dear Mr. Schroyer:

I'm afraid there is some confusion about my position, or that of my Administration, with regard to the Lebanon situation. I believe you'll find Prime Minister Begin has expressed his belief that Israel has no better friend.

My call to him was necessary with the situation almost resolved, our Ambassador Habib cabled that the bombardment - the heaviest of the war and which went on for 15 hours - had made it impossible for the negotiators to even meet. When I called, the Prime Minister told me he had already ordered a halt to the bombing. He called back 20 minutes later to tell me the artillery barrage had been called off.

Let me assure you we have no intention of forsaking our long time friend and ally, the State of Israel. We want the P.L.O. out, Lebanon united as a nation, the northern border of Israel made safe and then continuation of the effort to bring peace to the Middle East.

Sincerely,

RONALD REAGAN

Mr. Robert M. Schroyer
Public Affairs Committee
One S. Franklin Street
Chicago, Illinois 60606

RR/AVH/SAMPLE/lme

Public Affairs Committee

one south franklin street • chicago, illinois 60606 • telephone 346-6700

Chairman
ROBERT M. SCHRAYER

Honorary Chairmen
RAYMOND EPSTEIN
HON. PHILIP M. KLUTZNICK
JOEL J. SPRAYREGEN
MAYNARD I. WISHNER

Vice Chairmen
HERSCHEL AUERBACH
RABBI WILLIAM FRANKEL
SOL GOLDSTEIN

Director
PEGGY NORTON

Assistant Director
GLADY S. ISER

Participating Organizations

American Jewish Committee
Chicago Chapter
American Jewish Congress
Midwest Region
AJ Congress Women's Division
American Mizrahi Women
Chicago Council
Anti-Defamation League
of B'nai B'rith
B'nai B'rith Council
of Greater Chicago
B'nai B'rith Women
Land of Lakes Region
Chicago Association
of Reform Rabbis
Chicago Board of Rabbis
Chicago Jewish Youth Council
Chicago Rabbinical Council
Chicago Zionist Federation
Community Council of
Jewish Organizations
Council of Traditional/
Orthodox Synagogues
Federation of Reconstructionist
Congregations and Havurot
Hadassah—WZOA
Chicago and
North Shore Chapters
Jewish Federation
of Metropolitan Chicago
Jewish Labor Committee
Jewish United Fund
of Metropolitan Chicago
Jewish War Veterans of the U.S.
Dept. of Illinois
Labor Zionist Alliance
Midwest Federation
of Temple Sisterhoods
National Council of Jewish Women
Area Fourteen
ORT—Women's American ORT
Midwest District VIII
ORT—American ORT Federation
Chicago Men's Chapter
Pioneer Women—WLZOA
Chicago and
Suburban Chicago Councils
Rabbinical Assembly of America
Religious Zionists of Chicago
Union of American
Hebrew Congregations
Chicago Federation
United Synagogue of America
Midwest Region
Women's Division—JUF
Women's League
for Conservative Judaism
Central Branch
Young Leadership Division—JUF
Zionist Organization of Chicago

37
DdS
August 5, 1982

President Ronald Reagan
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear President Reagan:

It is with some consternation that we read your statement that the cessation of the shooting is the priority goal of the United States in Lebanon.

Our Jewish community has been most appreciative of your support and understanding of the reason for Israel's move into Lebanon several weeks ago and we have communicated our appreciation to you.

Of course, we would like to see an end to the raids and counter-raids. It is, after all, our Israeli cousins who are paying with their lives and we have no joy in the death of innocent Lebanese and non-combatant Palestinians. You know from personal experience with your Jewish friends that reverence for life and abhorrence for violence are deeply held traditions for us.

What concerns us is that your emphasis, now, that the shooting stop, addressed publicly and constantly to Israel, serves only to encourage the PLO to sit tight. Why should they leave Lebanon as long as the President of the United States practically guarantees that Israel will not be able to force them out. Of course we know that is not your intention but continued PLO intransigence is the inevitable result.

There must be a way for the PLO to recognize they have only one option and that is to leave Beirut. The guarantee they should be hearing from you is that the alternative to leaving voluntarily is being forced out by military action. While they continue to believe that U.S. pressure will prevent Israel's army from going in after them, they will continue to resist all efforts for a negotiated withdrawal.

We all pray that Israel will not be forced into West Beirut, Israeli citizens most of all. It is their sons, husbands and brothers who pay the price. Please, Mr. President, let your weight come down on

-continued-


Jewish United Fund of Metropolitan Chicago

President Ronald Reagan

August 5, 1982

the other side of the equation. Israel cannot sit forever on those hills. Neither would we or any other nation. Time is running out.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Robert M. Schroyer", written over the typed name and title.

Robert M. Schroyer
Chairman

RMS/mek

Santa Barbara

August 27, 1982

096882
PR 003

Dear Miss Arens:

I'm terribly late in answering your letter of June 25th but it has only just reached my desk. But even though very late, I want you to know how much I appreciate your generous words. It was kind of you to write as you did and I'm most grateful.

Sincerely,

Miss Mathilde Arens
203 East 72nd Street
New York, N.Y. 10021

rr/sample/lme

Ph. Schultz

June 25 - 1982

Hon. Ronald Reagan
President of the United States
The White House
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. President:

Your extraordinarily kind and gentle words for Gen. Haig add lustre to what is, for many Americans, a shining and courageous act.

To relinquish so adept a courtier and so accomplished an administrator in midstream takes great courage. And to choose, for the place, a man with the intelligence and depth of Secretary Schultz is to make a great gift to the American people.

I am very thankful. Voting for you gave me great satisfaction and this feeling has increased with time. Today it has become something else - trust.

Sincerely,
Mathilde Arens

203 East 72 Street
New York, NY 10021

June 25, 1982

Hon. Ronald Reagan
President of the United States
The White House
Washington D.C.

Dear Mr. President:

Your extraordinarily kind and gentle words for Gen. Haig add lustre to what is, for many Americans, a shining and courageous act.

To relinquish so adept a courtier and so accomplished an administrator in midstream takes great courage. And to choose, for the place, a man with the intelligence and depth of Secretary Schultz is to make a great gift to the American people.

I am very thankful. Voting for you gave me great satisfaction and this feeling has increased with time. Today it has become something else - trust.

Sincerely,

Mathilde Arens

203 East 72 Street
New York, N.Y. 10021

Santa Barbara

August 27, 1982

096888
ND 016

Dear Friends

Thank you very much for your letter of June 14. I'm sorry to be so late in answering but your letter for some reason has only just reached my desk.

I'm happy that you have found happiness and freedom here in our land. I hope with all my heart that your homeland will one day be free of the cruel conquerors who have brought so much suffering to Cambodia. Many of us are doing our best to see that Cambodia is not forgotten.

Thank you for your generous words and kind Father's Day wish. God Bless you.

Sincerely,

Ty Tim Hel
Eng Sun Hel
223 Richards Street
Joliet, Illinois 60433

RR/Sample/lme

223 Richards St
Joliet IL. 60433
June 14, 1982

His Excellency
President Ronald Reagan,

We would like to express our appreciation for the kindness of His Excellency that your government accepted us to resettle in your country. So your kindness was a great help for us. It was worth a lot of human right. We thank His Excellency about it more than we can say. We will keep it still in our heart for a long time. We think that we can only get the really enjoyable things of life here. Light has shown in our darkness, your kindness has shown in our heart with the light of Peace and Freedom.

In our country, we used to live with difficulty under the inhuman Khmer Rouge regime. We have lived through hard time. During over one thousand days, in the prison without walls, we were obliged to work hard by day and by night also (18 hours a day) in rice fields as slaves. From 1975, the genocide, the forced labor, the starvation, the mass execution killed with ferocity over three million of life of Khmer people. We have never before seen in the human history. Not as worse as all that!

Nowadays, we don't know whether the Cambodia can find Peace and Freedom or not, because Vietnamese Communist Troup had occupied it. Since we have gone away from our Dear Cambodia, we always think about it. We always have eyes swimming with tears. Our life look like to "that ship which went on more farther and then disappeared in the horizon." Although we didn't have anything in our hands, no more money, but now we can get some food, clothe and housing that's to say "a hope." That's the main thing for us. However it may be, we will have much to learn, much to do, in order to built our new life. We have to help ourselves before we expect help from others. In the first step on the road of our new life,

we always worried about our future. It's natural for us to feel anxious about it.

In times to come, we hope we will find in your country an island of love, friendship and democracy - an open country with happy people who have hope for the future. And on the other hand, through your presence, we would like to ask international opinion to help us restore the Peace in Cambodia. Although this problem belongs only to Cambodia, but it also belongs to the whole world.

Finally, we would like to give His Excellency and your family and members of your government, the wish as our gift for Father's Day.

- May God save His Excellency and your family and give all of you new life.
- May the blessing of Good Lord be with you all and keep still in your heart.
- Good Luck! Good Health!

Very Truly yours,
Ty Tim Hel
Eng Sun Hel
Cambodian Refugees T#337 067
Sponsor's name: George & Dora
Ann Bean
Address: Rt #1 Mokena, IL 60448

38

Human Interest

223 Richards St

Joliet IL 60.433

June 14, 1982

His Excellency

President Ronald Reagan,

We would like to express our appreciation for the kindness of His Excellency that your government accepted us to resettle in your country. So your kindness was a great help for us. It was worth a lot of human right. We thank His Excellency about it more than we can say. We will keep it still in our heart for a long time. We think that we can only get the really enjoyable things of life here. Light has shown in our darkness, your kindness has shown in our heart with the light of Peace and Freedom.

In our country, we used to live with difficulty under the inhuman Khmer Rouge regime. We have lived through hard time. During over one thousand days, in the prison without walls, we were obliged to work hard by day and by night also (18 hours a day) in rice fields as slaves. From 1975, the genocide, the forced labor, the starvation, the mass execution killed with ferocity over three million of life of Khmer people. We have never before seen in the human

history. Not as worse as all that!

Nowadays, we don't know whether the Cambodia can find Peace and Freedom or not, because Vietnamese Communist Troup had occupied it. Since we have gone away from our Dear Cambodia, we always think about it. We always have eyes swimming with tears. Our life look like to "that ship which went on more farther and then disappeared in the horizon".

Although we didn't have anything in our hands, no more money, but now we can get some food, clothes and housing that's to say "a hope". That's the main thing for us. However it may be, we will have much to learn, much to do, in order to built our new life. We have to help ourselves before we expect help from others. In the first step on the road of our new life, we always worried about our future. It's natural for us to feel anxious about it..

In times to come, we hope we will can find in your country an island of love, friendship and democracy... an open country with happy people who have hope for the future. And on the other hand, through your presence, we would like to ask international opinion to help us restore the Peace in Cambodia. Although this problem belongs only to Cambodia, but it also belongs to the whole world.

Finally, we would like to give His Excellency
and your family and members of your government,
the wish as our gift for Father's Day:

- May God save His Excellency and your family
and give all of you new life.

- May the blessing of Good Lord be with you all
and keep still in your heart.

- Good Luck! Good Health!

Very Truly yours,

Ty Tim Hel

Ty Tim Hel

Eng Sun Hel

Eng Sun Hel

Cambodian Refugees T# 337.067

Sponsor's name: George & Dora

Ann Bean

Address: Rt #1 Mokena

IL. 60.448

Santa Barbara

August 27, 1982

096883
PR003

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Nail:

Thank you very much for your kind letter of July 8. It has just reached my desk, hence the lateness of my reply.

Yes, I did, and do, enjoy the clipping you sent. You were kind to do this and you certainly brightened my day.

Again, my thanks and very best wishes.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Ken Nail, Jr.
1645 Pluto Street
Merritt Island, Florida 32952

RR/Sample? lme

1/11

1/11 SAMPLE

July 8, 1982

1645 Pluta St.
Meritt Island, FL
32952

President Ronald W. Reagan
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear President Reagan,

I spotted the enclosed in our local paper (Today) and thought you would get a kick out of it in view of your remarks concerning a second term. Your standing in Brevard County, Florida, seems to be pretty high.

Anyway, I thought you might like to see something positive for a change. Best of luck now and in 1984.

My wife and I are very proud of you.

Sincerely,
Ken Nail, Jr.

July 8, 1982

1645 Pluto St.

Merritt Island, Fl 32952

President Ronald Reagan
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington D.C. 20500

Dear President Reagan,

I spotted the enclosed in our local paper (TODAY) and thought you would get a kick out of it in view of your remarks concerning a second term. Your standing in Brevard County, Florida, seems to be pretty high.

Anyway, I thought you might like to see something positive for a change. Best of luck now and in 1984.

My wife and I are very proud of you.

Sincerely,

Ken Nail, Jr.

Santa Barbara
August 27, 1982

096885
PR003

Dear Miss Morgan:

Thank you very much for your mailgram; it brightened my day considerably. I'm hopeful we can have more moments like the one in the Rose Garden. I realize we're a two party system and have legitimate differences in philosophy between our parties, but when the issue is the welfare of our country it's wonderful to stand together.

Thanks again and best regards.

Sincerely,

Miss Patricia Morgan
2629 Edgewood Road
Tampa, Florida 33609

RR/Sample/lme

PATRICIA MORGAN
2629 EDGEWOOD RD
TAMPA FL 33609



1-074514S230 08/18/82 ICS IPMMTZZ CSP WXSA
8138761544 MGM TDMT TAMPA FL 75 08-18 1135P EST

PRESIDENT RONALD REAGAN
WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON DC 20500

YESTERDAY IRISH EYES SMILED AGAIN YOU AND TIP O'NEILL IN THE ROSE
GARDEN ON THE SAME SIDE,
I SEE UNITY AMONG US AGAIN, AS IN DAYS OF WORLD WAR II, THE
REBUILDING OF EUROPE AFTER,
WE AMERICANS ALL PULL TOGETHER, DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS ALIKE TO
REBUILD THE WORLD,
THATS WHAT AMERICA IS.
THAT IS OUR POWER,
KEEP AT IT.

PATRICIA MORGAN
2629 EDGEWOOD RD
TAMPA FL 33609

23:34 EST

MGMCCMP

Santa Barbara

August 27, 1982

096884
WE003

Dear Miss Fegely:

I'm sorry there has been a long delay in your letter of May 12 reaching me. I have only just received it.

I appreciate your sending the copy of your letter to Dr. Wilke and for giving me a chance to comment.

We are in some disagreement with regard to the subject of abortion. You base your stand on the right of free choice to the individual and certainly I am dedicated to the utmost in individual liberty consistent with an orderly society. Our difference lies in your belief that the only individual involved in abortion is the potential mother. It is my belief that 2 people are involved, one being the unborn child.

I know there is great debate over when life begins but if we are so unsure of that then doesn't simple morality decree that we opt for life unless and until someone can prove the unborn is not a human being? Children

have been prematurely born at 26 weeks or so
and grown up to be normal children and adults.

Being the parent of an adopted child I believe
there is an answer to the quote, unquote un-
wanted child argument.

Again my thanks.

Sincerely,

Miss Linda Fegely
855 N. Park Road
Apt. E-103
Wyomissing, PA 19610

RR/AVH/Sample/lme

pin - Abortion

Linda Fegely
855 N. Park Rd.
Apt. E-103
Wyomissing, PA 19610

May 12, 1982

LCH

The President of the United States
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

Mr. President:

Yesterday, I received a letter from the National Right to Life Committee and Congressman Hyde, asking for a contribution. I am not a member of any pro-abortion group; however, I truly believe in an individual's freedom of choice.

I have enclosed a copy of my letter to them. I would greatly appreciate your taking the time to read the viewpoint of a middle class American.

Respectfully,



Linda Fegely

Linda Fegely
855 N. Park Rd.
Apt. E-103
Wyomissing, PA 19610

May 12, 1982

Dr. John C. Wilke
419 Seventh Street N. W.
Suite 402
Washington, D. C. 20004

Dear Dr. Wilke:

Do you believe in child abuse? Do you like to see unwanted children beaten and neglected because they are not wanted?

Do you approve of welfare payments to women who live in poverty because they continue to have children - - - unwanted children?


You are against planned parenthood. Does this mean you are against educating the ignorant?

If your efforts succeed, only the rich will afford abortions. The poor may resort, in desperation, to grotesque methods. Without safe, legal abortions the future of our country will be overpopulated by the poor, uneducated beings. Is this what you truly believe in?

You want middle and upper class people to send contributions to encourage a broken America?

I believe in the freedom of choice which is guaranteed by our constitution. No one should be allowed to dictate our personal lives. When this happens, the land of the free will die.

Sincerely,


Linda Fegely

P. S. I AM NOT A MEMBER OF A PRO-ABORTION GROUP! I SIMPLY BELIEVE IN FREEDOM.

CC: Congressman Henry J. Hyde
President Ronald Reagan

Santa Barbara

August 27, 1982

096887

FO003-02

Dear Mr. Stieb:

Let me assure you the press speculation with regard to our policy on China is absolutely without foundation. We are going to stand by Taiwan and have just approved a co-production plan with them on the F5E fighter plane.

Yes, we hope we can maintain friendly relations with the People's Republic, but have made it clear that we will not abandon our long time friend and ally Taiwan in order to do so.

Thank you for giving me the chance to clear this matter up.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jack Stieb
P.O. Box 2602
Orcutt, California 93455

rr/Sample/lme

JACK STIEB
PO BOX 2602
ORCUTT CA 93455

 **Mailgram**
western union



1-0901379229 08/17/82 ICS IPMRNCZ CSP WXSA
8059341180 MGM TDRN SANTA MARIA CA 39 08-17 1015P EST *Pro/Taiwan*

PRESIDENT RONALD REAGAN
WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON DC 20500

DEAR MR PRESIDENT,

DO NOT UNDERSTAND AMERICA'S CHINA POLICY, REQUEST YOUR SUPPORT FOR
THE GOVERNMENT OF THE REPUBLIC OF CHINA, PLEASE SUPPORT TAIWAN.

JACK STIEB
PO BOX 2602
ORCUTT CA 93455

22:14 EST

MGMCOMP

Santa Barbara

August 27, 1982

096886

Co 165

Dear Mr. Carpenter:

Thank you very much for sending me the newspaper story about the Soviet Union's arms proposal. One wonders what scheme they were hatching then or if at one time they really did consider such a thing. This, of course, was at a time when few, if any, nations had diplomatic relations with them, if I remember correctly.

My thanks for your generous words. I'll try to be deserving of them.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gordon Carpenter
Shane Morgan Investments Limited
P.O. Box 126
Commerce Court West
Suite 2010
Toronto, CANADA

RR/Sample/lme

Sample



Shane Morgan Investments Limited

August 13, 1982.

President Ronald Reagan,
The White House,
Washington, D.C.,
U.S.A.

Dear Mr. President:

I am taking the liberty of sending you attached the first page of the Toronto Daily Star dated November 30, 1927 bearing a heading: "Russia Urges Total World Disarmament".

I found this old newspaper while tidying things in my house and it occurred to me that you, as the leader of the Western world, may be interested in reading this article which should only remind us all what kind of game the Soviet Union has been playing for a long time.

I am sure that many of my fellow Canadians would agree with me if I say that we admire your leadership and wish you the best of luck in dealing with governments who have lost all their credibility. We, in Canada can only hope that our own government will at some point lend greater support to your foreign policy objectives in order to free the world of the communist scourge.

Yours very truly,

Gordon S. Carpenter,
President.

GSC/slm

Encl:

To Mr. Robert M. Schroyer - Public Affairs Committee
One So. Franklin St. Chi. Ill. 60606

Dear Mr. Schroyer

I'm afraid there is some confusion about my position or that of my admin. with regard to the Lebanon situation. I believe you'll find ~~the~~ P.M. Begin has expressed his belief that Israel has no better friend -

My call to him was because with the situation almost resolved our Ambassador Habib called that the bombardment - the heaviest of the war & which went on for 15 hours - had made it impossible for the negotiators to even meet. When I called, the P.M. told me he had already ordered a halt to the bombing. He called back 20 min. later to tell me the artillery barrage had been called off.

Let me assure you we have no intention of forsaking our long time friend & ally the State of Israel. We want the P.L.O. out, Lebanon united as a nation, the northern border of Israel made safe & then continuation of the effort to bring peace to the Middle East.

Sincerely RR

To Miss Mathilde Arens 203 East 72nd St. N.Y. N.Y. 10021

Dear Miss Arens

I'm terribly late in answering your letter of June 25th but it has only just reached my desk. But even though very late I want you to know how much I appreciate your generous words. It was kind of you to write as you did & I'm most grateful.

Sincerely RR

To Ty Tim Hal and Eng Sun Hal ~~223 Richards St. Chicago, Ill. 60606~~
223 Richards St. ~~Chicago, Ill. 60606~~

Dear Friends

Chicago, Ill. 60433

Thank you very much for your letter of June 14. I'm sorry to be so late in answering but your letter for some reason has only just reached my cover!

dear.

I'm happy that you have found friends
& freedom here in our land. I hope with all my
heart that your homeland will one day be free
of the cruel conquerors who have brought so
much suffering to Cambodia. Many of us are doing
our best to see that ~~your opinion~~ Cambodia is
not forgotten.

Thank you for your generous words &
kind Father's Day wish. God Bless you.

Sincerely

RR

To Mr. & Mrs. Ken Nail Jr. 1645 Pluta St.

Merritt Island Fla. 32952

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Nail

Thank you very much for your kind letter of
July 8. It has just reached my desk hence the
lateness of my reply.

Yes I did & do enjoy the clipping you sent.
You were kind to do this and you certainly
brightened my day.

Again my thanks & very best wishes.

Sincerely RR

To ~~Mr. Paul H. Hill Davidson & family~~
~~115 2nd St. New York Beach Cal~~

argument.

Again my thanks &
Sincerely R.R.

Mr. Jack Stiel P.O. Box 2602 Orcutt Calif. 93455
Dear Mr. Stiel

Let me assure you the press speculation with regard to our policy on China is absolutely without foundation. We are going to stand by Taiwan and have just approved a co-production plan with them on the F5E fighter plane.

Yes we hope we can maintain friendly relations with the Peoples Republic but have made it clear that we will not abandon our long time friend & ally Taiwan in order to do so.

Thank you for giving me the chance to clear this matter up.

Sincerely
R.R.

To Mr. Gordon S. Carpenter - Shane Morgan Investments Limited
P.O. Box 126 Commerce Court West - Suite 2010
Toronto Canada

Dear Mr. Carpenter

Thank you very much for sending me the newspaper story ~~about~~ ^{about} the Soviet Union's arms proposal. One wonders what scheme they were hatching then or if at one time they really did consider such a thing. This of course was at a time when even if any nation had diplomatic relations with them if I remember correctly.

My thanks for your generous words. I'll try to be deserving of them.

Sincerely
R.R.

To Miss Patricia Morgan 2629 Edgewood Rd.
Tampa Fla. 33609

Dear Miss Morgan

Thank you very much for your mailgram,
it brightened my day considerably. I'm hopeful
we can have more moments like the one in the
Rosa Garden. I realize we're a two party system
and have legitimate differences in philosophy between
our parties but when the issue is the welfare
of our country it's wonderful to stand together.

Thanks again & best regards..

Sincerely RN

To Miss Linda Fegely 355 N. Park Rd. Apt. 5-103
Wyomissing Pa. 19610

Dear Miss Fegely.

I'm sorry there has been a long delay in your letter of
May 12 reaching me. I have only just received it.

I appreciate your sending the copy of your letter to
Mrs. Witke & for giving me a chance to comment.

We are in some disagreement re the subject of
abortion. You base your stand on the right of free
choice to the individual and certainly I am dedicated to
the utmost in individual liberty consistent with an
orderly society. Our difference ~~is~~ lies in your belief
that the only individual involved in abortion is the
potential mother. It is my belief that 2 people are
involved, one being the unborn child.

I know there is great debate over when life begins
but if we are so unsure of that then doesn't simple
morality decree that we opt for life unless & until
someone can prove the unborn is not a living human
being? Children have been prematurely born at
10 weeks ~~at~~ so and grown up to be normal children &
adults.

Being the parent of an adopted child I believe there
is an answer to the quote, unwanted child
over

095006
Dear members of the WH Photo Lab PR 001

I UNDERSTAND THAT [Do 25 or 30
originals for
delivery via Bill]

MIKE EVANS TELLS ME THAT

YOU HAVE WORKED THE LAST 10
DAYS STRAIGHT ON A DOUBLE
SHIFT BASIS TO ENSURE THE
SPEEDY ~~IMMEDIATE~~ DELIVERY OF PHOTOS TO
members of Congress
THE CONGRESS. THE HOUSE & SENATE

I JUST WANT YOU TO KNOW THAT
ALTHOUGH YOU OPERATE IN THE DARK
AWAY FROM THE WHITE HOUSE COMPLEX
BY YOUR ~~YEDMAN~~ EFFORTS ~~AND ARE BEING~~
WERE AN IMPORTANT AND INTEGRAL PART
OF THE SUCCESSFUL PASSAGE OF THE
TAX (ENHANCEMENT) AND FISCAL RESPONSIBILITY
BILL.

I am ^{VERY} GRATEFUL FOR YOU

I am TOUCHED BY

It makes my job just a little easier to know
THAT YOU ^{all are willing to} WORKED SUCH LONG
HOURS WITHOUT REGARD TO YOUR OWN PERSONAL
NEEDS ~~and my belief~~

WRR

End
case
File