# Ronald Reagan Presidential Library Digital Library Collections

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual collections.

# WHORM Subject File Code: PR014-08

(Public Relations: Presidential Favors, requests for: Opinions – Statements – Questionnaires – Articles)

Case file Number(s): 486844-490299

**Box:** 31

To see more digitized collections visit: <a href="https://www.reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digitized-textual-material">https://www.reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digitized-textual-material</a>

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library inventories visit: <a href="https://www.reaganlibrary.gov/archives/white-house-inventories">https://www.reaganlibrary.gov/archives/white-house-inventories</a>

Contact a reference archivist at: reagan.library@nara.gov

Citation Guidelines: <a href="https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/research-support/citation-guide">https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/research-support/citation-guide</a>

National Archives Catalogue: <a href="https://catalog.archives.gov/">https://catalog.archives.gov/</a>

Last Updated: 08/14/2025

April 9, 1987



Throughout our Nation's history, education has been the key to individual achievement and to the preservation of our cherished freedoms. We know that education begins in the home and that it flourishes best when it draws upon the combined efforts of children, parents, teachers, and administrators.

The first volume of What Works provided practical knowledge to help in the education of our children. This updated and revised volume of research findings continues in that tradition and is a fine example of the kind of information the Federal government can and should provide.

As the American people continue to benefit from such knowledge and the renewed trust in common sense that it fosters. I am confident that we will make even greater strides in education. By doing so, we will have given our children the best foundation possible for the exciting challenges of the future.

God bless you RAD-REACAN

A

Call Julie Cave, 732-3010, for pickup

RR:ED:FJW:DE:AVH:Hd/PM71

cc: K.Osborne/Pres.Msgs.Rm.18/F.Kelly/CF

EVENT: Apr 9 87 DUE: Apr 9 87 4/8 Education

Presidential message for The second edition of "What Works" Department of Education Publication Requested by ED

Okayed by Cabinet Affairs

on

4

# THE WHITE HOUSE WASHINGTON

April 8, 1987


The )

Throughout our Nation's history, education has been key to individual achievement and to the preservation of our cherished freedoms. We know that education begins in the home and that it flourishes best when it draws upon the combined efforts of children, parents, teachers, and administrators.

The first volume of What Works provided practical knowledge to help in the education of our children. This updated and revised volume of research findings continues in that tradition and is a fine example of the kind of information the Federal government can and should provide.

As the American people continue to benefit from such knowledge and the renewed trust in common sense that it fosters, I am confident that we will make even greater strides in education. By doing so, we will have given our children the best foundation possible for the exciting challenges of the future.

God bless you.



Throughout our Nation's history, education has been a key to individual achievement and the preservation of our cherished freedoms. We know that education begins in the home and flourishes best when it draws upon the combined efforts of children, parents, teachers, and administrators.

The first volume of What Works provided practical knowledge to help in the education of our children. This updated and revised volume of research findings continues in that tradition and is example of interpretation of information the Federal Sovernment can and should provide.

As the American people continue to benefit from such knowledge and the renewed trust in common sense that it fosters,

I am confident we will make even greater strides in education.

By doing so, we will have given our children the ebst foundation possible for the exciting challenges of the future.

S/R.R.

SEND TO: Call Julie Cave at 732 - 3010 for pickup.

cc: K. Osborne, C.Korte, F.Kelly, C.F.

# OFFICE OF PRESIDENCIAL MESSACES.

# REQUESTFOR CLEARANCE COMMENTS

Te: Patsy Faoro, Cabinet Affairs	
Date Due: RUSH/TODAY, April 8	Date of Event: RUSH needed for printing
Subject:	Robit Heeded for princing
Presidential message for the secon Department of Education publication	
Requested by: Julie Cave, Special Assis	tant to the Secretary of ED
May we please have your immediate Telephone clearance would be appropriate Thank you.	12
Signature: Linda Watson	Date: April 8, 1987
Old Executive Ro	ial Messages Office Building om 18 456-2941
Your Recommendation/Comments:	
Signature: A	Date: (pil 9 1987
7//	The state of the s

april 9

# THEOLOGICAL ARCH-COMPLETE

Patsy Faoro, Cabinet Affairs	Lyphone 4/8
	0
	CO TENTAL CO. S. C.
Pate Due: Dat	te of Event;
RUSH/TODAY, April 8	RUSH needed for printing
Subject:	
Presidential message for the second Department of Education publication.	
Julie Cave, Special Assistan	nt to the Secretary of ED
lackground:	
May we please have your immediate ap	oproval on the attached.
Telephone clearance would be appreci	iated.
Illalik you.	
Signature: Linda Watson	Date: April 8, 1987
Linda Watson	April 8, 1987
Presidential M	April 8, 1987
Linda Watson	April 8, 1987  Messages  Tice Building
Linda Watson  Presidential M Old Executive Offi  Room 1 (202) 456-2	April 8, 1987  Messages  Tice Building
Linda Watson  Presidential Mold Executive Offi  Room 1 (202) 456-2	April 8, 1987  Messages  Tice Building
Linda Watson  Presidential Mold Executive Offi  Room 1 (202) 456-2	April 8, 1987  Messages  Tice Building
Linda Watson  Presidential Mold Executive Offi  Room 1 (202) 456-2	April 8, 1987  Messages  Tice Building
Linda Watson  Presidential M Old Executive Offi  Room 1 (202) 456-2	April 8, 1987  Messages  Tice Building
Linda Watson  Presidential M Old Executive Offi  Room 1 (202) 456-2	April 8, 1987  Messages  Tice Building
Linda Watson  Presidential M  Old Executive Offi  Room 1	April 8, 1987  Messages  Tice Building
Linda Watson  Presidential M Old Executive Offi  Room 1 (202) 456-2	April 8, 1987  Messages  Tice Building
Linda Watson  Presidential M Old Executive Offi  Room 1 (202) 456-2	April 8, 1987  Messages  Tice Building

# THE WHITE HOUSE

April 8, 1987

Throughout our Nation's history, education has been a key to individual achievement and to the preservation of our cherished freedoms. We know that education begins in the home and that it flourishes best when it draws upon the combined efforts of children, parents, teachers, and administrators.

The first volume of What Works provided practical knowledge to help in the education of our children. This updated and revised volume of research findings continues in that tradition and is a fine example of the kind of information the Federal government can and should provide.

As the American people continue to benefit from such knowledge and the renewed trust in common sense that it fosters, I am confident that we will make even greater strides in education. By doing so, we will have given our children the best foundation possible for the exciting challenges of the future.

God bless you.



# OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF EDUCATION

April 3, 1987

Linda,

RE: What Works Message

Enclosed is a copy of the material I sent over to Patsy. We appreciate your willingness to help us out on this one.

Any questions, please call me: 732-3010.

Thanks,

Julie Cave

enclosure

Revised WHAT WORKS

DRAFT PRESIDENTIAL STATEMENT:

We Americans have always considered education a key to individual achievement and national strength. We know that education begins in the home and flourishes when it draws upon the combined efforts of children, parents, teachers, and administrators.

The first volume of <u>What Works</u> provided practical knowledge to help in the education of our children. This updated and revised volume of research findings continues in that tradition and exemplifies the type of information the Federal Government should provide.

I am confident that as the American people continue to benefit from such knowledge and the renewed trust in common sense it fosters, we will make even greater improvements in our schools and better prepare our children for the challenges of the twenty-first century.

/Ronald Reagan/

# THE WHITE HOUSE WASHINGTON

January 30, 1986

We Americans have always considered education a key to individual achievement and national strength. We know that education begins in the home and flourishes when it draws upon the combined efforts of children, parents, teachers, and administrators.

What Works provides practical knowledge to help in the education of our children. In assembling some of the best available research for use by the American public, What Works exemplifies the type of information the Federal government can and should provide.

I am confident that with the benefit of such knowledge and renewed trust in common sense, we Americans will have even greater success in our unstinting efforts to improve our schools and better prepare our children for the challenges of today's world.

Round Ragon



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20202

MAR 23 1987

assign to FK 47

NOTE TO:

Patsy Faoro

Special Assistant to the

Cabinet Secretary Cabinet Affairs

FROM:

Julie Cave - # ---

Special Assistant to the Secretary

SUBJECT:

Presidential Message Request

We are printing a second edition of What Works, and accordingly, would like to request a slightly revised message from the President for inclusion in the front.

Attached is a copy of the original Presidential message, along with suggested text for the revised message. (The first paragraph is identical; the second and third are modified slightly.)

Would you please refer this to the appropriate office for clearance. We would be grateful for a response by March 30.

Any questions can come to me or to John Walters, Special Assistant to the Secretary (both of us at: 732-3000).

Thank you.

Attachments

Clear final a/Patey Faoro

Julie Care 732-3010 for pick up

THE WHITE HOUSE

April 28, 1987

TA'

Dear Friends:

Thank you so much for your very kind letter, and for asking me to share some of my thoughts on the role women play in our world today.

I am reminded of that special saying, "A woman is like a teabag. You never know her strength until she is in hot water." Sometimes it takes a bit of adversity for us to show our colors. But, in hot water or not, women are making outstanding contributions to their communities and their country. Some give through their professions, others through volunteerism, others as mothers in the home. Each has a special place and, in her own special way can be a part of making this nation better for her generation and those that will follow.

Please accept my best wishes for a successful luncheon and know that my thoughts are with you.

Sincerely,

NANCY REAGAN

Women of Substance Fost Office Box 9818 Wwwport Beach, California 92660



# JOHN A. STEIGER & ASSOCIATES - REALTORS\_

April 16, 1987

Nancy Reagan White House Washington, D.C. 20500

LMP-

Dear Mrs. Reagan:

Thank you for being such a great first lady. You are an inspiration to all women in this country and a beautiful asset to our President.

I would like a few words of inspiration from you to share with about 250 ladies at a luncheon-seminar at the Ritz Carlton Hotel in Laguna Niguel on May 7.

We hope to share ideas about leadership, success, the importance of knowing your purpose in life, setting goals and persevering. Our goal is to inspire and encourage women of all ages, not only in their career but in their community responsibilities as well.

We believe women have so much power they never even tap into. They control the wealth and have a long life span. We think they should be encouraged to support and endow those things that make our cities and country great.

Please share just a few comments with us. You may have some female heroine who achieved success. What special qualities did she have or develope.

Thank you for speaking out on the drug problem.

Sincerely,

619 757 1405

Mary J. Steiger

# WOMEN OF SUBSTANCE

# Luncheon at the Ritz Carlton Hotel, Laguna Niguel, Calif.

Thursday, May 7, 1987—Registration 11:30 A.M.

Luncheon Served 12:00

Seminar 1:00 to 4:00 P.M.

Join other Women of Substance at a luncheon and seminar designed to enrich and energize your life. A wonderful opportunity to make 1987 "the best year of your life." Whether your interests are financial, professional, political, personal or spiritual, sharing an afternoon with dynamic women will provide insight, energy, awareness and pages of how-to's.

Whether you are entering the job market, moving up the ladder or contemplating a lifestyle change, you owe it to yourself to reach your full potential. Are you doing "What makes your heart happy" or are you living the life others expect of you? Will the real you give us four hours of your life?



MARY J. STEIGER

Community leader whose activities span the past 30 years. Mary Steiger has served as first woman president of the Oceanside Chamber of Commerce, Chairman of the Beautification Committee, Parks and Recreation Commission and numerous committees, organizations and fundraising events. She chartered a national bank which opened in 1982, and served as Chairman of the Board for three years. She has received numerous awards including "Citizen of the Year" and The National Conference of Christians and Jews outstanding citizen award for San Diego County. She is a Realtor and former delegate to the California Republican Party.



ELAINE WILLIS, Ph.D.

A nationally prominent nutritionist and corporate wellness specialist, Dr. Elaine Willis is producer/host of the new PBS series, "Healthy People/Healthy Business." Elaine is president and founder of the Foundation for Wellness and for the past 15 years has been a popular television/radio personality in the area of health. Her Balance-Your-Life retreats in Europe and Maui are just two of the annual events which beckon participants from all walks of life. Elaine explores new dimensions of physical and mental wellbeing and transformation. Elaine has seminars scheduled for Murietta, May 29-31, and Maui, June 5 to 12.



EILEEN McDARGH

A high energy consultant, author and national speaker, Eileen McDargh most recently appeared at the Crystal Cathedral with such program participants as Armand Hammer and J. Peter Grace. She presents programs for national conventions, management and corporate retreats and university workshops. A frequent guest on television and radio as author of "How to Work for a Living and Still Be Free to Live," Eileen examines job performance in the context of work/life balance.

Tables are for ten. You are welcome to reserve a table for your

group or join others at a "no host" table. Space is limited, early

Tickets will not be mailed, they will be held at the door in

Take Pacific Coast Highway to the Ritz Carlton Hotel, 33533 Shoreline Dr., Laguna Niguel, CA.

Mail check to: Women of Substance P.O. Box 9818

Newport Beach, CA 92660

Thursday, May 7, 1987, Registration 11:30 A.M., Lunch 12:00, Seminar 1:00 to 4:00 P.M.

\$39.00 Advance Registration, \$45.00 at the door.

My check enclosed\_

Visa ☐ MasterCard ☐ Acct. #\_\_\_

Expiration Date

Signature\_

self employed ☐ looking for career change ☐ I am employed

Please notify me of your next seminar

Address

your name.

retired

volunteer 🗌

reservations encouraged.

# WOMEN OF SUBSTANCE

P.O. Box 9818 Newport Beach, CA 92660





# DISCOVER YOUR POWER 1987 COMING INTO THE AQUARIAN AGE OF WOMEN

Are you reaching your fullest potential and "becoming all that you were created to be?"

Would you like to turn your greatest liability into your strongest asset?

Are you a power player, risk taker, high achiever, self-starter?

Would you like to turn dreams into reality, fear to courage, mediocrity to excellence, procrastination to commitment?

Have you completed a career, raised a family and find yourself in a comfortable but somewhat boring routine? Would you like to find deeper meaning to life, a new spiritual connection, new zest and energy and feel better than you have ever felt . . . at any age? <u>Come, share with us an enlightened awakening.</u>

# **TOPICS**

- Working Smarter; Living Happier
- How to form that support group to help you reach your greatest potential.
- How to surround yourself with winners who will share their secrets to success.
- How to achieve greater health and vitality.
- Learning to love yourself more and filling your own cup so you have more to give those who depend upon you.
- Learn the importance of mind, body and spirit connection for a better, balanced life.
- Healing ourselves.
- Burn-out: The High Cost of High Achievement.

"Ask and you shall receive, seek and you shall find, knock and it shall be opened."

Come share some new ideas with us. Make 1987 your "Year of Discovery."

Only <u>you</u> have total responsibility for your life. Remember, <u>"Anything you can believe and conceive, you can achieve."</u> <u>"What you think about, you bring about."</u> <u>"As a man believeth in his heart, so is he."</u>

In addition to being informative and entertaining, you will leave with many ideas you can begin to put into your daily routine to enrich your life. Remember, if you continue doing things the way you always have, you will keep getting the results you have always gotten.

Betsy Schaken 1787

RO'/

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506 PR014-08 ME003 NSC 870 2649 TA006

April 10, 1987

PR 008' CO 165 FG 006-12 FG 298

488805

MEMORANDUM FOR MR. LARRY R. TAYLOR
Executive Secretary
U.S. Information Agency

SUBJECT:

Presidential Statement for Opening of the Boehm Porcelain Exhibition in Moscow, May 1987

It has been determined by the NSC Legal Advisor and the Office of the Counsel to the President that it would not be appropriate for the President to send a message for the opening exhibition of the Boehm porcelain collection in Moscow. Boehm is a commercial enterprise and a message would, explicitly or implicitly, endorse their private business.

Please be advised that a prior request by Mrs. Boehm was regretted on March 30.

Executive Secretary

## NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506



## ACTION

MEMORANDUM	FOR	GRANT	S	GREEN.	JR
TIDOUNDIADOU	TOK	GIVANI			UI.

FROM:

PAUL S. STEVENS

SUBJECT:

Presidential Statement for Opening of the

Boehm Porcelain Exhibition in Moscow, May 1987

Attached at Tab I is a memorandum for Larry Taylor informing USIA that it would not be appropriate for the President to send a message for the opening exhibition of the Boehm porcelain collection in Moscow. Boehm is a commercial enterprise and an endorsement by the President would be inappropriate.

Fritz Ermarth concurs.

## RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the memorandum at Tab I.

Approve \_\_\_\_\_ Disapprove \_\_\_\_

Attachments

Tab I Green/Taylor Memo
Tab A Taylor/Green Memo w/a

Prepared by: Mary M. Wengrzynek



Washington, D.C. 20547



APR 7 1987

MEMORANDUM FOR:

Mr. Grant S. Green, Jr.

Executive Secretary

National Security Counci

FROM:

Larry R. Taylog (7)

Executive Secretary

SUBJECT:

Presidential Statement for Opening of the Boehm Porcelain Exhibition, Moscow, May 1987

Attached is a draft Presidential statement for the opening of the Boehm Porcelain Exhibition in Moscow, USSR on May 17, 1987.

Dr. Armand Hammer was instrumental in organizing the exhibit which is sponsored by the Soviet Ministry of Culture. The exhibit includes a loan of a Boehm sculpture from the White House with the consent of the President. More specific details are included on the attached Boehm Porcelain studio press release.

We would appreciate action on this recommendation by May 1. This recommendation and the draft statement have been cleared by State/EUR/SOV.



87 APR 8 P3: 34

PURE STATE OF A STATE OF

With the fully those at these of a north substitute being the first that the firs

And Tomorrow Helpin or an appearance of montered by the Commerce of the THE COUNTY WITH A P. SECTION OF PERSONS ASSESSED THE C. CHARLES CO. L.

WE WENTERSON

#### DRAFT

## PRESIDENTIAL STATEMENT

I AM DELIGHTED TO EXTEND MY CONGRATULATIONS AND VERY BEST WISHES ON THE OCCASION OF THE EXHIBITION OF BOEHM PORCELAIN IN MOSCOW. THIS IS ONE OF MANY PROJECTS WHICH CONTRIBUTE TO THE EXPANSION OF CULTURAL RELATIONS BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES AND THE SOVIET UNION.

THE WORKS IN THIS EXHIBITION SHOW NOT ONLY THE ACCOMPLISHMENTS
OF AMERICAN ARTISTS, BUT REPRESENT SOME OF THE GREAT TREASURES
OF WILDLIFE OF THE UNITED STATES AND OTHER COUNTRIES.

I COMMEND YOU FOR SHARING THIS WORK WITH THE SOVIET PEOPLE SO GENEROUSLY.



# BOEHM

nternational Creators of Porcelain Art

### AMERICAN EAGLE WILL LAND IN MOSCOW

### THE AMERICAN BOEHM PORCELAIN COLLECTION

TO BE EXHIBITED IN MOSCOW, U.S.S.R.

### SPONSORED BY THE MINISTRY OF CULTURE OF THE SOVIET UNION

The first Exhibition of Boehm true porcelain art from the United States, entitled "The American Boehm Porcelain Collection Sponsored by the Ministry of Culture of the Soviet Union," will be shown in Moscow, U.S.S.R., beginning May 17 by the Boehm Porcelain Studio of Trenton, New Jersey. The exhibition includes a life-size American Bald Eagle (33-1/4"H x 30"W x 22"D). Arrangements between the Boehm Studio and the Ministry of Culture were made by Dr. Armand Hammer, Chairman of Occidental Petroleum.

The duration of the exhibition is six weeks (May 17 to June 27) in the Exhibition Pavilion for Nature Conservation. This is a first for Western porcelain art, thus a high honor for the Boehm artists.

The exhibition will feature 47 major sculptures of the Boehm Masterpiece Collection spanning the 37 years since the studio's founding. Another focal point will be the life-size "Mute Swans, Birds of Peace," on loan from the White House with the consent of President Ronald Reagan. An illustrated catalog of the exhibition is being published in Russian and in English.

One hundred seventy-five American Boehm collectors will accompany Mrs. Boehm to the Soviet Union for 11 days of activities. Joining them at the preview of the exhibition will be 150 Soviet art patrons and diplomatic guests. Special guests invited are: General Secretary Mikhail Gorbachev and Mrs. Gorbachev, Soviet Foreign Minister Edward Shevardnadze and Mrs. Shevardnadze, Soviet Ambassador Yuri Dubinin and Mrs. Dubinin and U.S. Ambassador to the U.S.S.R. Jack F. Matlock, Jr. and Mrs. Matlock. That evening Mrs. Boehm will present to the Minister of Culture as a gift to the Soviet people the American Eagle, the official symbol of the United States, for a museum in Moscow.

Mrs. Boehm has long been associated with programs involving the world of children, and firmly believes that an appreciation of art in children is one of the keys to international peace. She has, therefore, chosen as a gift to the children of the Soviet Union a 25" tall porcelain sculpture of an Eurasian Bear (the Great Brown Bear) from the children of the Sacred Heart School, an inner-city school in Trenton, New Jersey.

CONTACTS: Frank Cosentino or Virginia Perry

1-800-257-9410 or in New Jersey 1-800-223-0049

# National Security Council The White House

dm

cc: VP Baker  COMMENTS	Other Should be	seen by:	(Date/Time)
I = Information A = Action	R = Retain	D = Dispatch	N = No further Action
			1
ituation Room			
SC Secretariat			
ISC Secretariat	3	436.4	(D)
irant Green		0 11	
rank Carlucci			
aul Thompson			
olin Powell			
Grant Green	2	6	A_
Marybel Batjer			
Bob Pearson	,	P	
	SEQUENCE TO	HAS SEEN	DISPOSITION
87 APR 10 P	2: 27	DOCLOG	M NO_
		Package #	2649
	£	System #	

RECEIVED 08 APR 87 20

TO

GREEN

FROM TAYLOR, L

DOCDATE 07 APR 87

KEYWORDS: USSR

USIA

SUBJECT: PRES STATEMENT FOR OPENING OF THE BOEHM PORCELAIN EXHIBITION MAY 1987

ACTION: PREPARE MEMO GREEN TO TAYLOR DUE: (13 APR 87 STATUS S FILES WH

FOR ACTION

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR INFO

ERMARTH

BEMIS

TICE Concurs

DANZANSKY

MAJOR

STEINER

DEAN

COMMENTS

REF# 8701549 LOG

NSCIFID (IB )

ACTION OFFICER (S) ASSIGNED

ACTION REQUIRED DUE COPIES TO

W/ATTCH FILE (C)

490-018 4000 12R014-08 14E006-01 14E001-01 12P005-01

Dear "Just Say No" Club Members:

Since becoming First Lady, I have talked to so many young people whose lives have been ruined by drugs. It's such a sad thing. As I listen to them, I can only think: "If only they would have just said 'NO' when their friends offered them drugs!"

That is why I am happy that you, and millions of young people like you, are pledging to say "NO" to drugs. You've decided that there is no place for drugs in your life, and you want to help your friends make that same decision. I know of no better way to do this than through your "Just Say No" Club.

The work you will do in your Club will be both entertaining and important. There are so many exciting activities and projects to do. You'll make new friends, learn new and interesting things, and have a chance to help others in many ways. Together with your fellow Club members, you are creating a new kind of peer pressure -- pressure to remain drug-free.


Congratulations on joining a "Just Say No" Club! I'm very proud of you -- and you should be proud too.

Sincerely,

A

NANCY REAGAN

870504



### THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

April 24, 1987

MEMORANDUM FOR MARTY COYNE

FROM:

ROSCIETTA DONALD

SUBJECT:

"Just Say No" Club Member's Handbook

As you requested, I have reviewed the "Just Say No" Club Member's Handbook.

I think this piece, written for kids is easily understandable and presents the right message. I am suggesting some changes that provide greater clarity, completeness, and correctness -- but they do not detract from my opinion that this is a very fine effort.

# 1. Drug Abuse: Questions and Answers

- O What are drugs?
  I would change the word "smoke" on the last line of the answer to "sell". In some municipalities, it's against the law for kids to smoke or drink, but in most communities the legal restriction is against sale.
- o What does it mean to be "high" on a drug?
  I would suggest one addition and one change. The addition:
  "Sometimes it may feel pleasurable, but that only lasts
  a short time" -- before the sentence beginning on line 3.
  The change: in the last line, change "a drug" to "some drugs" and "it" to "them".
- O What does it mean to be addicted to a drug?
  Change the next to last sentence to read, "Marijuana also is a drug you can get addicted to."
- O What is "drug abuse"?

  Delete the last three words and add, "illegally or to excess or to get high".

# 2. Drug Pacts

Add to the third sentence, before the period, "decreased physical ability and motor coordination". Revise the sentence beginning, "Kids who smoke marijuana", to continue, "regularly are more likely than kids who don't to have poor grades or to drop out of school".

- o <u>Stimulants</u>
  Add <u>after</u> the first sentence, "They may make you feel you're performing better than you are on physical tasks or on tests -- but you're not!"
- The last sentence is okay, but could be improved by modifying it to read, "People who smoke cocaine can get into trouble with addiction and other bad effects more quickly."
- O <u>Inhalants</u>
  Add to the last sentence, after the word "people", "or cause irreversible brain damage."
- o PCP
  Add, at the end of the paragraph, "Some people have recurring episodes of violence or dangerousness, even many years later."
- o I would suggest the addition of a concluding sentence to this section, something like, "It's also important to remember that regardless of what illegal drug you think you're buying, you never can be sure what you are actually getting."

## 3. Word Hunt, Part A, #6

Consistent with the change suggested above, change the statement after the blank to read, "regularly are more likely to have poor grades or drop out of school."

### 4. Technical Changes

- o "3 Steps to Say No", Step 1, paragraph 3, line 2 -- "...has in mind is all right."
- o "Just Say No" Word Hunt, p. 3 -- The word find is omitted.
- o "Just Say No" and You, paragraph 2 -- "Ask your parents about kids...."
- o Back Cover, paragraph 1, lines 3-4 -- "Additional funding support is provided by ... of the Alcohol, Drug Abuse and Mental Health Administration."

1. 15" missin

### NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

49019055 2889 PR014-08

May 2, 1987

MEMORANDUM FOR RHETT DAWSON

FROM:

GRANT S. GREEN,

Mr. Carlucci has approved the attached Presidential Statement for release on Monday, May 4.

Attachment Presidential Statement Tab A

# NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

May 1, 1987

## ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR FRANK C. CARLUCCI

FROM:

BOE LINHARD/STEVE STEINER

SUBJECT:

Presidential Statement on New NST Round

At Tab I for your approval is a proposed Presidential Statement to be issued in connection with the resumption of the full NST round on Tuesday, May 5. The statement concludes with some strong language concerning the arms control amendments being proposed in both bodies of Congress. To meet our political needs, we suggest releasing the statement on Monday, rather than Tuesday.

With the exception of the insertion on the amendments, the statement has been cleared interagency. We also have run it through the Presidential Speechwriters.

Alison Fortier and Sven Kraemer concur.

## RECOMMENDATION

That you approve the statement at Tab I and authorize Grant Green to transmit it to Rhett Dawson (tab II).

Approve\_

Disapprove\_\_\_\_

Attachments

Tab I Presidential Statement

Tab II Green/Dawson Memo

cc: Dan Howard

#### PRESIDENTIAL STATEMENT

## Opening of START AND D & S NEGOTIATING GROUPS - NST

Tomorrow marks the opening in Geneva of the eighth round in our negotiations with the Soviet Union on strategic arms reductions and strategic defense issues. With the negotiations on intermediate-range nuclear forces having resumed on April 23, all three negotiating groups of the Nuclear and Space Talks will now be underway.

The United States remains fully committed to achieving deep, equitable, verifiable and stabilizing reductions in the U.S. and Soviet nuclear arsenals. During his meetings in Moscow last month, Secretary Shultz made progress that moved us closer to such reductions. We are determined to build on this progress.

Since the early days of my Administration, our number one arms control objective has been the achievement of significant and verifiable reductions of offensive nuclear forces -- particularly the most destabilizing weapons, fast-flying ballistic missiles.

I have directed our U.S. START Negotiator to intensify efforts to reach agreement on reducing strategic offensive nuclear arms by fifty percent. Toward that end, the United States intends to table shortly a draft START treaty text. This text will reflect the basic agreements on strategic arms reductions reached by General Secretary Gorbachev and myself in our meeting at Reykjavik last October. It will be responsive as well to Soviet concerns expressed subsequent to Reykjavik, and will provide ample basis for the creation of a fair and durable START agreement.

We have made great progress in START. I am firmly convinced that a START Agreement is within our grasp, even this year, if the Soviets are prepared to resolve the remaining outstanding issues. And most important among these issues is the need, for the purpose of ensuring strategic stability, to place sublimits on ballistic missile warheads.

We will likewise be making a new move in the Defense and Space area. Our negotiators return to Geneva ready to place on the negotiating table the new U.S. proposal which Secretary Shultz discussed during his Moscow meetings. This new proposal incorporates the following elements:

- -- Both the U.S. and the Soviet Union would commit through 1994 not to withdraw from the Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty.
- -- This commitment would be contingent on implementation of agreed START reductions, i.e., fifty percent cuts to equal levels of 1600 strategic nuclear delivery vehicles and 6000 warheads, with appropriate sublimits, over seven years from entry into force of a START agreement.

-- The agreement would not alter the sovereign rights of the parties under customary international law to withdraw in the event of material breach of the agreement or jeopardy to their supreme interests.

-- After 1994, either side could deploy defensive systems of its choosing, unless mutually agreed otherwise.

To build mutual confidence by further enhancing predictability in the area of strategic defense, and in response to stated Soviet concerns, we are also proposing that the U.S. and the Soviet Union annually exchange data on their planned strategic defense activities. We also seek to have the US and USSR carry out reciprocal briefings on their respective strategic defense efforts and visits to associated research facilities, as we have proposed in our Open Laboratories Initiative. In addition, we have proposed establishing mutually agreed procedures for reciprocal observation of strategic defense testing.

Since the April 23 opening of the INF negotiations in Geneva, there have been some new developments in these talks. Last week, the Soviet Union presented a detailed draft INF treaty text which now joins our own draft text on the negotiating table. We are studying carefully the Soviet proposal and requesting the Soviets to clarify some important points in their text.

The Soviet proposal appears to reflect the agreements General Secretary Gorbachev and I made at Reykjavik on longer-range INF missile limits and to accept the principle of global equality between our two countries in regard to shorter-range INF missile systems (SRINF).

Nevertheless, important issues remain to be resolved before an INF agreement can be concluded, including verification and shorter-range INF missiles. Verification is a particularly crucial issue. While the Soviet draft indicates that they will seek agreement in some basic areas which we require for effective verification, they have yet to provide the all-important details which are essential to working out an effective verification regime. In addition, they have not met our requirements for inspection of sites suspected of violations of an INF agreement.

Another major issue is that of shorter-range INF missile systems. We and our Allies continue to insist that an agreement on these systems must be bilateral in nature, global in scope, concurrent with an initial INF treaty and effectively verifiable. In addition, Soviet efforts to include the missiles of any country other than the US and USSR are patently unacceptable. We are continuing our close consultations with our allies in Europe and Asia on SRINF and other INF issues.

Our negotiators in Geneva -- led by Ambassadors Max Kampelman, Mike Glitman and Ron Lehman -- have done an excellent job and they continue to have very full agendas. We are well prepared for hard bargaining, and we are resolved to do our part to bring about -- for the first time in history -- actual reductions in nuclear weapons. It is up to the Soviets now to demonstrate similar determination to move ahead on these important issues.

There is one final point which I want to emphasize. Despite all the progress that has been made in Geneva, there are events occurring right here at home which could destroy the groundwork which we have laid so carefully in bringing the Soviets back to the negotiating table and getting them to negotiate seriously for the first time on deep reductions in our respective nuclear arsenals. An effort has been made by some Members of the House of Representatives to attach to the Defense Authorization Bill amendments on arms control which would pull the rug out from under our negotiators and undermine our most vital defense programs -- such as our Strategic Defense Initiative. And now it seems that some Senators want to move in the same direction.

Therefore, let there be no mistake about it: I will veto any bill which cuts back our ability to defend ourselves and leaves the Soviet Union free to continue its military buildup.

# National Security Council The White House

		System #	
		Package a	2889
2		DOCLOG	MAIO
87 MAY I	P 7:	HAS SEEN	
Bob Pearson _			_ A_
Marybel Batjer _	$\overline{}$	-1/	
Grant Green		78	5
Colin Powell _	3_	1	
Paul Thompson			
Frank Carlucci	4	VV	7
Grant Green			
NSC Secretariat	-6-		
NSC Secretariat			
Situation Room			
	\		
I = Information A = Action	R = Retain	D = Dispatch	N = No further Action
cc: VP Baker	Other		
COMMENTS	Should be so	een by:	(Date/Time)

NSC/S PROFILE "UNCLASSIFIED ID 8702889

RECEIVED 01 MAY 87 19

TO CARLUCCI

FROM LINHARD

DOCDATE 01 MAY 87

STEINER

01 MAY 87

KEYWORDS: ARMS CONTROL

SPEECHES

SUBJECT: PRES STATEMENT ON NEW NST ROUND

ACTION: FOR DECISION DUE: 04 MAY 87 STATUS X FILES WH

FOR ACTION

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR INFO

CARLUCCI

COMMENTS

REF# LOG NSCIFID (CF X)

ACTION OFFICER (S) ASSIGNED ACTION REQUIRED DUE COPIES TO

5 CarQuace approved Sum. Sharfell &

DISPATCH W/ATTCH FILE

# UNCLASSIFIED NSC/S PROFILE

R014-08 RECORD ID: 8703339 RECEIVED: 04 MAY 87 12

49019055

TO: CARLUCCI

FROM: DAWSON, R

GREEN

DOC DATE: 04 MAY 87

SOURCE REF:

KEYWORDS: START

USSR

WH REFERRAL

ARMS CONTROL

PUBLIC STATEMENTS

PERSONS:

SUBJECT: DRAFT PRES STATEMENT RE OPENING OF START & D & S NEGOTIATING GRPS /

NST

ACTION: ORIGINALS RETURNED TO NSC/S DUE DATE: 04 MAY 87 STATUS: C

STAFF OFFICER: GREEN

LOGREF:

FILES: WH

NSCIF:

CODES:

DOCUMENT DISTRIBUTION

FOR ACTION LINHARD

FOR CONCURRENCE ERMARTH

FOR INFO CARLUCCI

GREEN

**PEARSON** 



COMMENTS: \*\* ADD TO FILE \*\*

DISPATCHED BY \_\_\_\_\_ DATE \_\_\_\_ W/ATTCH: YES NO

OPENED BY: LF CLOSED BY: LF

DOC 1 OF 1

ACTION DATA SUMMARY REPORT

UNCLASSIFIED RECORD ID: 8703339

#### DOC ACTION OFFICER CAO ASSIGNED ACTION REQUIRED Z 87050412 MEMO GREEN TO DAWSON 001 LINHARD 001 Z 87050415 PEARSON SGD MEMO X 88083013 ORIGINALS RETURNED TO NSC/S 001

NIC/S

### TIME STAMP

# NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL EXECUTIVE SECRETARY REFERRAL

87 MAY 4 A 9: 00

SYSTEM I LOG NUMBER:	3339
(FIRST DRAFT OF REMAR	RKS)

Prepare Memo Gree Prepare Memo Gree Prepare Memo Gree Prepare Memo Gree Prepare Memo —	n to Dolan n to Speakes	in margin beside	U	RGENT
CONCURRENCES/COMME	ENTS*	DUE:	MMEDIATLEY	
*PHONE to action officer	at ext5865			
☐ Batjer		Howard		Platt
☐ Brooks		Kelly, B.		Pugliaresi
☐ Burns		Kelly, J.		Raymond
☐ Childress		Kissell		Reger
☐ Cobb		Kraemer		Rosenberg
☐ Cohen		Lavin		Ross
☐ Collins		Lenczowski		Saunders
☐ Danzansky		Lewis		Sestanovich
☐ Dean, B		Linhard		Sommer
☐ Dobriansky		Mahley		Sorzano
☐ Donley		Major		Stark
☐ Douglass		May		Steiner
Ermarth		McNamara		Stevens
☐ Farrar		Mingle		St Martin
☐ Flower		Oakley		Tahir-Kheli
☐ Grimes		Paal		Thompson
				Tillman
INFORMATION	Green Rodman Carlucci (advance		Powell (advan	Secretariat  Exec. Sec. Desk  ce)
La company of the com				No.

Action long e'uce completed the Startson 188

### National Security Council The White House

			Systen	n#	
		•	-		3339
87 MAY 4	A 8:	39 -	DOCL		A/O
Bob Pearson	S	SEQUENCE TO	HAS S		DISPOSITION
Marybel Batjer					
Grant Green	_				
Colin Powell	-				
aul Thompson	-		-	<del></del>	
rank Carlucci	_				
Grant Green				···	
ISC Secretariat	-	2			Not
ISC Secretariat	_		_		00
ituation Room					
l = Information	A = Action	R = Retain	D = Dispato	h N=	No further Action
c: VP Bak	er	Other			
COMMENTS		Should be	seen by:		Data (Fire a)
Guidan	ee?				Date/Time)
					": KEL
90111	'010	-1		SINE	M, PNC

	3339
Document No.	

### WHITE HOUSE STAFFING MEMORANDUM

	ACTION	FYI		ACTION	FY
VICE PRESIDENT			GRISCOM		
BAKER			HENKEL		
DUBERSTEIN		E	HOBBS		
MILLER - OMB			KING		
BALL			MASENG		
BAUER			MILLER - ADMIN.		T
CARLUCCI			RISQUE		
CRIBB			RYAN		
CULVAHOUSE			SPRINKEL		
DAWSON	□₽	<b>D85</b>	TUTTLE		
DONATELLI					
FITZWATER					

RESPONSE:

Rhett Dawson Ext. 2702 87 MAY 4 A 8: 38

4

#### PRESIDENTIAL STATEMENT

Opening of START AND D & S NEGOTIATING GROUPS - NST

This becomes

Tomorrow marks the opening in Geneva of the eighth round in our negotiations with the Soviet Union on strategic arms reductions and strategic defense issues. With the negotiations on intermediate-range nuclear forces having resumed on April 23, all three negotiating groups of the Nuclear and Space Talks will now be underway.

The United States remains fully committed to achieving deep, equitable, verifiable and stabilizing reductions in the U.S. and Soviet nuclear arsenals. During his meetings in Moscow last menth, Secretary Shultz made progress that moved us closer to such reductions. We are determined to build on this progress.

Since the early days of my Administration, our number one arms control objective has been the achievement of significant and verifiable reductions of offensive nuclear forces -- particularly the most destabilizing weapons, fast-flying ballistic missiles.

I have directed our U.S. START Negotiator to intensify efforts to reach agreement on reducing strategic offensive nuclear arms by fifty percent. Toward that end, the United States intends to Will table shortly a draft START treaty text. This text will reflect the basic agreements on strategic arms reductions reached by General Secretary Gorbachev and myself in our meeting at Reykjavik last October. It will be responsive as well to Soviet concerns expressed subsequent to Reykjavik, and will provide ample basis for the creation of a fair and durable START agreement.

We have made great progress in START. I am firmly convinced that a START Agreement is within our grasp, even this year, if the Soviets are prepared to resolve the remaining outstanding issues. And most important among these issues is the need, for the purpose of ensuring strategic stability, to place sublimits on ballistic missile warheads.

We will likewise be making a new move in the Defense and Space area. Our negotiators return to Geneva ready to place on the negotiating table the new U.S. proposal which Secretary Shultz discussed during his Moscow meetings. This new proposal incorporates the following elements:

- -- Both the U.S. and the Soviet Union would commit through 1994 not to withdraw from the Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty.
- -- This commitment would be contingent on implementation of agreed START reductions, i.e., fifty percent cuts to equal levels of 1600 strategic nuclear delivery vehicles and 6000 warheads, with appropriate sublimits, over seven years from entry into force of a START agreement.





- -- The agreement would not alter the sovereign rights of the parties under customary international law to withdraw in the event of material breach of the agreement or jeopardy to their supreme interests.
- -- After 1994, either side could deploy defensive systems of its choosing, unless mutually agreed otherwise.

To build mutual confidence by further enhancing predictability in the area of strategic defense, and in response to stated Soviet concerns, we are also proposing that the U.S. and the Soviet Union annually exchange data on their planned strategic defense activities. We also seek to have the US and USSR carry out reciprocal briefings on their respective strategic defense efforts and visits to associated research facilities, as we have proposed in our Open Laboratories Initiative. In addition, we have proposed establishing mutually agreed procedures for reciprocal observation of strategic defense testing.

Since the April 23 opening of the INF negotiations in Geneva, there have been some new developments in these talks. Last week, the Soviet Union presented a detailed draft INF treaty text which now joins our own draft text on the negotiating table. We are studying carefully the Soviet proposal and requesting the Soviets to clarify some important points in their text.

The Soviet proposal appears to reflect the agreements General Secretary Gorbachev and I made at Reykjavik on longer-range INF missile limits and to accept the principle of global equality between our two countries in regard to shorter-range INF missile systems (SRINF).

Nevertheless, important issues remain to be resolved before an INF agreement can be concluded, including verification and shorter-range INF missiles. Verification is a particularly crucial issue. While the Soviet draft indicates that they will seek agreement in some basic areas which we require for effective verification, they have yet to provide the all-important details which are essential to working out an effective verification regime. In addition, they have not met our requirements for inspection of sites suspected of violations of an INF agreement.

Another major issue is that of shorter-range INF missile systems. We and our Allies continue to insist that an agreement on these systems must be bilateral in nature, global in scope, concurrent with an initial INF treaty and effectively verifiable. In addition, Soviet efforts to include the missiles of any country other than the US and USSR are patently unacceptable. We are continuing our close consultations with our allies in Europe and Asia on SRINF and other INF issues.

Our negotiators in Geneva -- led by Ambassadors Max Kampelman, Mike Glitman and Ron Lehman -- have done an excellent job and they continue to have very full agendas. We are well prepared for hard bargaining, and we are resolved to do our part to bring about -- for the first time in history -- actual reductions in nuclear weapons. It is up to the Soviets now to demonstrate similar determination to move ahead on these important issues.

There is one final point which I want to emphasize. Despite all the progress that has been made in Geneva, there are events occurring right here at home which could destroy the groundwork which we have laid so carefully in bringing the Soviets back to the negotiating table and getting them to negotiate seriously for the first time on deep reductions in our respective nuclear arsenals. An effort has been made by some Members of the House of Representatives to attach to the Defense Authorization Bill amendments on arms control which would pull the rug out from under our negotiators and undermine our most vital defense programs -- such as our Strategic Defense Initiative. And now it seems that some Senators want to move in the same direction.

Therefore, let there be no mistake about it: I will veto any bill which cuts back our ability to defend ourselves and leaves the Soviet Union free to continue its military buildup.

IP Para 2 (only the 1st Sent.) goes here.



**ASAP** 

# WHITE HOUSE STAFFING MEMORANDUM

		ACTION			
VICE PRESIDENT			GRISCOM SEL COMM	ext	
BAKER			HENKEL		
DUBERSTEIN		V	HOBBS		
MILLER - OMB		. 🗆	KING	. 🗆	
BALL OK			MASENG		
BAUER			MILLER - ADMIN.		
CARLUCCI			RISQUE		
CRIBB			RYAN		
CULVAHOUSE			SPRINKEL		
DAWSON	□P	Des	TUTTLE		
DONATELLI					
FITZWATER					
FITZWATER  ARKS:	comments	recom	mendations to my offi		-

NSC 8702889 x 8703339

May 2, 1987

MEMORANDUM FOR RHETT DAWSON

FROM:

GRANT S. GREEN, JR.

Mr. Carlucci has approved the attached Presidential Statement for release on Monday, May  $4\,.$ 

Attachment

Tab A Presidential Statement

#### PRESIDENTIAL STATEMENT

#### Opening of START AND D & S NEGOTIATING GROUPS - NST

Tomorrow marks the opening in Geneva of the eighth round in our negotiations with the Soviet Union on strategic arms reductions and strategic defense issues. With the negotiations on intermediate-range nuclear forces having resumed on April 23, all three negotiating groups of the Nuclear and Space Talks will now be underway.

The United States remains fully committed to achieving deep, equitable, verifiable and stabilizing reductions in the U.S. and Soviet nuclear arsenals. During his meetings in Moscow last month, Secretary Shultz made progress that moved us closer to such reductions. We are determined to build on this progress.

Since the early days of my Administration, our number one arms control objective has been the achievement of significant and verifiable reductions of offensive nuclear forces -- particularly the most destabilizing weapons, fast-flying ballistic missiles.

I have directed our U.S. START Negotiator to intensify efforts to reach agreement on reducing strategic offensive nuclear arms by fifty percent. Toward that end, the United States intends to table shortly a draft START treaty text. This text will reflect the basic agreements on strategic arms reductions reached by General Secretary Gorbachev and myself in our meeting at Reykjavik last October. It will be responsive as well to Soviet concerns expressed subsequent to Reykjavik, and will provide ample basis for the creation of a fair and durable START agreement.

We have made great progress in START. I am firmly convinced that a START Agreement is within our grasp, even this year, if the Soviets are prepared to resolve the remaining outstanding issues. And most important among these issues is the need, for the purpose of ensuring strategic stability, to place sublimits on ballistic missile warheads.

We will likewise be making a new move in the Defense and Space area. Our negotiators return to Geneva ready to place on the negotiating table the new U.S. proposal which Secretary Shultz discussed during his Moscow meetings. This new proposal incorporates the following elements:

- -- Both the U.S. and the Soviet Union would commit through 1994 not to withdraw from the Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty.
- -- This commitment would be contingent on implementation of agreed START reductions, i.e., fifty percent cuts to equal levels of 1600 strategic nuclear delivery vehicles and 6000 warheads, with appropriate sublimits, over seven years from entry into force of a START agreement.

-- The agreement would not alter the sovereign rights of the parties under customary international law to withdraw in the event of material breach of the agreement or jeopardy to their supreme interests.

-- After 1994, either side could deploy defensive systems of its choosing, unless mutually agreed otherwise.

To build mutual confidence by further enhancing predictability in the area of strategic defense, and in response to stated Soviet concerns, we are also proposing that the U.S. and the Soviet Union annually exchange data on their planned strategic defense activities. We also seek to have the US and USSR carry out reciprocal briefings on their respective strategic defense efforts and visits to associated research facilities, as we have proposed in our Open Laboratories Initiative. In addition, we have proposed establishing mutually agreed procedures for reciprocal observation of strategic defense testing.

Since the April 23 opening of the INF negotiations in Geneva, there have been some new developments in these talks. Last week, the Soviet Union presented a detailed draft INF treaty text which now joins our own draft text on the negotiating table. We are studying carefully the Soviet proposal and requesting the Soviets to clarify some important points in their text.

The Soviet proposal appears to reflect the agreements General Secretary Gorbachev and I made at Reykjavik on longer-range INF missile limits and to accept the principle of global equality between our two countries in regard to shorter-range INF missile systems (SRINF).

Nevertheless, important issues remain to be resolved before an INF agreement can be concluded, including verification and shorter-range INF missiles. Verification is a particularly crucial issue. While the Soviet draft indicates that they will seek agreement in some basic areas which we require for effective verification, they have yet to provide the all-important details which are essential to working out an effective verification regime. In addition, they have not met our requirements for inspection of sites suspected of violations of an INF agreement.

Another major issue is that of shorter-range INF missile systems. We and our Allies continue to insist that an agreement on these systems must be bilateral in nature, global in scope, concurrent with an initial INF treaty and effectively verifiable. In addition, Soviet efforts to include the missiles of any country other than the US and USSR are patently unacceptable. We are continuing our close consultations with our allies in Europe and Asia on SRINF and other INF issues.

Our negotiators in Geneva -- led by Ambassadors Max Kampelman, Mike Glitman and Ron Lehman -- have done an excellent job and they continue to have very full agendas. We are well prepared for hard bargaining, and we are resolved to do our part to bring about -- for the first time in history -- actual reductions in nuclear weapons. It is up to the Soviets now to demonstrate similar determination to move ahead on these important issues.

There is one final point which I want to emphasize. Despite all the progress that has been made in Geneva, there are events occurring right here at home which could destroy the groundwork which we have laid so carefully in bringing the Soviets back to the negotiating table and getting them to negotiate seriously for the first time on deep reductions in our respective nuclear arsenals. An effort has been made by some Members of the House of Representatives to attach to the Defense Authorization Bill amendments on arms control which would pull the rug out from under our negotiators and undermine our most vital defense programs -- such as our Strategic Defense Initiative. And now it seems that some Senators want to move in the same direction.

Therefore, let there be no mistake about it: I will veto any bill which cuts back our ability to defend ourselves and leaves the Soviet Union free to continue its military buildup.

	3339		
Document No.		•	

### WHITE HOUSE STAFFING MEMORANDUM

/4/87 A	CTION/CONCUR	RENC	E/COMMENT	roui	EBY: _	ASAP	-			_
PRESIDENTIAL	STATEMENT	ON	OPENING	OF	START	D&S				
						ACTION/CONCURRENCE/COMMENT DUE BY:	PRESIDENTIAL STATEMENT ON OPENING OF START D&S	ACTION/CONCURRENCE/COMMENT DUE BY:	ACTION/CONCURRENCE/COMMENT DUE BY:	ACTION/CONCURRENCE/COMMENT DUE BY:

	ACTION	FYI		ACTION	FYI
VICE PRESIDENT			GRISCOM		
BAKER			HENKEL		
DUBERSTEIN		R	HOBBS		
MILLER - OMB			KING		
BALL			MASENG		
BAUER			MILLER - ADMIN.		<b>4⊡</b> 27 2
CARLUCCI			RISQUE		
CRIBB			RYAN		
CULVAHOUSE			SPRINKEL		
DAWSON	□₽	pos	TUTTLE		
DONATELLI					
FITZWATER					

**REMARKS:** 

Please return comments/recommendations to my office AS SOON AS POSSIBLE this morning. Thank you

RESPONSE:		May	4,	1987

NSC concurs, with the attached change

Executive Secretary

Rhett Dawson Ext. 2702

cc: Marlin Fitzwater

#### PRESIDENTIAL STATEMENT

Opening of START AND D & S NEGOTIATING GROUPS - NST

Tomorrow marks the opening in Geneva of the eighth round in our negotiations with the Soviet Union on strategic arms reductions and strategic defense issues. With the negotiations on intermediate-range nuclear forces having resumed on April 23, all three negotiating groups of the Nuclear and Space Talks will now be underway.

The United States remains fully committed to achieving deep, equitable, verifiable and stabilizing reductions in the U.S. and Soviet nuclear arsenals. During his meetings in Moscow last month, Secretary Shultz made progress that moved us closer to such reductions. We are determined to build on this progress.

Since the early days of my Administration, our number one arms control objective has been the achievement of significant and verifiable reductions of offensive nuclear forces -- particularly the most destabilizing weapons, fast-flying ballistic missiles.

I have directed our U.S. START Negotiator to intensify efforts to reach agreement on reducing strategic offensive nuclear arms by fifty percent. Toward that end, the United States intends to will table shortly a draft START treaty text. This text will reflect the basic agreements on strategic arms reductions reached by General Secretary Gorbachev and myself in our meeting at Reykjavik last October. It will be responsive as well to Soviet concerns expressed subsequent to Reykjavik, and will provide ample basis for the creation of a fair and durable START agreement.

We have made great progress in START. I am firmly convinced that a START Agreement is within our grasp, even this year, if the Soviets are prepared to resolve the remaining outstanding issues. And most important among these issues is the need, for the purpose of ensuring strategic stability, to place sublimits on ballistic missile warheads.

We will likewise be making a new move in the Defense and Space area. Our negotiators return to Geneva ready to place on the negotiating table the new U.S. proposal which Secretary Shultz discussed during his Moscow meetings. This new proposal incorporates the following elements:

- -- Both the U.S. and the Soviet Union would commit through 1994 not to withdraw from the Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty.
- -- This commitment would be contingent on implementation of agreed START reductions, i.e., fifty percent cuts to equal levels of 1600 strategic nuclear delivery vehicles and 6000 warheads, with appropriate sublimits, over seven years from entry into force of a START agreement.

End of protection

-- The agreement would not alter the sovereign rights of the parties under customary international law to withdraw in the event of material breach of the agreement or jeopardy to their supreme interests.

-- After 1994, either side could deploy defensive systems of its choosing, unless mutually agreed otherwise.

To build mutual confidence by further enhancing predictability in the area of strategic defense, and in response to stated Soviet concerns, we are also proposing that the U.S. and the Soviet Union annually exchange data on their planned strategic defense activities. We also seek to have the US and USSR carry out reciprocal briefings on their respective strategic defense efforts and visits to associated research facilities, as we have proposed in our Open Laboratories Initiative. In addition, we have proposed establishing mutually agreed procedures for reciprocal observation of strategic defense testing.

Since the April 23 opening of the INF negotiations in Geneva, there have been some new developments in these talks. Last week, the Soviet Union presented a detailed draft INF treaty text which now joins our own draft text on the negotiating table. We are studying carefully the Soviet proposal and requesting the Soviets to clarify some important points in their text.

The Soviet proposal appears to reflect the agreements General Secretary Gorbachev and I made at Reykjavik on longer-range INF missile limits and to accept the principle of global equality between our two countries in regard to shorter-range INF missile systems (SRINF).

Nevertheless, important issues remain to be resolved before an INF agreement can be concluded, including verification and shorter-range INF missiles. Verification is a particularly crucial issue. While the Soviet draft indicates that they will seek agreement in some basic areas which we require for effective verification, they have yet to provide the all-important details which are essential to working out an effective verification regime. In addition, they have not met our requirements for inspection of sites suspected of violations of an INF agreement.

Another major issue is that of shorter-range INF missile systems. We and our Allies continue to insist that an agreement on these systems must be bilateral in nature, global in scope, concurrent with an initial INF treaty and effectively verifiable. In addition, Soviet efforts to include the missiles of any country other than the US and USSR are patently unacceptable. We are continuing our close consultations with our allies in Europe and Asia on SRINF and other INF issues.

Our negotiators in Geneva -- led by Ambassadors Max Kampelman, Mike Glitman and Ron Lehman -- have done an excellent job and they continue to have very full agendas. We are well prepared for hard bargaining, and we are resolved to do our part to bring about -- for the first time in history -- actual reductions in nuclear weapons. It is up to the Soviets now to demonstrate similar determination to move ahead on these important issues.

There is one final point which I want to emphasize. Despite all the progress that has been made in Geneva, there are events occurring right here at home which could destroy the groundwork which we have laid so carefully in bringing the Soviets back to the negotiating table and getting them to negotiate seriously for the first time on deep reductions in our respective nuclear arsenals. An effort has been made by some Members of the House of Representatives to attach to the Defense Authorization Bill amendments on arms control which would pull the rug out from under our negotiators and undermine our most vital defense programs — such as our Strategic Defense Initiative. And now it seems that some Senators want to move in the same direction.

Therefore, let there be no mistake about it: I will veto any bill which cuts back our ability to defend ourselves and leaves the Soviet Union free to continue its military buildup.

#### PRESIDENTIAL STATEMENT

Opening of START AND D & S NEGOTIATING GROUPS - NST

Tomorrow marks the opening in Geneva of the eighth round in our The United States remains fully committed to achieving deep, sequitable, verifiable and stabilizing reductions in the U.S. and Soviet nuclear arsenals. During his meetings in Moscow last month, Secretary Shultz made progress that moved us closer to such reductions. We are determined to build intermediate-range nuclear forces having resumed on April 23, all

Since the early days of my Administration, our number one arms control objective has been the achievement of significant and verifiable reductions of offensive nuclear forces -particularly the most destabilizing weapons, fast-flying ballistic missiles.

I have directed our U.S. START Negotiator to intensify efforts to reach agreement on reducing strategic offensive nuclear arms by fifty percent. Toward that end, the United States intends to table shortly a draft START treaty text. This text will reflect the basic agreements on strategic arms reductions reached by General Secretary Gorbachev and myself in our meeting at Reykjavik last October. It will be responsive as well to Soviet concerns expressed subsequent to Reykjavik, and will provide ample basis for the creation of a fair and durable START agreement.

We have made great progress in START. I am firmly convinced that a START Agreement is within our grasp, even this year, if the Soviets are prepared to resolve the remaining outstanding issues. And most important among these issues is the need, for the purpose of ensuring strategic stability, to place sublimits on ballistic missile warheads.

We will likewise be making a new move in the Defense and Space area. Our negotiators return to Geneva ready to place on the negotiating table the new U.S. proposal which Secretary Shultz discussed during his Moscow meetings. This new proposal incorporates the following elements:

- -- Both the U.S. and the Soviet Union would commit through 1994 not to withdraw from the Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty.
- -- This commitment would be contingent on implementation of agreed START reductions, i.e., fifty percent cuts to equal levels of 1600 strategic nuclear delivery vehicles and 6000 warheads, with appropriate sublimits, over seven years from entry into force of a START agreement.

- -- The agreement would not alter the sovereign rights of the parties under customary international law to withdraw in the event of material breach of the agreement or jeopardy to their supreme interests.
- -- After 1994, either side could deploy defensive systems of its choosing, unless mutually agreed otherwise.

To build mutual confidence by further enhancing predictability in the area of strategic defense, and in response to stated Soviet concerns, we are also proposing that the U.S. and the Soviet Union annually exchange data on their planned strategic defense activities. We also seek to have the US and USSR carry out reciprocal briefings on their respective strategic defense efforts and visits to associated research facilities, as we have proposed in our Open Laboratories Initiative. In addition, we have proposed establishing mutually agreed procedures for reciprocal observation of strategic defense testing.

Since the April 23 opening of the INF negotiations in Geneva, there have been some new developments in these talks. Last week, the Soviet Union presented a detailed draft INF treaty text which now joins our own draft text on the negotiating table. We are studying carefully the Soviet proposal and requesting the Soviets to clarify some important points in their text.

The Soviet proposal appears to reflect the agreements General Secretary Gorbachev and I made at Reykjavik on longer-range INF missile limits and to accept the principle of global equality between our two countries in regard to shorter-range INF missile systems (SRINF).

Nevertheless, important issues remain to be resolved before an INF agreement can be concluded, including verification and shorter-range INF missiles. Verification is a particularly crucial issue. While the Soviet draft indicates that they will seek agreement in some basic areas which we require for effective verification, they have yet to provide the all-important details which are essential to working out an effective verification regime. In addition, they have not met our requirements for inspection of sites suspected of violations of an INF agreement.

Another major issue is that of shorter-range INF missile systems. We and our Allies continue to insist that an agreement on these systems must be bilateral in nature, global in scope, concurrent with an initial INF treaty and effectively verifiable. In addition, Soviet efforts to include the missiles of any country other than the US and USSR are patently unacceptable. We are continuing our close consultations with our allies in Europe and Asia on SRINF and other INF issues.

Our negotiators in Geneva -- led by Ambassadors Max Kampelman, Mike Glitman and Ron Lehman -- have done an excellent job and they continue to have very full agendas. We are well prepared for hard bargaining, and we are resolved to do our part to bring about -- for the first time in history -- actual reductions in nuclear weapons. It is up to the Soviets now to demonstrate similar determination to move ahead on these important issues.

stet

There is one final point which I want to emphasize. Despite all the progress that has been made in Geneva, there are events occurring right here at home which could destroy the groundwork which we have laid so carefully in bringing the Soviets back to the negotiating table and getting them to negotiate seriously for the first time on deep reductions in our respective nuclear arsenals. An effort has been made by some Members of the House of Representatives to attach to the Defense Authorization Bill amendments on arms control which would pull the rug out from under our negotiators and undermine our most vital defense programs -- such as our Strategic Defense Initiative. And now it seems that some Senators want to move in the same direction.

set

Therefore, let there be no mistake about it: I will veto any bill which cuts back our ability to defend ourselves and leaves the Soviet Union free to continue its military buildup.

Document No.	
Document No.	

# WHITE HOUSE STAFFING MEMORANDUM dSS

	ACTION	FYI		ACTION	FYI
VICE PRESIDENT			GRISCOM		
BAKER		0	HENKEL		
DUBERSTEIN		B	HOBBS		
MILLER - OMB			KING		
BALL	-30		MASENG		
BAUER			MILLER - ADMIN.		0
CARLUCCI			RISQUE		
CRIBB			RYAN		
CULVAHOUSE			SPRINKEL		
DAWSON	□P	pos	TUTTLE		
DONATELLI					
FITZWATER					

## NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

2889

May 2, 1987

MEMORANDUM FOR RHETT DAWSON

FROM:

GRANT S. GREEN,

Mr. Carlucci has approved the attached Presidential Statement for

release on Monday, May 4.

Attachment

Tab A Presidential Statement

to will have commet back by

1 am 5/4

T A B

A

Document No.	
JOCUMENT NO.	

### WHITE HOUSE STAFFING MEMORANDUM

1987 MAY -4 PM 1: 32

DATE:	5/4/87	ACTION/CONCUR	RENC	E/COMMENT D	UE	EBY: ASAP	
SUBJECT:	PRESIDENTIA	AL STATEMENT	ON	OPENING O	F	START D&S	

	ACTION FYI				
VICE PRESIDENT			GRISCOM -	P	
BAKER			HENKEL	а	
DUBERSTEIN		V	HOBBS	. 🗆	
MILLER - OMB			KING		
BALL			MASENG		
BAUER			MILLER - ADMIN.		
CARLUCCI			RISQUE		
CRIBB			RYAN		
CULVAHOUSE			SPRINKEL		
DAWSON	□P	pes	TUTTLE		
DONATELLI			*		
FITZWATER					

**REMARKS:** 

Please return comments/recommendations to my AS SOON AS POSSIBLE this morning. Thank you

**RESPONSE:** 

never et 5/4/87

#### PRESIDENTIAL STATEMENT

#### Opening of START AND D & S NEGOTIATING GROUPS - NST

Tomorrow marks the opening in Geneva of the eighth round in our negotiations with the Soviet Union on strategic arms reductions and strategic defense issues. With the negotiations on intermediate-range nuclear forces having resumed on April 23, all three negotiating groups of the Nuclear and Space Talks will now be underway.

The United States remains fully committed to achieving deep, equitable, verifiable and stabilizing reductions in the U.S. and Soviet nuclear arsenals. During his meetings in Moscow last month, Secretary Shultz made progress that moved us closer to such reductions. We are determined to build on this progress.

Since the early days of my Administration, our number one arms control objective has been the achievement of significant and verifiable reductions of offensive nuclear forces -- particularly the most destabilizing weapons, fast-flying ballistic missiles.

I have directed our U.S. START Negotiator to intensify efforts to reach agreement on reducing strategic offensive nuclear arms by . fifty percent. Toward that end, the United States intends to table shortly a draft START treaty text. This text will reflect the basic agreements on strategic arms reductions reached by General Secretary Gorbachev and myself in our meeting at Reykjavik last October. It will be responsive as well to Soviet concerns expressed subsequent to Reykjavik, and will provide ample basis for the creation of a fair and durable START agreement.

We have made great progress in START. I am firmly convinced that a START Agreement is within our grasp, even this year, if the Soviets are prepared to resolve the remaining outstanding issues. And most important among these issues is the need, for the purpose of ensuring strategic stability, to place sublimits on ballistic missile warheads.

We will likewise be making a new move in the Defense and Space area. Our negotiators return to Geneva ready to place on the negotiating table the new U.S. proposal which Secretary Shultz discussed during his Moscow meetings. This new proposal incorporates the following elements:

- -- Both the U.S. and the Soviet Union would commit through 1994 not to withdraw from the Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty.
- -- This commitment would be contingent on implementation of agreed START reductions, i.e., fifty percent cuts to equal levels of 1600 strategic nuclear delivery vehicles and 6000 warheads, with appropriate sublimits, over seven years from entry into force of a START agreement.

-- The agreement would not alter the sovereign rights of the parties under customary international law to withdraw in the event of material breach of the agreement or jeopardy to their supreme interests.

-- After 1994, either side could deploy defensive systems of its choosing, unless mutually agreed otherwise.

To build mutual confidence by further enhancing predictability in the area of strategic defense, and in response to stated Soviet concerns, we are also proposing that the U.S. and the Soviet Union annually exchange data on their planned strategic defense activities. We also seek to have the US and USSR carry out reciprocal briefings on their respective strategic defense efforts and visits to associated research facilities, as we have proposed in our Open Laboratories Initiative. In addition, we have proposed establishing mutually agreed procedures for reciprocal observation of strategic defense testing.

Since the April 23 opening of the INF negotiations in Geneva, there have been some new developments in these talks. Last week, the Soviet Union presented a detailed draft INF treaty text which now joins our own draft text on the negotiating table. We are studying carefully the Soviet proposal and requesting the Soviets to clarify some important points in their text.

The Soviet proposal appears to reflect the agreements General Secretary Gorbachev and I made at Reykjavik on longer-range INF missile limits and to accept the principle of global equality between our two countries in regard to shorter-range INF missile systems (SRINF).

Nevertheless, important issues remain to be resolved before an INF agreement can be concluded, including verification and shorter-range INF missiles. Verification is a particularly crucial issue. While the Soviet draft indicates that they will seek agreement in some basic areas which we require for effective verification, they have yet to provide the all-important details which are essential to working out an effective verification regime. In addition, they have not met our requirements for inspection of sites suspected of violations of an INF agreement.

Another major issue is that of shorter-range INF missile systems. We and our Allies continue to insist that an agreement on these systems must be bilateral in nature, global in scope, concurrent with an initial INF treaty and effectively verifiable. In addition, Soviet efforts to include the missiles of any country other than the US and USSR are patently unacceptable. We are continuing our close consultations with our allies in Europe and Asia on SRINF and other INF issues.

Our negotiators in Geneva -- led by Ambassadors Max Kampelman, Mike Glitman and Ron Lehman -- have done an excellent job and they continue to have very full agendas. We are well prepared for hard bargaining, and we are resolved to do our part to bring about -- for the first time in history -- actual reductions in nuclear weapons. It is up to the Soviets now to demonstrate similar determination to move ahead on these important issues.

There is one final point which I want to emphasize. Despite all the progress that has been made in Geneva, there are events occurring right here at home which could destroy the groundwork which we have laid so carefully in bringing the Soviets back to the negotiating table and getting them to negotiate seriously for the first time on deep reductions in our respective nuclear arsenals. An effort has been made by some Members of the House of Representatives to attach to the Defense Authorization Bill amendments on arms control which would pull the rug out from under our negotiators and undermine our most vital defense programs — such as our Strategic Defense Initiative. And now it seems that some Senators want to move in the same direction.

bill which cuts back our ability to defend ourselves and leaves the Soviet Union free to continue its military buildup.

Document No.	

ASAP

### WHITE HOUSE STAFFING MEMORANDUM

DATE:	5/4/87	ACTION/CONCURR	ENCE/CO	DMMENT DUE BY: ASA	AP	
SUBJECT:	PRESIDENTIA	AL STATEMENT	ON OP	ENING OF START D	&S	
		ACTION	FYI		ACTIO	N FYI
VICE F	RESIDENT			GRISCOM	<b>D</b>	
BAKE	R '		0	HENKEL		
DUBE	RSTEIN		V	HOBBS		
MILLE	R - OMB			KING		
BALL				MASENG		
BAUE	<b>R</b> .			MILLER - ADMIN.		10
CARL	JCCI			RISQUE		
CRIBB				RYAN		
CULV	AHOUSE			SPRINKEL		
DAW	SON	P	pos	TUTTLE		
DONA	TELLI					
FITZW	ATER					
REMARKS:			·			
	Please ret AS SOON AS	turn comments, S POSSIBLE th:	/recor is mon	mmendations to my rning. Thank you can be a constant of the co	office	
RESPONSE:			$\hat{\mathcal{S}}$	iscon perí de st	•	

#### PRESIDENTIAL STATEMENT

#### Opening of START AND D & S NEGOTIATING GROUPS - NST

Tomorrow marks the opening in Geneva of the eighth round in our negotiations with the Soviet Union on strategic arms reductions and strategic defense issues. With the negotiations on intermediate-range nuclear forces having resumed on April 23, all three negotiating groups of the Nuclear and Space Talks will now be underway.

The United States remains fully committed to achieving deep, equitable, verifiable and stabilizing reductions in the U.S. and Soviet nuclear arsenals. During his meetings in Moscow last month, Secretary Shultz made progress that moved us closer to such reductions. We are determined to build on this progress.

Since the early days of my Administration, our number one arms control objective has been the achievement of significant and verifiable reductions of offensive nuclear forces -- particularly the most destabilizing weapons, fast-flying ballistic missiles.

I have directed our U.S. START Negotiator to intensify efforts to reach agreement on reducing strategic offensive nuclear arms by fifty percent. Toward that end, the United States intends to table shortly a draft START treaty text. This text will reflect the basic agreements on strategic arms reductions reached by General Secretary Gorbachev and myself in our meeting at Reykjavik last October. It will be responsive as well to Soviet concerns expressed subsequent to Reykjavik, and will provide ample basis for the creation of a fair and durable START agreement.

We have made great progress in START. I am firmly convinced that a START Agreement is within our grasp, even this year, if the Soviets are prepared to resolve the remaining outstanding issues. And most important among these issues is the need, for the purpose of ensuring strategic stability, to place sublimits on ballistic missile warheads.

We will likewise be making a new move in the Defense and Space area. Our negotiators return to Geneva ready to place on the negotiating table the new U.S. proposal which Secretary Shultz discussed during his Moscow meetings. This new proposal incorporates the following elements:

- -- Both the U.S. and the Soviet Union would commit through 1994 not to withdraw from the Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty.
- -- This commitment would be contingent on implementation of agreed START reductions, i.e., fifty percent cuts to equal levels of 1600 strategic nuclear delivery vehicles and 6000 warheads, with appropriate sublimits, over seven years from entry into force of a START agreement.

hon

-- The agreement would not alter the sovereign rights of the parties under customary international law to withdraw in the event of material breach of the agreement or jeopardy to their supreme interests.

-- After 1994, either side could deploy defensive systems of its choosing, unless mutually agreed otherwise.

To build mutual confidence by further enhancing predictability in the area of strategic defense, and in response to stated Soviet concerns, we are also proposing that the U.S. and the Soviet Union annually exchange data on their planned strategic defense activities. We also seek to have the US and USSR carry out reciprocal briefings on their respective strategic defense efforts and visits to associated research facilities, as we have proposed in our Open Laboratories Initiative. In addition, we have proposed establishing mutually agreed procedures for reciprocal observation of strategic defense testing.

Since the April 23 opening of the INF negotiations in Geneva, there have been some new developments in these talks. Last week, the Soviet Union presented a detailed draft INF treaty text which now joins our own draft text on the negotiating table. We are studying carefully the Soviet proposal and requesting the Soviets to clarify some important points in their text.

The Soviet proposal appears to reflect the agreements General Secretary Gorbachev and I made at Reykjavik on longer-range INF missile limits and to accept the principle of global equality between our two countries in regard to shorter-range INF missile systems (SRINF).

Nevertheless, important issues remain to be resolved before an INF agreement can be concluded, including verification and shorter-range INF missiles. Verification is a particularly crucial issue. While the Soviet draft indicates that they will seek agreement in some basic areas which we require for effective verification, they have yet to provide the all-important details which are essential to working out an effective verification regime. In addition, they have not met our requirements for inspection of sites suspected of violations of an INF agreement.

Another major issue is that of shorter-range INF missile systems. We and our Allies continue to insist that an agreement on these systems must be bilateral in nature, global in scope, concurrent with an initial INF treaty and effectively verifiable. In addition, Soviet efforts to include the missiles of any country other than the US and USSR are patently unacceptable. We are continuing our close consultations with our allies in Europe and Asia on SRINF and other INF issues.

Our negotiators in Geneva -- led by Ambassadors Max Kampelman, Mike Glitman and Ron Lehman -- have done an excellent job and they continue to have very full agendas. We are well prepared for hard bargaining, and we are resolved to do our part to bring about -- for the first time in history -- actual reductions in nuclear weapons. It is up to the Soviets now to demonstrate similar determination to move ahead on these important issues.

There is one final point which I want to emphasize. Despite all the progress that has been made in Geneva, there are events occurring right here at home which could destroy the groundwork which we have laid so carefully in bringing the Soviets back to the negotiating table and getting them to negotiate seriously for the first time on deep reductions in our respective nuclear arsenals. An effort has been made by some Members of the House of Representatives to attach to the Defense Authorization Bill amendments on arms control which would pull the rug out from under our negotiators and undermine our most vital defense programs — such as our Strategic Defense Initiative. And now it seems that some Senators want to move in the same direction.

Let there be no mistake about it: I will veto any bill which cuts back our ability to defend ourselves and leaves the Soviet Union free to continue its military buildup.

office or he

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

ReceivedSS 1987 MAY -4 PN 3: 05

May 4, 1987

MEMORANDUM FOR RHETT B. DAWSON

ASSISTANT TO THE PRESIDENT FOR OPERATIONS

FROM:

ARTHUR B. CULVAHOUSE, JR. COUNSEL TO THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT:

Presidential Statement on Opening of START and

Defense & Space Talks

As requested, this office has reviewed the proposed Presidential statement to be issued in connection with tomorrow's opening in Geneva of the eighth round of START and strategic defense talks with the Soviet Union.

The description of the draft statement of the proposal to be placed on the table tomorrow in the Defense and Space area may be flawed. It implies that, under the proposal, deployment of SDI at any time prior to 1994 would be prohibited. In fact, the proposal, as described in the first "bullet" at the bottom of page 1, would merely commit the United States not to withdraw from the ABM Treaty until 1994. Since under the so-called "broad" interpretation of the ABM Treaty, early deployment of SDI is not prohibited even at this time, we should create no implication that deployment might be delayed under the proposal unless that is the intent.

For this reason, the last "bullet," which appears at the top of page 2, should be rewritten as follows:

-- After 1994, either side could deploy defensive systems theretofore prohibited by the Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty, unless mutually agreed otherwise.

Thank you for bringing this matter to our attention.

NSC/S PROFILE UNCLASSIFIED

49019055 PRO14-08 RO

RECEIVED 04 MAY 87 12

TO

CARLUCCI

FROM DAWSON, R

DOCDATE 04 MAY 87

KEYWORDS: START

ARMS CONTROL

USSR

PUBLIC STATEMENTS

WH REFERRAL

SUBJECT: DRAFT PRES STATEMENT RE OPENING OF START & D & S NEGOTIATING GRPS /

NST

ACTION: MEMO GREEN TO DAWSON DUE: 04 MAY 87 STATUS S FILES WH

**,** 

FOR ACTION

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR INFO

LINHARD ERMARTH

GREEN

PEARSON

CARLUCCI

COMMENTS

,
<b>-</b> ₽1
rp-

DISPATCH W/ATTCH FILE

I walked to Dawson and Fitz water.

### National Security Council The White House

		System # _				
110	: 25	Package # _	3339			
87 MAY 4 All		DOCLOG	A/O			
	SEQUENCE TO	HAS SEEN	DISPOSITION			
Bob Pearson						
Marybel Batjer						
Grant Green						
Colin Powell						
Paul Thompson						
Frank Carlucci						
Grant Green	4					
NSC Secretariat	2	Cer 5				
NSC Secretariat		·				
Situation Room						
		1				
I = Information A = Action	R = Retain	D = Dispatch N	= No further Action			
cc: VP Baker	Other					
COMMENTS	Should be s	Should be seen by:				

(Date/Time)

	3339	
Document No.		

**ASAP** 

## WHITE HOUSE STAFFING MEMORANDUM

ACTION/CONCURRENCE/COMMENT DUE RY

	ACTION FYI			ACTION FY	
VICE PRESIDENT			GRISCOM		
BAKER			HENKEL		
DUBERSTEIN		E.	HOBBS		
MILLER - OMB			KING		
BALL			MASENG		
BAUER			MILLER - ADMIN.		-1
CARLUCCI	The last of the la		RISQUE		
CRIBB			RYAN		
CULVAHOUSE			SPRINKEL		
DAWSON	□P	Des	TUTTLE		
DONATELLI					=
FITZWATER					_

Executive Secretary

Rhett Dawson Ext. 2702

cc: Marlin Fitzwater

DATE: 5/4/87

#### PRESIDENTIAL STATEMENT

Opening of START AND D & S NEGOTIATING GROUPS - NST

Tomorrow marks the opening in Geneva of the eighth round in our negotiations with the Soviet Union on strategic arms reductions and strategic defense issues. With the negotiations on intermediate-range nuclear forces having resumed on April 23, all three negotiating groups of the Nuclear and Space Talks will now be underway.

The United States remains fully committed to achieving deep, equitable, verifiable and stabilizing reductions in the U.S. and Soviet nuclear arsenals. During his meetings in Moscow last month, Secretary Shultz made progress that moved us closer to such reductions. We are determined to build on this progress.

Since the early days of my Administration, our number one arms control objective has been the achievement of significant and verifiable reductions of offensive nuclear forces -- particularly the most destabilizing weapons, fast-flying ballistic missiles.

I have directed our U.S. START Negotiator to intensify efforts to reach agreement on reducing strategic offensive nuclear arms by fifty percent. Toward that end, the United States intends to will table shortly a draft START treaty text. This text will reflect the basic agreements on strategic arms reductions reached by General Secretary Gorbachev and myself in our meeting at Reykjavik last October. It will be responsive as well to Soviet concerns expressed subsequent to Revkjavik, and will provide ample basis for the creation of a fair and durable START agreement.

We have made great progress in START. I am firmly convinced that a START Agreement is within our grasp, even this year, if the Soviets are prepared to resolve the remaining outstanding issues. And most important among these issues is the need, for the purpose of ensuring strategic stability, to place sublimits on ballistic missile warheads.

We will likewise be making a new move in the Defense and Space area. Our negotiators return to Geneva ready to place on the negotiating table the new U.S. proposal which Secretary Shultz discussed during his Moscow meetings. This new proposal incorporates the following elements:

- -- Both the U.S. and the Soviet Union would commit through 1994 not to withdraw from the Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty.
- -- This commitment would be contingent on implementation of agreed START reductions, i.e., fifty percent cuts to equal levels of 1600 strategic nuclear delivery vehicles and 6000 warheads, with appropriate sublimits, over seven years from entry into force of a START agreement.

End of padement

- -- The agreement would not alter the sovereign rights of the parties under customary international law to withdraw in the event of material breach of the agreement or jeopardy to their supreme interests.
- -- After 1994, either side could deploy defensive systems of its choosing, unless mutually agreed otherwise.

To build mutual confidence by further enhancing predictability in the area of strategic defense, and in response to stated Soviet concerns, we are also proposing that the U.S. and the Soviet Union annually exchange data on their planned strategic defense activities. We also seek to have the US and USSR carry out reciprocal briefings on their respective strategic defense efforts and visits to associated research facilities, as we have proposed in our Open Laboratories Initiative. In addition, we have proposed establishing mutually agreed procedures for reciprocal observation of strategic defense testing.

Since the April 23 opening of the INF negotiations in Geneva, there have been some new developments in these talks. Last week, the Soviet Union presented a detailed draft INF treaty text which now joins our own draft text on the negotiating table. We are studying carefully the Soviet proposal and requesting the Soviets to clarify some important points in their text.

The Soviet proposal appears to reflect the agreements General Secretary Gorbachev and I made at Reykjavik on longer-range INF missile limits and to accept the principle of global equality between our two countries in regard to shorter-range INF missile systems (SRINF).

Nevertheless, important issues remain to be resolved before an INF agreement can be concluded, including verification and shorter-range INF missiles. Verification is a particularly crucial issue. While the Soviet draft indicates that they will seek agreement in some basic areas which we require for effective verification, they have yet to provide the all-important details which are essential to working out an effective verification regime. In addition, they have not met our requirements for inspection of sites suspected of violations of an INF agreement.

Another major issue is that of shorter-range INF missile systems. We and our Allies continue to insist that an agreement on these systems must be bilateral in nature, global in scope, concurrent with an initial INF treaty and effectively verifiable. In addition, Soviet efforts to include the missiles of any country other than the US and USSR are patently unacceptable. We are continuing our close consultations with our allies in Europe and Asia on SRINF and other INF issues.

Our negotiators in Geneva -- led by Ambassadors Max Kampelman, Mike Glitman and Ron Lehman -- have done an excellent job and they continue to have very full agendas. We are well prepared for hard bargaining, and we are resolved to do our part to bring about -- for the first time in history -- actual reductions in nuclear weapons. It is up to the Soviets now to demonstrate similar determination to move ahead on these important issues.

There is one final point which I want to emphasize. Despite all the progress that has been made in Geneva, there are events occurring right here at home which could destroy the groundwork which we have laid so carefully in bringing the Soviets back to the negotiating table and getting them to negotiate seriously for the first time on deep reductions in our respective nuclear arsenals. An effort has been made by some Members of the House of Representatives to attach to the Defense Authorization Bill amendments on arms control which would pull the rug out from under our negotiators and undermine our most vital defense programs — such as our Strategic Defense Initiative. And now it seems that some Senators want to move in the same direction.

Therefore, Let there be no mistake about it: I will veto any bill which cuts back our ability to defend ourselves and leaves the Soviet Union free to continue its military buildup.

TIME STAMP

### NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL **EXECUTIVE SECRETARY REFERRAL**

3339

Prepa	PFFICER: LIN  are Memo Green to To  are Memo Green to Do  are Memo Green to Speare Memo	lan eakes	to	DUE:	RGENT
			IN MARGIN BESIDE C	HANGES.	
	ENCES/COMMENTS* o action officer at ext.	5865	DUE: IMM	EDIATLEY	<del></del>
	Batjer		Howard		Platt
	Brooks		Kelly, B.		Pugliaresi
	Burns		Kelly, J.		Raymond
	Childress		Kissell		Reger
	Cobb		Kraemer		Rosenberg
	Cohen		Lavin		Ross
	Collins		Lenczowski		Saunders
	Danzansky		Lewis		Sestanovich
	Dean, B		Linhard		Sommer
	Dobriansky		Mahley		Sorzano
	Donley		Major		Stark
	Douglass		May		Steiner
X	Ermarth		McNamara		Stevens
	Farrar		Mingle		St Martin
	Flower		Oakley		Tahir-Kheli
	Grimes		Paal		Thompson
					Tillman
INFORMA	TION Green	1	Pearson  Cockell		Secretariat  Exec. Sec. Desk

# WHITE HOUSE CORRESPONDENCE TRACKING WORKSHEET

O · OUTGOING				
☐ H - INTERNAL ☐ I - INCOMING			•	
Date Correspondence Received (YY/MM/DD)				
Name of Correspondent: Pho	to Poeuson			
			Care a	
☐ MI Mail Report	User Codes: (A)		(B)	(C)
Subject: Presidential &	tatement !	on Open	ing of \$	tart DES
(Defense an	d Space)	V	0	
	, ,	,		
				-
ROUTE TO:	AC	TION	DIS	POSITION
	Action	Tracking	Туре	Completion
Office/Agency (Staff Name)	Action Code	Date YY/MM/DD	of Response	Code YY/MM/DD
cuhall	ORIGINATOR	82,05,04	1	C87,05,04
	Referral Note:	APC A		
cust 24	D	87105104		C87105104 RG
	Referral Note:		-	
cuculv		87105104		C87105104 R
	Referral Note:	may 487	ABC mem	ato Chett Dawson
		1 1	Ł	
	Referral Note:			
	Hiller -	1. 1	-	
THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NA	Referral Note:		1	
ACTION CODES:			DISPOSITION CODES	
A - Appropriate Action C - Comment/Recommendation D - Draft Response	I · Info Copy Only/No A R · Direct Reply w/Copy S · For Signature	ction Necessary	A - Answered B - Non-Special Ref	C - Completed ferral S - Suspended
F - Furnish Fact Sheet to be used as Enclosure	X - Interim Reply	,	FOR OUTGOING COR	
NEC		ERYT LO	Code	= Initials of Signer = "A" = Date of Outgoing
			Completion Date	- Date of Odigoing
Comments:		7		
			<	

Keep this worksheet attached to the original incoming letter.

Send all routing updates to Central Reference (Room 75, OEOB).

Always return completed correspondence record to Central Files.

Refer questions about the correspondence tracking system to Central Reference, ext. 2590.

### **RECORDS MANAGEMENT ONLY**

	CLASSIFICATION SECTION	
No. of Additional Correspondents: Media:	Individual Codes:	
Prime Subject Code:	Secondary Subject Codes:	
	PRESIDENTIAL REPLY	
Code Date	Comment	Form
The state of the s		
c	Time:	P.
DSP	Time:	Media:
SIGNATURE CODES:	MEDIA CODES:	
CPn - Presidential Correspondence n - 0 - Unknown	B - Box/package C - Copy	
n - 1 - Ronald Wilson Reagan n - 2 - Ronald Reagan	D - Official docum G - Message	ent
n - 3 - Ron n - 4 - Dutch	H - Handcarried	
n - 6 - Ron Reagan n - 6 - Ronald	L - Letter M- Mailgram	
n - 7 - Ronnie	O - Memo	
Cin. First Ladvin Correspondence	P - Photo R - Report	
CLn - First Lady's Correspondence n - 0 - Unknown	S - Sealed	
n - 1 - Nancy Reagan	T - Telegram V - Telephone	
n - 2 - Nancy n - 3 - Mrs. Ronald Reagan	X - Miscellaneous	
CBn - Presidential & First Lady's Corres n - 1 - Ronald Reagan - Nancy Reaga n - 2 - Ron - Nancy	pondence an	

PSYTOSHEER の「LINCKINES MEDICAL LANGE 」。 会社場合は変更がある。

ABC/CCC:jmy
ABCulvahouse
CCCox
Chron.

#### THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

May 4, 1987

MEMORANDUM FOR RHETT B. DAWSON

ASSISTANT TO THE PRESIDENT FOR OPERATIONS

FROM:

ARTHUR B. CULVAHOUSE, JR. COUNSEL TO THE PRESIDENT Original Signed by ABC

SUBJECT:

Presidential Statement on Opening of START and

Defense & Space Talks

As requested, this office has reviewed the proposed Presidential statement to be issued in connection with tomorrow's opening in Geneva of the eighth round of START and strategic defense talks with the Soviet Union.

The description of the draft statement of the proposal to be placed on the table tomorrow in the Defense and Space area may be flawed. It implies that, under the proposal, deployment of SDI at any time prior to 1994 would be prohibited. In fact, the proposal, as described in the first "bullet" at the bottom of page 1, would merely commit the United States not to withdraw from the ABM Treaty until 1994. Since under the so-called "broad" interpretation of the ABM Treaty, early deployment of SDI is not prohibited even at this time, we should create no implication that deployment might be delayed under the proposal unless that <u>is</u> the intent.

For this reason, the last "bullet," which appears at the top of page 2, should be rewritten as follows:

-- After 1994, either side could deploy defensive systems theretofore prohibited by the Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty, unless mutually agreed otherwise.

Thank you for bringing this matter to our attention.

#### THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

May 4, 1987



MEMORANDUM FOR ARTHUR B. CULVAHOUSE, JR.

FROM:

C. CHRISTOPHER COX /

SUBJECT:

Presidential Statement on Opening of START and

Defense & Space Talks

As requested, the attached memorandum is for your initials. The suggested revision of the description of the new proposal linking START reductions and the ABM Treaty is necessary to preserve the "broad" interpretation of the ABM Treaty as it applies to SDI.

Document No	
-------------	--

### WHITE HOUSE STAFFING MEMORANDUM

ATE: 5/4/87	ACTION/CONCURR	ENCE/C	OMMENT DUE BY: ASAP			
UBJECT: PRESIDENTIA	AL STATEMENT	ON OP	ENING OF START D&S	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
ACTION FYI					ACTION FYI	
VICE PRESIDENT			GRISCOM			
BAKER		0	HENKEL			
DUBERSTEIN		E	HOBBS			
MILLER - OMB			KING			
BALL			MASENG			
BAUER			MILLER - ADMIN.		•	
CARLUCCI			RISQUE			
CRIBB			RYAN			
CULVAHOUSE			SPRINKEL			
DAWSON	□P	<b>1255</b>	TUTTLE			
DONATELLI						
FITZWATER						

AS SOON AS POSSIBLE this morning. Thank you

**RESPONSE:** 



1987 MAY -4 AM 9: 06