

# Ronald Reagan Presidential Library

## Digital Library Collections

---

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual collections.

---

**WHORM Subject File Code: CO113**

(Countries: New Zealand)

**Case File Number(s): 330000-349999**

**Box Number: 135**

---

To see more digitized collections visit:

<https://www.reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digitized-textual-material>

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library inventories visit:

<https://www.reaganlibrary.gov/archives/white-house-inventories>

Contact a reference archivist at: **reagan.library@nara.gov**

Citation Guidelines: <https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/research-support/citation-guide>

National Archives Catalogue: <https://catalog.archives.gov/>

*Last Updated: 03/18/2025*

# WITHDRAWAL SHEET

## Ronald Reagan Library

**Collection Name** WHITE HOUSE OFFICE OF RECORDS MANAGEMENT  
(WHORM): SUBJECT FILE

**Withdrawer**

DLB 7/6/2010

**File Folder** CO113 (NEW ZEALAND) (330000-3499999)

**FOIA**

S10-306

**Box Number** 135

SYSTEMATIC

844

ID	Doc Type	Document Description	No of Pages	Doc Date	Restrictions
94286	MEMO	DAVID LAUX TO ROBERT MCFARLANE, RE: SEPTEMBER 4 BREAKFAST AGENDA ITEM: NEW ZEALAND PORT ACCESS ISSUE, PAGE 1 ONLY , ANNOTATED	1	9/3/1985	B1
94287	MEMO	ORIGINAL OF #94286	4	9/3/1985	B1
94288	LETTER	J. EDWARD FOX TO CONG. SOLARZ, RE: NEW ZEALAND <b>R 6/8/2023 DEPT. OF STATE WAIVER</b>	1	ND	B1
94289	LETTER	DUPLICATE OF #94288 <b>R 6/8/2023 DEPT. OF STATE WAIVER</b>	1	ND	B1

**The above documents were not referred for declassification review at time of processing**

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]

B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]

B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]

B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]

B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]

B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]

B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]

B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

MEMORANDUM

## NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

May 3, 1985

MEMORANDUM FOR RONALD K. PETERSON

FROM: ROBERT M. KIMMITT Bol

SUBJECT: Comments on Draft Senate Resolution 66

The NSC concurs with the State Department comments on Draft Senate Resolution 66 contained in the draft letter from Acting Assistant Secretary J. Edward Fox at Tab A. I believe David Laux of our staff has been in touch with Tracey Lawler on this by phone.

Attachment

Tab A Suggestions from the State Dept.

332759

CO 113

LE

FG 006-12

NSC # 850 2120



2120

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT  
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20503

March 12, 1985

**SPECIAL**

LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL MEMORANDUM

TO:                   Legislative Liaison Officer-  
National Security Council ✓  
Department of Commerce  
Department of Defense  
United States Trade Representative

SUBJECT:           State draft report on S.Res. 66, "Expressing  
the sense of the Senate with respect to certain  
matters involving the Government of New Zealand  
and the United States."

The Office of Management and Budget requests the views of your agency on the above subject before advising on its relationship to the program of the President, in accordance with OMB Circular A-19.

A response to this request for your views is needed no later than FRIDAY, APRIL 5, 1985.

Questions should be referred to Sue Thau/Tracey Lawler(395-7300 ) the legislative analyst in this office.

*Ronald K. Peterson*  
RONALD K. PETERSON FOR  
Assistant Director for  
Legislative Reference

**Enclosures**

cc: B. Howard  
J. Jukes  
D. Gessaman  
E. Strait





United States Department of State

Washington, D.C. 20520

Dear Mr. Chairman:

We have for reply your request dated February 14, for Executive Branch comments on S. Res. 66, "Expressing the sense of the Senate with respect to certain matters involving the Government of New Zealand and the United States," which was submitted by Senator Cohen on February 6, 1985.

The Executive Branch would not have any objection to the proposed legislation if two alterations were made:

Operative paragraph 2(B) We believe that it would be ill-advised to raise the question of a bilateral security treaty with Australia. Accordingly, the words "bilateral security treaty" might usefully be replaced with the words "continued and enhanced cooperation."

1 - OK

Operative paragraph 2(C) We believe the word "generally" should be inserted before the word "preclude" to leave room for discretionary, case-by-case consideration.

1 - OK

Operative paragraph 2(D) and preambular paragraphs 11 and 12 (Concerning export subsidies and the "injury test.") We believe these paragraphs should be deleted. Such trade issues should be dealt with on their own merits and not linked to the military port access issue.

1 - OK

The Office of Management and Budget advises that from the standpoint of the Administration's program there is no objection to the submission of this report.

Sincerely,

J. Edward Fox  
Acting Assistant Secretary  
Legislative and Intergovernmental Affairs

The Honorable  
Richard G. Lugar,  
Chairman,  
Committee on Foreign Relations,  
United States Senate.

99TH CONGRESS  
1ST SESSION

## S. RES. 66

Expressing the sense of the Senate with respect to certain matters involving the Government of New Zealand and the United States.

---

### IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

FEBRUARY 6 (legislative day, JANUARY 21), 1985

Mr. COHEN (for himself, Mr. DOLE, Mr. SIMPSON, Mr. RUDMAN, Mr. BINGAMAN, Mr. BOSCHWITZ, Mr. GORTON, Mr. SYMMS, and Mr. WILSON) submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations

---

## RESOLUTION

Expressing the sense of the Senate with respect to certain matters involving the Government of New Zealand and the United States.

Whereas the governments of United States, Australia, and New Zealand are parties to a trilateral security treaty signed on September 1, 1951, and commonly known as ANZUS;

Whereas the ANZUS alliance was formed "to coordinate their efforts for collective defense" and "to declare publicly and formally their sense of unity, so that no potential aggressor could be under the illusion that any of them stands alone in the Pacific Area";



Whereas all partners of the ANZUS alliance benefit from and are expected to bear equally the burdens of the Alliance;

Whereas the need for cooperation among the ANZUS partners has increased with the growth of Soviet military activity in the Pacific and Indian Oceans;

Whereas the free world, including New Zealand, enjoys the benefits of international trade on a scope unparalleled in history;

Whereas the security of this trade depends upon the free world's ability and will to defend the sea lines of communications;

Whereas the Government of New Zealand has officially adopted a policy which precludes many ships of the United States Navy from entering its ports;

Whereas such policy detracts from the security of all partners of the ANZUS Alliance, individually and collectively;

Whereas such policy grossly impairs the ability of the ANZUS partners to "coordinate their efforts for collective defense" and detracts from their "sense of unity";

Whereas the Government of New Zealand will not be in compliance with the Subsidies Code of the General Agreement on Trade and Tariffs as of April 1, 1985, because of its decision to maintain export subsidies on certain agricultural commodities beyond that date; and

Whereas, after March 31, 1985, as a result of such noncompliance by the Government of New Zealand, the United States will no longer be obligated under such Subsidies Code to extend to New Zealand the benefits of the injury test provided under section 701(a)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1671(a)(2)): Now therefore, be it

1     *Resolved*, That it is the sense of the Senate that—

2             (1) it would be in the best interests of allied secu-  
3     rity and unity for each member country of the Security  
4     Treaty Between Australia, New Zealand, and the  
5     United States, commonly known as ANZUS, to rein-  
6     state the practice of permitting all naval ships of the  
7     other member countries access to the ports of such  
8     member country; and

9             (2) the President should—

10            (A) continue discussions with the Govern-  
11     ment of New Zealand aimed at resolving the  
12     present impasse regarding access of United States  
13     naval ships to the ports of New Zealand,

14            (B) explore with the Government of Austra-  
15     lia the desirability and feasibility of a bilateral se-  
16     curity treaty,

17            (C) until the present impasse between the  
18     United States and New Zealand is resolved, pre-  
19     clude New Zealand from participating in military  
20     and related activities with the United States, and

21            (D) exercise the right of the United States to  
22     withdraw from New Zealand the benefits of the  
23     injury test provided under section 701(a)(2) of the  
24     Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1671(a)(2)).



## MEMORANDUM

## NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

ACTION

May 2, 1985

MEMORANDUM FOR ROBERT M. KIMMITT

FROM: DAVID N. LAUX

SIGNED

SUBJECT: NSC Comments on Draft Senate Resolution 66

Ronald Peterson sent you a memo requesting NSC comments on Draft Senate Resolution 66, "Expressing the sense of the Senate with respect to certain matters involving the Government of New Zealand and the United States" (package at Tab II). The original due date was April 5. I requested an extension because there are two other draft resolutions on New Zealand in the works (Congressmen Solarz and Leach) and I wanted to ensure that the Administration's position on all three was consistent. Tracey Lawler telephoned me today that she now needs our position. I have doublechecked with State and believe we should concur with the State comments (included at Tab A) which embody three key features:

- a) deleting the proposal to explore the "desirability and feasibility of a bilateral security treaty" with Australia (and substituting "continued and enhanced cooperation");
- b) deleting the portions referring to trade matters, on the grounds that they should be dealt with on their own merits and not linked to the military port access issue;
- c) modifying slightly the provision on military cooperation to leave us room for some flexibility.

Gaston Sigur and Ron Sable concur.

Recommendation

That you sign the memo to Ron Peterson at Tab I.

Approve   K   Disapprove           

## Attachments

Tab I       Memorandum for Ron Peterson.  
            Tab A     D/S suggestions  
Tab II      The incoming material from OMB

99TH CONGRESS  
1ST SESSION

## S. RES. 66

Expressing the sense of the Senate with respect to certain matters involving the Government of New Zealand and the United States.

---

### IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

FEBRUARY 6 (legislative day, JANUARY 21), 1985

Mr. COHEN (for himself, Mr. DOLE, Mr. SIMPSON, Mr. RUDMAN, Mr. BINGAMAN, Mr. BOSCHWITZ, Mr. GORTON, Mr. SYMMS, and Mr. WILSON) submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations

---

## RESOLUTION

Expressing the sense of the Senate with respect to certain matters involving the Government of New Zealand and the United States.

Whereas the governments of United States, Australia, and New Zealand are parties to a trilateral security treaty signed on September 1, 1951, and commonly known as ANZUS;

Whereas the ANZUS alliance was formed "to coordinate their efforts for collective defense" and "to declare publicly and formally their sense of unity, so that no potential aggressor could be under the illusion that any of them stands alone in the Pacific Area";

Whereas all partners of the ANZUS alliance benefit from and are expected to bear equally the burdens of the Alliance;

Whereas the need for cooperation among the ANZUS partners has increased with the growth of Soviet military activity in the Pacific and Indian Oceans;

Whereas the free world, including New Zealand, enjoys the benefits of international trade on a scope unparalleled in history;

Whereas the security of this trade depends upon the free world's ability and will to defend the sea lines of communications;

Whereas the Government of New Zealand has officially adopted a policy which precludes many ships of the United States Navy from entering its ports;

Whereas such policy detracts from the security of all partners of the ANZUS Alliance, individually and collectively;

Whereas such policy grossly impairs the ability of the ANZUS partners to "coordinate their efforts for collective defense" and detracts from their "sense of unity";

Whereas the Government of New Zealand will not be in compliance with the Subsidies Code of the General Agreement on Trade and Tariffs as of April 1, 1985, because of its decision to maintain export subsidies on certain agricultural commodities beyond that date; and

Whereas, after March 31, 1985, as a result of such noncompliance by the Government of New Zealand, the United States will no longer be obligated under such Subsidies Code to extend to New Zealand the benefits of the injury test provided under section 701(a)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1671(a)(2)): Now therefore, be it



1       *Resolved*, That it is the sense of the Senate that—

2           (1) it would be in the best interests of allied secu-  
3       rity and unity for each member country of the Security  
4       Treaty Between Australia, New Zealand, and the  
5       United States, commonly known as ANZUS, to rein-  
6       state the practice of permitting all naval ships of the  
7       other member countries access to the ports of such  
8       member country; and

9           (2) the President should—

10           (A) continue discussions with the Govern-  
11       ment of New Zealand aimed at resolving the  
12       present impasse regarding access of United States  
13       naval ships to the ports of New Zealand,

14           (B) explore with the Government of Austra-  
15       lia the desirability and feasibility of a bilateral se-  
16       curity treaty,

17           (C) until the present impasse between the  
18       United States and New Zealand is resolved, pre-  
19       clude New Zealand from participating in military  
20       and related activities with the United States, and

21           (D) exercise the right of the United States to  
22       withdraw from New Zealand the benefits of the  
23       injury test provided under section 701(a)(2) of the  
24       Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1671(a)(2)).

○

**National Security Council  
The White House**

System # I

Package # 2120

85 MAY 2 6:48

1744

	SEQUENCE TO	HAS SEEN	DISPOSITION
Bob Pearson	<u>1</u>	<u>P</u>	
Bob Kimmitt	<u>2</u>	<u>K</u>	
John Poindexter			
Paul Thompson			
Wilma Hall			
Bud McFarlane			
Bob Kimmitt	<u>4</u>	<u>K</u>	
NSC Secretariat	<u>5</u>		<u>D</u>
Situation Room			
<u>Noise</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>✓</u>	<u>redo</u>

I = Information

A = Action

R = Retain

D = Dispatch

N = No further Action

cc: VP Meese Regan Deaver Other \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS

Should be seen by: \_\_\_\_\_

(Date/Time)

82 MAY 3 9:25:48



NSC/S PROFILE

UNCLASSIFIED

ID 8502120

RECEIVED 16 MAR 85 09

TO

NSC

FROM PETERSON, R

DOCDATE 12 MAR 85

KEYWORDS: NEW ZEALAND

LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL

SUBJECT: STATE DRAFT RPT ON SR-66 RE NEW ZEALAND

ACTION: PREPARE MEMO RMK TO PETERSON DUE 30 MAR 85 STATUS S FILES WH

FOR ACTION

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR INFO

LAUX

SIGUR

LEHMAN, C

CHILDRESS

SABLE

COMMENTS

REF#

LOG

NSCIFID

( V )

ACTION-OFFICER (S) ASSIGNED ACTION REQUIRED DUE COPIES TO

Kimmit	X 5/2	for signature		
C 5/3		Kimmit sfd		Lx, PK

DISPATCH

W/ATTCH

FILE

(C)



# WITHDRAWAL SHEET

Ronald Reagan Library

342693

*Collection Name*

WHITE HOUSE OFFICE OF RECORDS MANAGEMENT  
(WHORM): SUBJECT FILE

*Withdrawer*

DLB 7/6/2010

*File Folder*

CO113 (NEW ZEALAND) (330000-3499999)

*FOIA*

S10-306  
SYSTEMATIC

*Box Number*

135

844

<i>ID</i>	<i>Document Type</i> <i>Document Description</i>	<i>No of</i> <i>pages</i>	<i>Doc Date</i>	<i>Restric-</i> <i>tions</i>
-----------	---	------------------------------	-----------------	---------------------------------

94286	MEMO	1	9/3/1985	B1
-------	------	---	----------	----

DAVID LAUX TO ROBERT MCFARLANE, RE:  
SEPTEMBER 4 BREAKFAST AGENDA ITEM: NEW  
ZEALAND PORT ACCESS ISSUE, PAGE 1 ONLY ,  
ANNOTATED

The above documents were not referred for declassification review at time of processing

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

# WITHDRAWAL SHEET

## Ronald Reagan Library

### Collection Name

WHITE HOUSE OFFICE OF RECORDS MANAGEMENT  
(WHORM): SUBJECT FILE

### Withdrawer

DLB 7/6/2010

### File Folder

CO113 (NEW ZEALAND) (330000-3499999)

### FOIA

S10-306

SYSTEMATIC

### Box Number

135

844

<i>ID</i>	<i>Document Type</i> <i>Document Description</i>	<i>No of</i> <i>pages</i>	<i>Doc Date</i>	<i>Restric-</i> <i>tions</i>
94287	MEMO  ORIGINAL OF #94286	4	9/3/1985	B1

The above documents were not referred for declassification review at time of processing

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]

B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]

B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]

B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]

B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]

B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]

B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]

B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

6842

6

From: NSWRP --CPUA  
To: NSGVE --CPUA

Date and time 08/30/85 17:12:06

NOTE FROM: BOB PEARSON  
Subject: SWM BREAKFAST9/4  
Greece action Stark, concur Sommer

Jordan-Saudia arms action Covey, concur Stark, Chris Lehman

→ New Zealand action Laux, concur Stark.

\*\*\* Forwarding note from NSBLP --CPUA 08/30/85 15:17 \*\*\*

To: NSWFM --CPUA NSWRP --CPUA

NOTE FROM: BEVERLY L. PETCHEL

SUBJECT: SWM BREAKFAST9/4

Breakfast items from State have been received. They are: (1) Greece:  
GSOMIA (F-16 issue) (2) Jordan-Saudia Arms packages (3) New Zealand-NPW  
visits. No items from Defense yet. Staffing??

cc: NSGVE	--CPUA	NSPRS	--CPUA
NSJRS	--CPUA	NSJC	--CPUA
NSDNL	--CPUA	NSCL	--CPUA
NSLSS	--CPUA	NSCEC	--CPUA
NSCMB	--CPUA	NSWRP	--CPUA
NSWFM	--CPUA		



National Security Council  
The White House

System #

Package #

85 SEP 3 P 6: 32

288  
I  
6842  
1953

	SEQUENCE TO	HAS SEEN	DISPOSITION
Bob Pearson	1	P	
William Martin	2	✓	
John Poindexter			
Paul Thompson			
Wilma Hall			
Bud McFarlane	3	M	
William Martin	4	EN	
NSC Secretariat	T		BN
Situation Room			

I = Information    A = Action    R = Retain    D = Dispatch    N = No further Action

cc: VP    Regan    Buchanan    Other \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS

Should be seen by: \_\_\_\_\_  
(Date/Time)

Breakfast item - 9/4

New Zealand Pnt Access

NSC/S PROFILE

~~SECRET~~  
UNCLASSIFIED

ID 8506842

RECEIVED 30 AUG 85 18

TO VAN ERON

FROM PEARSON

PETCHEL

LAUX

DOCDATE 30 AUG 85

30 AUG 85

03 SEP 85

KEYWORDS: NEW ZEALAND

NAVIGATIONAL FREEDOM

WEINBERGER, C

NUCLEAR MATTERS

SHULTZ, G

SUBJECT: SWM BREAKFAST ITEM 4 SEP RE NEW ZEALAND - NPW VISITS

ACTION: APPROPRIATE ACTION

DUE: 04 SEP 85 STATUS X FILES WH

FOR ACTION

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR INFO

LAUX

STARK

COMMENTS \*\*\* STAFFED PER PEARSON PROF NOTE \*\*\*

REF#

LOG

NSC/FID

( JM )

ACTION OFFICER (S) ASSIGNED

ACTION REQUIRED

DUE

COPIES TO

McFarlane	X 9/4	For decision		
-	9/5	Poundexter noted w/ comment		
C	9/5	McFarlane noted		LX'SU-JS

DISPATCH

W/ATTCH FILE

PA

54

NSC/S PROFILE

UNCLASSIFIED

ID 8506842

RECEIVED 30 AUG 85 18

TO VAN ERON FROM PEARSON  
PETCHEL

DOCDATE 30 AUG 85  
30 AUG 85

KEYWORDS: NEW ZEALAND

NAVIGATIONAL FREEDOM

WEINBERGER, C

SHULTZ, G

SUBJECT: SWM BREAKFAST ITEM 4 SEP RE NEW ZEALAND - NPW VISITS

ACTION: APPROPRIATE ACTION

DUE: 03 SEP 85 STATUS S FILES WH

FOR ACTION

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR INFO

LAUX

STARK

COMMENTS \*\*\* STAFFED PER PEARSON PROF NOTE \*\*\*

REF#

LOG

NSCIFID

( JM )

ACTION OFFICER (S) ASSIGNED ACTION REQUIRED DUE COPIES TO

Secretariat C 9/6 OBE per laux - -

DISPATCH. W/ATTCH FILE

(2)  
Jul



C. F.

2889

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT  
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20503

April 9, 1985

342751  
~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

CO113

LE

FG006-11

FG006-12

LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL MEMORANDUM

TO: Legislative Liaison Officer-  
National Security Council  
Department of Defense

SUBJECT: State draft report on draft legislation concerning  
New Zealand

The Office of Management and Budget requests the views of your agency on the above subject before advising on its relationship to the program of the President, in accordance with OMB Circular A-19.

A response to this request for your views is needed no later than WEDNESDAY, MAY 1, 1985.

Questions should be referred to Sue Thau/Tracey Lawler (395-7300 ) the legislative analyst in this office.

*Ronald K. Peterson*

RONALD K. PETERSON FOR  
Assistant Director for  
Legislative Reference

Enclosures

cc: B. Howard  
J. Eisenhower  
E. Strait  
D. Gessaman

NJC #8502889 ~~CONFIDENTIAL~~



United States Department of State

Washington, D.C. 20520

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~(UNCLASSIFIED Upon Removal of Enclosure)~~

APR 2 1985

Dear Mr. Stockman:

In accordance with established procedure, there is transmitted herewith a letter containing a proposed report on legislation on New Zealand drafted by Congressman Stephen Solarz. The Congressman has asked the Department of State for Administration views before submission of the proposed legislation.

Please inform the Department whether there is any objection to the submission of this letter.

Sincerely,

J. Edward Fox  
Acting Assistant Secretary  
Legislative and Intergovernmental Affairs

Enclosure: As stated.

The Honorable  
David A. Stockman,  
Director,  
Office of Management and Budget.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~  
DECL:OADR

MARCH 19, 1985

99TH CONGRESS  
1ST SESSION

H. CON. RES. \_\_\_\_\_

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Mr. LEACH of Iowa submitted the following concurrent resolution;  
which was referred to the Committee on

---

CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

Calling on the Government of New Zealand to reconsider its decision to deny port access to certain United States ships and urging the United States Government to pursue all avenues for dialogue with New Zealand in order to resolve this issue in a manner satisfactory to all parties.



Whereas the decision by the New Zealand Government to deny port access to United States ships which might be nuclear powered or nuclear armed has raised serious operational difficulties for United States naval forces in the performance of their obligations to defend the national security interests of the United States in the South Pacific;

Whereas this decision of the New Zealand Government also impairs the objective of maximum military cooperation between itself and the United States pursuant to Article II of the Security Treaty Between Australia, New Zealand, and the United States, signed in 1951 (establishing the ANZUS alliance), which requires the parties to ``separately and jointly by means of continuous and effective self-help and mutual aid'' to maintain their ability to resist attack;

Whereas Article IV of that Treaty also provides that in case of an attack on any of the parties, each of the others will act to meet the common danger in ``accordance with its constitutional processes'';

Whereas that Treaty also provides a mechanism whereby the three parties to the Treaty can consult with one another through the Council of Foreign Ministers;

Whereas the recent decision of the Government of New Zealand must be viewed in the context of the longstanding friendship and

close association between the peoples of the United States and the peoples of New Zealand who not only share common values and interests but who have fought side-by-side in the two World Wars of this century as well as the Korean conflict and the Vietnam conflict, and have participated jointly in numerous multilateral peacekeeping efforts under United Nations and similar auspices;

Whereas port visits for United States naval vessels are only a part of this historical, overall framework of military cooperation and coordination which includes many other functions of benefit to all parties to the ANZUS alliance;

Whereas an important aim of United States policy in response to the Government of New Zealand must be to deny to the Soviet Union any potential benefit it might otherwise derive from this temporary discord in the ANZUS alliance; and

Whereas the economic trade relationship between the United States and New Zealand is as beneficial to the United States as to New Zealand: Now, therefore, be it

- 1        *Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate*
- 2        *concurring), That it is the sense of the Congress that--*
- 3                (1) the Government of New Zealand ought to reconsider
- 4        its decision regarding port access for United States
- 5        vessels and should take immediate steps to demonstrate
- 6        its willingness to engage the United States in a



1        meaningful dialogue on this issue;

2            (2) the President should maintain a dialogue with the  
3        Government of New Zealand with a view toward achieving a  
4        satisfactory solution to the present disagreement  
5        regarding port access for United States ships and to  
6        pursue exhaustively all avenues to achieve this  
7        objective;

8            (3) the President should be commended for rejecting  
9        economic sanctions against New Zealand and encouraged to  
10       continue that policy;

11           (4) the maintenance of the Security Treaty Between  
12        Australia, New Zealand, and the United States is in the  
13        best interest of the parties to the Treaty and should not  
14        be placed in irreparable jeopardy by the recent  
15        controversy over the decision of the New Zealand  
16        government to deny port visits to certain ships of  
17        parties to the Treaty; and

18           (5) one alternative that should be pursued would be  
19        the possible endorsement by the United States Government  
20        of the principle of a nuclear-free zone for parts of the  
21        South Pacific region on the presumption that the  
22        countries in the region, including New Zealand, would  
23        support a treaty arrangement comparable to the Treaty of  
24        Tlateloco which established a nuclear-free zone for Latin  
25        America but which also allows port visits of nuclear



1       powered and nuclear armed vessels.

2       SEC. 2. The Clerk of the House of Representatives shall ..  
3 deliver a copy of this resolution to the President and shall  
4 request that the President deliver this resolution to the  
5 Ambassador of New Zealand to the United States.

NSC/S PROFILE

CONFIDENTIAL

ID 8502889

UNCLASSIFIED UPON REMOVAL  
OF CLASSIFIED ENCLOSURE(S)

RECEIVED 10 APR 85 16

TO

KIMMITT

FROM PETERSON, R

DOC DATE 09 APR 85

KEYWORDS: NEW ZEALAND

LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL

SUBJECT: STATE DRAFT RPT ON DRAFT LEGISLATION RE NEW ZEALAND / PORT ACCESS

ACTION: MEMO KIMMITT TO PETERSON

DUE: 17 APR 85 STATUS S FILES WH

FOR ACTION

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR INFO

LAUX

SIGUR

LEHMAN, C

CHILDRESS

SABLE

COMMENTS

REF#

LOG

NSCIFID

( HW )

ACTION OFFICER (S) ASSIGNED

ACTION REQUIRED

DUE

COPIES TO

C 8/27 ORE per NSC/S

DISPATCH

W/ATTCH FILE

(4)



C. F.

2889

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT  
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20503

April 9, 1985

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL MEMORANDUM

TO: Legislative Liaison Officer-  
National Security Council  
Department of Defense

SUBJECT: State draft report on draft legislation concerning  
New Zealand

The Office of Management and Budget requests the views of your agency on the above subject before advising on its relationship to the program of the President, in accordance with OMB Circular A-19.

A response to this request for your views is needed no later than WEDNESDAY, MAY 1, 1985.

Questions should be referred to Sue Thau/Tracey Lawler (395-7300 ) the legislative analyst in this office.

*Ronald K. Peterson*

RONALD K. PETERSON FOR  
Assistant Director for  
Legislative Reference

Enclosures

cc: B. Howard

J. Eisenhower

E. Strait

D. Gessaman

NSC #2502889 ~~CONFIDENTIAL~~





United States Department of State

Washington, D.C. 20520

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

(UNCLASSIFIED Upon Removal of Enclosure)

APR 2 1985

Dear Mr. Stockman:

In accordance with established procedure, there is transmitted herewith a letter containing a proposed report on legislation on New Zealand drafted by Congressman Stephen Solarz. The Congressman has asked the Department of State for Administration views before submission of the proposed legislation.

Please inform the Department whether there is any objection to the submission of this letter.

Sincerely,

J. Edward Fox  
Acting Assistant Secretary  
Legislative and Intergovernmental Affairs

Enclosure: As stated.

The Honorable  
David A. Stockman,  
Director,  
Office of Management and Budget.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~  
DECL:OADR

9428.9  
DECLASSIFIED

Authority

State Waiver

BY

di NARADATE 6/8/2023

United States Department of State

Washington, D.C. 20520

CONFIDENTIAL

Dear Mr. Chairman:

Pursuant to your request, we have reviewed carefully the draft legislation on New Zealand which you presented, March 18.

We applaud the intent of your resolution and the strong leadership you have provided in our common effort to restore port access for U.S. ships in New Zealand. We believe that legislation along the lines you propose might eventually be necessary if: (a) the New Zealand Government appears bent on making the port ban permanent through legislation, or (b) if we conclude that all possible efforts to restore port access have failed -- a conclusion that would be much too early to reach yet.

Our concern, at this time, is that additional perceived pressure on New Zealand, absent further provocation, could complicate the situation for us in third countries and make a restoration of port access more difficult, rather than less, in New Zealand where emotions have been highly aroused by our initial military and security responses to the ban. We would also want to be very careful about the possible impact of any steps we might take on our extremely important and effective mutual defense cooperation with Australia.

Accordingly, we believe it would be best to defer action along the lines of your legislation for the time being. I hope these comments are helpful to you.

The Office of Management and Budget advises that from the standpoint of the Administration's program there is no objection to the submission of this report.

Sincerely,

J. Edward Fox

Acting Assistant Secretary  
Legislative and Intergovernmental Affairs

The Honorable  
Stephen Solarz, Chairman,  
Subcommittee on East Asian and Pacific Affairs,  
Committee on Foreign Affairs,  
House of Representatives.

CONFIDENTIAL  
DECL: OADR

MARCH 19, 1985

99TH CONGRESS  
1ST SESSION

H. CON. RES. \_\_\_\_\_

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Mr. LEACH of Iowa submitted the following concurrent resolution;  
which was referred to the Committee on

---

CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

Calling on the Government of New Zealand to reconsider its  
decision to deny port access to certain United States ships  
and urging the United States Government to pursue all avenues  
for dialogue with New Zealand in order to resolve this issue  
in a manner satisfactory to all parties.



Whereas the decision by the New Zealand Government to deny port access to United States ships which might be nuclear powered or nuclear armed has raised serious operational difficulties for United States naval forces in the performance of their obligations to defend the national security interests of the United States in the South Pacific;

Whereas this decision of the New Zealand Government also impairs the objective of maximum military cooperation between itself and the United States pursuant to Article II of the Security Treaty Between Australia, New Zealand, and the United States, signed in 1951 (establishing the ANZUS alliance), which requires the parties to ``separately and jointly by means of continuous and effective self-help and mutual aid'' to maintain their ability to resist attack;

Whereas Article IV of that Treaty also provides that in case of an attack on any of the parties, each of the others will act to meet the common danger in ``accordance with its constitutional processes'';

Whereas that Treaty also provides a mechanism whereby the three parties to the Treaty can consult with one another through the Council of Foreign Ministers;

Whereas the recent decision of the Government of New Zealand must be viewed in the context of the longstanding friendship and

close association between the peoples of the United States and the peoples of New Zealand who not only share common values and interests but who have fought side-by-side in the two World Wars of this century as well as the Korean conflict and the Vietnam conflict, and have participated jointly in numerous multilateral peacekeeping efforts under United Nations and similar auspices;

Whereas port visits for United States naval vessels are only a part of this historical, overall framework of military cooperation and coordination which includes many other functions of benefit to all parties to the ANZUS alliance;

Whereas an important aim of United States policy in response to the Government of New Zealand must be to deny to the Soviet Union any potential benefit it might otherwise derive from this temporary discord in the ANZUS alliance; and

Whereas the economic trade relationship between the United States and New Zealand is as beneficial to the United States as to New Zealand: Now, therefore, be it

- 1       *Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate*
- 2 *concurring), That it is the sense of the Congress that--*
- 3               (1) the Government of New Zealand ought to reconsider
- 4       its decision regarding port access for United States
- 5       vessels and should take immediate steps to demonstrate
- 6       its willingness to engage the United States in a

1 meaningful dialogue on this issue;

2 (2) the President should maintain a dialogue with the  
3 Government of New Zealand with a view toward achieving a  
4 satisfactory solution to the present disagreement  
5 regarding port access for United States ships and to  
6 pursue exhaustively all avenues to achieve this  
7 objective;

8 (3) the President should be commended for rejecting  
9 economic sanctions against New Zealand and encouraged to  
10 continue that policy;

11 (4) the maintenance of the Security Treaty Between  
12 Australia, New Zealand, and the United States is in the  
13 best interest of the parties to the Treaty and should not  
14 be placed in irreparable jeopardy by the recent  
15 controversy over the decision of the New Zealand  
16 government to deny port visits to certain ships of  
17 parties to the Treaty; and

18 (5) one alternative that should be pursued would be  
19 the possible endorsement by the United States Government  
20 of the principle of a nuclear-free zone for parts of the  
21 South Pacific region on the presumption that the  
22 countries in the region, including New Zealand, would  
23 support a treaty arrangement comparable to the Treaty of  
24 Tlateloco which established a nuclear-free zone for Latin  
25 America but which also allows port visits of nuclear

*all vessels, including nuclear  
powered, and does not challenge  
the right of the United States to  
visit ships in the region*



1       powered and nuclear armed vessels.

2       SEC. 2. The Clerk of the House of Representatives shall ..  
3   deliver a copy of this resolution to the President and shall  
4   request that the President deliver this resolution to the  
5   Ambassador of New Zealand to the United States.

[REVISED DRAFT]  
MARCH 18, 1985

99TH CONGRESS  
1ST SESSION

H. CON. RES. \_\_\_\_\_

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Mr. SOLARZ (for himself, \_\_\_\_\_) submitted the following  
concurrent resolution; which was referred to the Committee on  
\_\_\_\_\_

CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

Concerning New Zealand's suspension of certain cooperative  
defense arrangements with the United States under the ANZUS  
treaty.

Whereas the people of New Zealand and the people of the United  
States cherish a common heritage of democracy and  
independence;

Whereas the armed forces of New Zealand and the armed forces of the United States have fought gallantly and often as comrades in arms against shared dangers;

Whereas the United States and New Zealand have coordinated their efforts for mutual defense for the preservation of peace by means of the Security Treaty between Australia, New Zealand, and the United States of America (hereinafter referred to as the ``ANZUS Treaty``);

Whereas the maintenance of an effective ANZUS Treaty is in the common interest of the three parties to the Treaty;

Whereas Article II of the ANZUS Treaty provides that, ``In order more effectively to achieve the objective of this Treaty the parties separately and jointly by means of continuous and effective self-help and mutual aid will maintain and develop their individual and collective capacity to resist armed attack.``;

Whereas Article IV of the ANZUS Treaty states that, ``Each Party recognizes that an armed attack in the Pacific Area on any of the Parties would be dangerous to its own peace and safety and declares that it would act to meet the common danger in accordance with its constitutional processes.``;

Whereas a security treaty, in order to be viable and effective, requires the parties to the treaty to share the burdens as well as the benefits of such an alliance;

Whereas the present Government of New Zealand, by prohibiting the



visits of United States naval vessels capable of carrying nuclear weapons, has called into question the ability of the United States to fulfill its defense obligations to New Zealand under the ANZUS Treaty; and

Whereas the present policy of the Government of New Zealand in regard to visits by United States naval vessels, if adopted by other allies, would severely weaken the ability of the United States to contribute to collective defense for the preservation of peace and security: Now, therefore, be it

1       *Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate*  
2       *concurring),*

3       **SECTION 1. OBLIGATIONS UNDER THE ANZUS TREATY.**

4       It is the sense of the Congress that so long as New  
5       Zealand is not fulfilling all its responsibilities under the  
6       Security Treaty Between Australia, New Zealand, and the  
7       United States of America--

8               (1) the United States should consult with the other  
9       parties to that Treaty, the Governments of Australia and  
10       New Zealand, with a view toward convincing the Government  
11       of New Zealand to change its present policy regarding  
12       visits by United States naval vessels; and

13              (2) if a successful resolution of this issue is not  
14       achieved, the United States should then consult with the  
15       Government of Australia about formally terminating that

1 Treaty, while continuing to fully maintain, on a  
2 bilateral basis, security relations between the United  
3 States and Australia in accordance with the terms of that  
4 Treaty.

5 **SEC. 2. MAINTAINING FRIENDLY POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC**  
6 **RELATIONS.**

7 It is further the sense of the Congress that the United  
8 states should maintain the sort of friendly political and  
9 economic ties with New Zealand which the United States  
10 maintains with many countries which share our democratic  
11 commitments and values and with which the United States may  
12 not have cooperative security relationships.



CONFIDENTIAL

94284  
DECLASSIFIED

State Weaver  
BY du NARA DATE 6/8/2023

United States Department of State

Washington, D.C. 20520

10

Dear Mr. Chairman:

Pursuant to your request, we have reviewed carefully the draft legislation on New Zealand which you presented, March 18.

We applaud the intent of your resolution and the strong leadership you have provided in our common effort to restore port access for U.S. ships in New Zealand. We believe that legislation along the lines you propose might eventually be necessary if: (a) the New Zealand Government appears bent on making the port ban permanent through legislation, or (b) if we conclude that all possible efforts to restore port access have failed -- a conclusion that would be much too early to reach yet.

Our concern, at this time, is that additional perceived pressure on New Zealand, absent further provocation, could complicate the situation for us in third countries and make a restoration of port access more difficult, rather than less, in New Zealand where emotions have been highly aroused by our initial military and security responses to the ban. We would also want to be very careful about the possible impact of any steps we might take on our extremely important and effective mutual defense cooperation with Australia.

Accordingly, we believe it would be best to defer action along the lines of your legislation for the time being. I hope these comments are helpful to you.

The Office of Management and Budget advises that from the standpoint of the Administration's program there is no objection to the submission of this report.

Sincerely,

J. Edward Fox  
Acting Assistant Secretary  
Legislative and Intergovernmental Affairs

The Honorable  
Stephen Solarz, Chairman,  
Subcommittee on East Asian and Pacific Affairs,  
Committee on Foreign Affairs,  
House of Representatives.

CONFIDENTIAL  
DECL: OADR



Encl/ANZ  
D.W. 7/

NZ-1145-2

**Congress of the United States**  
**House of Representatives**  
**Washington, D.C. 20515**

April 29, 1985

The Hon. Ronald Reagan  
The White House  
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. President:

We are troubled by the strains that have developed recently in the United States' cordial and long-standing alliance with New Zealand. We earnestly hope that the current disagreement over port visits by American ships can be amicably resolved, and we find grounds for that hope in the fact that NATO, which is a much more tightly structured alliance than ANZUS, can include a nation like Iceland, which vigorously opposes the deployment of nuclear weapons on its territory.

It is neither our purpose nor our prerogative to judge the wisdom of New Zealand's anti-nuclear stance. As all parties to this dispute agree, the foreign policy of that country must be determined by the New Zealanders themselves. What is a proper concern for Americans, however, is the manner in which the United States handles this disagreement with a long-standing ally.

Both within and beyond the obligations of the ANZUS alliance, there has been a rich and mutually beneficial pattern of military and economic cooperation between the United States and New Zealand. Not only did New Zealanders fight with the Allies in both World Wars; they also served beside American soldiers in Korea and Vietnam. A participant in the Sinai Peacekeeping Force, New Zealand has regularly taken part in joint military exercises with the United States and has provided consistent support to the United States and other Western democracies at the United Nations. Economically, there has been a vigorous trade relationship in which the United States earns a surplus.


Mindful of this long history of cooperation, we believe that the United States should strive to handle the present disagreement in a way that supports ANZUS and strengthens our other alliances as well. In our opinion, punitive measures are


R. Reagan  
April 29, 1985  
Page 2


both unjustified and detrimental to American interests, for they would erode the historic friendship between New Zealand and our country, damage the infrastructure of ANZUS, and strengthen the arguments of those around the world who charge that partnership with the United States entails compliance with all its wishes. By so doing, such actions would also diminish New Zealand's ability to represent the interests of the United States and its other allies to the island nations of the South Pacific. We believe that these losses would far outweigh any gain that might be derived from occasional visits by American vessels to New Zealand ports.


Accordingly, we applaud your decision not to seek trade sanctions against New Zealand. We regret that some of our colleagues in Congress have chosen to pursue economic reprisals as a first resort, and we hope that you will add your voice to ours in opposing all such measures. We also urge you to reconsider the cancellation of joint military exercises with New Zealand and the restriction of exchanges of intelligence. As Members of Congress who take a special interest in defense and foreign policy, we firmly believe that our national interest will be best served by treating New Zealand not as a recalcitrant ally to be coerced, but as a long-standing partner whose continued support we value.


Sincerely,


  
TED WEISS, M.C.


  
MORRIS UDALL, M.C.

  
MERVYN DYMALLY, M.C.

  
MEL LEVINE, M.C.


  
SAM EJDENSON, M.C.


  
ROBERT GARCIA, M.C.

  
GERRY E. STUDDS, M.C.

  
GEORGE W. CROCKETT, JR., M.C.

  
PATRICIA SCHROEDER, M.C.

  
THOMAS M. FOGLIETTA, M.C.

  
EDWARD J. MARKEY, M.C.



MEMORANDUM

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

May 3, 1985

MEMORANDUM FOR RONALD K. PETERSON

FROM: ROBERT M. KIMMITT *BoL*  
SUBJECT: Comments on Draft Senate Resolution 66

The NSC concurs with the State Department comments on Draft Senate Resolution 66 contained in the draft letter from Acting Assistant Secretary J. Edward Fox at Tab A. I believe David Laux of our staff has been in touch with Tracey Lawler on this by phone.

Attachment

Tab A Suggestions from the State Dept.

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

May 3, 1985

MEMORANDUM FOR RONALD K. PETERSON

FROM: ROBERT M. KIMMITT <sup>604</sup>

SUBJECT: Comments on Draft Senate Resolution 66

The NSC concurs with the State Department comments on Draft Senate Resolution 66 contained in the draft letter from Acting Assistant Secretary J. Edward Fox at Tab A. I believe David Laux of our staff has been in touch with Tracey Lawler on this by phone.

Attachment

Tab A Suggestions from the State Dept.





2120

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT  
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20503

March 12, 1985

**SPECIAL**

LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL MEMORANDUM

TO: Legislative Liaison Officer-  
National Security Council ✓  
Department of Commerce  
Department of Defense  
United States Trade Representative

SUBJECT: State draft report on S.Res. 66, "Expressing the sense of the Senate with respect to certain matters involving the Government of New Zealand and the United States."

The Office of Management and Budget requests the views of your agency on the above subject before advising on its relationship to the program of the President, in accordance with OMB Circular A-19.

A response to this request for your views is needed no later than FRIDAY, APRIL 5, 1985.

Questions should be referred to Sue Thau/Tracey Lawler (395-7300) the legislative analyst in this office.

*Ronald K. Peterson*  
RONALD K. PETERSON FOR  
Assistant Director for  
Legislative Reference

**Enclosures**

cc: B. Howard  
J. Jukes  
D. Gessaman  
E. Strait



United States Department of State

Washington, D.C. 20520

Dear Mr. Chairman:

We have for reply your request dated February 14, for Executive Branch comments on S. Res. 66, "Expressing the sense of the Senate with respect to certain matters involving the Government of New Zealand and the United States," which was submitted by Senator Cohen on February 6, 1985.

The Executive Branch would not have any objection to the proposed legislation if two alterations were made:

Operative paragraph 2(B) We believe that it would be ill-advised to raise the question of a bilateral security treaty with Australia. Accordingly, the words "bilateral security treaty" might usefully be replaced with the words "continued and enhanced cooperation." 1 - OK

Operative paragraph 2(C) We believe the word "generally" should be inserted before the word "preclude" to leave room for discretionary, case-by-case consideration. 1 - OK

Operative paragraph 2(D) and preambular paragraphs 11 and 12 (Concerning export subsidies and the "injury test.") We believe these paragraphs should be deleted. Such trade issues should be dealt with on their own merits and not linked to the military port access issue. 1 - OK

The Office of Management and Budget advises that from the standpoint of the Administration's program there is no objection to the submission of this report.

Sincerely,

J. Edward Fox  
Acting Assistant Secretary  
Legislative and Intergovernmental Affairs

The Honorable  
Richard G. Lugar,  
Chairman,  
Committee on Foreign Relations,  
United States Senate.

99TH CONGRESS  
1ST SESSION

## S. RES. 66

Expressing the sense of the Senate with respect to certain matters involving the Government of New Zealand and the United States.

---

### IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

FEBRUARY 6 (legislative day, JANUARY 21), 1985

Mr. COHEN (for himself, Mr. DOLE, Mr. SIMPSON, Mr. RUDMAN, Mr. BINGAMAN, Mr. BOSCHWITZ, Mr. GORTON, Mr. SYMMS, and Mr. WILSON) submitted the following resolution, which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations:

---

## RESOLUTION

Expressing the sense of the Senate with respect to certain matters involving the Government of New Zealand and the United States.

Whereas the governments of United States, Australia, and New Zealand are parties to a trilateral security treaty signed on September 1, 1951, and commonly known as ANZUS;

Whereas the ANZUS alliance was formed "to coordinate their efforts for collective defense" and "to declare publicly and formally their sense of unity, so that no potential aggressor could be under the illusion that any of them stands alone in the Pacific Area";

Whereas all partners of the ANZUS alliance benefit from and are expected to bear equally the burdens of the Alliance;

Whereas the need for cooperation among the ANZUS partners has increased with the growth of Soviet military activity in the Pacific and Indian Oceans;

Whereas the free world, including New Zealand, enjoys the benefits of international trade on a scope unparalleled in history;

Whereas the security of this trade depends upon the free world's ability and will to defend the sea lines of communications;

Whereas the Government of New Zealand has officially adopted a policy which precludes many ships of the United States Navy from entering its ports;

Whereas such policy detracts from the security of all partners of the ANZUS Alliance, individually and collectively;

Whereas such policy grossly impairs the ability of the ANZUS partners to "coordinate their efforts for collective defense" and detracts from their "sense of unity";

Whereas the Government of New Zealand will not be in compliance with the Subsidies Code of the General Agreement on Trade and Tariffs as of April 1, 1985, because of its decision to maintain export subsidies on certain agricultural commodities beyond that date; and

Whereas, after March 31, 1985, as a result of such noncompliance by the Government of New Zealand, the United States will no longer be obligated under such Subsidies Code to extend to New Zealand the benefits of the injury test provided under section 701(a)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1671(a)(2)): Now therefore, be it



1     *Resolved*, That it is the sense of the Senate that—

2             (1) it would be in the best interests of allied secu-  
3     rity and unity for each member country of the Security  
4     Treaty Between Australia, New Zealand, and the  
5     United States, commonly known as ANZUS, to rein-  
6     state the practice of permitting all naval ships of the  
7     other member countries access to the ports of such  
8     member country; and

9             (2) the President should—

10            (A) continue discussions with the Govern-  
11     ment of New Zealand aimed at resolving the  
12     present impasse regarding access of United States  
13     naval ships to the ports of New Zealand,

14            (B) explore with the Government of Australia  
15     the desirability and feasibility of a bilateral se-  
16     curity treaty,

17            (C) until the present impasse between the  
18     United States and New Zealand is resolved, pre-  
19     clude New Zealand from participating in military  
20     and related activities with the United States, and

21            (D) exercise the right of the United States to  
22     withdraw from New Zealand the benefits of the  
23     injury test provided under section 701(a)(2) of the  
24     Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1671(a)(2)).

## MEMORANDUM

## NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

ACTION

May 2, 1985

MEMORANDUM FOR ROBERT M. KIMMITT

**SIGNED**

FROM: DAVID N. LAUX

SUBJECT: NSC Comments on Draft Senate Resolution 66

Ronald Peterson sent you a memo requesting NSC comments on Draft Senate Resolution 66, "Expressing the sense of the Senate with respect to certain matters involving the Government of New Zealand and the United States" (package at Tab II). The original due date was April 5. I requested an extension because there are two other draft resolutions on New Zealand in the works (Congressmen Solarz and Leach) and I wanted to ensure that the Administration's position on all three was consistent. Tracey Lawler telephoned me today that she now needs our position. I have doublechecked with State and believe we should concur with the State comments (included at Tab A) which embody three key features:

- a) deleting the proposal to explore the "desirability and feasibility of a bilateral security treaty" with Australia (and substituting "continued and enhanced cooperation");
- b) deleting the portions referring to trade matters, on the grounds that they should be dealt with on their own merits and not linked to the military port access issue;
- c) modifying slightly the provision on military cooperation to leave us room for some flexibility.

Gaston Sigur and Ron Sable concur.

Recommendation

That you sign the memo to Ron Peterson at Tab I.

Approve   K   Disapprove           

## Attachments

Tab I       Memorandum for Ron Peterson.  
             Tab A     D/S suggestions  
 Tab II     The incoming material from OMB

NSC/S PROFILE

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

ID 8502889

UNCLASSIFIED UPON REMOVAL  
OF CLASSIFIED ENCLOSURE(S)

RECEIVED 10 APR 85 16

TO

KIMMITT

FROM PETERSON, R

DOCDATE 09 APR 85

26  
6/27/10

KEYWORDS: NEW ZEALAND

LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL

SUBJECT: STATE DRAFT RPT ON DRAFT LEGISLATION RE NEW ZEALAND / PORT ACCESS

ACTION: MEMO KIMMITT TO PETERSON

DUE: 17 APR 85 STATUS S FILES WH

FOR ACTION

FOR CONCURRENCE

FOR INFO

LAUX

SIGUR

LEHMAN, C

CHILDRESS

SABLE

COMMENTS

REF#

LOG 8502120

NSCIFID

( HW )

ACTION OFFICER (S) ASSIGNED ACTION REQUIRED DUE COPIES TO

C 10/15 OBE per LAUX

DISPATCH

W/ATTCH FILE

(C)