Ronald Reagan Presidential Library Digital Library Collections

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual collections.

Collection: Parvin, Landon: Files Folder Title: [December 1981:] Hickey, Ed:

Terrorism Speech (continued) (3)

Box: 13

To see more digitized collections visit: https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digital-library

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library inventories visit: https://reaganlibrary.gov/document-collection

Contact a reference archivist at: reagan.library@nara.gov

Citation Guidelines: https://reaganlibrary.gov/citing

National Archives Catalogue: https://catalog.archives.gov/

(Rohrabacher)
First Draft
November 30, 1981

ED HICKEY: SPEECH ON TERRORISM

Since the late 1960's Western nations have increasingly experienced acts of terrorism. By the early 1970's a clear pattern of international terrorism was developing -- a pattern that continues to this day.

If the late 1960's and early 1970's are remembered as years of protest, the 1980's, unless we come to grips with this very real problem, could well be remembered as a decade of terror.

Terrorism is something that everyone seems to be against, yet few can define. So perhaps we should start with a definition. It evolved from the Latin word "terrer", which means to frighten. For our purposes today, we will define terrorism as the use of violence or destructive force to frighten a government or population in order to achieve "political ends."

Terrorism should not be confused with insurrection; if it were the same, all those who fight oppression would be labeled as terrorists. One of the more damaging cliches currently making the rounds is that "One man's terrorist is another's Freedom Fighter." This absurd notion compares the Red Brigade and the Symbonise Liberation Army with Afghan Freedom Fighters and heroes of the American Revolution.

It is this kind of intellectual dream world, usually a product of the never-never land of some major univeristy,

that creates nightmares for the rest of humanity. The radical chic notwithstanding, there is no basis for comparing terrorist to those who have fought for freedom. Anyone who compares George Washington to the Weather Underground just isn't playing with a full deck of cards.

The principle targets of terrorists are innocent civilians or unarmed officials in order to terrorize populations or goad the government into unwarranted repression.

Does this description match the Afghan Freedom Fighters who battle Russian tanks or the patriots of the American Revolution? George Washington, as it will be recalled, met the British army head on. Furthermore, when guerrilla tactics were used, it was against British troops and other combatants. This is a far cry from terrorists who bomb and kidnap unarmed civilians and plot the assassinations of political opponents.

One of the grossest image distortions of recent years is the portrayal of terrorists as Robin Hoods and romantic swashbucklers. In reality, a terrorist is a coward -- an individual who chooses to inflict death and destruction from hiding. Placing a bomb in the rest room of a bank or shooting down a school superintendent takes no courage. Instead these are the acts of cowards who smuggly hide and watch their destruction from a distance rather than facing this enemy. These are the acts of defective personalities who see themselves as Messianic saviors of mankind.

The romanticization of terrorism is no surprise, however. Terrorism in the Western democracies sprang from the protest era of the late 1960's, which itself was romanticized by the media. During that era, leftist marauders were glamorized and idealized by a news media which never mentioned the totalitarian philosophy which served as the underpinning of many radical activist organizations. It was as if the police were Nazis repressing peace demonstrations because they were inclined toward war. The police, in reality, were often coping with organized groups who considered themselves communist revolutionaries, seeking violence and confrontation and hiding amidst a crowd of peace marchers.

As protest marches faded it was a small step for the wilder members of the violent Students for a Democratic Society to become bombers for the Radical Weather Underground. In Europe, Germany's Baader-Meinhof gang and Italy's Red Brigades seem to have come from the same "New Left" roots.

They were formed around a nucleous of young people who were radicalized by the street violence and university

Marxism of the late 1960's. Unlike their counterparts in the United States, they have been highly successful in kidnapping and assassination. The Red Brigades have popularized the term "knee-capping" -- which is nothing more than cripling innocent victims by shooting them in the legs. Their most dastardly act was the kidnapping and murder of Aldo Moro, a man who headed the Christian Democratic Party and would

likely have headed the Italian government except for the intervention of these fanatics.

Similarly, Germany's Baader-Meinhof gang conducted a war of terror which took the lives of innocent Germans for over half a decade. One of the most famous of their crimes was the brutal slaying of industrialist Hanns-Martin Schleyer in 1977. Recently the remnants of this gang have been attacking American military personal.

What kind of people are part of these terrorists?

They are, generally, individuals who have never worked at a regular job, yet constantly talk about representing the working people. Sounds familiar; doesn't it? Far from being workers, these groups, especially the ones in America, are composed of individuals from affluent families. One study by the German government revealed that 36 percent of those arrested in connection with terrorist organizations in the 1970's were college graduates from the upper income levels of German society.

In the United States, Kathy Bodineen, for example, who was recently arrested for alleged terrorist activities, comes from a wealthy family as did several other prominent members of the Weather Underground. Her particular clique, it should be noted, began as a protest group on Columbia University -- hardly a working class school.

Unfortunately, the escapades of these Mercedes Bendez revolutionaries have left a trail littered with maimed bodies.

Their last bit of alleged activism -- the Brinks armored care robbery -- left three men dead . . . working people with families, with children who will no longer have a father to help them along in life.

Another revealing aspect of the personality profile of alleged terrorists is the political background of their family. Many are not only from wealthy homes, but also from ultra left families. Kathy Bodin's father is a wealthy lawyer well known for his defense of left wing radicals.

Similarly, the world's most wanted terrorist, known as Carlos, comes from a wealthy Venezuelan family. His father is so far left that he named all of his children after Illich Vladimir Lenin. Carlos's real name is Illich Ramirez Sanchez.

It appears that these revoluntionaries are not revolting at all. Instead they are dedicated to fulfilling long-held and deeply-rooted aspirations. This, by the way, is in stark contrast to suggestions that these are psychopaths who are revolting against all authority.

Not all terrorist organizations, however, evolved from student activism. The Irish Republican Army, the Palestine Liberation Organization, the Puerto Rican FALN and the Basque ETA all started with some territorial or nationalistic claim; all have bloody histories. The ETA, alone, in the past 13 years has killed more than 350 carefully chosen victims. The bloodletting of the IRA and the PLO is infamous.

And what is it that these and other terrorist organizations really want? Why do these individuals, among million of their fellow citizens feel justified in conducting mayhem and brutality?

Much can be said of their motives, but one thing is certain, contrary to their image these groups -- especially the former student activists -- are not Democratically minded reformers faced with the stone wall of authoritarianism.

The Puerto Rican separatists, for example, are not facing the fist of the United States. Instead, they are primarily thwarted by the will of the people of Puerto Rico. Only a miniscule number of Puerto Ricans want interpendence, they turn it down by huge majorities every time it is voted upon, just as they reject political parties dedicated to independence.

Italy, on the other hand, may be less than perfect, but it is still a relatively free society. Yet the terrorism has been rampant. And those singled out by Italy's terrorists are not the fascists or raving monarchists. The Red Brigades kidnaps and murders Democratic moderates like Aldo Moro.

The infamous Carlos comes from Venezuela -- one of the freest nations in the Western Hemisphere.

Today's terrorism is not a case of individuals standing up to tyranny. The plague of terrorism sweeping the WEst is far more insidious than that.

All too often the ideology of terrorists is ignored, just as it was of the New Left in the late 1960's. As close examination reveals most of these groups are dedicated to some form of revolutionary communist ideology, a significant yet largely unrecognized fact.

Terrorists, far from being revolutionaries fighting for freedom, are actually totalitarian soldiers trying to destroy liberty. They, clearly, seek more repression. Let me repeat that, terrorists want the governments they oppose to become more repressive.

There are reasons for this mind-boggling goal. First, repression turns idealists into violent revolutionaries.

Second, repression can be manipulated to radicalize a specific segment of society -- a minority group or young people, or whoever is most suspectible.

The last thing terrorists want are free elections and civil liberties where their ideas would be forced to compete in the political arena. That is not the type of society they desire. They are, in short, laying the foundation for conflict and tourmoil in hopes that communist control will emerge. These are, for the most part, not anarchists. The threat today is not even comparable to the anarchists of the last century. Instead, they are commist revolutionaries and until that very basic fact is recognized terrorism will continue to plague the Western Democracies.

Demonstrating this is not difficult. Why does the Puerto Rican FALN conduct bombings in the United States? The answer is simple: Their goal is to provoke government retaliation upon the citizens of Puerto Rico, thus radicalizing them and turning them into leftist cannon fodder. To our credit and in testimony to the strength of our democracy, there has been no such retaliation.

Democratic heritage? Twenty years ago Cuba almost succeeded in undermining democracy in Venezuela even before it had a chance to get off the ground. Venezuelans are aware that Castro's terrorism campaign almost scuttled their chance for Western Style Democracy -- something they'd stuggled long to obtain. Luktily, the Venezuelan military did not intervene, which would have played into the hands of the terrorists. It would have resulted in Civil War.

In Spain terrorist attacks increased after Franco's death. The attempted coup earlier this year is an example of the knee-jerk reaction terrorists are trying to provoke. Th Reagan Administration clearly stands behind King Juan CArlos and the Democratic forces in Spain.

All of this terrorism is based on an Algerian role model. There the French mistook the terrorism of a small group for insurrection. French retaliation against the Moselum population resulted in popular revolt.

Terrorism accomplishes two goals: It frightens some into submission and produces an overreaction on the part of the authorities. This, according to terrorists theory, will eventually lead to Communist dictatorship. Even groups based on territorial or nationalistic claims are often little more than communist revolutionaries laying the foundation for a new Communist dictatorship.

In Northern Ireland the IRA slaughters innocent people in the name of uniting North and South, but the long-range goal of the IRA is not just a united Ireland. Bernadette Devlin, for example, says the struggle in Northern Ireland is "an integral part of the international working-class movement." Now what does that mean? Well, to make it clear listen to the words of IRAer, Michael Farrell. "Victory in the North," he states, "means not just defeat of the Loyalists -- that's the Protestants -- and the unity of Ireland, but also the collapse of the government in the south and an anti-imperialist revolution in that country."

To claim that these people are Catholics is a cruel joke. These are nto even Irishmen at heart.

This insidious terrorism does not happen in a vacuum.

Earlier this year Secretary of State Haig testified that

Communist states, especially the Soviet Union, "bear a large measure of responsibility of international terrorism."

There is a mountain of evidence to back him up. At the very least, international terrorism as we know it could not function

without the support -- the aid and comfort -- given by the Soviet Union.

First, a large number of terrorists have been trained for their foul deeds by the Soviets. The infamous "Carlos" was trained at Patrice Lamumba University in Moscow. Shortly after leaving, his terrorism career began.

Terrorism schools in Soviet puppet states grind out terrorist soldiers who create havoc all over the Western world. Czechoslovakia has such a terrorist school. It is under the direct supervision of the KGB. According to a senior Czech defector at least 14 of the senior membes of Italy's Red Brigade were tarined there, including the men who murdered Aldo Moro.

Cuba is a natorious training and staging area of terrorists. Earlier this year a band of terrorist were caught crossing into Columbia. They admitted to being trained ad equipped in Cuba. Nester Garcia, a high ranking Cuban intelligence officer who recently defected testified that the Soviet KGB has completely controlled and financed Cuban intelligence since 1969. The terrorist training then is condoned, and perhaps bankrolled by the Soviets. This is true of Czechoslovadia, Cuba, South Yehmen and Libya as well. Obviously, this Soviet involvement is part of an overall international strategy.

If you are missing my point, I'll spell it out: Much of the terrorism experienced in the Western Democracies is, as Secretary Haig says, the responsibility of the Soviet Union. And it goes beyond training.

Soviet arms are being funneled to terrorists through
Cuba and Libya. In 1973 the Irish Navy seized the S.S.

Caludia. It was crammed with Soviet weapons on the way to the
IRA via Libya. Similarly, in 1971 the Dutch intercepted a
shipment of weapons from Prague destined for Irish terrorists.

Similarly, in the Western Hemisphere Cuban support for terrorists is infamous.

There is evidence that Soviet puppet states offer refuge for international terrorists. Giangiacomo Feltrinelli, an Italian terrorist, was blown apart trying to attach a bomb to power lines outside of Milan. A police investigation revealed that using a false name he had made 22 visits to Czechoslovazia.

In ord own country radical leftists were helped along the way by the Cuban Intelligence service, which, as I've already said, is totally controlled and bankrolled by the Soviet KGB. Two examples of this: In the early 1960's Progressive Labor Party, which labels itself a revolutionary Marxist Party, sent some of its leaders to Cuba. One of them, Philip Abbott Luce, says he came back with a pocket full of cash to help him in his "revolutionary" activities — activities that included teaching ghetto blacks how to make Molotov cocktails. More recently, some defectors from the Weather Underground now say the Cuban Embassy in Canada served as a communication liaison when they were on the run.

All of this is obviously happening with full knowledge, if not at the direction of the government of the Soviet Union.

Soviet motives deserve a close look.

One should never forget that the Russians still have an ideological commitment to the communist philosophy -- a philosophy tied to the concept of violent revolution. Their system without profit motive or religion is, in fact, so dull and unproductive that the Soviet Union's support of revolutionaries is one of the few things that gives meaning to their system.

But ideology surely comes second to other factors. The primary motive for Soviet support of international terrorism is, perhaps, national defense. They likely perceive it as a cheap mthod of destablizing the West, which in turn has security implication for the Soviet Union. If so, it certainly is a cheap method of defense as compared the the enormous expense of today's modern weapons systems.

Question remains of what we can do and what we are doing to meet the challenge of terrorism. There obviously is not a simple answer, but the following are some things that can make and will make a difference.

- 1. First the foremost, America must not fall into the trap of turning to repression to combat terrorism. Some nations in Latin America restorted to brutality, repression and torture to combat terrorism. Doing so, they destroyed their own freedom and created societies that are a little better than those behind the Iron Curtain.
- 2. The alternative to repression is intelligence. The Reagan Administration is dedicated to rebuilding America's badly damaged intelligence system. We are moving to eliminate

unwarranted restrictions placed on the FBI, the CIA and law enforcers who provide the information necessary to combat terrorism and protect our citizens from this threat.

- 3. This Administration will continue to call the Soviets to task for their support of international terrorism. This will not take the form of pounding on our chest in public, but we do not intend to let the Soviets off the hook. If relations are to improve between our nations, and we hope they do, the Soviets at least privately must agree to pull back from their support of international terrorism.
- 4. The Reagan Administration intends to strictly enforce current law and support any further legislation needed to prevent Americans from helping and financing terrorist groups. Whether it's financial support for the IRA from Irish Americans or the contracting of U.S. citizens to help Libya -- this sort of thing has to stop.
- 5. We are committed to work even more closely with out allies to combat this international problem. Cleaning up our intelligence mess will help because some of our allies hesitate to work with us for fear of restrictions and leaks.
- 6. This one is not so easy. The handling of some terrorist events by the media has been a disgrace. The news media will have to learn what the word "responsibility" means. We do not advocate any legal restrictions, but terrorists must know that taking hostages will not result in the broadcast of their message no matter what it is. Coping with this problem will

require much more voluntary cooperation between authorities and the news media.

- 7. Imprisoning terrorists won't work. It leads to further violence when attempts are made to free those who are captured. It was just this sort of thing that motivated the Baader-Meinhof gang to murder Hanns-Martin Schleyer. Terrorists who cause the death of an innocent person, even if by accident, should face the death penalty; punishment should be swift and certain.
- 8. The United States and each of its allies must maintain military capability to handle any terrorist threat. The Reagan Administration is fully committed to this.
- 9. Any nation directly iding terrorist should be diplomatically, economically isolated until such behavior ceases.
- 10. Finally, the terrism that confronts the Western

 Democracies must be recognized for what it is: a totalitarian threat to freedom. Terrorists are not better than Nazis or fascists. Simply because they do not wear uniforms and march in a row does not make them any less totalitarian. The free people of the world must commit themselves to winning the battle against terrorism, just as they committed them selves in the battle against tyranny a generation ago.

I know that you can be counted on in this struggle. The Reagan Administration is committed to maintain the security and freedom of the people of the United States, and we need your support. We ar confident that we will prevail over

the threat of terrorism, just as we are confident that the American people can and will meet every challenge before us. We will do it because it is our responsibility to do it. We owe it to those who came before us. We owe it to those who will be the next generation of Americans.

Thank you for having me with you today.

Terrorists, far from revolutionaries fighting for freedom, are actually totalitarian soldiers trying to destroy liberty. They, clearly, seek more repression. Let me repeat that, terrorists want the governments they oppose to become more repressive.

There are reasons for this mind boggling goal. First, repression turns idealists into violent revolutionaries. Second, repression can be manipulated so-t- to radicalize a specific segment of society-a minority group young people, or west ever goal is most suseptable.

The last thing terrorists want are free elections and civil liberties where their ideas would be forced to compete in the political arena. That is not the type of society they desire. They are, in short, laying the foundation for conflict and tourmoil in hopes that communist control will emerge. Unit the fact that these so called These are for the root and today is not comparable to the anachists of the last century. They are not anarchists, they are communist revolutionaries and until that very basic fact is recognized terrorism will continue to plague the Western Democracies.

Demonstrating this is all to easy. Why does the Puerto Rican

FALN conduct bombings in the United States? The answer is simple:

Provingovernemnt

their goal is to bring/retalition down upon the citizens of Puerto

Rico, thus radicalizing them and turning them into lestist cannon

fodder. To our crdit and in testimony to the strength of our democracy,

there has been no such retaliation. upon Puerto Ricans.

But what happens in Nations without such a strong Democratic hertiage. Twenty years ago Cuba almost succeeded in undermining the

democaracy in Venezuela even before it had a chance to get off the ground. The year of the ground. The year of the ground. The year of the ground of the ground of the ground of the year of the

In Spain terrorsit attacks increased after Franco's death. The recentattempted coup earlier this year is an example of the knee-jerk reaction
terrorists are trying to provoke. The Reagan Alministration to clearly study
behind the forcer in Spain.
All of this is based on a selected an Algerian role model. There
the French mistook terrorism conducted by a small group for insurrection.
French retaliation against the Mosèlum population resulted in popular
revolt.

Terrorism accomplishes two goals: it frightens some into submission and produces an overreaction on the part of the athorities. Beth-ef-these-result-in-armed-str--- This, according to terrosits theory, will eventaually lead to Communist dictatorship. Even groups based on territorial or nationalistic claims are often little more than communist revolutionaries trying-te-use-- using conflict to build a new dictatorshipsof the proletariate.

In Northern Ireland the Hrand IRA slaughters innocent people in the name of uniting North and South, but there— the long range goal of the IRA is the last a walk of the IRA is the last a last

To claim that these people are Catholics is a/joke. These are not even Irishmen at heart.

this insidious terrorism does not happen in a vacuum. Earlier this year Secretary Hair of State Hair testified that Communist states, especially the Soviet Union, "bear a large measure of responsiblity of international terrorism. There is a mountain of evidence to back him up. At the very least international terrorism as we know it could not function without the support -- the aid and comfort -- given buy the Soviet Union.

First and most important, a large number of terrorists have been for their foul deeds by the Soviets. The infamous "Carlos" was trained at Patrice Lamumba University in Moscow. Shortly, after leaving his terrorism career began.

There e terrorism schools in several puppet states grindia are the basic terrorist soldiers all over the Western world. Czechoslovakia has such a terrorist school. It is under the direct supervison of the KGB. According to a senior Czech defector at least 14 of the senior members of Lab. Italy's Red Brigade were trained there, including the men who murdered Aldo Moro.

Cuba is a natorious training great and staging area for terrorists. Earlier this year about a terrorist were cought crossing its Columbia. They admitted to be, Nester Garcia, a high ranking Cuban inteligence officer who recently defected testified that the Soviet KGB has completely controlled and financed Cuban inteligence since 1969. The terrorist training going on is vat least accepted by the Soveits, and perhaps bankrolled This is true of Czechoslovakia, Cuba, South Yehmen by them as well. and Libya as well. Obviously this Soviet invovlement is part of an overall international stratedy.

copy of partilling is cope If you are missing my point I'll spell it out: much of the terrorism experienced in the Western Democracies is, as Secretary Hiag the responsiblity of the Soviet Union. And it goes beyond training these

and Libya. In 1973 the Irish Navy siezed the SS, Claudia. It crammed with Soviet weapons on the way to the IRA. Similarly in 1971 the Dutch intercepted a shipment of weapons from Prague destined for the Similarly in the Wiston Heristoner.

Irish terrorists. The Wiston Heristoner.

Here terrorists is infamous.

there is evidence that-Soveit that Soviet puppet states serve to the serve international terrorists.

Giangiacomo Feltrinelli, an #tian- Italian terrorist was blown aprt trying to attach a bomb to power lines outside of Milan. A police investigation revealed that using a false name he had made 22 vicins visits to Czechoslovakia.

In our own country radical leftists were helped along the way
by the Cuban Inteligence service, which, I've already said is totally
controlled and bankrolled by the Soviet KGB. Two examples of this:
in the early 1960s Progressive Labor Party, which calls itself a
revolutionary Marxist Party, sent some of its leaders to Cuba. One
of them, Philip Abbott Luce, says he came back with a pocket full of
cash to help him in his revolutionary activities—activies that
included teaching ghetto blacks how to make Molotov cocktails. Some
defectors from the Weather Underground now say the Cuban Embassy in
Canada served as a communication liason when they were on the run.

obviously

All of this is happening with full knowledge, if not the direction of, the Soviet Un government of the Soveit Union. The motives of the Soviets deserves a close look.

One should never forget theat the Russians still have see ideological commitment to the communist philosophy—a philosophy which is tied to the concept of violent revolution. Their system is, in fact, so dull and unproductive that the Societ Gaions Support of the concept of violent revolutions.

is one of the few things that gives meaning to their system. But as with all government, ideology comes second to other factors.—It—is—

The primary motive for Soveit support of international terrorism is,

perhaps, that it is a cheap part—of—their—defense—system—they consider

it an acceptable part of their national defense. It certainly—is—cheap—

Concievably they percieve it as a cheap method of destablizing the

security implication for Turious this

West, which in turn has defensive benefits for themsleves. If this

is the ease, it certainly is a cheapmethod of defense as compared to

the enormous expense of today's modern weapons systems.

All of this leaves us with the question of what we can do and what we are doing to meet the challenge of terrorism. There obviously is not simple answer, but the following are somethings that can make and will make a difference.

- 1. America must not fall into the trap of violating represing turing to repression to combat terrorism. Some nations in Latin America restorted to brutality, repression and thoture and even if they do not fall to Dain, so they destroyed their own fraction and to trade that are little better than those behind the Iron Curtain.
 - 2. The alternative to repression is inteligence. The Reagan Administration is dedicated to rebuilding America's badly damaged inteligence system. We are moving to eliminate unwarrented restrictions placed on the FBI, the CIA and-other law enforcers who need-elear-vision---good---int- provide the information necessary to combat terrorism and protect our citizens from this threat.
 - 3. Recognizing that the Soviet's bear at least some responsibility for will continue to call the Soviets to task terrorism, This Administration does not plan to let the subject slide

for their support of international toproxion

when discussing other issues with the Soviets. This will not take the form of pounding on our chest in public, but we do not intend to let be and our notions, and we have the soviets off the hook. If relations are to improve the sat least private must pull back from their support of terrorists ---- international terroriam.

- 4. The Reagan Administration intends to strictly enforce current law and support legislative enactment of any further legislation to prevent Americans from helping and financing terrorist groups. Whether financial support for the IRA from Irish Americans or the contracting of US Citizens to help Libya This sort of thing has to stop.
 - 5. We will-w-- are committed to work even more closely with our allies to combat this international problem. Cleaning up our inteligence mess will help because some of our allies hestiate to work with us for fear of restrictions and leaks.
 - the media has been a disgrace. The meds news media will have to learn what the word "responsibility" means. We do not advocate any laws, but terrorists must know that simply because they take some hostages they are not going to hear their message broadcast to the world. It Coping with this problem will require much more voluntary cooperation between authmoities and the news media. than we've seen in the past.
 - 7. Imprisoning terrorists of leads to further violence when attempts are made to free jailed--- those who are captured. The interior It was just this sort of thing that motivated the Baaler- gang to murder Hanns-Martin. What-we-need-is-a-law-that-- Terrotists who cause

death of an innocent person, even if by accident, should face the execution should be swift and certain.

- 8. The Reagan Admin The United States and each of its allies must maintain military capiblity to handle any terrorist threat. The Reagan Administration is fully committed to this.

 Reagan Administration is fully committed to this.

 Any nation directly aiding terrorist should be diplomatically isolated until such behavior ceases.
- / 0. Finally, the terroriam that confronts the Western Democracies must be recognized for what it is: a totalitarian threat to freedom.

 Terrorists are no better than nazis or fascists. Simply because they do not wear uniforms and march in a rowe than does not make them any less totatlitarian. The free people of the world must commit themsleves to winning the battle against terrorism, just as they did-the-committed themselves in the battle against tyranny a generation ago.

I know that those of you are people that can be counted on in this struggle. The Reagan Administration is committed to maintian the and we real your support security and freedom of the People of the United States We are confident that we will previal over the threat of terrorism, just as we are confident that the Aemrican people can and will meet every challenge before us. We will do it because it is our responsibility to do it. We owe before them. just as they have in the past. In these who will be the next grant of the pool of the pool of the people can are they have in the past. In the past who will be the next grant of the pool of the past. In the past who will be the next grant of the pool of the past. In the past who will be the next grant of the pool of the

(Rohrabacher)
Working Draft
November 24, 1981

ED HICKEY: SPEECH ON TERRORISM

During the late 1960's the various nations increasingly

By the early 1970's a
experienced acts of terrorism. Within a few years it was

clear that a pattern of international terrorism was developing -
a pattern that continues to this day.

Late and early 190's are

If the 1960's will be remembered as years of protest, the 1980's, unless we come to grips with this very real problem, could well be remembered as a decade of terror.

yet few are able to define. So perhaps we should start with a definition. It evolved from the Latin word terrer, which means to frighten. Thus for our purposes today, we will define terrorism as the use of violence or destructive force to frighten a government or population in order to achieve "political ends."

Terrorism should not be confused with insurrection; if it were the same, all those who fight oppression would be labeled as terrorists. One of the more damaging cliches camenty making that rough floating through intellectual circles is that "One man's terrorist is another's Freedom Fighter." This absurd notion compares the Red Brigade and the Symbonise Liberation Army with Afghan Freedom Fighters and heroes of the American Revolution.

It is just this kind of intellectual dream world, usually a product of the never-never land of some major

univeristy, that helps creates nightmares for the rest of

The radical chic not with start recognize that there

humanity. Let us right from the start recognize that there

is no basis in reality for comparing terrorist to those who

fight or have fought for freedom. Anyone who compares

George Washington with the Weather Underground isn't playing

with a full deck of cards.

The principle targets of terrorists are innocent civilians or unarmed officials. It is attacks on the innocent that terrorize populations or goad the government into unwarranted repression. — and that is the goal of terrorists.

Does this description match the Afghan Freedom Fighters who battle Russian tanks or the patriots of the American Revolution? George Washington, as it will be recalled, met the British army head on. Furthermore, when guerrilla tactics were used, it was used against British troops and other combatants. This is a far cry from terrorists who target bombs and kidnapping plots at unarmed civilians of plot assassinations of political opponents instead of meeting them in the arena of democratic politics.

One of the grossest image distortions we've witnessed recent years is the portrayal of terrorists as Robin Hoods and romantic swashbucklers. In reality, a terrorist is a coward -- an individual who chooses to inflict death and destruction from hiding. Placing a bomb in the rest room of a bank or shooting down a school superintendent

counts who smuggly hide and watch their destruction

counts who smuggly hide and watch their destruction

rather than facing their enemy.

And these are the acts of defective

- Messianic saviors of

The second of the second o Instead these are the acts of defective takes no courage. personalities who see themselves as Messianic saviors of mankind.

The romanticization of terrorism is no surprise, however. Terrorism in the Western democracies seems to have sprang from the protest era of the late 1960's, which itself was romanticized by the media. During that era, leftist marauders were glamorized and idealized by the news media which never which served as the underpraning mentioned the totalitarian philosophy manifesting itself in of may salical adivist symiations It was as if the police were, like the streets of America. Nazis repressing peace demonstrations because they were inclined toward war. Instead police in that era were often coping with organized groups who considered themselves seeking violence and contrastationar al communist revolutionaries, hiding amidst a crowd of peace marchers. As protest marches Added a small step tor

It was not much of a transition for the wilder members of the violent Students for a Democratic Society to step into a role as bombers for the Radical Weather Underground, or for members of the Black Panthers to end up in the smallbut-deadly Black Liberation Army. In Europe, Germany's Baader-Meinhof gang and Italy's Red Brigades seem to have come from the same "New Left" roots.

These mattereds were formed around a nucleous of young people who were radicalized by the street violence and university Marxism of the late 1960's. Unlike their counterparts in the United States, they have been highly successful in kidnapping and assassination. The Red Brigades have popularized the term knee-capping — which is nothing more than cripling innocent victims by shooting them in the legs. Their most dastardly act was the kidnapping and murder of Aldo Moro, a man who headed the Christian Democratic Party and would likely have headed the Italian government except for the intervention of these broad-theaty fanatics.

Similarly, Germany's Baader-Meinhof gang conducted a war of terror which east the death of innocent Germans for over half a decade. One of the most famous of their crimes was the brutal slaying of industrialist Hanns-Martin Schleyer in 1977. Recently the remaints of this gang have been attacking Ancican military personal.

What kind of people are part of these organizations.

The deare, generally, individuals who have never worked at a regular job, yet are constantly talking about representing the working people. Instead of workers, these groups, especially the ones in America, are weighted with individuals from affluent families. One study by the German government revealed that 36 percent of those arrested in connection with terrorist organizations in the 1970's were college graduates from the upper income levels of German society.

This is consistent with the makeup of terrorist groups in the United States. Kathy Bodineen, for example, who was recently arrested for alleged activities, comes from a wealthy family as did several other prominent members of the Her particular clique. Weather Underground. Her prominent members of the as a protest group on Columbia University -- which is certainly hadly not a working class school.

Unfortunately, the escapades of these Mercedes Bendez revolutionaries have left a trail maimed bodies. Their last bit of alleged activism -- the Brinks armored care robbery -- left three men dead . . . working people with families, with children who will no longer have a father to help them along in life.

Another interesting aspect of the personality profile of the political family background of these alleged terrorist.

They not only come from wealthy homes, but also from ultra left families. Kathy Bodin's father is a wealthy lawyer well known for his defense of left wing radicals.

Similarly, the world's most wanted terrorist, known as Carlos, comes from a wealthy Venezuelan family. His father is so far left that he named all of his children after Illich Vladimir Lenin. Carlos's real name if Illich Ramirez Sanchez.

at all. Instead they are trying to fulfill the values and aspirations of their parents. This, by the way, is in start contrast to the analyse that suggestions that these are psycho paths who are revolting against all almosity.

Not all terrorist organizations were, however, evolved from student activism. The Irish Republican Army, the FALN Palestine Liberation Organization, the Puerto Rican Fain and the Basque ETA all started with some territorial or nationalistic claim, Kll of them have bloody histories. The ETA, alone, in the past 13 years has killed more than 350 carefully chosen victims. The bloodletting of the IRA and the PLO 15 19 Arm 3 - 1. need not be detailed.

And what is it that these and other terrorist organizations really want? Why do these individuals, among million of their fellow citizens feel justified in conducting mayhem and brutality?

Much can be said of their motives, but one thing is certain, contrary to their image these groups -- especially the former student activists are not Democratically minded reformers faced with the stone wall of authoritarianism.

The Puerto Rican separatists, for example, are not facing the fist of the United States. Instead, they are primarily thwarted by the will of the people of Puerto Rico. Only a miniscule number of Puerto Ricans want interpendence, they pushed the people of Puerto Ricans want interpendence, they turn it down by huge majorities every time it is voted upon

Italy, on the other hand, may be less than perfect,
but it is still a relatively free society. Yet the terrorism
has been rampant. And sis singled out as a target for
Italy's terrorists? Not the fascists or raving monarchists, who
can be found on Europe's Boote. No. The Red Brigades kidnapping
and murder Democratic moderates like Aldo Moro.

Page 7 The intamous Canlos comes from Generada - one of the freest nations in the western Henisphene.

Today's terrorism is not a case of individuals standing up to tyranny. The plague of terrorism sweeping the WEst is far more insidious than that. If that was the case, the Communisit regimes would suffer much more of it and Democratic mations would experience less.

ignored, just as it was of the New Left in the late

1960's. As close examination reveals most of these groups profess

Some kind of revolutionary communist ideology. This is

significant and has been ignored, by the powers that be for

top long. A significant, yet largely una receiptive of fact.

ED HICKEY: SPEECH ON TERRORISM

During the late 1960's the various nations increasingly experienced acts of terrorism. Within a few years it was clear that a pattern of international terrorism was developing -- a pattern that continues to this day.

If the 1960's will be remembered as years of protest, the 1980's, unless we come to grips with this very real problem, could well be remembered as a decade of terror.

Terrorism is something that everyone seems to be against, yet few are able to define. So perhaps we should start with a definition. It evolved from the Latin word terrer, which means to frighten. Thus for our purposes today, we will define terrorism as the use of violence or destructive force to frighten a government or population in order to achieve "political ends."

Terrorism should not be confused with insurrection; if it were the same, all those who fight oppression would be labeled as terrorists. One of the more damaging cliches floating through intellectual circles is that "One man's terrorist is another's Freedom Fighter." This absurd notion compares the Red Brigade and the Symbonise Liberation Army with Afghan Freedom Fighters and heroes of the American Revolution.

It is just this kind of intellectual dream world, usually a product of the never-never land of some major

univeristy, that helps create nightmares for the rest of humanity. Let us right from the start recognize that there is no basis in reality for comparing terrorist to those who fight or have fought for freedom. Anyone who compares George Washington with the Weather Underground isn't playing with a full deck of cards.

The principle target of terrorists are innocent civilians or unarmed officials. It is attacks on the innocent that terrorize populations or goad the government into unwarranted repression -- and that is the goal of terrorists.

Does this description match the Afghan Freedom Fighters who battle Russian tanks or the patriots of the American Revolution? George Washington, as it will be recalled, met the British army head on. Furthermore, when guerrilla tactics were used, it was used against British troops and other combatants. This is a far cry from terrorists who target bombs and kidnapping plots at unarmed civilians or plot assassinations of political opponents instead of meeting them in the arena of democratic politics.

One of the grossest image distortions we've witnessed in recent years is the portrayal of terrorists as Robin Hoods and romantic swashbucklers. In reality, a terrorist is a coward -- an individual who chooses to inflict death and destruction from hiding. Placing a bomb in the rest room of a bank or shooting down a school superintendent

takes no courage. Instead these are the acts of defective personalities who see themselves as Messianic saviors of mankind.

The romanticization of terrorism is no surprise, however. Terrorism in the Western democracies seems to have sprung from the protest era of the late 1960's, which itself was romanticized by the media. During that era, leftist marauders were glamorized and idealized by the news media which never mentioned the totalitarian philosophy manifesting itself in the streets of America. It was as if the police were, like Nazis, repressing peace demonstrations because they were inclined toward war. Instead police in that era were often coping with organized groups who considered themselves communist revolutionaries hiding amidst a crowd of peace marchers.

It was not much of a transition for the wilder members of the violent Students for a Democratic Society to step into a role as bombers for the Radical Weather Underground or for members of the Black Panthers to end up in the small-but-deadly Black Liberation Army. In Europe, Germany's Baader-Meinhof gang and Italy's Red Brigades seem to have come from the same "New Left" roots.

These murderous groups were formed around a nucleous of young people who were radicalized by the street violence and university Marxism of the late 1960's. Unlike their counterparts in the United States, they have been highly successful in kidnapping and assassination. The Red Brigades have popularized the term knee capping -- which is nothing more than cripling innocent victims by shooting them in the legs. Their most dastardly act was the kidnapping and murder of Aldo Moro, a man who headed the Christian Democratic Party and would likely have headed the Italian government except for the intervention of these blood thirsty fanatics.

Similarly, Germany's Baader-Meinhof gang conducted a war of terror which cost the death of innocent Germans for over half a decade. One of the most famous of their crimes was the brutal slaying of industrialist Hanns-Martin Schleyer in 1977.

What kind of people are part of these organizations. These are, generally, individuals who have never worked at a regular job, yet are constantly talking about representing the working people. Instead of workers, these groups, especially the ones in America, are weighted with individuals from affluent families. One study by the German government revealed that 36 percent of those arrested in connection with terrorist organizations in the 1970's were college graduates from the upper income levels of German society.

This is consistent with the makeup of terrorist groups in the United States. Kathy Bodineen, for example, who was recently arrested for alleged activities, comes from a wealthy family as did several other prominent members of the Weather Underground. Her group, it should be noted, began as a protest group on Columbia University -- which is certainly not a working class school.

Unfortunately, the escapades of these Mercedes Bendez revolutionaries have left a trail of maimed bodies. Their last bit of alleged activism -- the Brinks armored care robbery -- left three men dead . . . working people with families, with children who will no longer have a father to help them along in life.

Another interesting aspect of the personality profile is the political family background of these alleged terrorist. They not only come from wealthy homes, but also from ultra left families. Kathy Bodin's father is a wealthy lawyer well known for his defense of left wing radicals.

Similarly, the world's most wanted terrorist, known as Carlos, comes from a wealthy Venezuelan family. His father is so far left that he named all of his children after Illich Vladimir Lenin. Carlos's real name if Illich Ramirez Sanchez.

It appears that these revoluntionaries are not revolting at all. Instead they are trying to fulfill the values and aspirations of their parents.

Not all terrorist organizations were, however, evolved from student activism. The Irish Republican Army, the Palestine Liberation Organization, the Puerto Rican Faln and the Basque ETA all started with some territorial or nationalistic claim. All of them have bloody histories. The ETA, alone, in the past 13 years has killed more than 350 carefully chosen victims. The bloodletting of the IRA and the PLO need not be detailed.

And what is it that these and other terrorist organizations really want? Why do these individuals, among million of their fellow citizens feel justified in conducting mayhem and brutality?

Much can be said of their motives, but one thing is certain, contrary to their image these groups -- especially the former student activists, are not Democratically minded reformers faced with the stone wall of authoritarianism.

The Puerto Rican separatists, for example, are not facing the fist of the United States. Instead, they are primarily thwarted by the will of the people of Puerto Rico. Only a miniscule number of Puerto Ricans want interpendence, they turn it down by huge majorities every time it is voted upon.

Iraly, on the other hand, may be less than perfect, but it is still a relatively free society. Yet the terrorism has been rampant. And hwo is singled out as a target for Italy's terrorists? Not the fascists or raving monarchists who can be found on Europe's Boote. No. The Red Brigades kidnapping and murder Democratic moderates like Aldo Moro.

Today's terrorism is not a case of individuals standing up to tyranny. The plague of terrorism sweeping the WEst is far more insidious than that. If that was the case, the Communisit regimes would suffer much more of it and Democratic nations would experience less.

All too often the ideology of these terrorists is ignored, just as it was of the New Left in the late 1960's. As close examination reveals most of these groups profess some kind of revolutionary communist ideology. This is significant and has been ignored by the powers that be for too long.

Insert.

a

These murderous groups were formed around nucleous of young people who were radicalized by the street pleviolence and university Marxism of the late 1960s. Unlike their counterparts in the United States they have been highly successful in kidnapping and Assisination. Perhaps——their-success—reflects—the-efficiency—level— The Red Brigades have popularized the term knee capping—which is nothing more than sh—cripling anyone—who—with—innocent victims by shooting them in the legs. They-also—Their most dastardly act was the kidnapping and ex—murder of Aldo Moro, a man who headed the Christain Democratic Party and would likely have become—the headed the Italian government and these blood thristy fanatics not intervened:

Similarly Germany's Baader-Meinhof gang wage-a-- conducted a

war of terror which--en--responsible-for-the-deaths which cost the

death of secres-of- innocent Germans for over had a decade. -In-
1977- One of the most famous of their crimes was the brtual brutal
industrialist
slaying of Hanns-Martin Schleyer in 1977. Schleyer-was-killed-when
British-and-German-commandos--rescued--passengers-on-a-High---hijacked-
airlines----an-airliner-that-had-been-hijacked-to-in-order-to-provide
hostages--
These are the folks who have

What kind of people are part of these organizations. As one might hever worked at a regular job, jet are constantly talking about representing the usure suspect by the description I've just given of the groups, members working jeople, are certainly not workers. Instead these groups, especially the one's in the Unternamedra, are weighted with affluent individuals from affluent families. One study by the German government revealed that 36 percent of those arrested in connecction with terrorist organizations in the 1970s were college graduates and from the upper income levels of German society. This—

This is consistent with the make up of terrorist groups in the United States. Kathy Bodineen, for example, who was recently arrested

for alledged terrorist activities—including the _bloody _ held=up_--of recent hold up of a New York Brinks armored car—comes from the
family-of-a-wealthy-law a wealthy family as did several other ga—
prominent members of the weather underground. Her group, it should
be noted, began as a protest group on Columbia University—which is
certainly not a working class school.

Unfortunately, the rementic escapades of these mercedes bendez revolutionaries left three-men-men-dead- have left a trail of maining a killing. The last bit of activism—the Brinks— armored car robbery—left three men dead Famil— Wroking people with families, with children who will no longer have a father to-share also in life.

Another aspect of the personality profile that is interesting the political funity background of these attempt homes, they is that many of-th-terrorists are not only from wealthy homes, but also from very wealthy homes. Kathy Bodin comes from a family-father is a-we-- wealthy lawyer well known-from-his-defense--of-- for his part in the defense of left wing radicals. The--

Similarly, the worlds most wanted terrorst, known as Carlos, comes from a farty- wealthy Venezulan family. His father is so far left he named all of his children after Lenin. Carlos's real name is Illisch Ramirez Sanchez - the Illich is after Illich Vladimir Lenin.

It appears that these revolutionare are not revolting at all.

Instead they are fullfilling- trying to fullfill the values and aspirations of their parents.—yet are unhampered by the-

Not all terrorist organizations were, however, based student activism. There-are-several-that--have--members-who-were-radicalized-by-activism-within---with-a-casue---cause--- The Irish Republican ARmy, the Plestine Liberation Organization and the Basque ETA all started with some territorial or nationalistic claim. All of them have bloody histories. The ETA, alone, in the past 13 years has killed more than 350 carefully choosen victims. The bloodleting of the IRA and the PLO gees-without-saying- need not be detailed.

And what is it that these and other terrorist organizations really want. Why do these individuals, among millions of eitizens-their fellow citizens feel justified in concuting mayham and brutality?

-There-is-an-old-Chinese-Proverb-that-says"kill-one,-frighten-ten-thousand."---

One-th-

Much can be sadi said of their motives, but one thing is certain. Contrary to their image these groups—especially the first-gro former student activists, are not Democratically minded reformers faced with the stoom wall of author itarianism. Italy may not be an ideal Democracy, but it is still a relatively free society. Yet—— And when chosing their targets the Red Brigades do not show the raving fascists or monarchists that can be found on Europes Boote, no, instead they have kidnapped—men— moderates like Aldo Moro.

The Puerto Rican seperatists, for example, are not facing the fist of the United States. Instead they are primarily thwarted by the will of the people of Puerto Rico. Only a miniscule number of them want interpendence, and they have turned it down by huge majorities every time it is voted upon.

In-Htal Italy, on the other hand, may be less than perfect, but it is still a relatively free society. Yet the terrorism has been rampant. And who is singled out as a target for Italy's terrorists? Not some of the fascists or raving monarchists who can be found on Europes Boote. No. The Red Brigades end p kidnapping and murdering Democratic moderates like Aldo Moro.

Plague of terrorism sweeping the West and far more insidious than that.

If that was the case the Barr- Communist regimes would experience

much more of itank democratic nations would experience less,

A-close-look-at All to often the ideology of these terrorists is ignored, just as it was of the New Left in the late 1960s. As close mash of these groups reveals that they all profess some kind of Revolutionary communist ideology. This is significant and has been ignored by the powers that be for too long.

ED HICKEY

During the late 1960's the various nations increasingly experienced acts of terrorism. Within a few years it was clear that a pattern of international terrorism was developing -- a pattern that continues to this day.

If the 1960's will be remembered as years of protest, the 1980's, unless we come to grips with this very real problem, could well be remembered as a decade of terror.

Terrorism is something that everyone seems to be against, yet few are able to define. So perhaps we should start with a definition. It evolved from the Latin word terrer, which means to frighten. Thus for our purposes today, we will define terrorism as the use of violence or destructive force to frighten a government or population in order to achieve "political ends."

Terrorism should not be confused with insurrection; if the same it were, all those who fight oppression would be labeled as terrorists. One of the more damaging cliches floating through intellectual circles is that "One man's terrorist is another's Freedom Fighter." This absurd notion equates the Red Brigade and the Symbonise Liberation Army to the Afghan Freedom Fighters and here's of the American Recolution.

It is just this kind of intellectual dream world, usually a product of the never-never land of some major univeristy, that helps create nightmares for the rest of

crecognize that there is attached humanity. Let us right from the start draw some important 10 basis in reality for comparing terrorist to those who fight or have cought for freedoms distinctions. Anyone who compares George Washington with

> the Weather Underground isn't playing with a full deck of cards.

The principle target of terrorists are innocent civilians or unarmed officials. It is attacks on innocents that terrorize the populations or goad the government into unwarranted repression = and that is the goal of terrisists.

Does this description match the Afghan Freedom Fighters who battle Russian tanks or the patriots of the American Revolution. George Washington, as it will be recalled, met the British army head on. Furthermore, when querrilla tactics were used, it was used against British troops and other combatants. This is a far cry from terrorists who target bombs and kidnapping plots at unarmed civilians or who plot assassinations of political opponents instead of meeting them in the arena of democratic politics.

One of the grossest image distortions we've had to with in recent years is that which portrays Kerrorists as Robin Hoods and romantic swashbucklers. In reality, a terrorist is a coward -- an individual who chooses to inflict death and destruction from hiding. Placing a bomb in the restroom of a bank or shoot down a school superintenedent Instead these are the acts of defective personalities who takes no courage and is certainly not romantic. see themselves as messianic saviore of marking.

The romanticization of terrorism is no surprise, However, terrorism in the Western democracies seems to have There are reasons for this. First in relatively free societies, violent opponents of the system have freedom of travel, communication and privacy that is not to be found under authoritarian rule.

But there is another factor. Terrorism in the West may be conducted by individuals who are not brainwashed puppets of the Soviet Union, but terrorists that have been indispensable in their ability to wreck havoc on the Western nations. They may not have planted the seed, but they have watered and nurtured terrorism and must bear responsibility for their conduct.

	Secretary	of	State	Haig	described	this	earlier	this	
year	before a								•

MEMORANDUM

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

November 24, 1981

MEMORANDUM FOR: WHITE HOUSE STAFF AND EOB STAFF

FROM:

MUFFIE BRANDON

mffrz Brandon

There will be a rerun on Channel 26, Sunday evening, November 29th, 8:00 p.m. of YOUNG ARTISTS IN PERFORMANCE AT THE WHITE HOUSE with Mrs. Reagan, Beverly Sills, Rudolf Serkin and Ida Levin.

We encourage you to watch this wonderful program which was filmed in the East Room last Sunday.

ED HICKEY

During the late 1960's the various nations increasingly experienced acts of terrorism. Within a few years it was clear that a pattern of international terrorism was developing -- a pattern that continues to this day.

If the 1960's will be remembered as years of protest, the 1980's, unless we come to grips with this very real problem, could well be remembered as a decade of terror.

Terrorism is something that everyone seems to be against, yet few are able to define. So perhaps we should start with a definition. It evolved from the Latin word terrer, which means to frighten. Thus for our purposes today, we will define terrorism as the use of violence or destructive force to frighten a government or population in order to achieve "political ends."

Terrorism should not be confused with insurrection; if it were, all those who fight oppression would be labeled as terrorists. One of the more damaging cliches floating through intellectual circles is that "One man's terrorist is another's Freedom Fighter." This absurd notion equates the Red Brigade and the Symbonise Liberation Army to the Afghan Freedom Fighters.

It is just this kind of intellectual dream world, usually a product of the never-never land of some major univeristy, that helps create nightmares for the rest of

humanity. Let us right from the start draw some important distinctions. Anyone who compares George Washington with the Weather Underground isn't playing with a full deck of cards.

The principle target of terrorists are innocent civilians or unarmed officials. It is attacks on innocents that terrorize the population or goad the government into unwarranted repression.

Does this description match the Afghan Freedom Fighters who battle Russian tanks or the patriots of the American Revolution. George Washington, as it will be recalled, met the British army head on. Furthermore, when guerrilla tactics were used, it was used against British troops and other combatants. This is a far cry from terrorists who target bombs and kidnapping plots at unarmed civilians or who plot assassinations of political opponents instead of meeting them in the arena of democratic politics.

One of the grossest image distortions we've had to live with in recent years is that which portrays terrorists as Robin Hoods and romantic swashbucklers. In reality, a terrorist is a coward -- an individual who chooses to inflict death and destruction from hiding. Placing a bomb in the restroom of a bank or to shoot down a school superintenedent takes no courage and is certainly not romantic.

The romanticization of terrorism is no surprise.

However, terrorism in the Western democracies seems to have

sprung from the protest era of the late 1960's, which itself was romanticized by the media. During that era, leftist punks and thugs were glamorized and idealized by the news media which never mentioned the totalitarian philosophy manifesting itself in the streets of America. It was as if the policies were repressing peace demonstrations instead of coping with many organized groups who labeled themselves communist street fighters.

It was not much of a transition for some of the wilder members of the violent Students for a Democratic Society to step into a role as bombers for the Radical Weather Underground or for members of the Black Panthers to end up in the small but deadly Black Liberation Army.

In Europe, Germany's Baader-Meinhof gang seems to have had the same "New Left" roots. Other terrorists organizations sprang from different roots. Basques, IRA, PLO began as nationalistic impulses that soon became a weapon of communist idealogy.

But just for the record, the mainsprings of terrorism are not idealists confronting an authoritarian state.

Instead it is the manifestation of a revolutionary communist ideology within the confines of a relatively free democratic society.

Few can argue that violence is necessary for social change in the West. And in those nations that have the most repressive governments -- especially those in the Eastern Block -- terrorism does not seem to be a problem.

There are reasons for this. First in relatively free societies, violent opponents of the system have freedom of travel, communication and privacy that is not to be found under authoritarian rule.

But there is another factor. Terrorism in the West may be conducted by individuals who are not brainwashed puppets of the Soviet Union, but terrorists that have been indispensable in their ability to wreck havoc on the Western nations. They may not have planted the seed, but they have watered and nurtured terrorism and must bear responsibility for their conduct.

	Secretary	of	State	Haig	described	this	earlier	this	
year	before a								