

WITHDRAWAL SHEET

Ronald Reagan Library

Collection: Baker, Howard H. Jr.: Files
 OA/Box: Box 2
 File Folder: [Chernobyl]

Archivist: kdb
 FOIA ID: F1997-066/6, D. Cohen
 Date: 08/04/2004

DOCUMENT NO. & TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
1. report	re nuclear fallout from Chernobyl (w/notations), 1p <i>R 3/17/06 F97-066/6 #1</i>	n.d.	B1 B3

RESTRICTIONS

- B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA].
- B-2 Release could disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA].
- B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA].
- B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA].
- B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA].
- B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA].
- B-7a Release could reasonably be expected to interfere with enforcement proceedings [(b)(7)(A) of the FOIA].
- B-7b Release would deprive an individual of the right to a fair trial or impartial adjudication [(b)(7)(B) of the FOIA].
- B-7c Release could reasonably be expected to cause unwarranted invasion or privacy [(b)(7)(C) of the FOIA].
- B-7d Release could reasonably be expected to disclose the identity of a confidential source [(b)(7)(D) of the FOIA].
- B-7e Release would disclose techniques or procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions or would disclose guidelines which could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law [(b)(7)(E) of the FOIA].
- B-7f Release could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of any individual [(b)(7)(F) of the FOIA].
- B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA].
- B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA].

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

RONALD REAGAN LIBRARY
TRANSFER SHEET

PRESERVATION

COLLECTION

FROM:

Collection Baker, Howard H. Jr.: Files

Series I. Subject File

File Folder Title Ross Perot

Box Number 4

Description of Material:

Material transferred to folder "[Chernobyl]"
(see description below)

TO:

Collection Baker, Howard H. Jr.: Files

Series I. Subject File

File Folder Title [Chernobyl]

Box Number 2

Transferred by: KELLY D. BARTON

Date: 12/4/02

NUCLEAR FALLOUT FROM CHERNOBYL COMPARED TO
FALLOUT FROM A NUCLEAR WEAPONS EXCHANGE

Comparisons between fallout from Chernobyl and fallout from a nuclear weapons exchange are complicated by the fact that a different type of fallout is involved in the two cases. In the case of a power plant reactor, the composition of fission products builds up over a lengthy period of time. In a nuclear weapon, the products are released in a millisecond of time.

For this reason, the most meaningful comparison is on the basis of certain long-life isotopes which are particularly harmful to the human system. The best example for this purpose is Cesium 137, which has a half life of 30 years, and is very biologically active. A 500 kiloton nuclear weapon puts out .7 megacuries of Cesium 137. The Chernobyl incident resulted in the discharge of about 3 megacuries of Cesium 137. Using these figures, an exchange of 24,000 nuclear weapons would be equivalent to approximately 5600 Chernobyls.

Other estimates of Cesium 137 release at Chernobyl extend as high as 8 megacuries. Using this measure, an exchange of 24,000 nuclear weapons would be equivalent to approximately 2100 Chernobyls.

These figures, of course, are dependent on the size of the nuclear weapon -- in terms of yield. If we assume a weapon yield of 300 kilotons, rather than 500, then an exchange of 24,000 nuclear weapons would be equivalent to approximately 1400 Chernobyls.

Thus a reasonable range of figures for a 24,000 weapon exchange would run from a low estimate of 1400 Chernobyls to a high estimate of 5600 Chernobyls.

The distribution of fallout would be different in the nuclear weapons and Chernobyl cases as well. In the Chernobyl case, the radioactive material was put into the atmosphere by a smoke plume from the fire at the power station. Almost all of the material landed within 1000 kilometers of the station. In the case of a nuclear weapon, radioactive material would be propelled to much higher altitudes by the nuclear explosion, and the fallout would cover a wider area as a result.

DECLASSIFIED/RELEASED
NLS F97-066/6 #1
BY LOJ, NARA, DATE 3/17/06