

Introduction. The purpose of this NSDD is to provide guidance on the arms reductions aspects of the December 1987 U.S.-U.S.S.R. summit in Washington. It should be used to guide both the general discussions with the Soviet team on these matters and the development of those portions of any "agreed statement" which may result from the summit which apply to the arms reductions area. (C)

Recording Agreement and Instructions to Negotivors. The Soviet Union has made it clear for it view an agreement on new "instructions to negotivor" on the STAP of Derense & Space areas as one of its principal goals for this simming. I share this goal, but only is a toll to further efforts to achieve equitable and verienably thaties in both the TART and Defense & Space areas. In down this function to negotivor in general, and any agreed instructions to negotivor in particular, we must avoid of newying the impression that we have made politically binding, casi-legal as generals sort of treaties in these areas which could impose facto constraints on the United States which of providing legally binding, effectively verifiable to the such an agreement, any recording the perception we have joined in such an agreement, any recording of agreed instructions to negotiators or any other arms control statement should be part of a single summit joint statement, not a separate stand-alone document. (S)

We must also not allow the Soviets to believe that material previously agreed and omitted from the summit statement is somehow no longer agreed, or that failure list an area of disagreement implies we had acquiesce in the viet position. To this end, we should rise to existing joint documents (especially the START Junt Draft Triaty) to eaffirm their status. In doing so, e shuld ensire il assiciated documents (protocols, etc.) ar appro riately refirenced. (C

negotiators to begin work of a draft pefense and g (C)



Since there is no draw Def nse and Space Treat, w should avoid the implication that De ens and Spice s subsuled in START, thereby acquiescing to pvit linka e. Thus, or o jective with respect to Defense and pac should be in true ion to Bace Treaty.

Declassified Released on

under provisions of E.O. 12958 by J. Saunders, National Security Council

## UNULADDIFIED

START. The U.S. team should initially seek to frame the START portion of any agreed state ent along the following lines:

"The President and the General Secretary discussed the negotiations on reductions in stratigic offensive nuclear arms. They noted the consider pleoprogres which has been made toward conclusion of a treaty implementing the principle of 50% reductions. They agree to instruct their neortiators in Geneva to work toward the completion of the Strategic Arm Reduction Treaty and all integral dociments at the earliest possible date, preferably in time for the treatment of the greatment of related documents during the next meeting of Heads of State in the first half of 1988. Recognizing that areas of agreement and disagreement are recorded in detail in the Joint Draft Treaty text, they agreed to instruct their negotiators to accelerate resolution of issues within the Joint Draft Treaty Text including early agreement on provisions for effective verification.

In so doing, the negotiators should build upon the agreements on 50% reduction, achieved at a propyik as subsequently developed and now flected in the agreed portions of the Joint Draft START Treaty te t worked out in Geneval including agreement on ceilings . no more than 160 nuclear offensive delivery systems, 600 warh ads, 150 wirheads on 14 heavy missiles; the agreed borber counting rule; and an agreement that the reductions will real than a 50% rediction in Societ ballistic missile throwweight with a 11 ther after not be ingreased. As priority tasks, they shall focus on the following fructial issues:

- (a) The additional stabs necessary to ensure that the reductions en and strategic trability. These are to include a ceiling of 4800 on the aggregate number of ICBM plus SLBM warheads within the 6000 total, and a further sub-ceiling of 3300 on the number of ICBM warheads.
- (b) The counting rules governing the number of long-range (i.e. with a range over 1500 kilometers), nuclear-armed air-launched cruise missiles (ALCMs) to be attributed to each type of blavy bomber, with spect to B-1, B-52, BEAR-H and LACKJACK kimbers equipped for long-range, nuclear-armed access vis number shall be six per bomber. Ther her y blabers which are not equipped for such truise assiles, including BACKFIRE, shall be sunted in accordance with the binber counting rule agrees. Restjavik. There shall be agreed rules governing in than ALCMs hall be attributed to future heavy bombers qui ped for long-range nuclear-armed ALCMs.

**ICHASSI** 

TOP SECRET

P.I ASSIFIFI

The counting ale with respects existing ballistic missiles. The number of arheids attributable to each type of United St tes ballistic missile shall be: PEACKEETER MX): 10 MIJUTEMAN III: 3, MINUTEMAN II: IDET I: 8 TRIENT II: 8, OSEIDON: 10. The number of war eads at rib table to each type of Soviet ballistic issile hall be: [TO B PR VIDED B THE SOVIE SIE] These numbers shall be subject to crift ation by on-site inspectio. There hall be acceed rules governing how warheads shall be acceed to start. (c)

future types of ballistic missiles covered by START.

- (d) Building upon the provisions of the INF Treaty, the measures by which the provisions of the START Treaty can be verified will, at a minimum, include:
  - 1. Data exchanges, to include declarations by each Data exchanges, to include declarations by each side of the number and location of weapon systems limited by the Treaty apd of Incilities at which such systems are located and appropriate notification. These facilities will include locations and facilities for production and final assembly, strage, tisting, and leployment of systems covered by this reaty. Such declarations will be each nged be were the sides effore the Treat, i si ned and upd ted periodically after entry into firce.
  - Baseline inspection to ver these de lar tions propotly after entry into force of the That 2. the accuracy of
  - On-site observation of the elimination of stra-3. tegic systems necessary to conform to the agreed limits.
  - 4. Continuous on-site monitoring of the perimeter and portals of critical production and support facilities to confirm the output of these facilities.
  - Short-notice bn-site i 5. spection of ducing to agr ed fimits; (i) de he process of The cy remain a ter conforming o the agreed limits; and (ii) (iii) locations where each specems have been located formerly leclared familities).



CLASSIFIC

## UNGLASSIFIED

- The right to short notice consisterinspections at locations where either side considers covert deployment, roduction, storage or repair of START systems doub be occurring. 6.
- Provisions prohibiting the use of concealment or other activities which impede v cification by national technical means. Such profisions would 7. ba on telepetry ryption and would include allow for full access to all televetric inforast during issil mation b light.
- 8. Measures designed to enhance observation of STARTrelated activities by national technical means. These would include open displays of treatylimited items at missile bases, bomber bases, and submarine ports at locations and times chosen by the inspecting party." (S)

Ballistic Missile Wark ad Sublimit the propared to The Soviet Union has indiced that is may be propared to consider a sublimit on bill stic missile warheads. However, it has further indicated that ather than the sublimit on ballistic missile warheads of 100 which we seek it would prefer to raise that sublimit to 50 c or 51 0. Before we can consider accepting such a proposal, it is see tial that we have a clear agreement with the Statts de concerning:

-- an acceptable definition to be applied to air-launched cruise missiles (A CMs in STAL" (in that only <u>nuclear</u>-armed ALCMs with a ran e in excess of 1500 k flometers would be included in the Tracty's limit.

the counting rules applied to such ALCMs; and

the counting rules that will be applied to the warheads on existing types of ballistic missiles covered by the START Treaty. (S)

(m) on this critical point.

Should the Soviet Union be prepared to accept (1) a definition of ALCMs to be givered by STL i as ally nuclear-armed ALCMs of a range greater in 1500 ki ometers, is a counting rule for such ALCMs generally along he list specified in item (b) above, and (3) the counting rule for the workeals on existing types of ballistic missiles as specified in item (d above, I am prepared to consider additional fle ibility with respect to the U.S. position on these ubl mits as yet not agried. (TS)

With respect to the AL 4 counting ule, it needed, and in the context of reaching agreement of the general ar roach outlined in (b) above, am prepared to incluse the number of ALCMs attributed to each border to 8 a order to each agreement





UNCLASSIFIED

Mobile ICBMs. Should the Soviet side the approach on START counting rules and sublimits outlined abov, and given acceptable progress towards the U.S. polition if the Defense & Space area, I would be prepared to add to the "greed statement" the following paragraph covering modile ICBMs:

UNCLASSIFIED

"(e) Development of additional provisions thick would provide for effective verification of mobile ICBMs, including restrictions on their provment areas and provisions for as aring the distinguish bility of mobile missile with agreement of inspection protocol incorporating such provisions for effectively verifying the number of mobile ICBMs, an agreed number of such land-mobile ICBMs can be permitted within limits provided by the agreement." (TS)

Heavy ICBM Modernization. Should the Soviets meet the conditions outlined for the inclusion of the paragraph on mobile missiles indicated above, I would also be prepared to add to the "agreed statement's" treatment of START the following paragraph covering the issue of the dernization of heavy CBMs:

"(f) Based on agreement to the forecoing, the tresident noted that the United States is prepared to permit the continued testing and modernitation of heavy ICBMs." (TS)

Treatment of SLCM. No provisions are included for addressing sea-launched cruise missiles (SLCM) in the "agreed statement." When the Seview raise the interformed of SLCM limits, the United States should seet S viet view on how such limits could be verified. The U.S. add can acknowledge that the concept of an exchange of notifications on planned SLCM deployments outside of and in addition to the 6000 warhead and 1600 delivery system limits provided for in the current Joint Draft START Treaty text was discussed at Reykjavik. However, the U.S. side should not actively pursue this outcome at this time. (TS)

Treatment of BACKFIRE. The U.S. position remains that the BACKFIRE bomber should be included within the main START Treaty limits. I may be prepared of consider definitions on the BACKFIRE issue, but one in the context of the resolution of all other major treaty issue (including a colution of the SLCM issue on terms acceptable to the U.S.) and only in the context of Soviet agreement that the societ Union provide oppropriate assurances that the sumber of BACKFIRE lombers will not be increased above the upper of arr long-inge air-lanched cruise missiles, that such bombirs are not ind will not be equipped for in-flight refueling, and the r crews are of ar will not be trained for in-flight requeling. No indiction of a change in the U.S. position on BAC FIF should be given to the Soviet Union at this time. (TS)

DEFENSE & SPACE. The U.S. eam should initially sek to frame the Defense & Space portion of any agreed statement along the following lines:

UNULASSIEIED

"The President and the General Secretary a so liscussed the status of negotiations relating to referse and pact issues. They agreed to instruct their negotiators in Gereva to expedite work on a Joint Draft Treat. Text is a new separate treaty which could enter into force at the same time as the Treaty on Strategic Offensive Arms hey also threed to instruct their negotiators in Geneva for the identify make agreement and disagreement in the Joint Draft Treaty Text and then to accelerate work toward resolution of the areas of disagreement." (S)

<u>Further Elements</u>. Should the Soviet side press for the inclusion of additional "instructions" in the Defense & Space area, the U.S. side should pursue the inclusion of the following language in the agreed statement:

"In pursuing a Joint Deaft Treaty Terms negotiators should build upon the following elements:

- (a) there will be a period of timesdurin which both sides would commit not b deplot defensive systems currently prohibited by the ABM Treaty;
- (b) after that the lod of time both sides would be free to deploy defenses not curre thy permitted by the Treaty after giving months notice of an incent to deploy and without further reference of the treaty;
- (c) during the non-demoyment period, both sides have the right to pursue their strategic derense programs, conducting research, development and testing, including testing in space, as required; and
- (d) to enhance strategic stability, provide predictability, and ensure confidence that prohibited deployments are not being undertaken during the non-deployment period, the sides meet regularly:
  - 1. to exchange rogrammatic data and riefings on each side a crategic defense rograms; and,
  - 2. to facilitate mutual observation of trategic deferre tists and visits to strategic defense research acilities. (



**MASSIFIED** 

## UNCLASSIFIED

Conduct During the Kon deployment Person The U.S. proposal would be that both sides have the right to conduct their programs, including testing as needed, during the period. The focus of the commitment would be on pon-eployment. The U.S. size should make it clear that, under such a agreement, the United states intends to exercise fully these rights. The United States ill be prepared to explain in general what it means by the form "deployment" and how the Sovier Union can be ensured that the "deployof defensive systems currently prohibited by the AM Treaty occurred. (S)

In the context of the discussions, no attempt will be made to negotiate or commit to negotiate in the future with the Soviet Union:

- a. what is meant by the "broad" or "narrow" interpretation of the ABM Treaty;
- b. what would be permitted or prohibited during the non-deployment period; or
- c. what is meant by "testing" vice "deployment." (TS)

Length of the Prilod. The key issue to determining the length of the period of non deployment is agree ention what will be permitted during the period and on clear light to deploy defenses not permitted by the ABM Theat thereafter. If the Soviet Union were to agree to the basic U.S. priposil, the U.S. could agree to extend the priod of non-eployment through the tenth anniversary of the Renzizvik meeting the., ctober 11, 1996). (TS)

COMPLIANCE & KRASNOYARSK. U.S. policy remains that instances of Soviet non-compliance with existing treaties must be corrected, and that the method of correcting the violation caused by the Krasnoyarsk radar is the dismantlement of this illegal radar. In the context of the discussions, the U.S. side should make this policy clear to its Soviet counterparts. To that effect, the U.S. side should pursue Soviet agreement on the inclusion in the "agreed statement" of the following language:

"To support their efficies to negociate new areements, the sides agree that construction of the krasterisk relar, which has been halted by the Soviet side, will not be renumed and that the radar will be dismanted in a verifiable manner" 5)

I am not prepared a cept measures short of dismantlement. Inclusion of a Soviet committeent to dismantle the Klasnoyarsk radar is not, however, a precondition for agree ent to the other positions set forth in this ISDD.